1		ra	ige
2	,	NEW YORK STATE	
_		F CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES	
3			
Ü	NEW YORK STATE (COMMISSION OF SENTENCING REFORM	
4		00	
-		Commission Meeting	
5		Committee Terr Tree erring	
6			
7			
8			
9			
	DATE:	June 13, 2007	
	TIME:	9:00 a.m. to 4:50 p.m.	
	LOCATION:	New York State Capital Building	
		Blue Room	
13		Albany, New York	
14		1 '	
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

```
Page 2
                                                                                                                Page 3
      Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007
                                                            1
                                                                   Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007
2
    COMMISSION MEMBERS:
                                                            2
                                                                           COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: And I
       Commissioner Denise E. O'Donnell, Co-chair
                                                                appreciate -- you all had the benefit of meeting,
                                                            3
4
       George B. Alexander
       Anthony Annucci, Esq.
                                                            4
                                                                and -- and I appreciate Tony's very practical
5
       Anthony Bergamo, Esq.
                                                            5
                                                                knowledge and questions and understanding of the
       Michael C. Green, Esq.
6
       Paul Korotokin
                                                            6
                                                                impact that sentencing has on the criminal justice
       Assemblymember Joseph Lentol
                                                            7
                                                                system. And I think they started to touch on that
7
       Michael P. McDermott, Esq.
                                                                with many of our fine speakers at the last meeting.
       Judge Juanita Bing Newton
8
       Senator Eric T. Schneiderman
                                                            9
                                                                But it's -- it -- it's -- it's really -- it can
       Tina Marie Stanford
                                                                be -- it can't be understated that sentencing has
                                                           10
9
       Cyrus Vance, Jr. Esq.
10
    COMMISSION STAFF:
                                                           11
                                                                such an impact on the criminal justice system in so
11
       John Amodeo, Esq.
                                                                many respects that anything that we are going to
                                                           12
       Michael Barrett, Esq.
12
                                                           13
                                                                propose going forward can't be responsibly proposed
       Gina L. Bianchi, Esq.
       Donna Hall, Ph.D.
                                                           14
                                                                unless we really understand the implications it's
13
       Patti Greco
                                                                going to have on the criminal justice system on,
                                                           15
    SPEAKERS:
14
15
       Martin Horn
                                                           16
                                                                particularly, jobs, parole, on -- on -- on the --
       Robert Maccarone
                                                           17
                                                                the courts and -- and -- and so many areas of
16
       Rocco Pozzi
       Felix Rosa
                                                           18
                                                                criminal justice. And I think Tony will help us
17
       Terry Salo
                                                                understand and make those links. Thanks, Tony.
                                                           19
       Terrence Tracy
                                                           20
                                                                           MR. ANNUCCI: Good morning.
18
19
                                                           21
                                                                           COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: We're
20
                                                           22
                                                                back --
21
22
                                                           23
                                                                           MR. ANNUCCI: Thank you. --
23
                                                                           COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: -- on
                                                           24
24
                                                    Page 4
                                                                                                                Page 5
 1
       Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007
                                                            1
                                                                   Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007
2
    the record.
                                                            2
                                                                the safest states in the country. We certainly are
3
               MR. ANNUCCI: -- Ms. Chairwoman.
                                                            3
                                                                the safest large state in the country. D.C.J.S.
4
    members of the commission. On behalf of
                                                            4
                                                                Commission you know all too well. All of the
5
    Commissioner Brian Fischer, it is a privilege and
                                                                indicia, all of the feedback that are coming back
6
    an honor to be here today and -- and discuss the
                                                            6
                                                                indicate that New York State is an extremely safe
7
    big picture of what the Department of Correctional
                                                            7
                                                                state, and we constantly strive to get better. So
8
    Services is all about. This commission, Governor's
                                                            8
                                                                that is an extremely positive benchmark.
                                                            9
9
    executive order, is very profound, and we hope to
                                                                            By the same token, we are also in
10
    be able to fulfill -- fulfill the responsibilities
                                                                a state where our prison system has actually
                                                           10
    and mandates by taking a lot of time to understand
                                                                reduced its population. We reached an all-time
11
                                                           11
    exactly where we are. And that, in -- in and of
12
                                                           12
                                                                high in December 1999 of seventy-one thousand six
13
    itself, is a complex task. But also understanding,
                                                           13
                                                                hundred inmates. We are presently down to
    I think, the how and the why we got to where we are
                                                           14
                                                                sixty-three thousand eight hundred. That is
15
    is important. I want to delve a little bit into
                                                           15
                                                                slightly up from some months ago when we were at
16
    that.
                                                           16
                                                                sixty-three thousand three hundred. But that is a
17
               I think, probably, the best point
                                                           17
                                                                significant decrease in the prison population. So
    to start is with the good news. And the good news
18
                                                           18
                                                                we were able, while we were achieving milestones in
    is, despite the complexities of the sentencing laws
19
                                                                safety and -- and reduced crime rates, to not
                                                           19
20
    and -- and despite the intricacies and the apparent
                                                           20
                                                                simultaneously increase our prison system, but
21
    efficiencies that are there, what we've
                                                           21
                                                                decrease it. I think there have been broad trends
22
                                                           22
    accomplished in New York State I think is truly
                                                                that you've seen whereby violent felony offenders
23
    remarkable and the envy of many, many other states.
                                                           23
                                                                are spending more time in prison, and nonviolent
    Practical reality is, bottom line, we are one of
                                                           24
                                                                inmates were being able to be released earlier due
```

Page 9

24

Page 8

24

19

20

21

22

Page 6 1 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 to a -- a number of early release programs. 3 The state of the law that you're 4 seeing now is very much the result of very arduous 5 negotiations that took place between two different 6 houses of legislature that --. 7 COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: Tony, 8 can I just stop you? Judge, can you hear? Can you 9 hear? 10 Okay. Great. Can you hear? 11 MR. ANNUCCI: Okay. To continue, 12 the -- the -- a lot of the sentencing laws 13 that you see right now are the end result of many, 14 many hours of arduous negotiations between two houses of the legislature. And I think just 16 objectively describing it, without trying to, in 17 any way, describe which side is -- is right or 17 18 wrong, that there are -- there are very different 18 19 philosophies in -- in approaching criminal justice. 19 20 Perhaps the best place to start is in the early 20 21 '80s, when the bond act was placed before the 21 22 voters of the state to allow money to be -- to 22 23 build prisons when there was a clear need to build 23

Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 then we had to come as -- as a state with a way to fund building of new prisons, because clearly they 3 had to be built in the early '80s. And the Urban 4 5 Development Corporation, which was originally 6 created to find ways to come up with housing for 7 low-income individuals, was used, actually, as the conduit to float bonds, to raise money that can now be used to build prisons. And so when we needed to 10 get more prisons, that would be placed before the 11 legislature. It was part of the budget process. 12 And that would start the negotiation process in terms of whether or not the money would be there 13 14 for additional prisons and, by the same token, what types of reform provisions would be part of -- of 15 16 that type of legislation. So that's one part of the equation.

Another part is what was going on in the early '80s and well throughout the '90s. We had a drug -- drug epidemic in this -- in this state, especially in New York City. Crack was a very, very serious problem. And not only just the drugs, but the tangential violence that was going along with crack was a very, very serious problem.

1 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 I'm sure everybody remembers that -- all of the 3 drive-by shootings that took place and all of the 4 innocent bystanders that were killed. The drug dealers had a name for those innocents that were 6 killed. They just referred to them as mushrooms. I remember when we had significant numbers of 8 inmates in the work release program in the early 9 '90s. It seemed to me that almost every week, one 10 of our work release participants was a homicide victim. And I think you can only attribute that to 11 12 the market forces that were driving the drug trade, 13 such that when individuals went back to their communities to try and take up where they left off, they were killed by rivals that had taken over 16 where they had previously had their establishment. 17 There's a tremendous amount of violence associated 18 with it.

But just the drug crimes

to come into state prison. As -- as we all know,

sale in the third degree is a Class B drug felony.

are guilty of a Class B drug felony offense. And

themselves drove significant numbers of individuals

You sell any amount of a controlled substance, you

prisons. And they rejected that bond act. And

1 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 if you do it a second time, you are a predicate, which means you come to state prison on a 4 four-and-a-half-to-nine sentence the low end, under the law that had been in effect in those days. So that was driving significant numbers of individuals 7 coming to the state prison system, and the end result of all of this -- one other -- I'm sorry. One other aspect of the equation was the legal 9 interpretation by our courts of word "forthwith." 10 11 There is a statute in criminal procedure law that 12 says when an individual has a sentence of 13 imprisonment pronounced, he is to be delivered to 14 the appropriate public servant forthwith to commence his sentence of imprisonment. And so the counties who were holding all these individuals, 17 after their sentence, when in transfer to state prison, would content that "forthwith" had a 18 19 certain prescribed period of time. And they'd go 20 to court, and they'd sue, and various judges 21 throughout the state would issue rulings saying.

"we interpret that to mean within ten business days

of the date you were declared state ready," or

fourteen calendar days or what have you.

3 (Pages 6 to 9)

22

23

24

Page 10 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 1 2 So there were court orders in 2 3 effect throughout the state in various counties 4 that basically put a legal obligation on the 5 Department of Correctional Services. "You have to 5 6 accept these inmates within this prescribed period 7 of time or you're going to be in noncompliance with 7 this court order." And even if we were operating 9 at a hundred and twenty-five percent capacity, the 10 Court of Appeals, in a case called Airs, said, 10 11 "existing overcrowding is not a legal excuse. You 11 12 are required to comply with court orders. And if 12 13 not, you can theoretically be held in contempt." 13 And that is, in fact, what happened. I spent a lot 14 of time running from court to court, battling 15 16 contempt orders. And the measure of damages 16 typically was for every man day of noncompliance. 17 18 whatever the per diem cost was to house that 18 19 individual, multiply that times the number of days, 19 20 and that would be the contempt fine that would be 20 21 imposed upon us to pay. Many, many millions of 21 22 dollars -- I remember one time when I was pretty 22 23 much in the neighboring county, but it involved

24 noncompliance covering a number of years. I had to 24

Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 call up the Division of the Budget, say, "this judge is basically pressuring us. He's not going to accept any of our defense -- defenses of latches or time-barred or anything else. And there's a big tab here." And they said, "how much is it?" I said, "I think it's going to be seventeen million." And the person said, "I think you have the wrong number," hung up. Many, many millions of dollars were -- were spent on -- on these contempt proceedings.

And always, we would go back to the legislature and say, "we're -- we're kind of the pawn in this game. We didn't ask for this situation. We have to accept these state regs. So we need either the wherewithal to build new prisons or change sentencing laws or some mix or combination of the two." And at the end of the day, what happened with regularity is that you would see legislation that basically was a compromise on both sides. And both sides typically, with -- with any good compromise, would be unhappy with what they were left with. But we ended up getting the wherewithal to build a lot of

Page 12

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 13 1 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 currently have -- at least the situation that we're presently dealing with -- and that is a prison 3 population that hasn't significantly increased but, 5 rather, has significantly decreased. 6 Now, housekeeping, there's

several materials that have been distributed. One is a very detailed outline that I prepare for the criminal justice practitioner -- that's the judge, the D.A., the defense attorney -- to walk them through all of the different programs that we operate. What happens when the individual in court has a sentence pronounced and is let out by the court officers through the back of the courtroom to be delivered, ultimately, to the State Department of Correctional Services? So this is a very detailed explanation of a lot of the different programs, some of which I can only touch on briefly, but you can read about in greater detail on your own.

Another thing that I've distributed is a listing that our program planning and research unit prepares with all of the different research reports that we put out. And

1 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 prisons, and in particular, we called them "cookie-cutter facilities." These were 3 seven-hundred-fifty-bed medium-security prisons 5 that we could erect in about eleven months' period 6 of time. We put up a number of them to be able to deal with all of the drug offenders that were 8 coming into our system. 9 But a lot of other changes were made -- the Shock Incarceration Program, the Earned 10 11 Eligibility Program to increase the number of 12 people being released on parole, case app 13 facilities, which were prisons that were going to provide intensive drug treatment and lead to releases into the community, the Willard Program, 16 first alternative to second felony offenders, and a 17 number of others. All of these things were the end 18 result of a lot of negotiations between both houses 19 of the legislature, which is why, in a lot of ways, 20 just looking at it for the first time, you say --21 you say to yourself, "why was this written in this 22 way and that way?" It had the practical result of 23 building prisons, and yet getting people out of 24 prison who are nonviolent earlier, so that we

4 (Pages 10 to 13)

21

23

1

21

9

10

12

13

15

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

1

6

8

9

10

12

13

14

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 they deal with all of our programs, from Merit Time 3 to Shock Incarceration to Earned Eligibility. If 4 there is any one report that anybody wishes to have 5 or any subcommittee wishes to have it, as you do your work, we'll certainly make them available to 7 you today. Ultimately, it is our hope to actually put these reports on our website. We're not there 9 vet. But ultimately, we plan to do that so that 10 any member of the public can get them by going online. Any member of the public can get them now 11 11 12 by just requesting, but we -- we're certainly 13 consistent with Governor Spitzer's direction to all government. We want to be more transparent, more 14 open about what we're doing, what we're -- what 16 we're about. And the other handout will be the 17 printouts of -- of the various screens that will be 18 showing a lot of the statistical information.

So with that, let me just turn to the -- to the

Correctional Services and all sixty-nine of our

Drug Treatment Campus. The Department of

20 next screen, which shows the Department of

22 correctional facilities, as well as the Willard

24 Correctional Services is one of the largest

Page 15 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 components of the criminal justice system.

Presently, we have a work force of about thirty-one 3

thousand six hundred employees. We have

under-custody inmate population of sixty-three thousand eight hundred. We have a combined

7 operations and capital budget of two point nine

billion dollars. We are the agency that's

responsible for the safe and humane confinement of every individual who receives either a determinate or indeterminate sentence of imprisonment, and that includes youthful offenders. Anyone who receives a definite sentence of imprisonment is committed to a local facility.

Now, as you can see, we have 16 facilities scattered throughout the four corners of the state. And originally, by the way, I'm from Brooklyn, New York, like Assemblyman Lentol. And growing up in the city, I had a rather myopic view of this state. I thought, okay, if I wanted to go to upstate New York, I traveled and traveled, and once I crossed the Tappan Zee Bridge, I'm in 22 upstate New York. When you work for the Department

of Correctional Services, and you have to actually

Page 16 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007

2 travel to all of these different facilities -- some 3 facilities are a stone's throw from the Canadian 4 border; some are very close to Lake Erie; some on the border of Pennsylvania -- Arthur Kill, way in 6 the south, close to New Jersey -- you realize how 7 big this state is and how big this -- this 8 correctional system is. But it is a -- a very huge 9 system with a lot of responsibilities. 10 It's also the system with the 11 least amount of discretion. Regardless of whatever 11 12 anyone's background is, whatever their conditions 13 are, we have to provide for their safe and humane confinement. If someone, for example, requires 15 dialysis, we have to care for them. If they're in a wheelchair, we have to care for them, program for 16 17 them, and -- and comply with the Americans With 18 Disabilities Act. We have a significant percentage 19 of inmates who are H.I.V.-positive. We may be one 19 20 of the largest H.I.V. clinics anywhere. We have to

deal with Hepatitis C. We have to deal with

23 have to deal with individuals who have

individuals who have serious mental illness. We

developmental disabilities. And then, of course,

Page 17 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 we have people who have violent propensities who 3 are in -- members of gangs on the streets, and we 4 have to take all these individuals into our system and not only provide for their safe and humane confinement, but we have to try and allow them to 7 leave the system better than -- than what they came into the system.

It all starts with our reception centers. Individuals who are -- are sentenced to state imprisonment are delivered to reception centers. The local counties prepare a package of documents that go together with an inmate. And when that is ready, they then telegraph to us that someone is state-ready. That's -- at that point, the clock starts, by which time we have to accept them into our reception centers, usually within a ten-day period. And we schedule movement into the system.

It's said there are sixty-three thousand eight hundred inmates in the system. It's not a stagnant pool. Think of it as a constantly flowing body of water. Every month, maybe between fifteen hundred, two thousand inmates are delivered

5 (Pages 14 to 17)

Page 21

24

1

2

Page 18 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 1 2 2 into our reception centers. These are new 3 commitments and returned parole violators. And 4 about an equal number of individuals are -- are 4 5 released from our system. And so the person is 5 6 delivered to our reception center, where we do a 6 7 number of initial important things. We calculate 7 their sentence, for example. And you already heard 9 from the director of my sentencing review unit, who 10 explained all of the difficulties and the 10 11 11 complications in actually trying to get correct 12 release dates entered for each individual because 12 13 we have a combination. In the system right now, we 13 have determinate, and we have indeterminate sentences. And we have various types of release 15 16 programs that are in place. So it's a very complex 16 task. And you really didn't have the opportunity 17 17 18 18 to explain anything close to the big picture of how 19 complicated it is to -- just to correctly compute 19 20 every inmate's sentence and get the correct release 20 21 dates down. That is one important responsibility 21 22 that's done at -- at a reception center. 22 23 The other is to correctly 23

Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 security classification should be. The inmate either has to start off in the -- in a maximum or potentially in a medium- or even a minimum-security facility. And it depends, in a large part, on your proximity to your earliest release date. So if you come into the system, typically, and have six or more years to your earliest release date, you will start your sentence of incarceration probably in a maximum-security facility. I believe we have a total of sixteen male maximum-security facilities. We have one maximum-security facility to female inmates. That's at the Bedford Hills Correctional Facility. Most of our prison space is medium- or minimum-security facilities, which means that the type of housing that inmates are confined in are rooms like this -- large dormitories, sometimes with -- with bunk beds, usually housing in -- in our cookie cutters sixty inmates in a dorm -- a lot of freedom of movement, a lot of acreage, a lot of movement to the program buildings. These facilities look very much like college campuses, except for the fact that they have secure perimeters to keep the inmates incarcerated within.

Page 20

24

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

3 format, obviously, is -- is a cell -- an individual 4 cell, and the format is that you have to have the capability of locking down various areas of the 6 facility in the event of disturbances. You lock 7 down the facility at night so every inmate has the 8 capability of -- of relieving himself in his cell 9 with toilet fixtures. And there are very, very 10 secure perimeters, usually with armed guard tower posts, as -- as well, for our maximum-security 11 12 facilities. As you progress through the -- your --13 the system, and you get closer to your release dates, we will move you from max to potentially medium security to potentially minimum or work-release or one of the other types of -- of 16 17 less secure institutions. 18 Just to give you an idea of the 19 physical plant issues we deal with -- Jason, do we 20 have the slide that shows the various stages of our facility? I think the oldest facility we have 21 right now is -- is Auburn Correctional Facility, 23 which was built, I think -- first built in the late 24 1700s, perhaps early 1800s. Most of our

determine whether -- what an inmate's initial

Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007

The maximum-security prisons -- the typical housing

Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 maximum-security facilities are -- are -- yeah.

Auburn opened -- you can read that -- 1797. No. I'm sorry. That was Newgate, which -- which is no longer open. Auburn was opened in 1817. Look at some of these other large maximum-security facilities -- when they were built -- Clinton, Elmira in the 1800s. And it's a real challenge for us with the infrastructure of these institutions to keep them current and keep them with the ability to deal with such diseases as tuberculosis and circulating the air to avoid diseases that could be spread in a crowded setting.

We did construct two

maximum-security facilities recently. That one is at Five Points, and one was at -- at Upstate. So that just gives you an idea of the listing of the facilities.

Back to the reception process, the types of documents we get determine so much of what happens to the inmate when he's with us and -and thereafter, when he's released or paroled. For those of you who come from the court end of things, either as judge, district attorney, or defense

6 (Pages 18 to 21)

Page 25

21

22

23

24

Page 22 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 lawyer, what you're used to seeing is -- is a lot 3 of detail on the process and the background of the 4 individual -- what he's accused of. For example, 5 the indictment spells out in great detail what the 6 individual is charged with. If you're indicted for 7 robbery in the first degree, the count in the indictment says, "the People of the County of Kings 9 accuses Defendant of the crime of robbery in the 10 first degree and that, on such-and-such a date, he 11 forcibly stole property from such-and-such 12 individual during the course of the commission of a 12 crime, displayed what appeared to be a deadly 13 14 weapon -- to wit, a -- a loaded firearm." You have 15 all of that detail spelled out in the indictment. 16 If the individual pleads guilty, then he stands up 17 in open court, and he says in front of the judge 18 exactly what he did, physical act-wise, that 19 constitutes the crime of robbery in the first 20 degree. We do not see any of that information.

That does not come with the inmate. If there's a

the inmate to the state prison system.

please of guilty, the plea minutes do not come with

The documents that we get consist

Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 basically of three things. One is the commitment itself, which says what the individual was convicted of and what the sentence imposed was. 4 5 The second thing you get is the criminal rap sheet, 6 which we generate at -- at the reception center so 7 we can see what the inmate's criminal history record is. And the third document we get is the presentence report. And that basically is the single most important document that tells us 10 11 everything we need to know about the inmate. And we make so many decisions about what's going to happen with that inmate when he comes to the state 13 14 prison system based upon what's described in that 15 presentence report. And we make many important 16 decisions -- not just security decisions. But we 17 decide what programs an inmate will need when he 18 comes to -- to prison. So, for example, if you 19 have a -- an individual who's convicted of a 20 burglary offense, but the description of the 21 offense, as described in the presentence report, indicates that misconduct of a sexual nature took 22 23 place through the course of -- of the commission of 24 the burglary, then our staff might determine, when

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

Page 24 1 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 the inmate comes to prison, that one of your 3 program needs is that you have to participate in a 4 sex offender counseling program. And there are 5 consequences if an inmate will refuse to 6 participate in the program we've -- we've assigned 7 to him -- significantly, the potential loss of good 8 time when the time allowance committee meets in --9 in anticipation of the conditional release date, 10 and also, for the Board of Parole, if he's 11 appearing before the board, the measure of whether 12 or not he's complied with and participated in all 13 the programs that were assigned to him. Similarly, someone who committed grand larceny, but 14 15 it appears that the person is a drug addict who is stealing to support drugs, we might say, "you need 17 to participate in an alcohol and substance abuse treatment program." Again, so much of what we 18 19 decide that is appropriate for this inmate is based 20 upon what is in the presentence report. So I 21 always advise practitioners, whatever side of the 22 fence you're on -- D.A., judge, defense lawyer --23 take the time at sentencing to read that presentence report carefully. And if there is

Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 something in there that you feel is in error, that is the time to move and have the judge correct it, because so much that's in that report drives what will happen with -- with that inmate. When the inmate comes into the reception center, he's also interviewed by a correction counselor, who then prepares an entry into our computer records of what this individual's crime and background is, a lot of which comes from the presentence report. So if I'm looking up an inmate in my computer, I -- I pull up a screen that has a description of the offense, and that is the description, pretty much, that's more or less parroted from -- from the presentence report. So that's the snapshot I take of that inmate, which follows him throughout his entire period of incarceration, including up to the point where he's interviewed by the parole board. Also, his attitude at that time -- if he's defiant, if he's dismissive of -- of the seriousness of the offense -- that photograph of that inmate, so to 22 speak, is what will follow him throughout his incarceration because that attitude is captured at that point in time, and that's what we see whenever

23

24

Page 26 Page 27 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 2 we will look up that inmate's case, five years from your behavior is when you came to state prison. 3 now, ten years from now, what have you. So both Nothing else can affect whether or not you, as an 4 the presentence report and the inmate's attitude inmate, will earn or lose your time. If -- if the 4 5 judge or the D.A. or the Crime Victim's Board or 5 when he's interviewed by a correctional 6 counselor -- and obviously, when he's interviewed anyone want to weigh in and say, "this particular 7 by the probationer in the preparation of the -- of 7 individual was particular heinous, was the presentence report -- are very critical points particularly -- was particularly violent," none of of time in terms of -- of determining what happens that can affect whether or not an inmate will 10 10 remain incarcerated with us beyond his conditional with that inmate. 11 11 So that's the reception process. release date. The only two things that can be 12 From the reception process, the inmate then moves 12 factored in are his behavior. And one is his disciplinary record, which means, in order to lose 13 to some general confinement facility. Following 14 through with the -- with the sentence, my outline good time because of the disciplinary process, he will have had to engage in misbehavior where 15 has a little bit of sentence calculation. I'm not 16 going to reiterate what Rich DiSimola (phonetic 16 there's a penalty of recommended loss of good time. And that could happen early on in an inmate's 17 spelling) explained, but there's one point I -- I 17 18 wish to emphasize. Both with indeterminate and sentence, and several years later -- five or six 19 determinate sentences of imprisonment, there are years later, the time allowance committee looks at 19 20 conditional release dates. With an indeterminate, that inmate's record, and that's the only thing the 20 you can earn as much as one third off your 21 inmate did early on but has otherwise maintained a 21 positive record, they might exercise their 22 22 sentence. With an -- with a -- with a determinate 23 sentence, you can earn as much as one seventh. discretion to restore the good time. It's very 24 Both of them depend solely upon one thing -- what 24 important for us to maintain positive incentives Page 29 Page 28 1 1 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 2 COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: But -for inmates to behave while in prison. 3 but is that also based on their behavior in the The other side of the coin is 4 complying with all of the programs that are created state prison? I mean, is there any --? for the inmates. So as I said up front -- and 5 MR. ANNUCCI: Yeah. That's --6 there is a statute that specifically says -- and 6 that's --. it's Eight Oh Five -- the Earned Eligibility 7 COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: The time 7 8 Statute created in, I think, '87 under -- under Tom that they're there. So there --9 9 MR. ANNUCCI: Correct. Coughlin to help with parole releases. But the 10 COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: --10 very first sentence of that -- of that statute 11 is --. 11 says, "every inmate who is committed to state 12 prison shall be assigned a program of work and 12 MR. ANNUCCI: Once they walk 13 treatment as soon as practical." So that is our 13 through our door, if they --. 14 COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: Is there authority to assign a program of work and 15 treatment. We get to decide what the appropriate 15 a way you can capture -- besides the -- the 16 program is. And that --. disciplinary or lack of disciplinary record, is 17 COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: Tony, 17 there another way to capture that? Like, is -- is there a yearly report or some summary on the 18 can I ask you a question? 18 inmate's, you know, performance during that year or 19 MR. ANNUCCI: Sure. 19 20 COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: I -- I 20 anything like that? MR. ANNUCCI: Well, the -- the 21 thought you said that -- that, you know, whether 21

22

23

24

infractions.

disciplinary record does -- does capture

everything. We can instantly look up any

the -- the inmate gets the good time is based on

the behavior when they come to the state prison.

MR. ANNUCCI: Correct.

```
Page 30
                                                                                                            Page 31
1
       Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007
                                                          1
                                                                 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007
2
                                                          2
               COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: Uh-huh.
                                                                          MR. ALEXANDER: Both -- both
3
               MR. ANNUCCI: We do have ways --
                                                              with -- with regards to the good time, as well as
4
    it's -- it's not often used. But we do have ways
                                                              the time allowance, you can take time away; you can
                                                          4
5
    where our employees can make a record of something
                                                          5
                                                              restore time, as well, correct?
    that the inmate has done that -- that's very
                                                          6
                                                                          MR. ANNUCCI: That's correct.
7
    positive. For example, if somebody was a
                                                          7
                                                              That -- that's correct. So for example, in the --
    participant in the program where outsiders were
                                                              in the -- where the inmate has refused to -- to
9
    brought in, and they addressed youth and said
                                                          9
                                                              participate in the sex offender counseling program.
10 things like, you know, "you want to avoid drugs;
                                                          10
                                                              but then he changes his mind and then does it, then
11 you want to avoid making the mistakes I did." that
                                                              the time allowance committee can then come back,
                                                          11
12 could be the basis for making an entry into their
                                                          12
                                                              meet, and say, "okay. Now you're entitled to the
13 record, which would play into their appearance
                                                              rest -- the rest of your good time." So it serves
                                                          13
    before the parole board. It might also help them
                                                          14
                                                              as -- as a constant incentive for inmates both to
15 in terms of the time allowance committee if they
                                                              behave and both to participate in the -- in the
                                                          15
16
    looked at that as also further amelioration or to
                                                          16
                                                              programs that -- that -- that we've devised for
17 offset the -- the original act of misbehavior. But
                                                          17
                                                              them.
18
    basically, it all comes down to what does the
                                                          18
                                                                          MR. BERGAMO: You say the
19 inmate do after he's delivered to our reception
                                                          19
                                                              minimum-security inmate goes to a dormitory
20 centers. Any acts of misbehavior that took place
                                                              facility. Are there any statistics on an inmate --
                                                          20
21
    in the local jail can't be weighed against them
                                                          21
                                                              an inmate assaults in a dormitory versus cells or,
22 when -- when they come -- come to state prison.
                                                          22
                                                              of course, the maximum -- minimum facility versus
23
               COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: Okay.
                                                          23
                                                              maximum facilities?
24 So --.
                                                                          MR. ANNUCCI: The -- the -- the
                                                          24
                                                  Page 32
                                                                                                            Page 33
 1
       Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007
                                                          1
                                                                 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007
```

2 answer to that is -- is -- is that the rates of 2 ultimately, to get a transfer to a facility closer 3 assault probably are higher in our maximum-security to home. Most inmates want to be housed closer to 4 facilities because, by their very nature, -home where their families can visit. So that's 5 MR. BERGAMO: Of who's there --. another major incentive for them -- them to behave. 6 MR. ANNUCCI: -- those are the --6 But the system constantly corrects itself. 7 those are the places that have to house the most 7 Somebody -- even with a one-to-three sentence, if 8 disruptive inmates -- not just the inmates that they start out in a -- in a medium, but then they 9 have the longest sentences, but those inmates who, get assaultive or what have you, then we have to 10 for whatever reason, don't function in a 10 transfer them to a maximum-security facility where medium-security facility, which is why the system we have much greater security control. There's 11 11 very much functions on movement. We transfer 12 much less freedom of movement and -- and the 12 13 inmates all the time who can't make it in 13 ability to --. 14 MS. BING-NEWTON: May I ask a 14 medium-security facilities or act disruptive or don't get the level of medical care or mental 15 question? 16 healthcare that would otherwise -- they otherwise 16 COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: Yes, 17 need that may be available at some of our larger 17 Judge. institutions. There are many, many factors that 18 18 MS. BING-NEWTON: I want to just 19 enter -- enter into this. But -- but by and large, ask a one-second -- if I could just ask you, you 19 20 the medium-security facilities and the minimums, 20 said that the single most important document in 21 you know, your behavior is what gets you there. 21 placement is the pre-sentence report. 22 And -- and in particular, so many of our inmates 22 MR. ANNUCCI: Correct. 23 come from New York State, the downstate regions. 23 MS. BING-NEWTON: And over the 24 The incentive to behave factors into their ability, 24 last few years, it seems to me that the

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

16

1

2

3

serving?

1

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2

17

18

19

20

24

Page 34 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007

Page 35 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007

2 know there was a separate commission that was created that looked at a number of things and that

there -- there was a sentiment that they don't get 4

5 the -- the appropriate resources that they have.

6 So on the one hand, the -- we do want as much

7 information in the pre-sentence report as possible.

There have been instances where it was very

skimpy -- individual reports. And it was very

10 difficult to make any kind of intelligent decisions 11

on the inmate. But yet, if -- if it qualifies as a 12 pre-sentence report, we have to accept the inmate

13 into custody and do the best we can.

The other side of the coin is if we require or mandate a certain minimum quality to -- to the pre-sentence report, then we'd have to be prepared to answer the question, are we providing the county probationary departments with enough resources for them to fulfill that -- that mandate?

MR. ALEXANDER: You're absolutely right. That was one of the problems with probation here in the county because of the lack of resources. One of the things that certainly was

Page 36

Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 recent to the investigation report, understanding that it is an important document, and many decisions are based on it, we got limited funding,

pre-sentence reports have contained less and less

And in seeing the history that the reasoning was an

get data pertinent to the date to meet the problems

recommend that we go back to the old-fashioned,

satisfied that what you are receiving is adequate

more comprehensive pre-sentence report? Or are you

MR. ANNUCCI: That -- that's a

information. I call them sentence-light reports.

issue for all of the -- the question of trying to

of the localities -- are you saying that you'd

for the important question that the report is

14 very good question, Judge. The -- the -- the

18 And -- and certainly, it is in our interests, as

21 sensitive to the potential costs that are being

simple answer is that the -- the better quality

pre-sentence report that we receive, the more

17 informed decision we can make about the inmate.

19 a -- as an agency, to get as much information as

20 possible. By the same token, we also have to be

22 borne by the counties presently and -- and whether

23 or not their county probation departments have the

24 resources and -- and the support that they need. I

4 limited staff. We can't always provide quality.

6 That needs -- certainly, I think that that needs to 7 be addressed, but attempted to address a big issue.

8 MR. ANNUCCI: Okay. Let -- let 9 me move along here, and --.

10 MR. LENTOL: Tony, before you do,

11 I just --

12

MR. ANNUCCI: Yes.

13 MR. LENTOL: -- I have kind of a question. I don't -- I don't really know if it's

15 relevant, but with the advent of civil confinement

and sex offenders who are in prison and the thought 16 16 17 processes now about good time, whether that's

affected sex treatment programs in prison, whether 18

that's affected anything. Can you tell us what --19

20 since this is so new, I don't know exactly what's 21 going on inside.

22 MR. ANNUCCI: It -- you're --

23 you're correct, Assemblyman. It is new, and -- and

24 we're still just getting out of the starting blocks

Page 37 1 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007

in terms of -- of what it's going to mean for our

system and -- and what changes on the inmate 3

4 population. We started one form of civil

commitment, the precursor to the current

legislation, in September of '05, I think. And

inmates started to become aware that it -- it would

be in their best interest to participate in the

9 programs. We did have some sex offenders who

10 deliberately remained in prison beyond their C.R.

date because they wanted to max out, because they 11

wanted to go into the community without having to 12

13 answer to any supervisory authority. So we had the

14 individuals who were just -- obviously, were intent

on resuming their old ways, and they felt it was

worth staying in prison for that additional period

rather than go out at the conditional release date

and potentially be subject to supervision.

Right now, we're dealing with a lot of logistical issues with the new law. We're

21 trying to assemble the requisite records in time,

22 getting them to O.M.H. to be reviewed. And for the

23 most part, we're trying to -- to do this in such a

way that we're not disrupting the normal inmate

Page 38 Page 39 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 2 life, that we're not causing people to seek no longer dangerous, that you've turned your life 3 protection or -- or be forced into protective 3 around, and you can safely be released into -- into 4 custody, that they're not unfairly being targeted the community. But we are really very, very new at 4 5 this. And so a lot of these things have yet to 5 by other inmates, et cetera. 6 Inmates, years and years ago, got 6 coalesce so that we can really make intelligent 7 the message that if they didn't participate in sex 7 determinations on that. offender counseling, if they raised issues such as 8 Okay. Moving -- moving along --. 9 requiring me to talk about my crime could violate 9 COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: Tony, do 10 my Fifth Amendment rights because I appealed my 10 we have a microphone there that can be turned on? conviction -- they still understood that that would Do we know? Because it is a little -- the 11 11 12 mean that they will stay in prison beyond the C.R. 12 acoustics here are -- well, I -- I was asking more date. Most inmates do want to get out as soon as the technical people, but could you just speak a 13 13 14 possible at their C.R. date. So they do -- they --14 little bit louder, Tony, and I'll get somebody -they do understand that failing to participate in 15 MR. ANNUCCI: Sure. COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: -- to 16 the program meaningfully to discuss their 16 17 background, to discuss their crime, will -- will 17 work on --18 potentially lead to -- to additional incarceration. 18 MR. ANNUCCI: Okay. 19 But I think it's too early to tell whether or not 19 COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: -- a 20 they're thinking long range in -- in potential 20 microphone if we can -- if we have microphones. Do civil commitment. I think, like anybody else, you 21 we still have a tech person here? Okay. 21 22 MR. ANNUCCI: Continuing along, 22 want to hope for the best. You want to think that 23 you would be able to explain to anybody who is 23 on -- on the screen, you can see the -- the curve on -- on our prison population. I think the 24 determining dangerousness on your part that you're 24 Page 40 Page 41 1 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 1 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 earliest year is about 1970. So that's a dramatic 2 Commissioner Coughlin sent this proposal to Larry 3 growth in -- in the inmate under custody 3 about this concept for New York, and obviously, 4 population, starting in -- in 1970 or thereabout 4 Larry's very interested in it. The Governor's 5 when we had, you know, about twelve thousand interested in it, and we want to see the 6 inmates. We, again, peaked at seventy-one thousand 6 legislation." six hundred and are now back down to sixty-three So I said, "okay. Let me check 7 8 thousand eight hundred. And a lot of it was the 8 it out, and -- and I'll get working on it." And 9 result of the special release programs that were I'm thinking Commissioner Coughlin, at the time, 10 created. Probably the biggest and the most 10 sent this detailed proposal to Larry Kurlander, and 11 significant and the one I'm most proud of is -- is that would tell me exactly what's to go into this 11 12 the Shock Incarceration Program, which came about 12 proposed legislation. 13 1987. 13 So I called the Commissioner's 14 And just a very quick anecdotal 14 secretary. I said, "could you send me the package 15 story of how this came about, just so you 15 that just went down to Larry Kurlander?" And the 16 understand how a lot of legislation is created, at package consisted of a little note about this big, 17 the time, John Paclima (phonetic spelling) was the saving, "Dear Larry, I just returned from 17 18 counsel to Larry Kurlander (phonetic spelling) He Parchment, Mississippi, where I saw one of their 18 19 calls me kind of aggravated and says, "Tony, boot camps in operation. If you think the idea has 19 20 where's this legislation?" I said, "what 20 promise for New York, I'll study it further." So legislation?" He says, "the shock legislation." I 21 21 that was the blueprint for me to create this 22 said, "John, I really don't know what you're 22 brand-new statute that's going to allow for shock

23

24

incarceration in New York.

So we brain stormed it, and we

And he said, "your boss,

talking about."

23

24

Page 45

17

19

21

23

24

Page 42 1 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 realize that this is going to be a brand-new type 3 of incarceration. It's going to be difficult, and 4 it's going to be demanding. And if we're going to 5 put inmates through it, we have to have a way to 6 give them an incentive to do this. And we came up 7 with the idea of time off the minimum sentence. The rule up until that point in time had been, if 9 the judge says you have a three-to-nine sentence, 10 no matter what else, that judge knew you're doing 11 three years. You can't get out any earlier. And 12 that had been an ironclad component of our 13 sentencing scheme for many, many, many years. With 13 the advent of Shock, now comes an entirely new 15 twist to the rule, which is that a person who we 16 select and approve to go into this program, if he 17 successfully completes it, can be released after 18 six months -- in effect, buying a significant 19 amount of time off of the minimum sentence. 20 And that was created in 1987, and 21 at that time, it was intended for young felony 22 offenders, so the cut-off age was twenty-four. 23 We've since amended the statute about four times to 24 where the cut-off age was twenty-six, then thirty,

1 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 then thirty-five, and now it currently is at forty. You cannot have reached your fortieth birthday, and 3 with progressing medical science and, you know, all 5 those wonderful instruments, perhaps we should raise the age again at some point -- something for 6 7 the Commissioner to -- commission to consider if -if we want to go that route. Basic rules are, when 9 you come into a reception center, you have to be within three years of your parole eligibility date 10 11 or a conditional release date because now we have 12 determinate sentences, and we have drug offenders getting determinate sentences. So they're still eligible for Shock. You can't be convicted of an 'A' One felony, a violent felony, and most sex 15 16 offenses.

One of the things I -- I would 18 suggest when we get to the point where we're considering drafting legislation is that you -- if 20 you can, look to models that have already worked that the legislature has already adopted and is 22 comfortable with. When we created Shock, we looked and borrowed from some of the elements were in the Temporary Release Law, where the inmates signs a 24

Page 44 1 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 memorandum of agreement that's right in the 3 statute. "I understand that nothing herein confers 4 upon me the right to participate or continue to participate in." That same language we used in the 6 Shock statutes -- the memorandum of agreement the inmate signs. And I think it helps in giving 7 8 comfort to the legislature that, even though 9 they're creating something new, they're borrowing from elements that they've already accepted and --10 and -- and has worked. 11 12 Yes. 13 MR. ALEXANDER: On -- you

mentioned the age to -- current age now is about 14 15 age forty. Has that affected the effectiveness of 16 the program -- of Shock? 17 MR. ANNUCCI: No. I -- I don't think it's affected the -- the effectiveness. I 18 19 think, even though it's -- it's a physically very 20 demanding program, the -- they make it flexible 21 enough. The idea is that we want as many inmates 22 to participate as possible because we think it -it is very beneficial. It is modeled on the

24 military group camp style of existence, but it's --

1 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 it's a lot more. It's very intensive treatment, a lot of academic education, and it's a very safe 3 4 environment -- very demanding for the inmate but 5 very safe, as well. I would recommend, if anybody's

interested, to not just tour a Shock facility but to go on the day we have a graduation. If you come 9 to one of these facilities during graduation, what 10 you will see, first of all, are all the family 11 members gathered on the concourse, and they watch 12 all of the various platoons come marching in. 13 They're kind of shocked that their son or daughter, whomever, is capable of, 'A', looking spic and span 14 15 and immaculate and, 'B', are marching with incredible precision. We once had the commandant 17 of the marine corps -- at the time, General Grey -come to one of our graduations and say how 18 impressed he was that these individuals could do 19 20 the military, demanding marches and movements that 21 we described to them. That is how the ceremony 22 starts. They're then brought into an auditorium. 23 There are speeches that are made. And we award to

various individuals recognitions for what they have

12 (Pages 42 to 45)

11

1

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

Page 46 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 accomplished. For example, we give to one inmate 3 the leadership award. We give to an inmate the --4 the award for having improved the most in his 5 reading score. We give to another inmate the --6 the award for improving the most in his math score. 7 We give to somebody the award for even losing the most weight, because there's so much exercise 9 involved. We know that these individuals really recapture their attention. We get them to focus. 10

It's a very, very structured environment. They do

very, very well in it. 12 12 13 The cumulative savings to New 13 14 York State, as a result of this program, in terms 14 15 of incarceration avoidance costs is well over 15 16 one -- one billion dollars at this point in time. 16 17 So it is a very, very worthwhile program. We 17 18 always arrange, for example, for our graduations to 19 happen on a Thursday so that when the inmates 20 return home, the very next day, that Friday, they 20 meet with their parole officer, because we don't 21 21 22 want to have a long hiatus between the structured 22 23 environment of the -- of the Shock facility and 23 24 being supervised in the community. We want to get 24

Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 their attention and keep it as -- as much as -- as possible.

MR. ALEXANDER: How does their recidivism rate compare to that of standard incarceration?

MR. ANNUCCI: The recidivism rates, I believe, are about the same. You know, that doesn't sound like a ringing endorsement. But by the same token, when you look at it from the 11 perspective of the candidates that are successful, if they're back in the community, and they're never going to come back to us again, and they're back after six months instead of a full three years. that person is that much better off; the family is that much better off; the taxpayers are that much better off. What we know. Mr. Chairman, is that a lot of these young offenders do very well in a very, very structured environment, and that's what they'll get in a shock facility. It's very tough to continue that kind of structure into some of the communities that some of these offenders are -- go back to, as -- particularly when drugs are involved in -- in tough neighborhoods. But we have had a

Page 48

1

2

6

7

10

11

12

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007
2
    lot of them do very well -- go on to successful
    jobs and careers, and we have had employers come
3
4
    back and tell us how impressed they are that these
    individuals now have the discipline that -- that
6
    they -- they demonstrate from -- from the Shock
7
    experience. There are no magic bullets in -- in
8
    this business at all, with any program. But this
9
    is one program that we in New York are very proud
10
    of, and we want to continue it.
11
                And just very quickly, if -- at
12
    some point, if we're looking to potentially expand
13
    who could go into Shock, one of the things we might 13
    look at is repeat 'B' felons. Presently, the
    law -- Shock statute says a repeat 'B' drug
    offender gets a three-and-a-half year
16
17
    determinant -- cannot go into Shock. That is
    something that -- that's important to the district
18
```

attorneys, and there are lot of obvious reasons for

how they -- they prosecute drug crimes. That is

something that -- that was important to them. It's

one of the things, obviously, that we might want to

Possibly, one other avenue to

consider as -- as we go forward.

Page 49 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 expand is the restriction against not previously having been convicted of a felony that puts you in state prison. The legislative thinking at the time was, we don't want anyone that's prison-savvy going into this program.

Another potential change to consider would be allowing someone to come into the system and spend time now until they reach within three years of their earliest release date, and potentially transferring, and then, at that point in time, to the Shock Program. Right now, the law says, when you come into a reception center, that is the point in time when you have to be within three years of your earliest release date. If you're not, at that point in time, you have to get transferred to the general incarceration facility. So for example, if someone comes in with a four-to-twelve sentence, and they don't have any jail time, they would -- they would not be able to go for Shock. And one of the things to consider is, do we allow that individual to spend a year in general confinement and then, for three years or

less, remain and potentially be transferred in --

	Page 50		Page 51
1	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007	1	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007
2	into the Shock Program.	2	MR. ANNUCCI: research some
3	COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: Tony, is	3	more and and see if there's any possibilities.
4	the Shock Program six months for everybody?	4	COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: And do
5	MR. ANNUCCI: Yes.	5	you have a formal study? I haven't looked at the
6	COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: And have	6	list of reports that you've
7	you played with any variations of that whether,	7	MR. ANNUCCI: We have annual
8	you know, longer period of time would improve	8	reports that are
9	recidivism or whether there could be a longer Shock	9	COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: Could
10	Program for some more serious offenders or you	10	you actually
11	know, do you know if any other states are doing	11	MR. ANNUCCI: based on
12	that or if we've experimented with it?	12	legislation.
13	MR. ANNUCCI: Well, I I know	13	COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL:
14	we haven't experimented with it, and I know we	14	produce that or
15	haven't really looked to vary it. I think six	15	MR. ANNUCCI: Yes.
16	months works well for us. It's very carefully	16	COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: ask
17	structured the different phases that that the	17	if
18	inmates go through. It it seems to work well.	18	MR. ANNUCCI: Yes. At some
19	But I guess that's a fair issue	19	COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL:
20	COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL:	20	somebody can make it available
21	Question	21	MR. ANNUCCI: Absolutely.
22	MR. ANNUCCI: that we can	22	COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: for
23	COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: as to	23	us? Because I'm sure this is an area that we'll
24	what they're doing	24	want to focus on. And I think, before the end of
1	Page 52 Commission on Sentencing Reform 6 12 2007	1	Page 53
1	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007	1	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007
2	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 the discussion, we should discuss whether we do	2	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 have a tangential 'S' block there, unrelated to the
2	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 the discussion, we should discuss whether we do want to set up, possibly, a visit to a Shock or a	2	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 have a tangential 'S' block there, unrelated to the Shock Program. That's a
2 3 4	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 the discussion, we should discuss whether we do want to set up, possibly, a visit to a Shock or a graduation or whatever. People are interested,	2 3 4	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 have a tangential 'S' block there, unrelated to the Shock Program. That's a seven-hundred-and-fifty-bed facility. We have some
2 3 4 5	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 the discussion, we should discuss whether we do want to set up, possibly, a visit to a Shock or a graduation or whatever. People are interested, so	2 3 4 5	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 have a tangential 'S' block there, unrelated to the Shock Program. That's a seven-hundred-and-fifty-bed facility. We have some smaller Shock facilities that one time were
2 3 4 5 6	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 the discussion, we should discuss whether we do want to set up, possibly, a visit to a Shock or a graduation or whatever. People are interested, so MR. BERGAMO: How many facilities	2 3 4 5 6	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 have a tangential 'S' block there, unrelated to the Shock Program. That's a seven-hundred-and-fifty-bed facility. We have some smaller Shock facilities that one time were correctional camps. Monterey is is one that
2 3 4 5 6 7	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 the discussion, we should discuss whether we do want to set up, possibly, a visit to a Shock or a graduation or whatever. People are interested, so MR. BERGAMO: How many facilities have a Shock Program?	2 3 4 5 6 7	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 have a tangential 'S' block there, unrelated to the Shock Program. That's a seven-hundred-and-fifty-bed facility. We have some smaller Shock facilities that one time were correctional camps. Monterey is is one that that comes to mind.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 the discussion, we should discuss whether we do want to set up, possibly, a visit to a Shock or a graduation or whatever. People are interested, so MR. BERGAMO: How many facilities have a Shock Program? MR. ANNUCCI: I think the entire	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 have a tangential 'S' block there, unrelated to the Shock Program. That's a seven-hundred-and-fifty-bed facility. We have some smaller Shock facilities that one time were correctional camps. Monterey is is one that that comes to mind. One of the little offshoots of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 the discussion, we should discuss whether we do want to set up, possibly, a visit to a Shock or a graduation or whatever. People are interested, so MR. BERGAMO: How many facilities have a Shock Program? MR. ANNUCCI: I think the entire facility, basically, is is a Shock facility for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 have a tangential 'S' block there, unrelated to the Shock Program. That's a seven-hundred-and-fifty-bed facility. We have some smaller Shock facilities that one time were correctional camps. Monterey is is one that that comes to mind. One of the little offshoots of the Rockefeller Drug Law is that the shorter
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 the discussion, we should discuss whether we do want to set up, possibly, a visit to a Shock or a graduation or whatever. People are interested, so MR. BERGAMO: How many facilities have a Shock Program? MR. ANNUCCI: I think the entire facility, basically, is is a Shock facility for the ones that we establish because we want to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 have a tangential 'S' block there, unrelated to the Shock Program. That's a seven-hundred-and-fifty-bed facility. We have some smaller Shock facilities that one time were correctional camps. Monterey is is one that that comes to mind. One of the little offshoots of the Rockefeller Drug Law is that the shorter sentences that are now being imposed for a lot of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 the discussion, we should discuss whether we do want to set up, possibly, a visit to a Shock or a graduation or whatever. People are interested, so MR. BERGAMO: How many facilities have a Shock Program? MR. ANNUCCI: I think the entire facility, basically, is is a Shock facility for the ones that we establish because we want to really separate Shock from from general	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 have a tangential 'S' block there, unrelated to the Shock Program. That's a seven-hundred-and-fifty-bed facility. We have some smaller Shock facilities that one time were correctional camps. Monterey is is one that that comes to mind. One of the little offshoots of the Rockefeller Drug Law is that the shorter sentences that are now being imposed for a lot of drug offenders takes away the incentive for some of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 the discussion, we should discuss whether we do want to set up, possibly, a visit to a Shock or a graduation or whatever. People are interested, so MR. BERGAMO: How many facilities have a Shock Program? MR. ANNUCCI: I think the entire facility, basically, is is a Shock facility for the ones that we establish because we want to really separate Shock from from general confinement facilities. So	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 have a tangential 'S' block there, unrelated to the Shock Program. That's a seven-hundred-and-fifty-bed facility. We have some smaller Shock facilities that one time were correctional camps. Monterey is is one that that comes to mind. One of the little offshoots of the Rockefeller Drug Law is that the shorter sentences that are now being imposed for a lot of drug offenders takes away the incentive for some of these individuals to move willingly volunteer
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 the discussion, we should discuss whether we do want to set up, possibly, a visit to a Shock or a graduation or whatever. People are interested, so MR. BERGAMO: How many facilities have a Shock Program? MR. ANNUCCI: I think the entire facility, basically, is is a Shock facility for the ones that we establish because we want to really separate Shock from from general confinement facilities. So MR. BERGAMO: Let me make sure I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 have a tangential 'S' block there, unrelated to the Shock Program. That's a seven-hundred-and-fifty-bed facility. We have some smaller Shock facilities that one time were correctional camps. Monterey is is one that that comes to mind. One of the little offshoots of the Rockefeller Drug Law is that the shorter sentences that are now being imposed for a lot of drug offenders takes away the incentive for some of these individuals to move willingly volunteer for Shock because they don't get enough of a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 the discussion, we should discuss whether we do want to set up, possibly, a visit to a Shock or a graduation or whatever. People are interested, so MR. BERGAMO: How many facilities have a Shock Program? MR. ANNUCCI: I think the entire facility, basically, is is a Shock facility for the ones that we establish because we want to really separate Shock from from general confinement facilities. So MR. BERGAMO: Let me make sure I understand you. Every group was in a Shock?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 have a tangential 'S' block there, unrelated to the Shock Program. That's a seven-hundred-and-fifty-bed facility. We have some smaller Shock facilities that one time were correctional camps. Monterey is is one that that comes to mind. One of the little offshoots of the Rockefeller Drug Law is that the shorter sentences that are now being imposed for a lot of drug offenders takes away the incentive for some of these individuals to move willingly volunteer for Shock because they don't get enough of a reduction off their sentence. So we're seeing a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 the discussion, we should discuss whether we do want to set up, possibly, a visit to a Shock or a graduation or whatever. People are interested, so MR. BERGAMO: How many facilities have a Shock Program? MR. ANNUCCI: I think the entire facility, basically, is is a Shock facility for the ones that we establish because we want to really separate Shock from from general confinement facilities. So MR. BERGAMO: Let me make sure I understand you. Every group was in a Shock? MR. ANNUCCI: No, no, no, no.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 have a tangential 'S' block there, unrelated to the Shock Program. That's a seven-hundred-and-fifty-bed facility. We have some smaller Shock facilities that one time were correctional camps. Monterey is is one that that comes to mind. One of the little offshoots of the Rockefeller Drug Law is that the shorter sentences that are now being imposed for a lot of drug offenders takes away the incentive for some of these individuals to move willingly volunteer for Shock because they don't get enough of a reduction off their sentence. So we're seeing a little bit of the numbers, eligibility pool-wise,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 the discussion, we should discuss whether we do want to set up, possibly, a visit to a Shock or a graduation or whatever. People are interested, so MR. BERGAMO: How many facilities have a Shock Program? MR. ANNUCCI: I think the entire facility, basically, is is a Shock facility for the ones that we establish because we want to really separate Shock from from general confinement facilities. So MR. BERGAMO: Let me make sure I understand you. Every group was in a Shock? MR. ANNUCCI: No, no, no, no.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 have a tangential 'S' block there, unrelated to the Shock Program. That's a seven-hundred-and-fifty-bed facility. We have some smaller Shock facilities that one time were correctional camps. Monterey is is one that that comes to mind. One of the little offshoots of the Rockefeller Drug Law is that the shorter sentences that are now being imposed for a lot of drug offenders takes away the incentive for some of these individuals to move willingly volunteer for Shock because they don't get enough of a reduction off their sentence. So we're seeing a little bit of the numbers, eligibility pool-wise, for Shock dropping from individuals who of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 the discussion, we should discuss whether we do want to set up, possibly, a visit to a Shock or a graduation or whatever. People are interested, so MR. BERGAMO: How many facilities have a Shock Program? MR. ANNUCCI: I think the entire facility, basically, is is a Shock facility for the ones that we establish because we want to really separate Shock from from general confinement facilities. So MR. BERGAMO: Let me make sure I understand you. Every group was in a Shock? MR. ANNUCCI: No, no, no, no. The when when for the facilities that are Shock facilities,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 have a tangential 'S' block there, unrelated to the Shock Program. That's a seven-hundred-and-fifty-bed facility. We have some smaller Shock facilities that one time were correctional camps. Monterey is is one that that comes to mind. One of the little offshoots of the Rockefeller Drug Law is that the shorter sentences that are now being imposed for a lot of drug offenders takes away the incentive for some of these individuals to move willingly volunteer for Shock because they don't get enough of a reduction off their sentence. So we're seeing a little bit of the numbers, eligibility pool-wise, for Shock dropping from individuals who of course, they're getting shorter sentences now
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 the discussion, we should discuss whether we do want to set up, possibly, a visit to a Shock or a graduation or whatever. People are interested, so MR. BERGAMO: How many facilities have a Shock Program? MR. ANNUCCI: I think the entire facility, basically, is is a Shock facility for the ones that we establish because we want to really separate Shock from from general confinement facilities. So MR. BERGAMO: Let me make sure I understand you. Every group was in a Shock? MR. ANNUCCI: No, no, no, no. The when when for the facilities that are Shock facilities, MR. BERGAMO: Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 have a tangential 'S' block there, unrelated to the Shock Program. That's a seven-hundred-and-fifty-bed facility. We have some smaller Shock facilities that one time were correctional camps. Monterey is is one that that comes to mind. One of the little offshoots of the Rockefeller Drug Law is that the shorter sentences that are now being imposed for a lot of drug offenders takes away the incentive for some of these individuals to move willingly volunteer for Shock because they don't get enough of a reduction off their sentence. So we're seeing a little bit of the numbers, eligibility pool-wise, for Shock dropping from individuals who of course, they're getting shorter sentences now previously would have come in with a slightly
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 the discussion, we should discuss whether we do want to set up, possibly, a visit to a Shock or a graduation or whatever. People are interested, so MR. BERGAMO: How many facilities have a Shock Program? MR. ANNUCCI: I think the entire facility, basically, is is a Shock facility for the ones that we establish because we want to really separate Shock from from general confinement facilities. So MR. BERGAMO: Let me make sure I understand you. Every group was in a Shock? MR. ANNUCCI: No, no, no, no. The when when for the facilities that are Shock facilities, MR. BERGAMO: Okay. MR. ANNUCCI: basically,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 have a tangential 'S' block there, unrelated to the Shock Program. That's a seven-hundred-and-fifty-bed facility. We have some smaller Shock facilities that one time were correctional camps. Monterey is is one that that comes to mind. One of the little offshoots of the Rockefeller Drug Law is that the shorter sentences that are now being imposed for a lot of drug offenders takes away the incentive for some of these individuals to move willingly volunteer for Shock because they don't get enough of a reduction off their sentence. So we're seeing a little bit of the numbers, eligibility pool-wise, for Shock dropping from individuals who of course, they're getting shorter sentences now previously would have come in with a slightly longer sentence and been and and willingly
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 the discussion, we should discuss whether we do want to set up, possibly, a visit to a Shock or a graduation or whatever. People are interested, so MR. BERGAMO: How many facilities have a Shock Program? MR. ANNUCCI: I think the entire facility, basically, is is a Shock facility for the ones that we establish because we want to really separate Shock from from general confinement facilities. So MR. BERGAMO: Let me make sure I understand you. Every group was in a Shock? MR. ANNUCCI: No, no, no, no. The when when for the facilities that are Shock facilities, MR. BERGAMO: Okay. MR. ANNUCCI: basically, the their their entire mode mode is is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 have a tangential 'S' block there, unrelated to the Shock Program. That's a seven-hundred-and-fifty-bed facility. We have some smaller Shock facilities that one time were correctional camps. Monterey is is one that that comes to mind. One of the little offshoots of the Rockefeller Drug Law is that the shorter sentences that are now being imposed for a lot of drug offenders takes away the incentive for some of these individuals to move willingly volunteer for Shock because they don't get enough of a reduction off their sentence. So we're seeing a little bit of the numbers, eligibility pool-wise, for Shock dropping from individuals who of course, they're getting shorter sentences now previously would have come in with a slightly longer sentence and been and and willingly gone into Shock. It's a voluntary program. You
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 the discussion, we should discuss whether we do want to set up, possibly, a visit to a Shock or a graduation or whatever. People are interested, so MR. BERGAMO: How many facilities have a Shock Program? MR. ANNUCCI: I think the entire facility, basically, is is a Shock facility for the ones that we establish because we want to really separate Shock from from general confinement facilities. So MR. BERGAMO: Let me make sure I understand you. Every group was in a Shock? MR. ANNUCCI: No, no, no, no. The when when for the facilities that are Shock facilities, MR. BERGAMO: Okay. MR. ANNUCCI: basically, the their their entire mode mode is is Shock.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 have a tangential 'S' block there, unrelated to the Shock Program. That's a seven-hundred-and-fifty-bed facility. We have some smaller Shock facilities that one time were correctional camps. Monterey is is one that that comes to mind. One of the little offshoots of the Rockefeller Drug Law is that the shorter sentences that are now being imposed for a lot of drug offenders takes away the incentive for some of these individuals to move willingly volunteer for Shock because they don't get enough of a reduction off their sentence. So we're seeing a little bit of the numbers, eligibility pool-wise, for Shock dropping from individuals who of course, they're getting shorter sentences now previously would have come in with a slightly longer sentence and been and and willingly gone into Shock. It's a voluntary program. You can't force anybody in to it. But we again, we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 the discussion, we should discuss whether we do want to set up, possibly, a visit to a Shock or a graduation or whatever. People are interested, so MR. BERGAMO: How many facilities have a Shock Program? MR. ANNUCCI: I think the entire facility, basically, is is a Shock facility for the ones that we establish because we want to really separate Shock from from general confinement facilities. So MR. BERGAMO: Let me make sure I understand you. Every group was in a Shock? MR. ANNUCCI: No, no, no, no. The when when for the facilities that are Shock facilities, MR. BERGAMO: Okay. MR. ANNUCCI: basically, the their their entire mode mode is is Shock. MR. BERGAMO: Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 have a tangential 'S' block there, unrelated to the Shock Program. That's a seven-hundred-and-fifty-bed facility. We have some smaller Shock facilities that one time were correctional camps. Monterey is is one that that comes to mind. One of the little offshoots of the Rockefeller Drug Law is that the shorter sentences that are now being imposed for a lot of drug offenders takes away the incentive for some of these individuals to move willingly volunteer for Shock because they don't get enough of a reduction off their sentence. So we're seeing a little bit of the numbers, eligibility pool-wise, for Shock dropping from individuals who of course, they're getting shorter sentences now previously would have come in with a slightly longer sentence and been and and willingly gone into Shock. It's a voluntary program. You can't force anybody in to it. But we again, we try and encourage as much participation. That's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 the discussion, we should discuss whether we do want to set up, possibly, a visit to a Shock or a graduation or whatever. People are interested, so MR. BERGAMO: How many facilities have a Shock Program? MR. ANNUCCI: I think the entire facility, basically, is is a Shock facility for the ones that we establish because we want to really separate Shock from from general confinement facilities. So MR. BERGAMO: Let me make sure I understand you. Every group was in a Shock? MR. ANNUCCI: No, no, no, no. The when when for the facilities that are Shock facilities, MR. BERGAMO: Okay. MR. ANNUCCI: basically, the their their entire mode mode is is Shock.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 have a tangential 'S' block there, unrelated to the Shock Program. That's a seven-hundred-and-fifty-bed facility. We have some smaller Shock facilities that one time were correctional camps. Monterey is is one that that comes to mind. One of the little offshoots of the Rockefeller Drug Law is that the shorter sentences that are now being imposed for a lot of drug offenders takes away the incentive for some of these individuals to move willingly volunteer for Shock because they don't get enough of a reduction off their sentence. So we're seeing a little bit of the numbers, eligibility pool-wise, for Shock dropping from individuals who of course, they're getting shorter sentences now previously would have come in with a slightly longer sentence and been and and willingly gone into Shock. It's a voluntary program. You can't force anybody in to it. But we again, we

Page 54 Page 55 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 transfer them to Lakeview and screen them there so 2 when the next platoon gets established. And that 3 that they can see the program in operation and get will actually start the six-month clock running. 4 a firsthand look at what it would be like if But we make sure everybody graduates on a Thursday. 4 5 they're selected to participate in that program. 5 And even then, for the ones that are on -- in 6 That's my --. determining a sentence, there's no absolute 7 MR. BERGAMO: On an annual basis, 7 guarantee they'll get released there. Still, what percentage of new inmates end up going to there's the appearance before the parole board. 9 Shock, as opposed to traditional incarceration? Sometimes, parole will not grant release. 10 Sometimes, for example, with D.W.I. offenders, MR. ANNUCCI: I'll ask -- Paul, 10 do you have any idea? Is that --? 11 11 there may be a reluctance to grant parole to the 12 MR. KOROTOKIN: It's about two 12 particular individual, in which case we probably 13 times a year, and sixteen thousand are coming in move them into some other kind of minimum security 13 14 for the Shock -- into the Shock Program. 14 situation where they have some freedom, like an 15 COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: industrial training release program -- kind of 15 16 Really --16 scenario. MR. ANNUCCI: Yes. 17 COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: I have 17 COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: -two quick questions. 18 18 19 quickly, the six months begins when you get to the 19 MR. ANNUCCI: Sure. 20 facility or the reception center? 20 COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: One, 21 MR. ANNUCCI: The six months 21 what happens if you don't graduate? You just go 22 begins in -- in the Shock Program, when they get to 22 back and serve a --23 the Shock facility. You know, even then, you have 23 MR. ANNUCCI: General --24 to screen. You formally place them into a platoon 24 COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: --Page 57 Page 56 1 1 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 2 MR. ANNUCCI: We, I think, do regular --3 3 three-year-out studies. Is that correct, Paul? MR. ANNUCCI: -- confinement --4 4 MR. KOROTOKIN: We -- thirty-nine right. 5 COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: --5 percent return to DOCS within three years. Not all 6 sentence? 6 states use the same data we do. Not all states 7 have people go to parole. Twenty-seven percent of 7 And secondly, do you have them for women --8 Shock -the people who return within three years are 9 9 returning for parole violations. Only twelve MR. ANNUCCI: Yes. 10 COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: -percent return initially with a commitment. So 10 11 it's hard to compare to other states because of all 11 facilities? 12 MR. ANNUCCI: Absolutely. Yes. 12 the divisions in recidivism. 13 COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: Are 13 MR. VANCE: But thirty-seven --? 14 MR. KOROTOKIN: Thirty-nine. 14 they -- they're separate facilities for --? 15 MR. ANNUCCI: No. Actually, 15 MR. VANCE: Thirty-nine percent, 16 we -- we -- we have it at Lakeview, as well -- both 16 so --. the -- the males and females. But obviously, we 17 MR. ANNUCCI: Thirty-nine percent 17 18 keep the female dorms and -- and areas in the come back to us, but the number that comes back to 18 us as new commitments -- meaning they committed a 19 program separate from where the -- where -- the 19 new felony -- is how much, Paul? You said twelve? 20 males' program. It has -- it has worked out. 20 MR. KOROTOKIN: Twelve percent. 21 MR. VANCE: Tony, what is the 21 22 recidivism rate for state prisoners? And do you 22 MR. ANNUCCI: Twelve percent 23 break it down by offense? And how, generally, do 23 within three years --. 24 we compare with other states? 24 MR. VANCE: And the balance is

	Page 58		Page 59
1	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007	1	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007
2	parole	2	MR. KOROTOKIN: Sure.
3	MR. ANNUCCI: Parole violations.	3	COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: data
4	MR. VANCE: parole violations.	4	from that study? I think that's something we'll
5	MR. LENTOL: Tony, I don't know	5	want to
6	if you answered this question. Or if you if you	6	MR. KOROTOKIN: It may have been
7	did, I didn't hear it. What's the recidivism rate	7	part of the
8	comparison in Shock for the older inmates as	8	COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: to
9	opposed to the younger inmates?	9	take a look at.
10	MR. ANNUCCI: Paul, did we did	10	MR. KOROTOKIN: annual report
11	we do any studies that compare Shock recidivism	11	that we
12	rates by age of offender?	12	COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: That is
13	MR. KOROTOKIN: Well, the younger	13	here uh-huh. Okay.
14	offender traditionally has a higher recidivism rate	14	MR. ANNUCCI: Sorry. Was there a
15	than the older. We we didn't necessarily look	15	question in the back?
16	at older versus younger. We looked at older versus	16	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes.
17	older people who go to Shock versus people who	17	
1 <i>7</i> 18		18	Thank you. Do you find that there's more
	were probably ineligible for Shock. And the Shock		•
19	Incarceration Program, irrelevant of the age,	19	eligible applicants than there are actual spaces in
20	improves the recidivism rate at at each strata.	20	Shock? And if that is the case, what would you
21	And we looked at groups of under thirty, thirty,	21	do
22	thirty to thirty-five, and up to forty.	22	MR. ANNUCCI: No.
23	COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: Could	23	THE WITNESS: with the
24	you give us that	24	exception
	Page 60		Page 61
1	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007	1	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007
2	MR. ANNUCCI: No. Clearly, if we	2	percentage of inmates that are eligible actually
3	had more applicants than we had Shock spaces, we	3	elect to do the Shock?
4	we would convert more space for for Shock.	4	MR. ANNUCCI: To volunteer
5	It it's that important to us. No one is turned		Paul, do vou have?
5 6	It it's that important to us. No one is turned away who would otherwise be eligible. We see	5	Paul, do you have? MR. KOROTOKIN: Well, the
6	away who would otherwise be eligible. We see	5 6	MR. KOROTOKIN: Well, the
6 7	away who would otherwise be eligible. We see again, unfortunately, the opposite trend, where	5 6 7	MR. KOROTOKIN: Well, the volunteer rates are very high. About two thirds
6 7 8	away who would otherwise be eligible. We see again, unfortunately, the opposite trend, where we're losing some people who would otherwise be	5 6 7 8	MR. KOROTOKIN: Well, the volunteer rates are very high. About two thirds some some don't get in for psychological
6 7 8 9	away who would otherwise be eligible. We see again, unfortunately, the opposite trend, where we're losing some people who would otherwise be good candidates for the program because the amount	5 6 7 8 9	MR. KOROTOKIN: Well, the volunteer rates are very high. About two thirds some some don't get in for psychological reasons; some don't get in for health reasons. But
6 7 8 9	away who would otherwise be eligible. We see again, unfortunately, the opposite trend, where we're losing some people who would otherwise be good candidates for the program because the amount of time on their underlying sentence is not long	5 6 7 8 9	MR. KOROTOKIN: Well, the volunteer rates are very high. About two thirds some some don't get in for psychological reasons; some don't get in for health reasons. But about two thirds, seventy percent of those that are
6 7 8 9 10	away who would otherwise be eligible. We see again, unfortunately, the opposite trend, where we're losing some people who would otherwise be good candidates for the program because the amount of time on their underlying sentence is not long enough for them to have the incentive to go through	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. KOROTOKIN: Well, the volunteer rates are very high. About two thirds some some don't get in for psychological reasons; some don't get in for health reasons. But about two thirds, seventy percent of those that are eligible serve. And then about seventy percent of
6 7 8 9 10 11	away who would otherwise be eligible. We see again, unfortunately, the opposite trend, where we're losing some people who would otherwise be good candidates for the program because the amount of time on their underlying sentence is not long enough for them to have the incentive to go through six months of very tough, structured boot	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. KOROTOKIN: Well, the volunteer rates are very high. About two thirds some some don't get in for psychological reasons; some don't get in for health reasons. But about two thirds, seventy percent of those that are eligible serve. And then about seventy percent of those who serve get in.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	away who would otherwise be eligible. We see again, unfortunately, the opposite trend, where we're losing some people who would otherwise be good candidates for the program because the amount of time on their underlying sentence is not long enough for them to have the incentive to go through six months of very tough, structured boot camp-style existence, but getting the benefit of	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. KOROTOKIN: Well, the volunteer rates are very high. About two thirds some some don't get in for psychological reasons; some don't get in for health reasons. But about two thirds, seventy percent of those that are eligible serve. And then about seventy percent of those who serve get in. MR. ANNUCCI: John? Yes.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	away who would otherwise be eligible. We see again, unfortunately, the opposite trend, where we're losing some people who would otherwise be good candidates for the program because the amount of time on their underlying sentence is not long enough for them to have the incentive to go through six months of very tough, structured boot camp-style existence, but getting the benefit of time off their sentence. For example, if you only	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. KOROTOKIN: Well, the volunteer rates are very high. About two thirds some some don't get in for psychological reasons; some don't get in for health reasons. But about two thirds, seventy percent of those that are eligible serve. And then about seventy percent of those who serve get in. MR. ANNUCCI: John? Yes. MS. AMODEO: Tony, I I just
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	away who would otherwise be eligible. We see again, unfortunately, the opposite trend, where we're losing some people who would otherwise be good candidates for the program because the amount of time on their underlying sentence is not long enough for them to have the incentive to go through six months of very tough, structured boot camp-style existence, but getting the benefit of time off their sentence. For example, if you only have a year and a half to your C.R. date, you might	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. KOROTOKIN: Well, the volunteer rates are very high. About two thirds some some don't get in for psychological reasons; some don't get in for health reasons. But about two thirds, seventy percent of those that are eligible serve. And then about seventy percent of those who serve get in. MR. ANNUCCI: John? Yes. MS. AMODEO: Tony, I I just wanted to clarify. Is it true that if the
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	away who would otherwise be eligible. We see again, unfortunately, the opposite trend, where we're losing some people who would otherwise be good candidates for the program because the amount of time on their underlying sentence is not long enough for them to have the incentive to go through six months of very tough, structured boot camp-style existence, but getting the benefit of time off their sentence. For example, if you only have a year and a half to your C.R. date, you might say to yourself, "I'd rather do general	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. KOROTOKIN: Well, the volunteer rates are very high. About two thirds some some don't get in for psychological reasons; some don't get in for health reasons. But about two thirds, seventy percent of those that are eligible serve. And then about seventy percent of those who serve get in. MR. ANNUCCI: John? Yes. MS. AMODEO: Tony, I I just wanted to clarify. Is it true that if the defendant is either in on a violent felony or has a
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	away who would otherwise be eligible. We see again, unfortunately, the opposite trend, where we're losing some people who would otherwise be good candidates for the program because the amount of time on their underlying sentence is not long enough for them to have the incentive to go through six months of very tough, structured boot camp-style existence, but getting the benefit of time off their sentence. For example, if you only have a year and a half to your C.R. date, you might say to yourself, "I'd rather do general confinement. I'd rather apply to work release, you	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. KOROTOKIN: Well, the volunteer rates are very high. About two thirds some some don't get in for psychological reasons; some don't get in for health reasons. But about two thirds, seventy percent of those that are eligible serve. And then about seventy percent of those who serve get in. MR. ANNUCCI: John? Yes. MS. AMODEO: Tony, I I just wanted to clarify. Is it true that if the defendant is either in on a violent felony or has a violent felony history they're not eligible for
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	away who would otherwise be eligible. We see again, unfortunately, the opposite trend, where we're losing some people who would otherwise be good candidates for the program because the amount of time on their underlying sentence is not long enough for them to have the incentive to go through six months of very tough, structured boot camp-style existence, but getting the benefit of time off their sentence. For example, if you only have a year and a half to your C.R. date, you might say to yourself, "I'd rather do general confinement. I'd rather apply to work release, you know, in six months. But I don't want to go into	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. KOROTOKIN: Well, the volunteer rates are very high. About two thirds some some don't get in for psychological reasons; some don't get in for health reasons. But about two thirds, seventy percent of those that are eligible serve. And then about seventy percent of those who serve get in. MR. ANNUCCI: John? Yes. MS. AMODEO: Tony, I I just wanted to clarify. Is it true that if the defendant is either in on a violent felony or has a violent felony history they're not eligible for Shock?
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	away who would otherwise be eligible. We see again, unfortunately, the opposite trend, where we're losing some people who would otherwise be good candidates for the program because the amount of time on their underlying sentence is not long enough for them to have the incentive to go through six months of very tough, structured boot camp-style existence, but getting the benefit of time off their sentence. For example, if you only have a year and a half to your C.R. date, you might say to yourself, "I'd rather do general confinement. I'd rather apply to work release, you know, in six months. But I don't want to go into Shock right now and work very hard and and	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. KOROTOKIN: Well, the volunteer rates are very high. About two thirds some some don't get in for psychological reasons; some don't get in for health reasons. But about two thirds, seventy percent of those that are eligible serve. And then about seventy percent of those who serve get in. MR. ANNUCCI: John? Yes. MS. AMODEO: Tony, I I just wanted to clarify. Is it true that if the defendant is either in on a violent felony or has a violent felony history they're not eligible for Shock? MR. ANNUCCI: If if you're in
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	away who would otherwise be eligible. We see again, unfortunately, the opposite trend, where we're losing some people who would otherwise be good candidates for the program because the amount of time on their underlying sentence is not long enough for them to have the incentive to go through six months of very tough, structured boot camp-style existence, but getting the benefit of time off their sentence. For example, if you only have a year and a half to your C.R. date, you might say to yourself, "I'd rather do general confinement. I'd rather apply to work release, you know, in six months. But I don't want to go into Shock right now and work very hard and and potentially be, you know, re-released to the	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. KOROTOKIN: Well, the volunteer rates are very high. About two thirds some some don't get in for psychological reasons; some don't get in for health reasons. But about two thirds, seventy percent of those that are eligible serve. And then about seventy percent of those who serve get in. MR. ANNUCCI: John? Yes. MS. AMODEO: Tony, I I just wanted to clarify. Is it true that if the defendant is either in on a violent felony or has a violent felony history they're not eligible for Shock? MR. ANNUCCI: If if you're in on a violent felony, the statute says you're
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	away who would otherwise be eligible. We see again, unfortunately, the opposite trend, where we're losing some people who would otherwise be good candidates for the program because the amount of time on their underlying sentence is not long enough for them to have the incentive to go through six months of very tough, structured boot camp-style existence, but getting the benefit of time off their sentence. For example, if you only have a year and a half to your C.R. date, you might say to yourself, "I'd rather do general confinement. I'd rather apply to work release, you know, in six months. But I don't want to go into Shock right now and work very hard and and potentially be, you know, re-released to the community." A lot of factors play into into the	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. KOROTOKIN: Well, the volunteer rates are very high. About two thirds some some don't get in for psychological reasons; some don't get in for health reasons. But about two thirds, seventy percent of those that are eligible serve. And then about seventy percent of those who serve get in. MR. ANNUCCI: John? Yes. MS. AMODEO: Tony, I I just wanted to clarify. Is it true that if the defendant is either in on a violent felony or has a violent felony history they're not eligible for Shock? MR. ANNUCCI: If if you're in on a violent felony, the statute says you're ineligible. If you have a violent felony history,
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	away who would otherwise be eligible. We see again, unfortunately, the opposite trend, where we're losing some people who would otherwise be good candidates for the program because the amount of time on their underlying sentence is not long enough for them to have the incentive to go through six months of very tough, structured boot camp-style existence, but getting the benefit of time off their sentence. For example, if you only have a year and a half to your C.R. date, you might say to yourself, "I'd rather do general confinement. I'd rather apply to work release, you know, in six months. But I don't want to go into Shock right now and work very hard and and potentially be, you know, re-released to the community." A lot of factors play into into the decision by by the inmate.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. KOROTOKIN: Well, the volunteer rates are very high. About two thirds some some don't get in for psychological reasons; some don't get in for health reasons. But about two thirds, seventy percent of those that are eligible serve. And then about seventy percent of those who serve get in. MR. ANNUCCI: John? Yes. MS. AMODEO: Tony, I I just wanted to clarify. Is it true that if the defendant is either in on a violent felony or has a violent felony history they're not eligible for Shock? MR. ANNUCCI: If if you're in on a violent felony, the statute says you're ineligible. If you have a violent felony history, the screening committee looks at at your history
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	away who would otherwise be eligible. We see again, unfortunately, the opposite trend, where we're losing some people who would otherwise be good candidates for the program because the amount of time on their underlying sentence is not long enough for them to have the incentive to go through six months of very tough, structured boot camp-style existence, but getting the benefit of time off their sentence. For example, if you only have a year and a half to your C.R. date, you might say to yourself, "I'd rather do general confinement. I'd rather apply to work release, you know, in six months. But I don't want to go into Shock right now and work very hard and and potentially be, you know, re-released to the community." A lot of factors play into into the	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. KOROTOKIN: Well, the volunteer rates are very high. About two thirds some some don't get in for psychological reasons; some don't get in for health reasons. But about two thirds, seventy percent of those that are eligible serve. And then about seventy percent of those who serve get in. MR. ANNUCCI: John? Yes. MS. AMODEO: Tony, I I just wanted to clarify. Is it true that if the defendant is either in on a violent felony or has a violent felony history they're not eligible for Shock? MR. ANNUCCI: If if you're in on a violent felony, the statute says you're ineligible. If you have a violent felony history,

19

20

21

22

23

24

decision.

Page 62 Page 63 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 1 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 legislative intent clearly was targeting the young 2 MR. ANNUCCI: He makes the 3 offenders that could benefit from this. If you decision when he's transferred to Lakeview with --4 have a violent history, chances are, you already with the screening process. The screening people 4 5 got a previous sentence of imprisonment, which 5 will determine whether or not they're going to 6 makes you ineligible by the statute. So you would 6 approve him. The approve him, and it's done 7 have to -- if you perhaps had a Burglary Two, which 7 very -- very quickly because everything moves is, quote, a violent felony offense, and then came rapidly in the system. It has to basically in on a drug offense, you would probably be a good 9 function like -- like an assembly line. 10 candidate. I doubt sincerely that the screening 10 I don't know if they formally do 11 committee would -- would exercise its discretion anything other than have staff talk to the inmate 11 12 not to allow you in. It all depends. I mean, 12 and -- and advice him that, you know, here is the 13 people -- you know, they don't want to compromise benefit of this program; here's what it does; it's 13 the program. But by the same token, they want to the safest type of incarceration. I don't think encourage incarceration. Even a drug offender -they bring in other inmates to talk to them, but I 15 15 16 if you have a large amount of narcotics involved 16 can check into that to see if anything like that 17 but still are eligible for Shock, they might 17 is -- is done. 18 exercise their discretion not to let you in because 18 COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: Okay. 19 they think it would be inconsistent with the basic 19 Let's -- can we -- one more question --20 20 MR. SCHNEIDERMAN: Just -goals of the -- of the program. 21 MS. LEHMAN: Tony, at what point 21 COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: -- and 22 does the -- does the inmate have to make the -- the 22 let's move because I want to keep --. 23 decision to volunteer? And what kind of advice is 23 MR. SCHNEIDERMAN: -- just really 24 he getting when --? 24 an -- an addendum. So -- it would also be Page 64 Page 65 1 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 1 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 interesting -- are there written guidelines for 2 to leave the institution for a period not exceeding 3 the -- the admission procedure or -- or how they 3 fourteen hours in one day for the purposes of 4 make a determination? 4 employment, on-the-job training, or any matter in 5 MR. ANNUCCI: There -- there -furtherance of such purpose. Inmates are 6 there -- there is -- there are rules and 6 transferred to work-release facilities. Typically, regulations that provide some criteria for the 7 they're in large urban areas like New York City. 7 8 medical issues and mental health issues and that 8 We have one in Buffalo, but we also have Fishkill 9 sort of thing. There's no formal, rigid guideline 9 as -- as a work-release facility. And the inmates, that says, you know, "here's how we score you, and 10 while they're still serving their sentences of 10 if you meet this particular score, you'll -- you'll imprisonment, are permitted to leave, to get jobs 11 11 12 be accepted or not accepted." I -- I've basically like everybody else in the community -- paying jobs 12 13 given my legal advice to the committee. It's your 13 from employers. And they're subject to the same judgment, but you have to be consistent. And employment laws that everybody else is. And -- but 14 sometimes, lawyers will call up, and they'll give 15 they come back to the institution, and they sleep 16 them, you know, informal advice. But they're not at night in the institution. But we marry that 16 17 going to give them -- "this would be our final 17 with another type of temporary release, which --

18

19

20

21

22

23

17 (Pages 62 to 65)

which is called a furlough program, so that these

release, can furlough to approved residences on the

individuals, while they're participants in work

weekend. And as they demonstrate positive

three days, can go four days, five days, and

perhaps they're only sleeping at -- at the

adjustment to this program, the furlough can go

decision." They have to see the inmate. They have

Okay. Moving -- moving on to

program -- we have work release, temporary release.

some of the other programs, the other important

Inmates who enter into work release are permitted

to look at all the records in making their

Page 66 Page 67 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 1 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 2 work-release facility for two nights a week, negligent homicide. They are all ineligible by the 3 depending. 3 statute, as well as former governor's Executive 4 There were executive orders under 4 Order Seventeen. Governor Spitzer took office. He 5 the former governor, Five Point One and Seventeen, 5 did adopt Executive Orders Five Point One and which greatly restricted the eligibility of who --6 Seventeen. The issue was new on executive order, 7 who could go into work release. And that executive 7 which is attached to your materials -- contains the order basically said, anybody convicted of a identical restrictions but adds additional 9 violent felony offense that involved the use or 9 exclusionary crimes -- acts of terrorism, for 10 threatened use of a deadly weapon, dangerous 10 example, the use of a child in a sex performance in instrument, or the infliction of serious physical Article Two Sixty-three. Anybody convicted of that 11 11 12 injury was ineligible for any program or work 12 is ineligible for any program of temporary release. 13 release. The statute, by the way -- also, in It means those individuals will remain incarcerated 13 addition to that -- has a blanket restriction 14 in a general confinement facility until their against all homicide offenders. And remember, at 15 release within accordance with law. 16 the time, Coughlin was the commissioner, and the 16 Another major program we have, 17 legislature was very upset with the large numbers 17 alcohol and substance abuse treatment --. 18 of inmates that had been participating in this 18 COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: Tony, 19 program, a number of whom had committed offenses. 19 before you get there, though, I -- I know there's 20 And they then enacted that restriction, and we 20 at least been some concern or criticism that there 21 didn't differentiate violent from nonviolent 21 just aren't enough work release facilities. Is 22 that accurate? Of you have, you know, plenty of 22 because, as you know, we have every degree of 23 homicide, starting with Murder One, Murder Two, all 23 beds for work release --24 the way down to the Class E nonviolent criminally MR. ANNUCCI: Sure. 24 Page 69 Page 68 1 1 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: -- in 2 beds. We had sixty-three hundred. We start -- if

3 the state? the population is there, more people, on their 3 4 MR. ANNUCCI: Yeah. We --4 merits, were approved for the program. We -- we 5 we've -- the populations of our work release could -- we could deal with it and provide spots 6 facilities have been significantly reduced. To put for them in -- in the facility. 7 this in perspective, one of the reasons that these 7 COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: And now 8 statutes were enacted is because the budget for the we think the number is five or six --? 9 department in the early nineties was crafted on the MR. ANNUCCI: Yeah. It should --10 10 principle that, among other things, we would it should be on the population sheet. maintain a population of sixty-three hundred at any 11 MR. KOROTOKIN: As of --. 11 12 one time in work release, which was a very, very MR. ANNUCCI: Paul, do you have 12 13 high number -- very tough to manage and very tough 13 that ready? to keep under control. That led to a lot of crimes MR. KOROTOKIN: As of yesterday, 14 being committed, a lot of incidents, and a lot of 15 it was seven seventy-two --16 backlash. And that's when the legislature passed COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: Only --. 16 17 MR. KOROTOKIN: -- seven hundred 17 their restriction on homicide. Right now, the number of participants that we have in work 18 18 and seventy-two. 19 release, I think -- and it is -- it should be on 19 MR. ANNUCCI: Seven hundred 20 the attachment to your handout, the C.L.E. I've 20 and --. COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: 21 given you the under-custody populations. You'll 21 see a total for work release. I think the number 22 Throughout the whole state system? 23 is somewhere around five, six, seven hundred. So 23 MR. BERGAMO: Why so low? It 24 one time -- we had to do it by double encumbering 24 seems incredibly low.

	Page 70		Page 71
1	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007	1	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007
2	MR. ANNUCCI: Well, you have	2	type things that we need to provide for them.
3	you have the restrictions that that say who can	3	COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: Okay.
4	and who can't go into work. We lost a lot of	4	But just so we're clear, it used to be, at one
5	candidates by virtue of of violent felony	5	point, sixty-three thousand.
6	offenses. Maybe this is these are appropriate	6	MR. ANNUCCI: Sixty-three
7	questions to to ask for subcommittees to work	7	COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: And
8	on whether or not there's a way. And we are	8	now
9	looking at ways right now, as an agency, do an	9	MR. ANNUCCI: hundred.
10	alternative type program for violent felony	10	COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: Oh
11	offenders that are going to be released under the	11	sixty-three hundred. Okay. Sixty-three hundred,
12	rubric of the transitional release facility concept	12	and now it's down to around seven hundred and
13	the Governor put forward. We'll we'll be able	13	seventy-two. Okay.
14	to to move these individuals into these	14	MR. SCHNEIDERMAN: And Tony, is
15	facilities in the community. It won't be work	15	it?
16	release. It won't be furlough. But there may be	16	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Sorry. Go
17	ways that we can bring community representatives	17	ahead, Eric.
18	into the facilities to work with them because these	18	MR. SCHNEIDERMAN: Just and do
19	individuals are definitely getting out of prison,	19	you have any stats on recidivism for people
20	no matter what. So if you have a release date for	20	participating in work release?
21	this individual two, three, four months down the	21	MR. ANNUCCI: I know we had I
22	road, you know he's definitely getting out. It	22	think if you're asking me if we have stats on
23	makes sense to move them into these types of	23	on on the crimes that occur in the community
24	facilities and enhance the transitional services	24	MR. SCHNEIDERMAN: Well, that
1	Page 72	4	Page 73
2	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 too.	1 2	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: Okay
3	MR. ANNUCCI: which which	3	one more thing to address. Okay.
4	we do have stats on. We're terrific on that right	4	MR. ANNUCCI: Yes.
5	now the the crimes being committed	5	COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: One more
6	because we're so selective of who who goes in	5	COMMISSIONER ODONNELL. ONE MORE
	Decause we're so selective of who who does in	6	question?
7		6	question?
	are are are very positive.	7	MR. LENTOL: Yes. I I think
8	are are are very positive. Paul, do you know if we have any	7 8	MR. LENTOL: Yes. I I think it's fair to say, isn't it, Tony, that programs
8 9	are are are very positive. Paul, do you know if we have any recidivism studies on inmates who were released	7 8 9	MR. LENTOL: Yes. I I think it's fair to say, isn't it, Tony, that programs like work release and furlough and parole, for that
8 9 10	are are are very positive. Paul, do you know if we have any recidivism studies on inmates who were released through the work release program and whether that's	7 8 9 10	MR. LENTOL: Yes. I I think it's fair to say, isn't it, Tony, that programs like work release and furlough and parole, for that matter, have been affected by politics, and that
8 9 10 11	are are are very positive. Paul, do you know if we have any recidivism studies on inmates who were released through the work release program and whether that's positive or?	7 8 9 10 11	MR. LENTOL: Yes. I I think it's fair to say, isn't it, Tony, that programs like work release and furlough and parole, for that matter, have been affected by politics, and that you have if you have a crime committed, somebody
8 9 10 11 12	are are are very positive. Paul, do you know if we have any recidivism studies on inmates who were released through the work release program and whether that's positive or? MR. KOROTOKIN: We haven't done a	7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. LENTOL: Yes. I I think it's fair to say, isn't it, Tony, that programs like work release and furlough and parole, for that matter, have been affected by politics, and that you have if you have a crime committed, somebody who's on parole, somebody who's on work release or
8 9 10 11 12	are are are very positive. Paul, do you know if we have any recidivism studies on inmates who were released through the work release program and whether that's positive or? MR. KOROTOKIN: We haven't done a formal study in twelve, thirteen years. However,	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. LENTOL: Yes. I I think it's fair to say, isn't it, Tony, that programs like work release and furlough and parole, for that matter, have been affected by politics, and that you have if you have a crime committed, somebody who's on parole, somebody who's on work release or furlough that is going to be a natural trend
8 9 10 11 12 13	are are are very positive. Paul, do you know if we have any recidivism studies on inmates who were released through the work release program and whether that's positive or? MR. KOROTOKIN: We haven't done a formal study in twelve, thirteen years. However, the Commissioner has asked me, knowing that we're	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. LENTOL: Yes. I I think it's fair to say, isn't it, Tony, that programs like work release and furlough and parole, for that matter, have been affected by politics, and that you have if you have a crime committed, somebody who's on parole, somebody who's on work release or furlough that is going to be a natural trend towards reducing who's going to be eligible for
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	are are are very positive. Paul, do you know if we have any recidivism studies on inmates who were released through the work release program and whether that's positive or? MR. KOROTOKIN: We haven't done a formal study in twelve, thirteen years. However, the Commissioner has asked me, knowing that we're going to be working on on his commission, to	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. LENTOL: Yes. I I think it's fair to say, isn't it, Tony, that programs like work release and furlough and parole, for that matter, have been affected by politics, and that you have if you have a crime committed, somebody who's on parole, somebody who's on work release or furlough that is going to be a natural trend towards reducing who's going to be eligible for that program, both legislative and by the executive
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	are are are very positive. Paul, do you know if we have any recidivism studies on inmates who were released through the work release program and whether that's positive or? MR. KOROTOKIN: We haven't done a formal study in twelve, thirteen years. However, the Commissioner has asked me, knowing that we're going to be working on on his commission, to take a look at it. And we started looking at the	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. LENTOL: Yes. I I think it's fair to say, isn't it, Tony, that programs like work release and furlough and parole, for that matter, have been affected by politics, and that you have if you have a crime committed, somebody who's on parole, somebody who's on work release or furlough that is going to be a natural trend towards reducing who's going to be eligible for that program, both legislative and by the executive cracking down on whoever the administrator of those
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	are are are very positive. Paul, do you know if we have any recidivism studies on inmates who were released through the work release program and whether that's positive or? MR. KOROTOKIN: We haven't done a formal study in twelve, thirteen years. However, the Commissioner has asked me, knowing that we're going to be working on on his commission, to take a look at it. And we started looking at the 1994 graduating class and the 2001 graduating	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. LENTOL: Yes. I I think it's fair to say, isn't it, Tony, that programs like work release and furlough and parole, for that matter, have been affected by politics, and that you have if you have a crime committed, somebody who's on parole, somebody who's on work release or furlough that is going to be a natural trend towards reducing who's going to be eligible for that program, both legislative and by the executive cracking down on whoever the administrator of those programs are?
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	are are are very positive. Paul, do you know if we have any recidivism studies on inmates who were released through the work release program and whether that's positive or? MR. KOROTOKIN: We haven't done a formal study in twelve, thirteen years. However, the Commissioner has asked me, knowing that we're going to be working on on his commission, to take a look at it. And we started looking at the 1994 graduating class and the 2001 graduating class. And early analysis shows a a very, very	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. LENTOL: Yes. I I think it's fair to say, isn't it, Tony, that programs like work release and furlough and parole, for that matter, have been affected by politics, and that you have if you have a crime committed, somebody who's on parole, somebody who's on work release or furlough that is going to be a natural trend towards reducing who's going to be eligible for that program, both legislative and by the executive cracking down on whoever the administrator of those programs are? MR. ANNUCCI: Yeah. I think
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	are are are very positive. Paul, do you know if we have any recidivism studies on inmates who were released through the work release program and whether that's positive or? MR. KOROTOKIN: We haven't done a formal study in twelve, thirteen years. However, the Commissioner has asked me, knowing that we're going to be working on on his commission, to take a look at it. And we started looking at the 1994 graduating class and the 2001 graduating class. And early analysis shows a a very, very positive picture. You would affect self-selection	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. LENTOL: Yes. I I think it's fair to say, isn't it, Tony, that programs like work release and furlough and parole, for that matter, have been affected by politics, and that you have if you have a crime committed, somebody who's on parole, somebody who's on work release or furlough that is going to be a natural trend towards reducing who's going to be eligible for that program, both legislative and by the executive cracking down on whoever the administrator of those programs are? MR. ANNUCCI: Yeah. I think that's a fair assessment, Senator.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	are are are very positive. Paul, do you know if we have any recidivism studies on inmates who were released through the work release program and whether that's positive or? MR. KOROTOKIN: We haven't done a formal study in twelve, thirteen years. However, the Commissioner has asked me, knowing that we're going to be working on on his commission, to take a look at it. And we started looking at the 1994 graduating class and the 2001 graduating class. And early analysis shows a a very, very positive picture. You would affect self-selection that you see in work release. Chances are, you're	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. LENTOL: Yes. I I think it's fair to say, isn't it, Tony, that programs like work release and furlough and parole, for that matter, have been affected by politics, and that you have if you have a crime committed, somebody who's on parole, somebody who's on work release or furlough that is going to be a natural trend towards reducing who's going to be eligible for that program, both legislative and by the executive cracking down on whoever the administrator of those programs are? MR. ANNUCCI: Yeah. I think that's a fair assessment, Senator. Let me let me advance some of the screens to
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	are are are very positive. Paul, do you know if we have any recidivism studies on inmates who were released through the work release program and whether that's positive or? MR. KOROTOKIN: We haven't done a formal study in twelve, thirteen years. However, the Commissioner has asked me, knowing that we're going to be working on on his commission, to take a look at it. And we started looking at the 1994 graduating class and the 2001 graduating class. And early analysis shows a a very, very positive picture. You would affect self-selection that you see in work release. Chances are, you're going to make it into in parole conditions as	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. LENTOL: Yes. I I think it's fair to say, isn't it, Tony, that programs like work release and furlough and parole, for that matter, have been affected by politics, and that you have if you have a crime committed, somebody who's on parole, somebody who's on work release or furlough that is going to be a natural trend towards reducing who's going to be eligible for that program, both legislative and by the executive cracking down on whoever the administrator of those programs are? MR. ANNUCCI: Yeah. I think that's a fair assessment, Senator. Let me let me advance some of the screens to to give you an idea of the big picture of what's
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	are are are very positive. Paul, do you know if we have any recidivism studies on inmates who were released through the work release program and whether that's positive or? MR. KOROTOKIN: We haven't done a formal study in twelve, thirteen years. However, the Commissioner has asked me, knowing that we're going to be working on on his commission, to take a look at it. And we started looking at the 1994 graduating class and the 2001 graduating class. And early analysis shows a a very, very positive picture. You would affect self-selection that you see in work release. Chances are, you're going to make it into in parole conditions as well. But we don't have the data yet hopefully,	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. LENTOL: Yes. I I think it's fair to say, isn't it, Tony, that programs like work release and furlough and parole, for that matter, have been affected by politics, and that you have if you have a crime committed, somebody who's on parole, somebody who's on work release or furlough that is going to be a natural trend towards reducing who's going to be eligible for that program, both legislative and by the executive cracking down on whoever the administrator of those programs are? MR. ANNUCCI: Yeah. I think that's a fair assessment, Senator. Let me let me advance some of the screens to to give you an idea of the big picture of what's been happening with admissions, what's been
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	are are are very positive. Paul, do you know if we have any recidivism studies on inmates who were released through the work release program and whether that's positive or? MR. KOROTOKIN: We haven't done a formal study in twelve, thirteen years. However, the Commissioner has asked me, knowing that we're going to be working on on his commission, to take a look at it. And we started looking at the 1994 graduating class and the 2001 graduating class. And early analysis shows a a very, very positive picture. You would affect self-selection that you see in work release. Chances are, you're going to make it into in parole conditions as	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. LENTOL: Yes. I I think it's fair to say, isn't it, Tony, that programs like work release and furlough and parole, for that matter, have been affected by politics, and that you have if you have a crime committed, somebody who's on parole, somebody who's on work release or furlough that is going to be a natural trend towards reducing who's going to be eligible for that program, both legislative and by the executive cracking down on whoever the administrator of those programs are? MR. ANNUCCI: Yeah. I think that's a fair assessment, Senator. Let me let me advance some of the screens to to give you an idea of the big picture of what's

Page 74 Page 75 1 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 the programs in the exhibit -- talk about the case 2 This is a snapshot of who's 3 study. actually in our system right now. Again, the 3 4 We had twenty-six thousand 4 highest -- twenty-one five thirty-eight. 5 individuals, including the two thousand -- closer 5 Currently, fifty-seven percent are violent felons. 6 to twenty-seven thousand, slightly more than what 6 So we have followed the trend, though, of trying to 7 happened in 2005. But compare that to 1993, when 7 increase the number of violent felony offenders in we had thirty-five thousand eight hundred. prison and release nonviolent offenders, drug 9 Sixty-three percent were new commitments. 9 offenders -- the groups that want to leave earlier 10 Thirty-five percent were parole or conditional 10 than would otherwise be the case. So this is a release violator returns. And the last column is 11 11 snapshot. We take our picture. Fifty-seven 12 just the -- the -- nominally, the return of - the 12 percent are serving violent felony offenses. Now 13 return of temporary release, et cetera. we've increased by over fifty-eight hundred. At 13 14 Now, we released almost an 14 the same time, re-impose has increased by two identical number -- twenty-six thousand three hundred compared to 1997. And again, they made up 15 16 hundred and seventy-one. Forty-nine percent were 16 back then fifty-three point three percent. Now released by the action of a parole board or it's up to fifty-seven point four percent at year 17 17 18 presumptive release, which is another mechanism for 18 close. 19 release. One third are conditional releases, 19 Twenty-two percent of inmates 20 20 meaning that they were entitled to release as a under custody at December 31st were drug offenders. 21 matter of law, not by the acts of the parole board. 21 So in the big snapshot, the number of drug 22 Two thousand seven hundred and forty-seven were 22 offenders in DOCS custody has decreased -- and 23 offenders who reached the maximum expiration date 23 these are the last nine years -- a remarkable 24 of their sentence. thirty-nine percent over that period. That's a 24

Page 76 Page 77 1 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 1 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 significant -- significant reduction in the number 2 Shock. We know that the Willard Program -- that people who are repeat Class D and E drug felons are 3 of drug offenders that are in the system when you 3 4 take that snapshot on December 31st. 4 able to go to Willard, which is a ninety-day 5 On number seven, we did not put 5 program comparable to Shock. But we know that if 6 up parole, by the way. These individuals were you previously were convicted of an 'A' or 'B', placed under one charges. We have one last inmate 7 including a drug 'B', you were ineligible for 8 on death row waiting to see what happens with the Willard, which might -- the question of whether or 9 Court of Appeals' decision in his case. 9 not, as a commission, we should consider whether 10 10 This chart is a little hard to read, but you can that would be one change we'd want to make. read it on the handout, as it tells you breakdowns 11 COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: Tony, 11 12 by crimes and comparison percentages since -- from 12 could you just talk about Willard --13 1997 to 2006. Thirteen thousand nine hundred 13 MR. ANNUCCI: Sure. 14 COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: -- and 14 twenty-eight is the actual number constituted at twenty-two percent. And as a proportion, it's the 15 put it into perspective here? You were going to go 16 lowest it's been since 1987. And the Class B's, as 16 into drug treatment --17 you might guess, are the largest percentage -- five 17 MR. ANNUCCI: Yeah. 18 thousand Class B felons. You know, there are 18 COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: -programs, and I think it's pretty important that we 19 charts that break it down by first felony versus 19 20 second felony, and as we reviewed last week, we 20 cover it, even if we're running over. MR. ANNUCCI: Willard -- Willard 21 know that first-time 'B' drug offenders have to go 21 to state prison. We know the second time 'B' drug 22 is -- is a ninety-day drug treatment program, felons also have to go to state prison and can't go 23 and -- and it is the -- the first time that the into Shock, although all the predicates can go into 24 state bought into an alternative to the

3

4

5

23

Page 78 Page 79 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 1 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 second-felony offender or to allow a disposition 2 imprisonment that's normally required for that other than confinement in -- in a state prison. Up felony -- at the time, with a determinate for a 3 till that point in time, every second-felony 'D', three and a half to seven. But he says, "I'm 4 offender had to get a state sentence of 5 directing that it be exercised as a parole

6 imprisonment, had to come to a conventional 7 department facility. 8 One of the things we know -- and 9 this is one of the things that I think the 10 commission should be mindful of -- is that if 11 you're going to talk about diverting any inmates 12 coming to state prison to a different disposition, you have to mindful of not creating an additional 13 burden that the counties will object to. And if we, for example, said, "we're going to come out 15 16 with a rule where predicate felons can now just get 17 probation." you might have a lot of concerns raised 18 by counties of, "who's going to pay for it? Where 19 are we going to get the resources?" When we 20 created this alternative for repeat 'D's and 'E's, we deliberately kept it as a state 21 22 responsibility -- in effect, allowing a person to

supervision sentence." The statute kicks in and 6 7 says okay. That individual is ticketed for Willard. He is to go through an expedited reception process, and then he's going to be 10 delivered to Willard, and then he's going to under 11 day -- undergo ninety days of intensive drug treatment. If he's successful, he's returned to 12 13 the community to continue under supervision. If he violates -- doesn't participate in the program or engages in misbehavior -- then parole will revoke 15 16 his sentence in the normal course. They'll have a hearing. He'll be violated. He'll continue serving the underlying sentence of imprisonment in -- in a regular general-confinement facility. 19 20 There are two types of individuals that can go to 21 Willard. One is the judicially sanctioned, as we 22 refer to it, where the judge imposes that as a 23 sentence. The other is technical parole violator. 24 Parole has massaged their regulation -- changed

Page 80

1

4

7

1 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 their regulation so that certain types of 3 individuals -- I think Category Two -- it's almost 4 like a mandatory commitment to Willard. So if they 5 violate their parole, the -- they're going to Willard.

be sentenced to parole. So the way it works is the

24 judge imposes the underlying sentence of

6 7 One of the things that we could 8 consider is whether or not the statute should 9 continue to require prosecutorial consent for any 10 individual convicted of a 'D' and sent to state prison. D.A.s already have control over 11 12 disposition through the plea bargaining, which 13 would mean if someone was indicted for a 'B', they would still have veto power against a Class D plea 15 and sentenced to -- to Willard. But if someone was 15 16 just indicted for the 'D' -- for example, the 17 possession of five hundred milligrams in the fifth 18 degree, as presently required, perhaps we should 19 consider whether or not the judge should be able to 19 20 sentence that person to Willard without prosecutorial consent. The other change we could 21 look at is whether or not having a prior 'B' should 23 automatically disqualify you from Willard. One of the things we have seen 24

Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 is, some judges, with the D.A. and defense consent, have deliberately engineered Willard sentences for individuals who clearly weren't really drug offenders in a conventional sense. These were individuals that were extremely ill or debilitated. They just wanted to get them the shortest incarcerated time the law would permit. So they

engineered Willard dispositions, which was a little 10 bit of a challenge for us because we don't have 11 complex medical facilities at Willard to deal with complex problems, including, sometimes, women about 12 13 to -- to give birth, you know, in the eighth month of -- of pregnancy, getting Willard sentences. So 14 clearly, there is a desire, in some parts -- and all the parties agree -- that they're wanting to 16 get around the second-felony offender law with the 17 shortest possible sentence, and the -- and they 18 would use Willard as an example. COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: Tony, I 20 21

think -- I think Willard is going to be pretty pivotal to -- to what we do in terms of a model and how it works and how it doesn't. What kind of good data do you have on Willard -- if it's working, if

21 (Pages 78 to 81)

22

23

24

Page 81

Page 82 Page 83 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 2 it isn't -- in terms of recidivism? And if not, is Part of that is, if these are, you know, 3 it something that you think we should start right significant drug dealers who are selling 4 now and try to do rather intensively over the next substantial quantities of drugs, they're doing it 4 5 in a short time. They're coming out. You have to 5 three or four months, six months? 6 MR. ANNUCCI: I -- I don't know 6 make a whole other case against them. They go in. 7 that we have an -- any great data on -- on 7 They come out. We sort of have a revolving -recidivism. What -- what I can tell you is this --8 MR. ANNUCCI: Yeah. 9 that you're dealing with a population that's 9 COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: -- job 10 addicts. And addicts fail. And sometimes they 10 in the law enforcement and prosecutorial side of 11 things that is extremely costly and unproductive fail several times. And so you see, with a lot of 11 12 these individuals, that they may end up being 12 and doesn't really help in terms of drug 13 returned to Willard two times, perhaps three times. interdiction. So, you know, I do think that value 13 But they are in an atmosphere, in effect, that's 14 is important. 15 coerced abstinence. There are no drugs in Willard. 15 MS. BING-NEWTON: Can -- can I There's a lot of intensive drug treatment. So to 16 ask a question? A -- a question is, sensible amendments to the Willard policy, since these are 17 that extent, it -- it's -- it's a positive outcome. 17 really supposed to be people with drug problems, 18 particularly since, if they're a parole violator, 18 19 they're getting a much shorter stay with a 19 addiction problems? And we pretty much know from 20 ninety-day stint at Willard than, for example, the 20 Drug Court that ninety days is not going to solve six- or ninth-month hit going to a regular 21 your addiction problems. Has there been any 21 general-confinement facility. But I --. 22 consideration of consecutively leading the ninety 22 23 COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: You days' parole -- that we may need ninety days and then into a long-term non-jail drug treatment 24 know, I think they're not based on law enforcement. 24 Page 84 Page 85 1 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 1 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 program? Wouldn't that increase the successful way statistics a little bit more. I -- I think I'm of -- the possibility of leading a clean and sober 3 kind of coming close to the --. 3 4 life? 4 MR. ALEXANDER: Can I ask a 5 MR. ANNUCCI: Yeah. I -- I think 5 question? 6 your point is very well taken. And -- and I think 6 MR. ANNUCCI: Sure. if such a program existed, it -- I think it would 7 MR. ALEXANDER: The chairlady 8 increase the likelihood of a successful brought up a -- an issue of pre-sentencing drug 9 reintegration into the community. I know, one 9 use. It says drug use hasn't gone done. So 10 time, I think that -- we may still have it -- an what -- what sentence -- what have we thought about 10 11 extended Willard Program where some individuals do besides -- besides re-incarceration to address the 11 12 graduate from Willard, are placed in the community 12 issue? It started -- because if I was on the 13 into some kind of structured drug treatment 13 drugs, he's going to sell them. The drug use demand has not gone down. Probation -- so we put 14 program. 14 15 Paul or Terry, do you know the numbers on that? 15 the same down as the others -- the use of illicit MS. SALO: I do. I -- I've been 16 drugs. So what alternatives can we think of, as a 17 told --. 17 group, to that issue? 18 COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: You'll 18 MR. ANNUCCI: The -- these are --19 cover them during --19 this is -- these are very, very complex --MR. ANNUCCI: Okay. 20 20 COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: They're COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: -- your final --21 21 presentation. Okay. 22 MR. ANNUCCI: -- issues. 23 MR. ANNUCCI: Okay. The -- the 23 COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: --

24

questions that I'm sure we'll get to discuss.

24 next presenter will -- will cover the -- those

3

4

5

7

8

9

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

7

12

17

18

19

21

1

6

7

1

Page 86 1 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 MR. ANNUCCI: I can show you -- I 3 can move on to the charts to just show you the 4 result of the Rockefeller Drug Laws and what has 5 happened with re-sentencing, what has happened with 6 supplemental merit time and who's been released 7 from our custody as a result of that. I'm just going to skip over this stuff. 9 Okay. As of May 31st, two hundred and fourteen 10 Class A One drug felons had been re-sentenced, and 10 at least six were women. On average, these two 11 11 12 hundred and fourteen inmates were released an 12 13 average of forty-nine months before their 13 previously calculated earliest release dates. In 14 all, a total of three hundred and fifty-four had 15 16 actually been re-sentenced, though some number of 16

Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 total of three hundred and twenty-eight 'A' Two drug offenders were re-sentenced. Again, supplemental merit time --

Page 87

Page 89

this is kind of a retroactive -- a review to measure for the Class 'A' Two drug offenses -earned an additional one sixth off their minimum sentence for a total reduction of one third. And two thousand -- as of May 7th, two thousand one hundred and eighty-one drug offenders were able to earn the additional one sixth credit. They stayed an average of six point five months before their merit eligibility dates. So there's a significant reduction in the amount of time drug offenders would have otherwise been required to serve -serve in state prison.

And the last thing is, merit time for 'A' One drug offenders -- these are drug offenders who didn't want to get re-sentenced -they can get one third off their -- the -- their minimum sentence if they qualify for merit time. And Thirty-three 'A', when drug offenders have earned merit time and been released -- so the fifteen-to-life individual earned merit time and

Page 88

Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 got released after ten years. COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: Okay.

3 4 Tony, could you just touch very briefly on the

them obviously are still in our custody until

With respect to the 'A' Twos, a

re-sentenced and released. Ten were women. On

22 average, they served an average -- they stayed, on

average, twelve months before the previously

24 calculated earliest release dates. And a grand

they've reached their release dates.

20 total of a hundred and fifteen have been

5 other drug programs?

MR. ANNUCCI: Sure.

COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: Because

8 we -- we might come back to it if we can't get it 9 in here, but I think it's pretty important that we

10 all have that understanding.

11 MR. ANNUCCI: The -- the other 12 major drug program we have is called the CASAT

13 program -- Comprehensive Alcohol and Substance

Abuse Treatment Facility. Inmates, to get into this program, have to be otherwise eligible for

16 temporary release, which means they're subject to

17 the Governor's executive order in terms of

18 eligibility. The Rockefeller Drug Law said that

19 they can get into CASAT when they're within thirty

months of their earliest release date. Prior law 20

21 had been two years. So we can get them into this

22 program earlier. The judges now have the ability

23 to impose a court order on an individual for us to

24 enroll them into CASAT. And it's basically

Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 structured as a three-phase program, phase one being at one of these facilities where they have

3 4 six months of intensive drug treatment in a

therapeutic community. It's a competency-based 5 6

program. And they -- if they successfully move on to phase two, which is in a work release facility

8 slash residential treatment facility, they can

continue to get drug treatment. They'll go out and 10 get jobs, hopefully. And ultimately, they --

they'll be released to phase three, which is under 11

the supervision under the Division of Parole.

13 At one time, as one of the 14 compromises to negotiate building more prisons, 15 there was a cap placed by the legislature on -- on the number of beds. It's right in the statute -two thousand five hundred and fifty -- because. 17 18 when these people were graduating, they were, in effect, granted a lot of liberty. They were in the 19 community. A lot of them were being made very porous. So one of the -- the tacks was a

20 21

22 negotiated settlement that -- that put a cap on the

23 total number of beds. In actuality, you know, the numbers, like the numbers for work release, are 24

23 (Pages 86 to 89)

800.523.7887

Page 91 Page 90 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 2 significantly down because what we're doing with individuals, if they're foreign-born, and there's 3 supplemental merit time, with work release, with an order of deportation, we do allow vice 3 4 4 officials -- formerly the I.N.S. -- to come to our Shock, conventional merit time, Willard -- we 5 5 facilities to conduct the deportation proceedings. basically have a lot of early-release mechanisms 6 that more or less affect the same population. So 6 These individuals can be released from our custody 7 the numbers that were high in the early years for 7 early -- not to liberty. They're handed over to CASAT were now being siphoned off, some of which 8 federal officials and are physical deported to 9 because they had violent histories, and many others their country of origin. So that has also siphoned off some number of particularly drug offenders, but 10 because of participation in Shock, getting out on 10 it's other nonviolent --. 11 conventional merit time, supplemental merit time, 11 12 re-sentencing and -- and various other programs 12 MR. BERGAMO: The inmate who was 13 that are at play. 13 incarcerated and has an order against him -- could 14 Finally, one last thing on --14 he go a number of months or does he serve some time 15 on -- on the drug -- we have a significant number 15 MR. ANNUCCI: Technically, the 16 of foreign-born inmates in our population. I think 16 statute says the individual can -- can go at any time, but the stages by the Board of Parole, and 17 about eight -- twelve percent, eight thousand of 17 18 the timeline that they've developed -- their 18 which -- under eight thousand were foreign-born. 19 And we do have a program where these individuals 19 guidelines require that the inmate serve at least 20 can receive early parole for the purpose of being 20 one half the minimum sentence. And there's also a 21 deported, if there's an order of deportation on 21 number of contacts and information that their 22 file against them. A significant number of drug guidelines require. They reach out to various 23 offenders come from countries such as Columbia. 23 officials to ascertain exactly who this individual was. Was he part of a sophisticated drug --24 Jamaica, Cuba, Dominican Republic. And so these 24 Page 92 Page 93 1 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 1 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 criminal enterprise -- et cetera, et cetera? 2 programs getting them out of the system earlier. 3 Yes. 3 MR. VANCE: And --. 4 MR. VANCE: Tony, the statistic 4 COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: Those 5 that I thought was powerful was the thirty-nine 5 are the -- the courts that they've, like -percent decrease in the population of drug 6 6 MR. ANNUCCI: All drugs --7 offenders over the last nine years. Is that 7 COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: -- they 8 principally a product of less drug crime or the 8 keep people out --9 effectiveness of the programs that you're -- the 9 MR. ANNUCCI: -- the courts we 10 accumulative effectiveness of the programs you're 10 have --. 11 describing? Or is it, obviously, a combination of COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: --11 12 both? 12 unless they have to, but --. 13 MR. ANNUCCI: Let me -- let --13 MR. ANNUCCI: All those things, let me check with Paul. Paul, was there a 14 14

15

16

17

18

19

22

23

24

15 concomitant decrease in the number of commitments

16 coming to us or some decrease?

17 MR. KOROTOKIN: Yes. There was a some decrease on that side, too. 18

19 MR. ANNUCCI: Okay. So there was

20 some decrease in the number of commitments, but not 20 21 a thirty-nine percent amount. So what we're seeing

22 is probably a combination of some lesser number of

23 drug offenders coming to us but, more importantly,

24 the cumulative effect of all of these early release

also, divert an individual before they're even considered.

MR. VANCE: And presumably

keeping them out because the percentage of drug offenders still remains this high percentage -lower, of course, than nine years ago.

COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: Thank

21 you. And -- and -- uh-huh.

MR. MCDERMOTT: When you looked at the New York State Inmate population and the statistics on how many are violent, nonviolent,

Page 95 Page 94 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 1 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 drugs, is there anything peculiar about New York 2 already have a program like that. 3 when you compare it to the rest of the nation? Or 3 MR. KOROTOKIN: Yes, ma'am --4 is it -- is this -- are those numbers pretty much 4 actually have it through the years. 5 stable throughout the country? And is there 5 COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: Whether 6 anything that -- we have more of this, and we have 6 it's -- it -- do you -- do you think -- do we have 7 a --7 enough capacity to make that available to more 8 MR. ANNUCCI: Yeah. 8 people or is that something that maybe --? 9 MR. MCDERMOTT: -- a lot less --? 9 MR. ANNUCCI: Well, right --10 MR. ANNUCCI: Paul, have -- have 10 right now, we have a lot of demands on -- on we done any kind of studies like that? 11 11 Willard's space because, one, the number of parole 12 MR. KOROTOKIN: We used to have violators that are coming back. In fact, what we 12 13 more drug offenders than -- than most states. And had to do was temporarily put excess Willards at 13 it all depends on lack of the drug laws. We 14 Monterey, which is a Shock facility, but obviously reformed drug laws because of that. We're now more to make it extend to Willard, we -- we made it a 15 16 in line with what other states are. 16 three-month program. It's similar because -- boot 17 Can I respond, also, to the other 17 camp regimen is -- is -- is the model at that --18 question? We had four hundred and eighty-five 18 Willard, as well. But obviously, you know, we --19 people who came to Willard from courts. we'll adjust as we go along. And to the extent 19 Sixty-eight of them were standard Willard cases in 20 that some Shock beds have been, you know, empty 20 21 which they do three months at Willard, followed by 21 because of less demand, we could easily convert 22 six months of intervention treatment, before a that to a Willard-type program -- a drug treatment 22 23 six-month community program. 23 campus if that is, in fact, what we needed to do. 24 COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: So we 24 MR. LENTOL: I think I'm going to

1

2

4

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Page 96 1 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 just try to play devil's advocate because these are 3 the things that we, in the legislature, heard about 4 Willard. And of course, you know, some of it -maybe part of it sometime may not be true. But the 6 fact of the matter is, I think you -- you mentioned that -- the way Willard was set up regarding the 7 8 offenders requiring the consent of the D.A. in 9 order to put them there, and that that should be 10 taken out of the statute in order for a program 11 like that to work. That's fairly clear. And 12 the -- the aspect of why technical violators go to 13 Willard is because you didn't have enough drug offenders to send to Willard in order to fill up 15 the place. Is that a fair statement? 16 MR. ANNUCCI: Well, I don't -- I 17 don't know if I -- I would characterize it that 18 way. I think --. 19 MR. LENTOL: Well, I'd like to 20 hear it because that's what -- that's what we 21 heard. 22 MR. ANNUCCI: Well, I -- I --23 I'll certainly defer to -- to my colleagues from

Page 97 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 going to Willard. But clearly, parole violators are going to come back to state prison if they violate the conditions of the parole. Separate and aside from Willard, if they are not reporting or testing positive for drugs or they're not making curfews, and the parole officer cannot get them to -- to conform to what's expected of them in the community. If they're not going to Willard, but they're otherwise legitimately a violator, they're going to come back to state prison, and it's going to be for a lot longer period of time than -than -- than -- than Willard. So the real question is, do -- do we need to have more alternatives between violating somebody's case and continued supervision in the community, something to get their attention? For example, someone will speak to that. Does it make sense to give the -- to give a parole officer the ability to get somebody's attention, to lock them up for a weekend but then, you know, withdraw the warrant so that he knows, you know, there's a consequence if I'm not going to respond to supervision; there's a consequence if I

don't report? And the consequence is, I could end

24 Parole to -- to address who -- parole violators

Page 98 Page 99 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 up being back at state prison. So maybe that's 2 research people to go together, and maybe the 3 some of the things we need to look at. But commissioners had some ideas, to get -- get 3 4 obviously, you know, if you're a violator, you're a 4 together and focus on what we should be looking at 5 violator. Either you're a violator -- violated 5 at Willard in -- in terms of effectiveness, in 6 conditions in a significant respect or not. You 6 terms of how it operates. And I think that that 7 can't just artificially create these numbers to go 7 would be helpful. 8 to work. 8 MR. MCDERMOTT: Have we invited 9 COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: Okay. 9 somebody from the District Attorneys' Association 10 And we're going to -- just to try to stay on 10 to speak to us about their position on whether they schedule, I would like to have people -- if you should continue to have veto power over somebody's 11 11 12 have it when it's fresh in your mind, suggestions 12 participation in Willard? 13 about issues you want to do research on or want us 13 COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: Well, to look at further, because I think the -- a number not this one precise issue. It's certainly of things that Tony touched on are part of really something we could put on the agenda. But we do 15 16 our core mission and responsibilities and -- and 16 envision that we're -- we are inviting the D.A.'s Association, D.A.'s Rockefeller Drug Program Reform 17 where we're going to look to programs and whether 17 18 they're working or whether they should be expanded. 18 advocates to come and to give us their views. And 19 But I -- I do -- I came into this I -- I know the D.A.'s Association has a very 19 20 after I looked at -- at various facts and -- and strong viewpoint on this issue, and -- and I do 20 21 policies with the notion that we have to look 21 think it's important we hear from them, as well. 22 seriously at Willard and how it's functioning and 22 MR. BERGAMO: Thank you very 23 how it's working to guide us as a commission. So I 23 much. 24 do think that the research project -- I'd like the 24 MR. ANNUCCI: Thank you.

1

4

7

8

9

10

11

13

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

Page 100 1 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: When we 3 tried to put together our speakers -- in particular, speakers that are knowledgeable about 5 running a local jail, about the impact that 6 sentencing laws have on local jails, the -- the important role that probation plays and that kind 8 of environment in which probation needs to operate 9 at the local level and county level, the -- the 10 name that repeatedly came to mind was Rocco Pozzi. 11 And Rocco frequently lectures on these topics. 12 He's certainly recognized not only throughout the 13 state but throughout the country for his programming and -- and his vision and his 14 leadership in many of these areas. So we're 16 delighted to have you, Rocco, and -- and we'd 17 appreciate it if you would address the commission 18 on many of these topics. 19 MR. POZZI: Thank you. It is --20 it is an honor to be here today, and I put together 21 some points that I would like to go over, you know,

with the commission. And I -- I feel like the

24 because I know later on this afternoon, Bob

23 warm-up act, talking about the jails and probation,

22

Maccarone will be here, and he'll probably further expand on some of the topics that I'm going to hit you with. And then Marty Horn is coming in this afternoon, and he is going to really talk about a lot of the reentry programs that kind of mirror what's going on in New York City and what we're doing in -- in -- in Westchester with those programs. So if I can -- I'll get right in there because I don't -- I'm going to try to get you back on schedule because they will elaborate on these 12 points that I'm going to make. But let -- let me start out with probably the most controversial statement that I'm 14 going to make today because it could -- could require an enormous amount of resources, but it's something you ought to be thinking about. And we talk about reentry programs. Ten years ago, when County Executive Spano asked me to take on the dual 19 role as Probation Commissioner and the Commissioner

of Corrections, one of the things that I talked

about was doing transitional plans or planning for,

you know, people at the local level who were going

to be released back into our community after doing

Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007

Page 101

Page 102 Page 103 1 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 1 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 a year or six months or whatever their sentence 2 Now, we -- we kind of backed into 3 was. And as we started down that road, one of the a period of supervision many years ago when we 3 questions that we started asking ourselves -- okay. transferred conditional release from state parole 4 5 We put this plan together, but who do we give it 5 almost eighteen years ago to the county -- and I 6 to? Do we give it to the inmate who's being 6 know it's -- it's back with state parole again --7 discharged and hope that they follow up on the 7 where we were able to give early discharges for recommendations that we're making for them to inmates and then have a one-year period of 8 9 continue to do the things that they're starting to 9 supervision for those folks to make sure that they 10 do in the correctional facilities? For instance, 10 would follow up on the release plan that was 11 you know, we created a drug treatment program. We 11 prepared by the conditional release commission. 12 would certainly want them to follow up with But we don't have that right now once, obviously, 12 13 outpatient drug treatment once they get out --13 conditional release has been moved back to the vocational training programs that we started to 14 state. You know, periodically, we do get some, you 15 develop, educational programs that we started to 15 know, early discharges through state parole, but 16 develop for the inmates. Who takes on that 16 not very many -- not as many as we used to. responsibility? Because we all know now, under the 17 17 So at -- the other controversial recommendation I'm 18 statutes of New York State, that once someone 18 going to make is that I really believe -- and I 19 serves their time, they max out, and they're know my county executive strongly supports having 19 20 released back into the community. So my 20 that conditional release process put back at the 21 recommendation is that no one really should be 21 county level again. It worked well in Westchester. 22 released back into our communities without some 22 We felt, in Westchester, that, you know, we -- we type of supervision -- without a period of 23 had a couple of high-profile cases that really went 24 supervision. 24 the wrong way or maybe things weren't done Page 104 Page 105 1 1 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2 correctly. But it really didn't mirror all the 3 good work that was being done in a lot of the local 4 facilities through conditional release commissions 5 in dealing with the -- with the inmates that were 6 housed in our facilities. So I would strongly 7 recommend that we look at creating in our statutes 8 some mandatory period of supervision for anyone who 9 is being released back into our communities so that 10 we can make sure that the transitional plans that 11 we are preparing are at least followed up for a 12 certain period of time, and also the -- taking back 13 the conditional release function, you know, to the 14 counties or at least making it optional. I mean, if some counties really don't want to get back into 16 that business again, that's fine. If not, you 17 know, we would -- we would certainly welcome the 18 opportunity to have conditional release come back 19 at the local level because I think we did it really 20 well, and we had one of the best programs, I would 21 like to say, in the -- in the state in dealing with 22 conditional discharge. 23 COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: Can I 24 ask --

COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: -- since you were -- you're starting out with policy recommendations, which we appreciate. The conditional release suggestion -- is that -- is it -- does it have to be mutually exclusive? In other words, can Parole exercise it for people on parole and probation or -- or exercise it for people on probation? MR. POZZI: Well, I mean, what -what we're talking about are people who were 12 sentenced, you know, to one year or less, you know, at the correctional facility. So I mean, right now, parole being spread out all over the place, you know, and -- and I'm sure, you know -- I'm sure Tony mentioned something, and George, if he were here, would talk about, you know, the resources that are available to them to really be able to, you know, respond to the request for early releases, you know, act upon them, and then actually supervise them, you know, for the one-year period. We would certainly welcome that -- that back.

MR. POZZI: Yes.

	Page 106		Page 107
1	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007	1	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007
2	COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: I see.	2	COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: Uh-huh.
3	MR. POZZI: You know, I	3	MR. POZZI: want to, you know,
4	COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: So it	4	do it, perhaps they can, you know, put the the
5	would be	5	law might be able to be restructured,
6	MR. POZZI: It wouldn't	6	COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: Okay.
7	really	7	MR. POZZI: you know,
8	COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL:	8	COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: And
9	taking that function away,	9	MR. POZZI: to allow that.
10	MR. POZZI: Yes.	10	COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: on
11	COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL:	11	the policy issues, we also had a recommendation
12	essentially, from Parole and giving it	12	or a thought, anyway that maybe the periods of
13	MR. POZZI: Giving it back to	13	supervision are too long and sort of testing one's
14	COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: to a	14	ability to be able to abide by strict conditions if
15	probation department.	15	it's five years that your term of parole is or your
16	MR. POZZI: to Probation	16	probationary term. Do you have a view on that?
17	yeah.	17	MR. POZZI: Well, you know, I
1 <i>1</i> 18	COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: And do	18	•
			l always
19	you think other probation departments that are more	19	COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: These
20	stressed, less resources would they feel the	20	terms are too long?
21	same way about it or?	21	MR. POZZI: I remember having a
22	MR. POZZI: Well, that's why I	22	conversation with our former governor about that
23	say they may not. That's why you may want to make		particular issue, especially when they were
24	it, you know, a local option. If they don't	24	thinking about abolishing parole in the state, and
	Page 108		Page 109
1	Page 108 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007	1	Page 109 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007
1	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007		Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007
	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 it just seemed illogical that we were going down a	1 2 3	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 Now, I was talking I had a
2	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 it just seemed illogical that we were going down a path where we were looking for longer prison	2	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 Now, I was talking I had a conversation yesterday, you know, about the
2 3 4	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 it just seemed illogical that we were going down a path where we were looking for longer prison sentences, and yet we were looking to shorten the	2 3 4	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 Now, I was talking I had a conversation yesterday, you know, about the about sex offenders and the fact that, you know,
2 3 4 5	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 it just seemed illogical that we were going down a path where we were looking for longer prison sentences, and yet we were looking to shorten the amount of time that we actually supervise people	2 3 4 5	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 Now, I was talking I had a conversation yesterday, you know, about the about sex offenders and the fact that, you know, someone had we have a committee in Westchester
2 3 4 5 6	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 it just seemed illogical that we were going down a path where we were looking for longer prison sentences, and yet we were looking to shorten the amount of time that we actually supervise people that are back in our communities when they can do	2 3 4 5 6	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 Now, I was talking I had a conversation yesterday, you know, about the about sex offenders and the fact that, you know, someone had we have a committee in Westchester called Protect the Kids. You know, we were talking
2 3 4 5 6 7	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 it just seemed illogical that we were going down a path where we were looking for longer prison sentences, and yet we were looking to shorten the amount of time that we actually supervise people that are back in our communities when they can do the most harm to people. And we want to make sure,	2 3 4 5 6 7	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 Now, I was talking I had a conversation yesterday, you know, about the about sex offenders and the fact that, you know, someone had we have a committee in Westchester called Protect the Kids. You know, we were talking about the length of probation sentences for sex
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 it just seemed illogical that we were going down a path where we were looking for longer prison sentences, and yet we were looking to shorten the amount of time that we actually supervise people that are back in our communities when they can do the most harm to people. And we want to make sure, you know, that they are following the plan the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 Now, I was talking I had a conversation yesterday, you know, about the about sex offenders and the fact that, you know, someone had we have a committee in Westchester called Protect the Kids. You know, we were talking about the length of probation sentences for sex offenders. You know, we've now doubled them in New
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 it just seemed illogical that we were going down a path where we were looking for longer prison sentences, and yet we were looking to shorten the amount of time that we actually supervise people that are back in our communities when they can do the most harm to people. And we want to make sure, you know, that they are following the plan the release plan that has been developed by Parole. So	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 Now, I was talking I had a conversation yesterday, you know, about the about sex offenders and the fact that, you know, someone had we have a committee in Westchester called Protect the Kids. You know, we were talking about the length of probation sentences for sex offenders. You know, we've now doubled them in New York State over the last couple of years, where we
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 it just seemed illogical that we were going down a path where we were looking for longer prison sentences, and yet we were looking to shorten the amount of time that we actually supervise people that are back in our communities when they can do the most harm to people. And we want to make sure, you know, that they are following the plan the release plan that has been developed by Parole. So it seemed to be illogical. You know, it's it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 Now, I was talking I had a conversation yesterday, you know, about the about sex offenders and the fact that, you know, someone had we have a committee in Westchester called Protect the Kids. You know, we were talking about the length of probation sentences for sex offenders. You know, we've now doubled them in New York State over the last couple of years, where we went from three years of supervision to six years
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 it just seemed illogical that we were going down a path where we were looking for longer prison sentences, and yet we were looking to shorten the amount of time that we actually supervise people that are back in our communities when they can do the most harm to people. And we want to make sure, you know, that they are following the plan the release plan that has been developed by Parole. So it seemed to be illogical. You know, it's it it didn't it didn't make sense. So, you know,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 Now, I was talking I had a conversation yesterday, you know, about the about sex offenders and the fact that, you know, someone had we have a committee in Westchester called Protect the Kids. You know, we were talking about the length of probation sentences for sex offenders. You know, we've now doubled them in New York State over the last couple of years, where we went from three years of supervision to six years on a misdemeanor, and five years on a felony to ten
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 it just seemed illogical that we were going down a path where we were looking for longer prison sentences, and yet we were looking to shorten the amount of time that we actually supervise people that are back in our communities when they can do the most harm to people. And we want to make sure, you know, that they are following the plan the release plan that has been developed by Parole. So it seemed to be illogical. You know, it's it it didn't it didn't make sense. So, you know, thankfully, you know, we we really never did	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 Now, I was talking I had a conversation yesterday, you know, about the about sex offenders and the fact that, you know, someone had we have a committee in Westchester called Protect the Kids. You know, we were talking about the length of probation sentences for sex offenders. You know, we've now doubled them in New York State over the last couple of years, where we went from three years of supervision to six years on a misdemeanor, and five years on a felony to ten years. And someone had brought to the table,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 it just seemed illogical that we were going down a path where we were looking for longer prison sentences, and yet we were looking to shorten the amount of time that we actually supervise people that are back in our communities when they can do the most harm to people. And we want to make sure, you know, that they are following the plan the release plan that has been developed by Parole. So it seemed to be illogical. You know, it's it it didn't it didn't make sense. So, you know, thankfully, you know, we we really never did abolish, you know, parole in New York State, and we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 Now, I was talking I had a conversation yesterday, you know, about the about sex offenders and the fact that, you know, someone had we have a committee in Westchester called Protect the Kids. You know, we were talking about the length of probation sentences for sex offenders. You know, we've now doubled them in New York State over the last couple of years, where we went from three years of supervision to six years on a misdemeanor, and five years on a felony to ten years. And someone had brought to the table, should we be considering lifetime probation for sex
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 it just seemed illogical that we were going down a path where we were looking for longer prison sentences, and yet we were looking to shorten the amount of time that we actually supervise people that are back in our communities when they can do the most harm to people. And we want to make sure, you know, that they are following the plan the release plan that has been developed by Parole. So it seemed to be illogical. You know, it's it it didn't it didn't make sense. So, you know, thankfully, you know, we we really never did abolish, you know, parole in New York State, and we do have a period of supervision. I think what you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 Now, I was talking I had a conversation yesterday, you know, about the about sex offenders and the fact that, you know, someone had we have a committee in Westchester called Protect the Kids. You know, we were talking about the length of probation sentences for sex offenders. You know, we've now doubled them in New York State over the last couple of years, where we went from three years of supervision to six years on a misdemeanor, and five years on a felony to ten years. And someone had brought to the table, should we be considering lifetime probation for sex offenders? And when you look at the statutes
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 it just seemed illogical that we were going down a path where we were looking for longer prison sentences, and yet we were looking to shorten the amount of time that we actually supervise people that are back in our communities when they can do the most harm to people. And we want to make sure, you know, that they are following the plan the release plan that has been developed by Parole. So it seemed to be illogical. You know, it's it it didn't it didn't make sense. So, you know, thankfully, you know, we we really never did abolish, you know, parole in New York State, and we do have a period of supervision. I think what you need to have is maybe some flexibility in that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 Now, I was talking I had a conversation yesterday, you know, about the about sex offenders and the fact that, you know, someone had we have a committee in Westchester called Protect the Kids. You know, we were talking about the length of probation sentences for sex offenders. You know, we've now doubled them in New York State over the last couple of years, where we went from three years of supervision to six years on a misdemeanor, and five years on a felony to ten years. And someone had brought to the table, should we be considering lifetime probation for sex offenders? And when you look at the statutes throughout the country, lifetime is really not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 it just seemed illogical that we were going down a path where we were looking for longer prison sentences, and yet we were looking to shorten the amount of time that we actually supervise people that are back in our communities when they can do the most harm to people. And we want to make sure, you know, that they are following the plan the release plan that has been developed by Parole. So it seemed to be illogical. You know, it's it it didn't it didn't make sense. So, you know, thankfully, you know, we we really never did abolish, you know, parole in New York State, and we do have a period of supervision. I think what you need to have is maybe some flexibility in that in that period, you know, that if someone is doing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 Now, I was talking I had a conversation yesterday, you know, about the about sex offenders and the fact that, you know, someone had we have a committee in Westchester called Protect the Kids. You know, we were talking about the length of probation sentences for sex offenders. You know, we've now doubled them in New York State over the last couple of years, where we went from three years of supervision to six years on a misdemeanor, and five years on a felony to ten years. And someone had brought to the table, should we be considering lifetime probation for sex offenders? And when you look at the statutes throughout the country, lifetime is really not lifetime in a lot of these jurisdictions. I think
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 it just seemed illogical that we were going down a path where we were looking for longer prison sentences, and yet we were looking to shorten the amount of time that we actually supervise people that are back in our communities when they can do the most harm to people. And we want to make sure, you know, that they are following the plan the release plan that has been developed by Parole. So it seemed to be illogical. You know, it's it it didn't it didn't make sense. So, you know, thankfully, you know, we we really never did abolish, you know, parole in New York State, and we do have a period of supervision. I think what you need to have is maybe some flexibility in that in that period, you know, you have the option of doing really well, you know, you have the option of doing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 Now, I was talking I had a conversation yesterday, you know, about the about sex offenders and the fact that, you know, someone had we have a committee in Westchester called Protect the Kids. You know, we were talking about the length of probation sentences for sex offenders. You know, we've now doubled them in New York State over the last couple of years, where we went from three years of supervision to six years on a misdemeanor, and five years on a felony to ten years. And someone had brought to the table, should we be considering lifetime probation for sex offenders? And when you look at the statutes throughout the country, lifetime is really not lifetime in a lot of these jurisdictions. I think in Arizona, which was one of the first states to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 it just seemed illogical that we were going down a path where we were looking for longer prison sentences, and yet we were looking to shorten the amount of time that we actually supervise people that are back in our communities when they can do the most harm to people. And we want to make sure, you know, that they are following the plan the release plan that has been developed by Parole. So it seemed to be illogical. You know, it's it it didn't it didn't make sense. So, you know, thankfully, you know, we we really never did abolish, you know, parole in New York State, and we do have a period of supervision. I think what you need to have is maybe some flexibility in that in that period, you know, that if someone is doing really well, you know, you have the option of doing an early discharge, which I think is you know,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 Now, I was talking I had a conversation yesterday, you know, about the about sex offenders and the fact that, you know, someone had we have a committee in Westchester called Protect the Kids. You know, we were talking about the length of probation sentences for sex offenders. You know, we've now doubled them in New York State over the last couple of years, where we went from three years of supervision to six years on a misdemeanor, and five years on a felony to ten years. And someone had brought to the table, should we be considering lifetime probation for sex offenders? And when you look at the statutes throughout the country, lifetime is really not lifetime in a lot of these jurisdictions. I think in Arizona, which was one of the first states to develop lifetime probation, you know, for sex
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 it just seemed illogical that we were going down a path where we were looking for longer prison sentences, and yet we were looking to shorten the amount of time that we actually supervise people that are back in our communities when they can do the most harm to people. And we want to make sure, you know, that they are following the plan the release plan that has been developed by Parole. So it seemed to be illogical. You know, it's it it didn't it didn't make sense. So, you know, thankfully, you know, we we really never did abolish, you know, parole in New York State, and we do have a period of supervision. I think what you need to have is maybe some flexibility in that in that period, you know, that if someone is doing really well, you know, you have the option of doing an early discharge, which I think is you know, is possible. So you know, length of supervision	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 Now, I was talking I had a conversation yesterday, you know, about the about sex offenders and the fact that, you know, someone had we have a committee in Westchester called Protect the Kids. You know, we were talking about the length of probation sentences for sex offenders. You know, we've now doubled them in New York State over the last couple of years, where we went from three years of supervision to six years on a misdemeanor, and five years on a felony to ten years. And someone had brought to the table, should we be considering lifetime probation for sex offenders? And when you look at the statutes throughout the country, lifetime is really not lifetime in a lot of these jurisdictions. I think in Arizona, which was one of the first states to develop lifetime probation, you know, for sex offenders, I think the average length was about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 it just seemed illogical that we were going down a path where we were looking for longer prison sentences, and yet we were looking to shorten the amount of time that we actually supervise people that are back in our communities when they can do the most harm to people. And we want to make sure, you know, that they are following the plan the release plan that has been developed by Parole. So it seemed to be illogical. You know, it's it it didn't it didn't make sense. So, you know, thankfully, you know, we we really never did abolish, you know, parole in New York State, and we do have a period of supervision. I think what you need to have is maybe some flexibility in that in that period, you know, that if someone is doing really well, you know, you have the option of doing an early discharge, which I think is you know, is possible. So you know, length of supervision you know, I I think it it really	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 Now, I was talking I had a conversation yesterday, you know, about the about sex offenders and the fact that, you know, someone had we have a committee in Westchester called Protect the Kids. You know, we were talking about the length of probation sentences for sex offenders. You know, we've now doubled them in New York State over the last couple of years, where we went from three years of supervision to six years on a misdemeanor, and five years on a felony to ten years. And someone had brought to the table, should we be considering lifetime probation for sex offenders? And when you look at the statutes throughout the country, lifetime is really not lifetime in a lot of these jurisdictions. I think in Arizona, which was one of the first states to develop lifetime probation, you know, for sex offenders, I think the average length was about fourteen years. And then the recommendation was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 it just seemed illogical that we were going down a path where we were looking for longer prison sentences, and yet we were looking to shorten the amount of time that we actually supervise people that are back in our communities when they can do the most harm to people. And we want to make sure, you know, that they are following the plan the release plan that has been developed by Parole. So it seemed to be illogical. You know, it's it it didn't it didn't make sense. So, you know, thankfully, you know, we we really never did abolish, you know, parole in New York State, and we do have a period of supervision. I think what you need to have is maybe some flexibility in that in that period, you know, that if someone is doing really well, you know, you have the option of doing an early discharge, which I think is you know, is possible. So you know, length of supervision you know, I I think it it really determines you know, it should really be based	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 Now, I was talking I had a conversation yesterday, you know, about the about sex offenders and the fact that, you know, someone had we have a committee in Westchester called Protect the Kids. You know, we were talking about the length of probation sentences for sex offenders. You know, we've now doubled them in New York State over the last couple of years, where we went from three years of supervision to six years on a misdemeanor, and five years on a felony to ten years. And someone had brought to the table, should we be considering lifetime probation for sex offenders? And when you look at the statutes throughout the country, lifetime is really not lifetime in a lot of these jurisdictions. I think in Arizona, which was one of the first states to develop lifetime probation, you know, for sex offenders, I think the average length was about fourteen years. And then the recommendation was made to the court for a discharge at that point.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 20 21 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 it just seemed illogical that we were going down a path where we were looking for longer prison sentences, and yet we were looking to shorten the amount of time that we actually supervise people that are back in our communities when they can do the most harm to people. And we want to make sure, you know, that they are following the plan the release plan that has been developed by Parole. So it seemed to be illogical. You know, it's it it didn't it didn't make sense. So, you know, thankfully, you know, we we really never did abolish, you know, parole in New York State, and we do have a period of supervision. I think what you need to have is maybe some flexibility in that in that period, you know, that if someone is doing really well, you know, you have the option of doing an early discharge, which I think is you know, is possible. So you know, length of supervision you know, I I think it it really determines you know, it should really be based on the individual, you know, themselves, you know,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Now, I was talking I had a conversation yesterday, you know, about the about sex offenders and the fact that, you know, someone had we have a committee in Westchester called Protect the Kids. You know, we were talking about the length of probation sentences for sex offenders. You know, we've now doubled them in New York State over the last couple of years, where we went from three years of supervision to six years on a misdemeanor, and five years on a felony to ten years. And someone had brought to the table, should we be considering lifetime probation for sex offenders? And when you look at the statutes throughout the country, lifetime is really not lifetime in a lot of these jurisdictions. I think in Arizona, which was one of the first states to develop lifetime probation, you know, for sex offenders, I think the average length was about fourteen years. And then the recommendation was made to the court for a discharge at that point.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 it just seemed illogical that we were going down a path where we were looking for longer prison sentences, and yet we were looking to shorten the amount of time that we actually supervise people that are back in our communities when they can do the most harm to people. And we want to make sure, you know, that they are following the plan the release plan that has been developed by Parole. So it seemed to be illogical. You know, it's it it didn't it didn't make sense. So, you know, thankfully, you know, we we really never did abolish, you know, parole in New York State, and we do have a period of supervision. I think what you need to have is maybe some flexibility in that in that period, you know, that if someone is doing really well, you know, you have the option of doing an early discharge, which I think is you know, is possible. So you know, length of supervision you know, I I think it it really determines you know, it should really be based	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 Now, I was talking I had a conversation yesterday, you know, about the about sex offenders and the fact that, you know, someone had we have a committee in Westchester called Protect the Kids. You know, we were talking about the length of probation sentences for sex offenders. You know, we've now doubled them in New York State over the last couple of years, where we went from three years of supervision to six years on a misdemeanor, and five years on a felony to ten years. And someone had brought to the table, should we be considering lifetime probation for sex offenders? And when you look at the statutes throughout the country, lifetime is really not lifetime in a lot of these jurisdictions. I think in Arizona, which was one of the first states to develop lifetime probation, you know, for sex offenders, I think the average length was about fourteen years. And then the recommendation was made to the court for a discharge at that point.

Page 111 Page 110 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 1 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 2 know, what do you -- what do you gain in those four Yeah. Tony? 3 years? You know, for a lot of people, you -- you 3 MR. ANNUCCI: Quick question --4 may not need those four years. But for other 4 if -- if I remember correctly, when we first 5 5 switched from Parole to local conditional release people, you may really need to keep some of these 6 folks on lifetime probation supervision. They may 6 commissions, I remember anecdotally people saying 7 not meet the so-called, you know, confinement 7 that the numbers went way down because, keeping requirements, but yet may -- may need that -- that locally, the -- the locals were very resistant to 8 9 supervision in our -- while they remain in our making releases. Apparently, that wasn't your case 10 communities. 10 in -- in Westchester? 11 11 So what I threw out on the table MR. POZZI: No, it wasn't. 12 was, what about like what we do with civil 12 MR. ANNUCCI: Can -- can you confinement, where we can go back into court and 13 13 speak to it for the other counties where there's a maybe give a consideration for an extension of that 14 lot of -- was there a lot of hesitancy? sentence based on what's happening with that 15 MR. POZZI: I believe there was 16 particular probationer, instead of coming up with 16 some hesitancy on the part of other counties -lifetime probation for everyone? 17 17 I -- if you want to look at how we developed and we 18 COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: For 18 created. And I think Bob Maccarone, actually, at 19 everybody -that point -- Bob was in Westchester at that point 19 20 MR. POZZI: Yeah. So -and should get the kudos for developing, you know, 20 21 COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: Uh-huh. 21 that concept of how we handled conditional release. 22 MR. POZZI: -- that -- that might We actually created a conditional release 23 be, you know, a thought, you know, for -- for the 23 commission of three to four people who were not 24 length. 24 tied to any governmental agency. They were, you Page 112 Page 113 1 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 2 know, citizens of -- of Westchester that releases. So when we did put those programs in at 3 sat -- had some experience, you know, in -- in the Department of Corrections, we found that the 3 4 criminal justice. Maybe -- you know, we had -- at 4 release rate went up proportionately. And we found 5 one point, we had a professor from John Jay 5 that a high percentage of people were able to 6 University on it that was the director of criminal 6 complete that one-year period of supervision 7 justice programs. We had former -- former 7 without violating and were able to really do 8 employees that -- former probation director was on something more constructive in the community than 9 the commission -- people who had knowledge but 9 staying in the local correctional facility. 10 really weren't tied to any agency. And they act as 10 Now, when you look at what 11

24

11 an independent body. And actually, Probation 12 prepared the reports, you know, for people who were 13 applying for the conditional release, and they looked at it very seriously. And in the very beginning, they were very reluctant to let people 16 out because, at that point, when I had taken over 17 Corrections, there were very few programs available 18 to inmates. So the conditional release commission 19 was requesting from the Commissioner of Corrections 20 more programs so that these folks can at least 21 demonstrate the willingness to want to correct 22 their behavior and start dealing with some of the 23 deficiencies that they -- they had. And at that

point, they might give consideration for early

happened with a lot of other counties -- you know, 12 and probably Bob -- Bob Maccarone would be able to 13 give you, you know, some specifics on some other counties. They didn't structure it that way. I 14 mean, in some counties you had a Director of Probation that was actually part of the conditional 17 release commission and some other folks. You know, and perhaps there could have been a charge, you 18 know, by, you know, the county executive or by 19 20 somebody that -- we really don't want to be 21 considering a whole lot of releases for these type 22 of folks, where we didn't have that in Westchester. 23 They actually had an independent body that was able

to -- to function, and we supported it.

Page 114 Page 115 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 2 COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: Okay. should be doing. Or a lot of them, unfortunately, 3 We'll let you get back on track. have absconded from supervision. You know, they 3 4 MR. POZZI: Okay. 4 have warrants out for their arrest, and eventually, 5 COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: So --5 you know, they're taken into custody or arrested. 6 MR. POZZI: All right. And --And we're housing them in the COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: -- thank 7 7 local jails. On any given day, I have close to 8 eighty of those individuals, and the -- and the -you. 9 MR. POZZI: -- of course, I'm --9 and the numbers in New York City are huge. I think 10 I'm sure you're going to hear from a lot of other 10 it's over six hundred that Marty probably has that people about parole violators. And I'm talking are -- six -- six to eight hundred technical 11 11 12 about jail concerns. One of the things that we've violators that he has in his facility. And we've 12 13 been really, you know, looking to get some relief been pushing -- you know, and I know this governor 13 on are parole violators. At any given -- I'll just 14 has been very, you know, attune to, you know, some give you an example in -- in -- in Westchester. At 15 of the concerns that are being brought to his 16 any given time, I have -- well, there's two types 16 attention about these parole violators and trying of parole violators that we deal with. We have to move them out of the local facility into the 17 17 18 those are being held on technical violations. And 18 state facility because it's really felt that it's 19 when we mean technical violation, we're talking a -- you know, at that point, it's really a -- a --19 20 about people who have not been rearrested on new 20 a state sentence that has been violated, and these 21 offenses -- new criminal offenses. They are there 21 folks should be going back to the state facility to 22 because they violated the conditions of their 22 have these procedures -- these violation procedures 23 23 release -- not going -- not reporting, not going to continued. 24 drug treatment, not doing the things that they 24 And we do understand that, at --

Page 116

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

23

Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 in the local facilities, there was a -- a huge problem -- overcrowding problem at the state level. I don't think -- the good news is I don't think that that's a problem right now at the state level. So we really should be looking at -- at taking those back. I also made a recommendation through NYSAC, when we started looking at this issue, of Parole maybe creating regional revocation centers. You know, what you -- what you have is you have, you know, hearing officers running all over the state to perform these hearings. I had actually recommended that perhaps -- at Westchester, as an example, we have a ninth judicial district, which encompasses Westchester, Duchess, Orange, and Rockland -- that Sing Sing -you might be able to create a hearing center there where you don't have your hearing officer running all over to these local facilities and have these 21 hearings, and you can actually centralize these. 22 And I really believe there were some efficiencies for Parole there, that you might actually have some

savings, you know, of time, staff, and things that

1 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 at some point, especially north of Westchester, 3 that the logistics become very difficult, you know, 4 for -- for travel if -- if -- if individuals, let's 5 say, from -- from Albany or someplace else are 6 housed in a state institution that is, you know, many, many miles away from them. And the logistics 8 of getting the violation hearing heard is going to 9 be extremely burdensome for -- for the attorneys. 10 I think the recommendation was to try to have these 11 hearings still occur at the local level by -- you know, or maybe use of teleconferencing -- you know, 12 13 videoconferencing to have the hearings -- actually have the lawyers in the local facility and have the inmates, you know, back in the state correctional 16 facility. So I know that's under -- under review 17 right now as a possibility. But it really is 18 costing the counties an enormous amount of money 19 when you look at forty dollars a day that we get reimbursed from the state. My actual cost is well 20 21 over two hundred dollars a day to keep an 22 individual in Westchester County Jail. And -- and 23 I know that, initially, when the legislation was

24 passed, you know, to house these parole violators

Page 117

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

Page 118

```
Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007
2
    are needed in order to conduct these type of
    hearings.
 3
4
               COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: Well, I
5
    don't know if this even a subject of a separate
    discussion because it -- it -- it is a huge issue.
7
    It's a huge issue policy-wise. I don't know if it
    impacts directly on sentencing, per se. But you do
    have -- I -- I think -- Tony, you may know this
10
    better, but I think the average sentence that
                                                        10
11
    technical parole violators serve in the state
                                                        11
12
    correctional system is something like four months
                                                        12
13
    or six months or something like that --
                                                        13
14
               MR. ANNUCCI: Yeah. I think --
                                                        14
15
               COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: -- that
                                                        15
16
    is --
                                                        16
               MR. ANNUCCI: -- that sounds
17
                                                        17
18
    right.
                                                        18
19
               COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: --
                                                        19
20 relatively brief. And so when you really look at
                                                        20
21
    that from a policy point of view, if people are
                                                        21
22 repeat technical offenders who kind of go in and
                                                        22
23
    out and at very, you know, high cost, removing them 23
24 from the local facilities who are overburdened.
                                                        24
```

Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 cost-wise, because they house them, to a state facility, means moving them away from their homes and communities. So from a reentry perspective, you know, very little is -- is being done. And so it -- it -- it is part of the system that appears to be broken or not functioning as it should, and it's burdensome for counties and possibly to the state, and probably not achieving anything in terms of reentry of individuals back to society. So we'll -- we'll try to focus on that and see if maybe we can have a more lively discussion. But I appreciate you --

MR. POZZI: Okay. COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: -highlighting it.

MR. POZZI: Okay. And also, let me -- if I can now put my probation hat on a little bit, all right, and talk about some of the issues around probation -- I -- I don't know whether the -- the -- the Commissioner has had the opportunity to -- a lot of the things that I will talk about are -- are highlighted in this report that we've prepared for the chief justice, which

```
Page 120
1
       Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007
                                                             1
2 was a task force I know John Amodeo had worked
                                                             2
    with -- with us and actually drafted a lot of the
3
                                                             3
4
    language in this report that talks about the future
                                                             4
5 of probation for New York. And some of the issues
6
    that I'm going to be talking about that -- that are
    probation issues are really highlighted in this
                                                             7
8
    report, and if you -- you need a lot more
                                                             8
9
    information, I -- I would suggest that you -- you
    know, you -- you read this report. And it
10
                                                            10
11
    really -- this report only deals with the adult
                                                            11
12 system at this point. All right. I know the Chief
                                                            12
13
    Justice has now commissioned the task force to now
                                                            13
14 look at issues in the family court arena, which is
                                                            14
15
    going to be a report that will probably be coming
                                                            15
16 out at the end of this year, hopefully the
    beginning of next year, that will highlight some of
17
                                                            17
    the issues that I'm going to talk a little bit
18
                                                            18
19
    about, too, in family court.
                                                            19
20
               But let me start out at the very
                                                            20
    beginning because I know Tony had mentioned
21
                                                            21
22
    something about pre-sentence investigations and was
                                                           22
23
    going over pre-sentence investigations. And
                                                            23
24 actually, take it a step back. You know, in the
                                                            24
```

Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 utilization of these reports -- recommendation that judges should be utilizing, you know, probation and reports that we can prepare as part of the pre-plea process, where a lot of sentences or recommendations for sentences are being created. And there's a whole lot of information that could be available, you know, to the judges, to the defense bar and the district attorney through the creation of these pre-plea investigations. And a lot of people have always said, "well, if you do a pre-plea, then you're going to have to do another report, which is a pre-sentence report." You know, so you're kind of duplicating the efforts, but we're not really doing that because, if we do a pre-plea investigation, we would just update the pre-plea investigation and actually add a little bit more information that might be pertinent to the sentencing that would take place in -- in -- in the court, or support the plea that may have been negotiated by the court. So -- but the problem with that -- and one of the -- you know, one of the problems that we're having is actually resources available to probation departments to really do a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

Page 123 Page 122 1 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 1 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 quality pre-sentence investigation for the court. 2 think we -- we should be looking at -- and I know 3 I mean, right now, you know, the 3 right now the law allows for the waiver of the 4 numbers of reports that are -- that are being pre-sentence investigation. The pre-sentence 4 5 required to be prepared by -- by the officers, I 5 investigation should not be waived, especially on 6 mean, on an -- on a -- I mean, like, twenty a probation cases, when we are getting people back 6 7 month -- that might be the average, you know, that 7 into our community, because what I'm finding out is a probation officer is being asked to do for the happening is that we have a judge -- and I think 9 court. And it really -- you really don't have the 9 this was done with good intentions, by the -- by 10 time to do any enhanced type of investigations, the assembly and the senate and the governor, to 10 11 which we would like to do, you know, for the court 11 try to relieve the burden on probation departments 12 because, obviously, everyone knows that one of the 12 of having to complete as many reports as we were 13 most important functions in a trial is the completing. What we're finding out is that we 13 imposition of a sentence. And one of the things 14 still have to gather all that information, anyway, that we've been talking about is trying to do a 15 once someone is -- once someone shows up at 16 better report and actually turn the report around a 16 probation. If we don't have the pre-sentence lot guicker because it's taking a long time for 17 17 investigation, what is happening is it kind of puts 18 probation departments to be able to complete these 18 us behind being able to do a -- a stratification on 19 reports for the judges. And I know Tony talked supervision for that person because we've got to 19 20 about the value that they have, you know, for gather all the information and then, you know, look 20 21 classification. I know the value they have at the at it, and then assign a classification to that 21 22 local jail, too, you know, as far as classification 22 person on what should be the supervision level. So 23 is concerned. 23 if we get the report immediately -- if it's done 24 And one of the things that I 24 for the court, and it becomes available to us, we Page 125

Page 124

1

2

7

Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 can immediately start our supervision strategies. So I would recommend that -especially on probation cases -- that judges who agree to probation should allow for the pre-sentence investigation to be completed so that we can give them all the information we need, and we can hit the ground running. The first day that that person comes into the probation, we can actually start working -- doing some meaningful work with that person instead of trying to gather information on that person. It's very, very 12 difficult to have someone show up at the Probation 13 Department with just the orders and conditions from 14 the court, and that's the only thing that we have. So I would recommend that we -- that we -- that we 16 change that -- that part of the rule and that we 17 also, like I said, start using pre-plea investigations a little bit more. 19 The other issue is -- is 20 violation hearings -- you know, speedy violation 21 hearings. We cover that -- we -- we really cover 22 that extensively in this report -- you know, the 23 24 need for violation or probation hearings to be done

Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007

in a timely manner. We -- we understand that the court is already burdened with a lot of hearings, a

4 lot of trials. But one of the things that we're

finding out in probation is that when a violation

does occur, you know, we do create the declaration

of delinquency, and we file for a violation

8 hearing. It is taking too long for violations. If

a violation is not done speedily, it really loses

its effect. I mean, we -- we have people actually 10

staying in the communities with violations for 11

months before violation hearings are occurring.

Yes?

MR. BERGAMO: Are there special judges that are used for the violations or must go back to the original court?

MR. POZZI: I'm sorry. I -- I --

18 I couldn't hear you.

MR. BERGAMO: I'm sorry.

MR. POZZI: That's all right.

MR. BERGAMO: Are there special

hearing officers utilized for this or they must go

back to the original judge?

MR. POZZI: It's got to back to

```
Page 127
                                                Page 126
 1
       Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007
                                                          1
                                                                 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007
2
    the original judge.
                                                          2
                                                                         MR. POZZI: I -- you know, I --
3
                MR. BERGAMO: There's no changes?
                                                              I -- I just think that it's got to be some type of
4
                MR. POZZI: No. No. As a matter
                                                              mechanism that allows the court to address these
 5
    of fact, I know many years ago there was some
                                                          5
                                                              things speedily, whether it's hearing officers if
6
    thought about creating hearing officers. And I --
                                                          6
                                                              they're willing to delegate that authority to a
7
    it -- it wasn't around violations. And the --
                                                          7
                                                              hearing officer, or whether they create a
    another subject -- and we're -- you're leading me
                                                              special -- special -- you know, a special type of
9
    right into another subject -- is around upward
                                                              court just to deal with violations that would get
10
    modifications that need to take place that we've
                                                              them, you know --
                                                         10
                                                         11
11
    got to go back to court to get upward
                                                                         MR. BERGAMO: Keep them moving.
    modifications.
12
                                                         12
                                                                         MR. POZZI: -- keep them moving,
13
                MR. BERGAMO: Would you
                                                         13
                                                              you know. That -- we did that in Westchester. We
14 recommend, based on your experience, that there
                                                         14
                                                              actually, you know, had a violation court. We --
    should possibly be hearing officers to avoid this
15
                                                         15
                                                              we have that right now.
16
    delay -- just on violations, not about whether or
                                                         16
                                                                         MR. BERGAMO: Does it work?
17
    not --?
                                                         17
                                                                         MR. POZZI: It works real well.
18
                MR. POZZI: Whether it be a
                                                         18
                                                              You know, we're -- we're getting, you know, not as
19 hearing officer or whether it be --
                                                              quickly as I would like for them to be done, but a
                                                         19
20
                MR. BERGAMO: What would the
                                                         20
                                                              lot quicker, certainly, than they were before. But
    title be?
                                                         21
                                                              I think, you know, justice has got to be immediate.
21
22
                MR. POZZI: -- you know --.
                                                         22
                                                              You know, and I think it sends a very bad message
23
                MR. BERGAMO: What that title
                                                         23
                                                              back to the probation community that you can
24 is --.
                                                         24
                                                              violate your probation, and you're going to be
                                                Page 128
                                                                                                          Page 129
1
                                                          1
       Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007
                                                                 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007
2
    staying out there for months before something
                                                          2
                                                              And so I'm wondering how -- how will you -- how
3
    happens, and then the violation sometimes loses
                                                          3
                                                              will you speak to that, the fact that, like I said,
4
    its -- its meaning by the time the court gets
                                                          4
                                                              in my experience, it was their request that it be
5
    around to it. Yeah.
                                                              extended, and sometimes they were incarcerated.
6
               MS. STANFORD: So I take it from
                                                          6
                                                              But most often, they were not, as -- as you know.
                                                                         MR. POZZI: Again, you've got to
7 your last comment -- because my question was going
                                                          7
8
    to be, what's your concern in having the hearing
                                                              look at the -- you've got to look at it on a
9
    quicker. My experience as -- as a prosecutor was
                                                              case-by-case because, most of the time, by the time
                                                          9
10 that, in many instances, it was at the defendant's
                                                         10
                                                              a probation officer violates someone, you know, a
11 request or his counsel -- his or her counsel's
                                                              lot of things have occurred prior to that. A lot
                                                         11
12 request that there be a delay so that they would
                                                              of efforts have been made. We just don't violate
                                                         12
13
    have almost a second or a third bite at the
                                                         13
                                                              people at the drop of a dime. You miss a visit or
                                                              if you -- you miss a program visit or if you do
14
    apple --
                                                         14
15
               MR. POZZI: Uh-huh.
                                                         15
                                                              something. We just don't file violations
               MS. STANFORD: -- to try to get
                                                              immediately. Obviously, you know, there is -- we
16
                                                         16
17
    it right. So they'd extend the date by two, three
                                                         17
                                                              seek upward modifications or we try to do -- we try
18
    months to give them another chance to -- to do all
                                                              to tighten the tourniquet a little bit if we can to
                                                         18
19
    the things that he or she was supposed to do when
                                                         19
                                                              stop the bleeding by doing some additional things
20
    they were on probation. And sometimes they would,
                                                         20
                                                              that we can do. So by the time we file a
21 and then they would just go back on probation. And
                                                         21
                                                              violation, you know, the fact that the defense may
22
    then, sometimes, they wouldn't, and it would be
                                                         22
                                                              be -- you know, may be postponing or trying to, you
23
    revoked. It may be time served for whatever time
                                                         23
                                                              know, buy some time to see if they can straighten
24 they served. But again, it was at their request.
                                                         24
                                                              this person out, I can tell you that Probation's
```

```
Page 131
                                                Page 130
       Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007
                                                         1
                                                                Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007
2
    already done that. All right. Now, sometimes it
                                                         2
                                                             they were violating them. And then, when the judge
3
    does work. It does work. But I'm just going to
                                                             would suggest, "well, maybe you give them another
4
    tell you, Tina, by the time we file a violation,
                                                             chance," they might have felt that maybe they
                                                         4
5
    something serious has occurred or, if you check the
                                                         5
                                                             really didn't have the chance to do that. So they
6
    record, you'll see many efforts have been made to
                                                             were more willing to go along with it. So -- so
7
    try to deal with that behavior before we want to
                                                         7
                                                             those differences between probation departments
    bring it back to the court. All right. So
                                                             might be significant in the equation, too. So we
                                                         8
    we're -- we're not just violating people at the
                                                         9
                                                             could just keep someone from --
10
    drop of a dime. If we did that, you know, the
                                                        10
                                                                        MR. POZZI: That --
11
    number of violation hearings that would be
                                                                        MS. STANFORD: -- actually
                                                        11
12
    scheduled would be just astronomical.
                                                        12
                                                             getting --
               MS. STANFORD: And maybe that
13
                                                        13
                                                                        MR. POZZI: -- that -- that --
14 speaks to -- to the issue of the difference.
                                                        14
                                                             that --.
    perhaps, between your county and your department 15
                                                                        MS. STANFORD: -- getting more
16
    and other counties because I'm from Erie County,
                                                        16
                                                             support for probation.
17
    and I think maybe the difference is the -- the
                                                        17
                                                                        MR. POZZI: That's true. I mean.
18 number of support staff, the -- the quality of the
                                                        18
                                                             it could be a reaction to high caseloads. We don't
19 ability to -- to follow that probationer,
                                                             have time, you know, to do things with people. So
                                                        19
20 because -- I won't say that they were violating
                                                             the easy solution is to find violations, and you
                                                        20
    them at the drop of a hat, but I think that they
                                                        21
                                                             don't have them on their -- on your caseloads any
21
22 had less opportunity to get them to comply. And
                                                        22
                                                             longer. That is atypical to what happens in
23
    because they couldn't get them to comply -- they
                                                        23
                                                             Westchester. I -- you -- you're -- you're right,
24 didn't have the time to supervise adequately --
                                                        24
                                                             Tina. You're absolutely right.
                                                Page 132
                                                                                                         Page 133
 1
       Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007
                                                         1
                                                                Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007
2
               COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: But --
                                                         2
                                                             sanctions, you know, to that particular case,
 3
    but --
                                                             without having the burden of courts, you know, or
4
               MR. POZZI: Yes.
                                                         4
                                                             have -- have -- you know, have us be able to do
5
               COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: -- you'd
                                                             something for a certain period of time and then
6
    agree, probably, that the best policy, whether it's
                                                             have it reviewed by a hearing officer, you know, to
    probation or -- or parole, is a system of graduated
                                                         7
                                                             make sure that we're in line with the things that
8
    sanctions that you have the resources to institute
                                                         8
                                                             we're recommending as far as the upward
9
    and follow --
                                                             modification is concerned. If there is a concern
10
               MR. POZZI: Absolutely.
                                                        10
                                                             by a defense that maybe probation might be going
11
               COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: -- so
                                                             too far or maybe they're imposing some, you know,
                                                        11
12
    that you can take things away. You can take
                                                        12
                                                             additional sanctions that are not necessary, there
13
    privileges away, you can require more frequent
                                                             always should be a review process. But I think
    visits. You can impose a number of other graduated 14
                                                             there has to be a mechanism developed that allows
15
    sanctions before you get to the ultimate one of
                                                        15
                                                             us to do that and really use violation as, you
16
    violations.
                                                             know, the last alternative. You know, and
17
               MR. POZZI: That -- that's why I
                                                             unfortunately, that's -- you know, that is the
                                                        17
    was kind of suggesting -- you know, even though I
18
                                                        18
                                                             mindset in some jurisdictions, and it's not -- you
19
    kind of lumped it together, you know, the ability
                                                             know, it -- it is just not a New York phenomenon.
                                                        19
20
    to be able to get back into the court or get back
                                                        20
                                                             That happens in a lot of other jurisdictions that
```

22

23

24

were facing some of the issues that Erie County

reaction is to it, which is -- leads me into the

next -- next point, which is a healthy probation

might have been facing, and that's exactly what the

to someone that can -- or even allow Probation to

do certain, you know, modifications to the original

sentence that will allow us to continue and really

24 tighten that tourniquet and add some graduated

21

23

```
1
       Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007
                                                           1
                                                                  Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007
2
    system, because when you're talking about
                                                           2
                                                               from you, and you're going to wind up either in a
3
    sentencing, you've got to have a healthy probation
                                                           3
                                                               local jail or -- or a state prison sentence.
    system because, really, what is happening is a
                                                           4
                                                                           So we -- we need -- and I -- and
    contract is being -- is being created between the
                                                           5
                                                               I talk, and I like to use Westchester as a model of
6
    court and the probation department for us to be
                                                           6
                                                               a lot of the programs that we've been able to
7
    able to carry out those things that are being
                                                           7
                                                               develop to support the court and support the
    mandated by the court. And if you don't have
                                                               sentences that are being imposed by the judges.
9
    probation departments that have the ability to
                                                           9
                                                               especially in the creation of a lot of these
10
    properly supervise, to properly, you know, make
                                                          10
                                                               specialty courts that I -- I think are great. I
    sure people are adhering to those orders and
11
                                                          11
                                                               think, you know, we need to do more of them. I've
12 conditions that are imposed by the court, then
                                                               got -- want to talk about one that I recommended to
                                                          12
13 sentencing itself becomes meaningless because
                                                          13
                                                               the Chief Justice a couple of months ago that we're
    probation, in a lot of -- in a lot of ways, becomes
                                                          14
                                                               going to be following up on, and that's the
15 what a lot of people think probation is, which is a
                                                          15
                                                               creation of an integrated youth court. We are --
16
    slap on the wrist, and you've really gotten away
                                                          16
                                                               we are now finding, if I can make this simple -- I
17 with something, instead of really being held
                                                          17
                                                               mean, vou -- vou -- vou know. New York, I think, is
18 accountable -- you know, follow up on what the
                                                          18
                                                               one of three states now where, at the age of
19
    court wants you to do, change your life. And for
                                                               sixteen, you become an adult offender. Most other
                                                          19
20 Probation to be in a position where we can do those
                                                               jurisdictions are eighteen or seventeen years old.
                                                          20
21 graduated sanctions if you're not adherent to it,
                                                          21
                                                               And I understand that in Connecticut right now,
22 or we're in a position where we can take you back
                                                          22
                                                               which is one of the three states that is -- now has
23 into court, and you're going to pay the ultimate,
                                                          23
                                                               legislation pending to change that back to
24 you know, penalty of having your freedom taken away
                                                          24
                                                               eighteen -- and what we're finding now, especially
```

```
Page 136
1
                                                            1
       Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007
                                                                   Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007
2
    since we passed the PINS Eighteen Law, where I have
                                                            2
                                                                court. But again, you got to have a probation
3
    kids that are under probation supervision at age
                                                            3
4
    sixteen and seventeen and, at the same time, are
                                                            4
    acting out and have PINS behavior and are going
                                                            5
5
6
    into the family court and filing -- and there
                                                            6
7
    are -- there are PINS petitions being filed, and I
                                                            7
8
    have kids that are being supervised into criminal
                                                            8
9
    court, and I have kids that are going through --
10 the same kid that is going through the family court
                                                           10
    now on a PINS petition. And I have two different
                                                           11
12 judges dealing with that -- with that -- with that
                                                           12
13
    kid. So one of the recommendations that we are
                                                           13
14 making -- and this was a -- an idea that -- that
                                                           14
15
    really resurrected from our -- our now current
                                                           15
16 district attorney, Janet DiFiore, who was the
17
    supervisor judge, you know, of the Ninth Judicial
                                                           17
    District Criminal Court -- and Judge Cooney, who
18
                                                           18
19 was a former, you know, family court judge -- that
                                                           19
20 we really need to look at creating an integrated
                                                           20
21 youth court where these -- you know, these kids --
                                                           21
22
    these J.O.s and these, you know, younger offenders
                                                           22
23 that had the possibility of having actions take
                                                           23
    place in the family court be consolidated with one
                                                                residence, and we want to get him working. It's a
                                                           24
```

Page 137

department that is in a position that can provide meaningful supervision. The other thing that we're doing right now -- and if you -- and -- and it's very highlighted in the -- in the past court's report -- is looking at what we do with adolescents. I mean, even though we have now -you know, in New York State, we say sixteen you're an adult, as far as criminal activity is concerned. I think everybody in this room knows that the cognitive development of a sixteen-year-old isn't quite there yet. You know, and we really don't cognitively develop until we're about -- fully developed until age twenty-five. And yet, the mentality in the state and Probation has been to treat that sixteen-year-old the same way we treat the thirty-year-old and the thirty-five-year-old. I -- an example, you know, a thirty-five-year-old comes in most of the time convicted, and may not have a job -- doesn't have a stable residence. What do we do? Well, we want to get him a

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

13

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

7

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 138

Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 little bit different with a sixteen-year-old and a 3 seventeen-year-old. Yet we've applied -- we've 4 applied that theory to the sixteen-year-old. "We 5 want you to work." Well, what we're doing in Westchester now is, you know, instead of creating a 7 unit that is just based on charge, like sex offenders or D.W.I. or domestic violence, we're now 9 looking at this age group -- the sixteen-. 10 seventeen-, the eighteen-year-olds. And we're actually going to

11 12 supervise them on the family court model. And 13 we're not going to use adult instruments on them to determine risk or need. We're actually going to use juvenile or family court models. And the thing 16 that we're going to do is reunify these kids with school. And we know that that's going to be a 17 18 tough job right now because most of the schools 19 were very happy to get rid of these kids because 20 these kids that are on probation are the ones that 21 have been causing a lot of problems in the school.

22 But when it really gets down to it, I mean, what

kind of a job can we get a sixteen-year-old who's

dropped out of school or has been thrown out of

Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 school? You know, they're going to be working at McDonald's for the rest of their lives. So the theory behind this unit now is to try to, you know, reunify these kids with school or some type of vocational training program or try to redirect them and start dealing with those -- these cognitive deficiencies that they have because they're still developing. And I think that's something else that needs to -- we really need to start looking at. And I think that's a natural flow -- that unit, 11 12 perhaps, from an integrated youth program.

And the other -- the other thing about specialty courts, as far as sentencing is concerned -- and I -- I've given a packet to John -- actually, to -- to Gina -- you know, of all our specialty programs that we run that support the specialty courts. And in that package, I have put, you know, a description of those units. And also, I put in there the orders and conditions that we recommend to the court so that we can supervise these individuals properly when they're released back into the community. And that is extremely, extremely important, especially when you're dealing

Page 140

```
1
       Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007
2
    with sex offender issues, when you're dealing with
 3
    domestic violence issues. These orders and
4
    conditions become extremely important to the
    probation departments across this state because
6
    without those orders and conditions -- those
    special conditions -- we really -- not that we can
8
    ever guarantee anything in this field -- but it
9
    makes our lives a hell of a lot more difficult in
10
    trying to do something meaningful with these people 10
    unless we have those orders and conditions. And I
11
12
    know, you know, Bob will talk about that, and
13
    Marty's going to talk a little bit about that. But
    as far as sentencing is concerned, and when you
    look at some uniformity, you know, we really need
    to be looking at these orders and conditions and
16
    making them a part of our sentences. Even though
17
    we don't want to take away judicial discretion --
18
    I've always been in favor of a lot of judicial
19
20
    discretion. But I think our judges really need to
    be looking at these orders and conditions that need
21
    to be imposed on these individuals that are going
23
    back into our communities.
24
               COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: Right
```

Page 141 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 now, it's entirely voluntary for the judges --MR. POZZI: Yes. COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: -- in terms of whether they want to impose the orders and conditions?

MR. POZZI: That's -- that's -and I hate to use -- I mean, I don't want to come out and say that we should -- you know, that there's no discretion, that they must be imposed, I mean, because I -- obviously, you want to treat every case individually, and rightfully so. But I think our judges really have got to become very aware and understand why the Probation Department may be recommending a lot of the conditions that may seem foreign -- you know, why they need to be imposed at this point because -- I'll give you an example. Sex offense -- I mean, the -- it's -- we get a lot of -- a lot of news on sex offenders. What we've been able to learn. even conducting an enhanced pre-sentence

investigation and doing all of the psychosexual reports that we are able to do on people before sentencing, as part of their pre-sentence, if that

36 (Pages 138 to 141)

3

4

5

7

9

11

16

21

23

24

the court a number -- like thirty, thirty-six

the polygraph. So it's -- it's kind of

24 frightening. You know, and then we get some people

23

24

24

how --

1 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: -- you 3 know --? 4 MR. POZZI: -- use it. Yeah. We 5 use G.P.S. As a matter of fact, we -- we -- I 6 think I have the only unit in New York State where 7 I have probation officers that work around the 8 clock. We -- we are twenty-four-seven. We -- we 9 do our own --. 10 COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: So you 10 11 monitor your own --12 MR. POZZI: We monitor our --13 COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: --14 systems? 15 MR. POZZI: -- absolutely. You know, we use global positioning for sex offenders 17 in Westchester. We do real-time. That means we 18 can actually see where individuals are at any given 19 time. And we --. 20 COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: Is this 21 a bracelet or what --? 22 MR. POZZI: It's a -- yeah. It's 23 an ankle bracelet.

Page 145 1 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 bracelet --. 3 MR. POZZI: It's an ankle 4 bracelet that we -- that we utilize. And we do 5 both programs. We do the -- the global 6 positioning, and we do the traditional electronic 7 monitoring where it's -- basically, we're interested in people being at their home at a 9 certain time -- you know, that they leave in the morning when they're supposed to be leaving and they're back at home at a certain time. The G.P.S. 11 12 is used primarily for the -- for the sex offenders, 13 but we also are using it for our domestic violence 14 cases, you know, to make sure that, you know, people are not going into areas where spouses may be and things like that so we can monitor their -their activities. And actually, the nice thing 17 about it is that we were able to go back, you know, 18 19 and trace people's steps. In other words, if 20 something happened, and we think this person might 21 have been there, we can go back into the system and 22 actually plot that person's activities for the 23 entire day and find out whether or not they were

really there or not. So -- yeah. So we -- it --

MR. POZZI: -- we -- we --

COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: Ankle

Page 146 Page 147 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 1 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 again, any -- it's a tool. All right. It's one of 2 the -- the restricted areas and a lot of locations 3 many tools that we use -- like I said, polygraph. where sex offenders are not allowed to go, and 3 4 You know, G.P.S. is a tool. But you -- you really start plotting those points, you 5 they're only as good as the people who are in those know, we found out that, in certain areas, some 5 6 programs. I can have, you know, polygraph and people can never even live in an entire city, you 7 G.P.S., but if I have caseloads of a hundred and 7 know, because they would be violating their twenty-five sex offenders or a hundred and fifty probation because they're getting into an area that 9 sex offenders per probation officer, I mean, it's they're supposed to be getting into -- not supposed almost impossible, you know, for us to do anything to be near libraries or schools or nurseries or 10 10 really meaningful with those folks. You know, 11 11 things like that. So, you know, he's got to stay 12 SO --. 'X' number of feet away from them, and when you 12 13 COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: One of 13 really plotted them, there was no place for them to 14 the criticisms I frequently hear is, in densely really go or even live. All right. So it does populated areas in the city -- I don't know how become a problem. It's an evolving technology, as 15 15 16 Westchester plays in there -- that -- that it 16 far as the technology is concerned. You know, 17 becomes extremely difficult to -- to monitor people 17 there are some, you know, areas where you just 18 because their, you know, chances of passing a 18 can't pick up people, you know, that they -- I 19 school within, you know, every five-block area can mean, it's just like your cell phone service. You 19 20 be, you know, enormous. If you take a subway, you 20 know, you -- you're driving down the road. All of can pass twenty schools. Is that -- how do you get 21 a sudden, you have a -- you have a dead zone. 21 22 around those issues in -- in Westchester? 22 That -- that is -- that also 23 MR. POZZI: Well, you know, it 23 happens with -- with the G.P.S. technology. But 24 was kind of -- when we were looking at some of 24 it's getting better. I mean, it's -- it's Page 148 Page 149 1 1 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 getting -- now, in Westchester, we haven't had a 2 are available to people, and we're able to do more 3 problem. Now, from what I understand in some of things with them. So if you can kind of create --3 4 the, you know, large jurisdictions where you have 4 you can create a mindset that you can create a cell 5 tall buildings, you know, you could have some within the community by utilizing these 6 problems, you know, in tracking people when they go 6 technologies, you know, I -- I -- I think it's a into certain areas. But they're getting better and 7 much better way to go. I think it's -- it's much 8 better, and they're getting more and more accurate. 8 wiser sentencing if we can -- if we can utilize 9 But it's an evolving technology. 9 this technology. 10 COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: But it 10 MR. BERGAMO: A tangential 11 is something important for us to consider as we're 11 question -considering what people have to go to prison and 12 MR. POZZI: Yes. 12 13 what people we may now be able to keep out of 13 MR. BERGAMO: -- prior to prison or jails -treatment one point one and treatment fifty-five, 14 14 15 MR. POZZI: Absolutely. 15 is there any statistics you're aware of -- or COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: -someone is aware there -- is there any cure for 16 16 17 them? 17 because of the technology. 18 MR. POZZI: Absolutely. I mean, 18 MR. POZZI: Cure? 19 we're -- what it really allows us to do is to MR. BERGAMO: Sex offenders --19 20 create a cell in the community. That is much 20 any statistics that say for a five-year period, they're abstinent or stop? Or they're -- they're 21 cheaper for us and, to some degree, probably more 21 22 efficient for us. And we're able to do more things 22 sex offenders -- a propensity for life?

23

24

MR. POZZI: No. Well, the model

that we use -- and there are a number of models out

23 with people when they're in our communities

24 because, obviously, there are more programs that

Page 151 Page 150 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 there -- is we used the relapsed prevention model. 2 MR. BERGAMO: Well, what about 3 We treat sex offenders the same way we would treat 3 after probation? 4 alcohol abuse. It's a disease that they have, and MR. POZZI: With that -- after 5 probation -- after they leave probation -- well, it never goes away. There is always those cravings 6 or, you know, possibilities of these folks acting we're doing some studies right now -- some 7 out. And what we try to do through our treatment 7 longitudinal time studies on those folks to see, programs is to try to get them to learn how to deal you know, how long they, you know, stay 9 with those issues that cause them to act out that arrest-free. But most of the times -- well, again, 10 way. All right. 10 you've got to be very careful. You know, just 11 MR. BERGAMO: I guess what I'm 11 because they haven't been re-arrested doesn't meant 12 saying is, what is this offender's behavior? 12 they're re-offending. You know, sometimes, you 13 MR. POZZI: Well, I -- I'll speak know, we may not find that out. All right. But 13 14 for Westchester. All right. The recidivism rate 14 the -- but the recidivism for that particular in our sex offender program is -- is about -- is group, because of the interventions and the 15 16 less -- for new arrests on -- on sex offenders, you 16 treatment that we've been able to utilize, has been extremely low. As -- as a matter of fact, it's 17 know, knock on wood, you know, for the last two 17 18 years, we have not had anyone rearrested on a new 18 much lower than the general probation population. 19 sex offense that's under probation supervision. COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: But you 19 20 20 All right. What we are finding have the lower-risk sex offenders on probation. 21 is that there are technical violations. All right. 21 probably, right? Or is that not accurate? 22 Or there could be arrests on charges other than sex 22 MR. POZZI: No, it's not -- I 23 offenses. I mean, that does happen. But the mean, it's not accurate. I think, you know, the 24 recidivism rate has been below fourteen percent. mindset that most -- most sex offenders wind up in 24 Page 152 Page 153 1 1 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 a state correction facility is not true. Most of 2 philosophical difference between the approach 3 them wind up on probation. I mean, nationally, you Probation takes with a probationer and Parole takes 3 4 know, when you look at your sentencing, seventy, 4 with a parolee that leads to either a greater 5 eighty percent of the people who are sentenced in devotion of resources being made -- made available 6 this country are sentenced to a probation sentence. 6 to the Probation Department as opposed to Parole, 7 And it's -- you know, it may be a little less for leading to a lower recidivism rate because of your 8 sex offenders. But a majority of them wind up, you greater resources? I'm -- it seems as if they are 9 know, on some type of probation. And the reasons 9 almost identical functions. One may be a more risky population but can -- can -- how does one 10

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

10 why, you know, vary. I mean, sometimes, you know, 11 the case that the district attorney may have may 12 not be strong enough, and they have to plea it to a 13 probation to get something out of it. Or 14 sometimes, you might have victims that are so young that it would be so traumatic for them to have to 16 testify that, at that point, maybe a district 17 attorney may have to cut their losses, you know, 18 and say, "okay, we'll get the probation sentence, 19 and if anything happens then, at that point, we can 20 deal with a violation, which wouldn't require a 21 young victim coming in and going through the --22 through the system." So --. COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: Uh-huh. 23

MR. VANCE: Is there a

24

learn from the other, and what do we learn from both of your experiences?

MR. POZZI: Well, we do -- we do learn from each other, and we kind of do mirror, you know. The answer to your question is, it's very similar. All right. Obviously, the one thing I would say is, someone who is going away to a state correctional facility and is being released, you know, on parole obviously may have committed a much more, you know, serious type of offense than what we may have -- someone being released directly to the community. So I think it's a -- you know, probably a lot higher risk type of a case, you know, that parole may have. But as far as the

```
Page 155
                                                Page 154
       Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007
                                                                 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007
                                                          1
2
    supervision strategies, Tony, I -- and -- and I
                                                          2
                                                              same. The splitting -- going to the treatment
 3
    know George will probably come back a little bit
                                                              provider and saying, "this is what's going on,"
4
    later. George might be able to speak to this. But
                                                              going to the parole officer, going to social
                                                          4
5
    I would think --
                                                          5
                                                              services and getting a different story. It's all
6
                COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL:
                                                          6
                                                              pretty --.
7
    Felix, --
                                                          7
                                                                          MR. POZZI: Pretty much the
8
                MR. POZZI: -- that the
                                                          8
                                                              same -- right.
9
    strategies --
                                                          9
                                                                          MR. MCDERMOTT: The -- one -- one
10
                COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: --
                                                              major difference, though, is -- correct me if I'm
                                                         10
                                                              wrong. You need to -- your probation officers need
11
    you've been --
                                                         11
12
                MR. POZZI: -- work.
                                                              to go to a judge to get an arrest warrant to take
                                                         12
                COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: -- out
                                                         13
13
                                                              them into custody, correct, where a --
14
    there.
                                                         14
                                                                          MR. POZZI: That's correct.
15
                MR. POZZI: Yeah.
                                                                          MR. MCDERMOTT: -- parole officer
                                                         15
16
                MR. ROSA: Absolutely.
                                                         16
                                                              can just take them into custody and bring them to
                MR. POZZI: Yeah. It's -- it's
17
                                                         17
                                                              the local iail.
18
    basically --
                                                         18
                                                                          MR. POZZI: And that's --
19
                                                         19
                                                                          MR. ROSA: You still need --
                MR. ROSA: It's pretty much
20
                                                         20
                                                                          MR. POZZI: -- much more --.
    the --
21
                MR. POZZI: -- the same, you
                                                         21
                                                                          MR. ROSA: -- you still need the
22
    know.
                                                         22
                                                              warrant, but -- but yes. The parole warrant would
23
                MR. ROSA: -- it's the same. The
                                                         23
                                                              be by a --
24 problems are the same. The lack of housing is the
                                                         24
                                                                          MR. POZZI: You get --.
                                                Page 156
                                                                                                           Page 157
1
       Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007
                                                          1
                                                                 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007
2
               MR. ROSA: -- senior parole
                                                          2
                                                              cannot turn that particular person over to a
3 adviser.
                                                          3
                                                              correctional facility or to, you know, a police
4
               MR. POZZI: And -- and that's
                                                          4
                                                              department to be held pending the arraignment,
5 something that we're looking for right now. I
                                                              unless we can get a -- you know, an emergency
                                                          5
6
    believe the --.
                                                          6
                                                              judge, you know, if it's off-hours, you know,
7
               COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: Trying
                                                          7
                                                              during the middle of the night to do an arraignment
8
    to change -- it's --
                                                          8
                                                              so that we can get a -- you know, a certificate to
9
               MR. POZZI: I -- I know.
                                                          9
                                                              have bail set and then get the person held at a
10
               COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: -- being
                                                              local facility because I'll put my corrections hat
                                                         10
11 debated right now.
                                                              on. I won't take them unless I have a commitment
                                                         11
12
                                                              order --
               MR. POZZI: Right.
                                                         12
               MR. MCDERMOTT: Yeah.
13
                                                         13
                                                                         COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: Uh-huh.
14
               COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: I don't
                                                                         MR. POZZI: -- from a judge.
                                                         14
15
    know where it's headed, but --.
                                                         15
                                                              So --.
16
               MR. POZZI: I know P.P.C.A. has
                                                         16
                                                                         MR. LENTOL: It -- it sounds like
17
    put in a bill. And I've -- and I've worked very
                                                         17
                                                              there is a significant difference in the intensive
18 closely with Bob on trying to give Probation the
                                                              supervision that goes on in Westchester County as
                                                         18
19 ability to -- to be able to follow a detainer, you
                                                              opposed to the state, as well, with regard to sex
                                                         19
20
    know, if someone is acting out, that we would
                                                         20
                                                              offenders, whether they're low level or high level.
21 actually have a document that we can have that
                                                         21
                                                              And what significance do you think that plays into
    person held until the next morning where we can
                                                         22
                                                              the recidivism rate or their re-arrest rate?
23 have an arraignment. Because right, even though we
                                                         23
                                                                         MR. POZZI: It -- it -- it plays
24 can do a warrantless arrest, that probation officer
                                                         24
                                                              a significant -- it really -- it would play
```

Page 158 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 significantly. Our probation officers, you know, 3 not only provide the -- we keep the caseloads --4 right now, the average caseload is about twenty-eight per officer. And I'd prefer it to be at twenty-five. But anywhere between twenty-five 7 to thirty is the workload. Our officers also, you know, work -- we -- we do the treatment program 9 right on site at the probation department. They 10 come to probation and are -- the -- the treatment 11 groups that are run are run at the Probation 12 Department, and our probation officers are

13 co-facilitators of those treatment groups. So we know immediately if someone who was scheduled to be 14 in treatment on a particular night doesn't show up. 16 You know, our probation officers will react to that 17 right away. We'll be making phone calls, you know,

18 right then and there to find out why that person is 19 not there. All right. But they have developed the

21 co-facilitators, that they understand the treatment 22 issues that well, that the treatment providers want

20 expertise that they can actually be

1

23 them there, not only to provide security but also 24 to participate in the treatment. It's an -- I

> Page 160 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007

2 question --. 3 COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: We're 4 going to have to move on. 5 MR. SCHNEIDERMAN: I -- and this 6 may be -- not be something you can answer, but it'd 7 be very interesting to look at the different 8 caseloads that are spread around the state because, 8 9 twenty-eight -- frankly, it sounds high to you, but 10 it sounds low as compared to what I'm used to hearing about. And obviously, the -- what you're 11 12 saying, what we know intuitively, the size of the 13 caseload has got to be directly related to your

14 ability to provide the kind of supervision and 15 treatment you're talking about. MR. POZZI: Absolutely -- not 16 17 only for sex offenders, but for the domestic 18 violence cases. You know, we've got -- you know, 19 we try to keep small caseloads for the felony

20 D.W.I. cases, too. COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: Well, 21

22 I -- I will say that we struggled with our

23 invitation to Rocco because we invited him because 23

24 he really is forward-thinking and runs an

Page 159 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007

2 mean, it's unusual to hear that, that therapists

3 would welcome a probation officer being, you know,

4 in the same room when the group is going on. All

5 right. That's the kind of expertise that our

6 people have been able to develop. So again, I -- I

7 must reemphasize that the strength of that program,

you know, is the treatment. You know, we use a

9 polygraph as part of the treatment regimen. We use

10 G.P.S. But it's really the ability to have a

11 reasonable caseload, and we can do the intensive

supervision and to make, you know, the contacts 12

that we need to make in the community. Go to the

residents. Do collateral contacts. Talk to people

in the community about what's going on so we have a

16 real pulse about what's happening with that

particular individual and be in the position that 17

18 if we think that that person is ready to act out

again, we can get them back into court, get a 19

warrant, do whatever we need to do so that we can 20

21 prevent any future victimization.

COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: Eric?

23 This is the last question.

22

24

5

6

10

11

14

MR. SCHNEIDERMAN: Last

Page 161

Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 1 2 extraordinarily well functioning probation department and facility. But he's not typical of 3 4 the state in --

MR. POZZI: Right.

COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: -- terms of the resources and the programs and what you've been able to accomplish. And it's important that everyone recognize that. Maybe Bob Maccarone can address some of that globally throughout the state.

MR. POZZI: But there are a lot of -- I mean, there are a lot of good programs, you 12 know, --13

> COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: Right. MR. POZZI: -- in -- in a lot of

15 16 our other counties -- you know, the Nassau and

17 Suffolk. And, you know, it -- it -- we've been

very fortunate. I'm glad that he said that. We --18 19 we've been very fortunate to have a county

20 government, a county executive -- both my county

21 executives, you know -- you know, Judge O'Rourke,

who was the former county executive, and now, you know, Andy Spano -- and having a board of

24 legislators that were willing to make that

41 (Pages 158 to 161)

Page 162

Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 investment and to understand that making an 3 investment in probation and making sure that we 4 have a probation department that can actually 5 support the judiciary and do the things that the judges need to have done in order to give them a 7 comfort level. That's where it comes in. If judges have a comfort level that they can release 9 someone back into the community, and something's 10 going to be done with them, then I think even some 11 of those marginal cases where a judge may be, you 11 12 know, having doubts on whether or not that person 12 13 should be released, whether it's a sex offender or 13 a D.B. case that's very volatile, you know, if there's a good probation department, then the judge 15 16 might be more likely and inclined to -- to release 16 that person to that probation department because 17 17 18 they know something's going to be done. Not only 18 19 something's going to be done, but they know we're 19 20 in a position that if something happens, we can do 20 21 something about it. 21 22 COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: Thank 22 23 you very much. We really appreciate it. 23

COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: Not only

1 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 in the state but in the country -- on probation, on 3 programming, and -- and for incarcerated individuals, on -- on -- and I -- I'm glad to say 4 5 that we're joined by Roger Horn and, after Roger, 6 by Bob Maccarone, two people that's on the 7 commission. But -- but I hope that we can persuade them to serve as -- as enforcers for us, as helpers 9 for us as we work in our subcommittees. 10 If you want to just go out to

the -- he actually has held many different positions, both in the State of Florida and the State of New York. He has served as the Executive Director of the Division of Parole here in New York, facility -- correctional facility here in New York State. Now, he serves as the Commission --Commissioner for Corrections as the Director of the Probation Department for the City of New York and has a very long and distinguished bio that is -you can read it in the materials. We're really listening for valid and concrete suggestions -things that we should consider. We have a broad mandate, that includes a lot of things, including

alternatives to incarceration and reentry. And so

24

1

2

3

4

8

11

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 I'll just turn it over to you. Thank you --3 MR. HORN: Thank you very --4 COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: -- for 5 joining us. 6 MR. HORN: -- much. Commissioner 7 O'Donnell. It's a pleasure to be here, pleasure to 8 see old friends -- or friends of long duration. I 9 don't want to suggest that anybody's old -- and --10 and former -- former proteges. And I'm delighted to -- to be able to say that I've worked with Tony, 11 12 with Felix, with -- with Terry. And there is 13 nobody finer -- and I think what you've heard -what I've listened to already this afternoon, for 15 the short time I've been here, is just how passionate and how committed the individuals who 16 17 work in parole and corrections and certainly in probation in New York are. 18 19 And -- and one of the things that 20 comes through very clearly is just how complex this 21 all is. You've heard so much about the various 22 varieties of ways in which people can be 23 sentenced -- the various permutations of how people 23

get released and all of the challenges that they

Page 165 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 face. And if anyone can discuss how many angels can dance on the head of a pin, it's people who deal in the arcane area of sentencing computation in New York State. And I think that's one of 6 the -- one of the issues I want to address a little 7 bit, which is the complexity and the lack of transparency that our sentencing system has. And as Commissioner O'Donnell said, I -- I -- I -- I --I will endeavor to be a little bit provocative and 10 fairly concrete, as well, and -- and try and keep 12 within the -- the allotted time.

But I want to say that I'm going to challenge some of what has been said. In the interest of perhaps pushing you to think outside the box and -- and -- and doing some things that are a little bit more bold than have been done in the past. But I don't say it out of any disrespect for the work -- the -- the quality of the work and the devotion to the work that my colleagues do. I began my career as a New York State parole officer thirty-eight years ago. July 3rd, it will be thirty-eight years I've worked in this field, and I'm very proud of my work in

42 (Pages 162 to 165)

Page 169

Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 that area and proud of the people that I work with. 2 sentencing are essentially taxation decisions. 3 That said, I've learned a few lessons along the Sentencing is -- is -- is a decision to spend the 3 4 way, and I'd like to share some of that with you. 4 state's money on imprisonment. And you can even 5 And I want to make three -- kind of three points. 5 choose to spend more money on imprisonment or less First of all, I'll talk a little bit about 6 money on imprisonment. There are only two 7 discharge planning and reentry. And let me suggest 7 things -- and I'm sure Paul Korotokin will agree to you that it's very complex, in that how we do it with me -- only two things that determine how many 9 has a lot to do with sentencing, and sentencing has people are in prison: how many people come in and 10 a lot to do with our ability to do discharge how long they stay. And that's what sentencing is 10 11 planning, and that when we talk about discharge 11 all about. And so you have to bear that in mind. 12 planning, we talk about supervision. Whether it be 12 And I -- I -- so there are some choices to be made. 13 probation supervision or parole supervision, we're And all of the discussion that -- that we heard, 13 talking about two things, I think, and we always 14 that Terry was talking about -- merit time, have to keep those two things in mind. One of supplemental merit time, presumptive sentencing, 15 16 them, obviously, is justice. Obviously, our goal 16 Shock incarceration, early parole for deportation is to do justice. The other is public safety. And only -- why has the state gotten itself into this 17 17 18 that is the challenge. How do we simultaneously 18 crazy quilt of different ways of sentencing and 19 achieve a just outcome in a just fashion and also 19 ways of determining who to release and when to 20 provide for public safety? 20 release them. The state comported itself in this 21 I want to also suggest to you 21 way, quite simply -- and I'm free to say it --22 that sentencing and the decisions that are made by because the cost of imprisonment was rising. The 22 23 the legislature ultimately -- probably, I guess, number of people in the state's prisons was -- when upon recommendation of this commission -- about 24 I started in this business, there were fewer than 24

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 166

Page 168 1 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 ten thousand people in prison. In 1981, we tried 3 to get the state to adopt a bond issue. Right? We 4 were going to build enough prisons for a generation -- twenty-five thousand beds. And 6 today, you've got -- what -- sixty-five thousand people in custody? It's been as high as seventy 8 thousand. All of those things that you were 9 talking about -- merit time, supplemental. The 10 walls have doors. They were all MacGuffins that 11 had been created to allow us to keep the basic 12 structure of sentencing. I will say that I think 13 the best thing that the state has done is Jenna's law and the determinate sentence. So we can talk 15 about that a little bit, because I think that if sentencing is to be just -- and it has to be just not only to the offender, but it has to be just 17 18 from the point of view of the public. It has to 19 be, first of all, transparent, and second of all, 20 it has to be explicit. And I think it has a 21 bearing on district planning, and in my opinion, it 22 has a bearing upon how well a person will do, both 23 in prison and upon release. 24 And finally, I want to talk to

Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 you a little bit about the issue of capacity. And Felix, I think, gave you a wonderful exposition on what the work of a parole officer does. It is, in fact, very similar to what the work of a probation officer is. And I have nine hundred probation officers who work for me in New York City. And taking nothing away from them, I submit to you that the capacity of a parole officer or a probation officer to affect the outcome, to affect public safety, in reality, is de minimis. So let me talk about that a little bit.

As I said, sentencing has a very direct effect on prison population, and it is essentially a taxation decision -- how many people we imprison. There's a question of public policy, more so than any other barrier. Also, keep in mind, the decisions that you make about sentencing have a state and local effect. And you may make decisions to reduce the potential penalty for a certain class of offender, to move it from a -- a -- a 'C' to a 'D', to -- to change the allowable sentence. Would you be, in fact -- only making -- doing a shifting of the cost burden and creating an

43 (Pages 166 to 169)

potentially the most damaging of community

Page 171 Page 170 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 1 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 2 unfunded mandate for the counties? So if you take marginal utility of three or four months of 3 an offense for which state imprisonment -- if 3 imprisonment. Right. What difference does it make 4 you -- if you change the Rockefeller Drug Laws, and if a person spends forty-four months in prison 4 5 you take an offense for which state imprisonment is 5 instead of forty months in prison, thirty-six 6 now mandatory, and you make it a -- a crime for 6 months instead of forty months, thirty-six months 7 which an alternative sentence to a local jail for a 7 instead of forty-six months? And what are the sentence of probation is now permissible, you are, potential savings if the average length of time 9 in effect, creating a cost shift and an unfunded that each of the twenty-four, twenty-five thousand 10 mandate. 10 people who leave prison each year -- if the average 11 person serves six months less? Would there be a I also want to, by the way, 11 12 commend you -- and John Amodeo can speak to you and 12 substantial diminution of public safety if the 13 certainly share with you -- but Chief Judge Kaye average was reduced by six months? And yet, what last year commissioned a task force on the future 14 would be the potential cost savings? And let me 15 of probation in New York. It has a -- a great deal further suggest to you that imprisonment is not the 16 of discussion about sentencing, about the use of 16 only way in which we purchase public safety. 17 probation, and about the importance of pre-sentence Purchase -- public safety is something we purchase. 17 18 investigations. And I commend it to you, and --18 And could we use that money in better ways and --19 and certainly, the committee should give it some 19 and -- and purchase more public safety thereby? 20 consideration. Imprisonment, let me suggest, is only one of a 20 21 But again, the issue of probation 21 variety of options that are available to us as a 22 is a cost issue. How long an individual stays in 22 response to criminal conduct. It is the most 23 prison is obviously a matter that this commission 23 expensive. It incurs huge opportunity costs and is

Page 172

24

24 has to consider. But I want you to consider the

Page 173 1 1 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 2 vitality. It is destructive of communities. district attorneys and to defense attorneys who 3 3 don't understand that when a person gets a sentence Through the use of mass incarceration in this 4 country, the -- the -- we are not, as a society, 4 of whatever -- two to six -- if they go into Shock, very creative in our response to crime. I they can get out in six months, and they don't 6 sometimes wish we could go back to the age of the understand why they see them on line at the 7 scarlet letter, and I think one of the reasons we 7 shopping center. I have had violent arguments with 8 rely on imprisonment so much is because of social district attorneys who will accept a plea for a 9 disaggregation. The shame of having committed a 9 minimum sentence less than the minimum authorized 10 crime no longer has the moral effect on behavior 10 by law, agree to that plea, and then, when the that it once did in an agrarian community. So 11 Parole Board writes a letter saying, "we're 11 12 consider other choices with respect to how you considering Joe for parole; what do you have to say 12 13 provide for public safety, other than imprisonment. 13 about it?" the D.A. says, "what? You're considering parole? Keep it to the absolute, you 14 And the discussion I've heard here today has really 14 focused on imprisonment and parole, and I urge you 15 know, maximum." Well, D.A., you agreed to to consider other choices, probation among them. 16 something less than the statutorily allowable 17 But there's certainly many others. 17 minimum. So there's a whole lot of pockets that 18 I also want to suggest to you are going on in the system -- a lack of 18 transparency, a lack of explicitness. I believe it 19 that, in my experience after thirty-eight years, 19 20 most attorneys -- no offense -- the public and the 20 leads to a loss of confidence in the criminal justice system on the part of the public. 21 press poorly understand the sentencing process and 21 22 post-sentencing options. People don't understand 22 The adjudicatory process has 23 work release. People don't understand Shock. 23 meaning and implications for the operation of 24 Attorneys don't. I -- I have -- I have lectured to 24 probation for jail and for prison. Apart from the

44 (Pages 170 to 173)

1 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 workload issue, the perception of fairness and the 3 appropriateness of the sentence imposed bear on the

4 ability of corrections organizations to fulfill its

5 assigned task. Prisons and jails operate on the

6 perception on the part of the inmates that we are

7 fair. If they perceive us as unfair, we lose

legitimacy. If they come to us feeling they have

9 been treated fairly, they accept the sentence that

has been imposed. If, on the other hand, they feel 10

they have been treated unfairly, it compromises 11

12 legitimacy. It compromises our ability to manage

13 our facility in a safe way.

1

2

14 Bear this in mind, as well. In 15 New York State, most sentences -- ninety-eight percent -- are the result of pleas. There aren't 16 17 very many criminal trials. In New York City, in a 18 year, there are probably fewer than two hundred 19 criminal trials. Ninety-eight percent of the sentences that are imposed in the City of New York 20 21 are imposed as a result of pleas.

22 We have to ask, in that context, 23 to what extent judges actually are imposing a 24 sentence with due regard to the requirements of

Page 175 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007

1 2 Penal Law One Point Oh Five, which was changed last

year to say that among the purposes of the Penal 3

Law is to ensure the public's safety by preventing

5 the commission of offenses through the deterrent

6 influence of the sentences authorized, the

7 rehabilitation of those convicted, the promotion of

their successful and productive reentry and

9 reintegration into society, and their confinement,

when required, in the interest of public 10

protection. Judges pretty much are rubber stamping 11

12 plea agreements. And that's how most sentences are

13 imposed. So sentences are not imposed in a knowing 14

15

16

17

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

A sentence that's agreed upon without the preparation of a pre-sentence investigation cannot be deemed to meet the legal

requirements of Penal Law One Point Oh Five or the 18

19 requirements of Penal Law Sixty-five Ten, which

20 says that a person shall only be placed on

21 probation when there's reasonable expectation that

22 they will succeed and that it is not injurious to

23 public safety. If a judge places a person on

probation without the benefit of pre-sentence 24

Page 176

Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 investigation as the result of a plea, often at

3 time of arraignment, there is no way that that

4 could have been a knowing determination. And the

capacity of Probation to provide the kind of

6 supervision that Felix was talking about in

Parole -- and it's very similar -- is compromised 7

8 if the wrong people are getting probation. I -- I

9 have with me examples, and I can give you chapter

10 and verse of individuals who have been to state

11 prison, failed on parole, committed new crimes --

12 this time a misdemeanor -- and received probation. 12

13 Individuals who were sentenced as youthful

offenders went to state prison, came out, violated

15 their parole, went back to prison, were re-paroled.

violated their parole again, have lengthy arrest

17 histories, get arrested now as an adult for a

18 felony, take a plea, and get probation. It

19 compromises public safety. It undermines public

20 confidence in the system. And guite frankly, it

21 sets Probation up for failure. So if you -- you

22 end up talking about how we make probation more

23 effective, you have to talk about who gets

probation. Probation in New York is a default

Page 177

1 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 sentence. It's a default sentence and a sentence 3 that is entered into primarily because the 4 prosecution assesses the strength of their case.

And if they are not confident in the strength of their case, to dispose of the case, they'll basically offer probation and j'accuse -j'accuse the defense law because they agree. They should fight the case and win the case.

Secondly, with respect to

probation, at least in New York City -- and Bob can tell you whether this is true elsewhere -- but I believe in New York City there is this notion that, "well, we -- you know, okay." By the way, most people who get probation in New York -- you know, in New York, we have a -- a statute that says if you commit a crime with a firearm, if you're caught illegally in possession with a firearm, you must receive a jail term, unless the judge finds, on the record, that probation is called for. There were two thousand felony weapons violators on probation in New York City. When I asked the D.A.s why, they giggled, and they said "bad stops." They knew that

the gun itself was going to be suppressed. Right?

45 (Pages 174 to 177)

2

12

13

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

15

16

17

18

19

Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 But they said, "look. We know the guy had the 3 gun." It happens with drug cases also -- bad stop 4 "We know -- but at least we got the conviction. 5 He's got the first felony on his record. Next time, he'll be a second felony offender, and now 7 you've got the hook of probation. You can revoke

8 9 But here's the reality. The 10 reality is, you can't revoke probation in New York City. Judges, by and large, will not revoke 11 12 probation. It is an almost insurmountable hurdle. 13 Moreover, judges impose conditions on probation that they have no intention of enforcing. And when someone asked Felix to contrast the power of parole 15

16 and probation. I think that is exactly where the contrast is most stark. The Parole Board is 17

18 administrative body. The conditions are their own.

19 And they take those conditions seriously. When a

20 parolee is found to have violated the conditions of

21 their parole in a serious manner, the Parole Board

22 acts to revoke the parole. Judges impose

23 conditions that they have no intention of

24 enforcing. Conditions to pay restitution -- and

Page 179 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007

maybe there is a good reason, based in justice, not

to lock somebody up for not paying restitution.

But from a probation officer's point of view, if an 4

5 individual is not paying the restitution, from the

6 victim's point of view, there's not a whole lot we

7 can do. We can't shoot them in the kneecaps. And

the judge will not revoke the probation for failure

9 to pay restitution. They will not revoke probation

10 for failure to attend treatment. Judges in New York City simply -- simply will not revoke 11

probation for those reasons.

Most often, when felony probation is revoked and results in a sentence of local incarceration -- this is a -- a -- a matter of particular concern to me -- despite the fact that the underlying crime is a felony for which the sentence that was finally imposed, was not an authorized disposition. Let me explain. An individual takes a plea to a 'C', 'B' or 'A' felony for which the sentence requires a term of more than one year to state prison. They are placed on probation. Subsequently, we manage to prove they

Page 180

Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 actually, probation is rarely revoked. Usually, 3 it's plea bargained. They agree to plead guilty to 4 the probation violation, and the judge now sentences them to a year in local jail. So wholly 6 apart from the economics of this, the state's

gotten a terrific deal here, right? It's a cost 7

8 shift to the city, but one year was better than

9 authorize the sentence for that 'A', 'B' or 'C' felony. And it's something that's worth looking

10 11

1

12 Let me give you another --13 another example. And presently, there's legislation pending to fix it. An individual 15 placed on probation for a felony subsequently commits a new felony and is convicted of that new 17 felony and sentenced to state prison. In most 18 cases, the underlying probation term is either not 19 revoked at all or, if it is revoked, the probation 20 is terminated. And no sentence of imprisonment is ever imposed for it. And the new felony term is

21

22 all there is. I call it the free felony provision.

23 The person has committed the 'B' felony, received

probation, never did a day in jail, goes out,

Page 181

Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 commits a new 'B' felony, gets whatever the

sentence is for the -- for the second 'B' felony 3 4 and has done no time for the first 'B' felony,

violated their probation. Probation is -- is --

unlike the situation with parole. If a person is

paroled from prison for that 'B' felony and is on

7 parole, commits a new felony, is convicted of that 8 new felony and is sentenced to a term of

imprisonment for that new felony, on operation of

10 law, the underlying probation sentence is revoked, and the time remaining on the sentence is added to 11

the computation of the new sentence for the second 12

13 felony -- something worth looking at. 14

Going back to -- to the issue of fairness, with respect to the use of imprisonment, I think it's important for prison administration that inmates perceive the system to be fair. It's equally important that the public, victims, and the press view the system as fair. To that end, as I said before, I think sentences need to be

20

transparent, and they need to be explicit. That is 21

22 to say, they should be explicit insofar as all

23 elements of the sentence are clear at the time the 24

sentence is imposed, and transparent insofar as the

Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007

Page 185

Page 182

1

1 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 elements are clearly understood by all the parties. 3 And I'll speak more about this in a moment. But my 4 point is that there should be no surprises. We 5 should not have a situation where a district 6 attorney or a reporter calls the parole board 7 outraged that I gave this guy five to ten, and here he is back on the street. "Well, you know, he got 9 merit time. You know, he got supplemental merit 10 time." They don't understand that. That's not transparent, and it's not explicit. 11 12 I think the indeterminate 13 sentence is adverse to this goal. Inmates feel betrayed when they are held beyond their minimum, absent bad behavior. And that does happen. 16 Inmates are held beyond their minimum because the 17 opinion of the Board of Parole to release you at 18 this time would deprecate the seriousness of the 19 offense -- depreciate the seriousness of the 20 offense. In effect, the Parole Board is 21 re-sentencing. Now, we may want to keep the 22 indeterminate sentence. I submit to you, why is the judge and the D.A. imposing a sentence based on 24 one set of assumptions, leading the offender to

2 say, "gee, I took the plea; they told me I -- if I behaved, I'd be out at my minimum"? We used to 3 4 say, at Parole Board, "we weren't in the room when 5 that deal got made. We're not part of the deal." 6 Why not use the same set of guidelines? Why not 7 have guidelines so that the sentence that the judge imposes and the way in which the Parole Board views 9 the offense are the same, that they value the seriousness of the offense the same way? It --10 11 it -- it offends my sense of fairness, and I know, 12 from having spoken to inmates as a prison warden, as a parole officer, as a parole administrator, as 13 14 an agency head, it -- it -- it makes them feel they've been treated unfairly. Everything 15 16 that we need to impose a sentence is known at the 17 time of sentencing. We know his prior record. We 18 know what he did. There is no need for the Parole 19 Board to re-sentence an -- an individual based on 20 the Board's evaluation of the time that that 21 offense deserves. Why can't the Board and the 22 court agree? 23

The discretionary parole of an individual by the Parole Board -- no offense -- is

Page 184

24

1

2

4

6

7

8

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 an anachronistic process. It might have made sense 3 when there were ten thousand inmates and twelve 4 Parole Board members who could see every inmate and 5 spend a lot of time with them. But with over 6 sixty-five thousand inmates, it no longer makes sense. There is no way for the Board to know an 8 individual sufficiently to substitute its judgment 9 for the court's judgment, which was made in open 10 court, in full view of the public, with an 11 opportunity for all concerned parties to have been 12 heard. The evidence suggests the Board does no better than chance. The failure rate on parole 14 after three years is over forty-five percent. 15 MR. KOROTOKIN: Thirty-nine. 16 MR. HORN: Forty percent -- it's 17 a little better than chance. Flip a coin; you'll 18 do as well. One third of the admissions --19 thirty-five percent, Paul tells me, of the 20 admissions to state prison are technical parole 21 violators. That being the case, what value is 22 added by having a discretionary parole release 23 program? 24 I think that the considerations

Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 that should be taken into at the time of sentencing are incapacitation. Is this person dangerous to us? And then we should lock him up for as long as it takes for him to no longer be dangerous to us. The public has a right to be safe. And deserts -what does this individual deserve for the crime that he or she committed? And that, too, is known at the time of sentencing, and everybody has an 10 opportunity to argue about -- the defense, the 11 prosecutor, the victim. And the judge can render a 12 decision. The judge could spell out in open court 13 the actual time to be served and the timeframes for 14 work release, for supervised release in the 15 community, in open court, on the record, in full 16 view.

We know that most offenders -just about all of them come home. They all return to the community. We know, too, that the penal law now requires consideration of their successful and productive reintegration into the society. I believe that accomplishing that requires that, at the time of sentence, we have to give thought. The judge, when he imposed the sentence, has to think

47 (Pages 182 to 185)

Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 about that return. The prosecutor has to think 3 about that return. Joe Hines in New York -- in --4 in Brooklyn is doing that with his collar program. 5 I think it's the responsibility of a judge on --6 acting on behalf of the community, in the name of 7 the community, to take into consideration -- "I'm imposing the sentence, but, you know, that's not the end of -- this guy's coming back, and he's coming back into my county."

10 11 What's the process by which he's 12 coming back? If the goal is successful 13 reintegration back into the community, then the time spent in prison has to bear some relationship to that goal. I think, in my experience, that --16 for an offender to succeed after he's released. there are three things that we have to address: 17 18 sobriety, employment, and housing. I'm not a 19 teetotaler. I'm not a prude. But I believe that 20 sobriety is critically important, and there is too 21 little discussion. Eighty percent of the inmates 22 in our prisons and jails have a problem with 23 alcohol and other drugs. Prisons must be drug- and 23

alcohol-free environments. If we're going to talk

Page 187 1 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 about reentry, we have to talk about how inmates experience imprisonment. You can't talk about reentry simply in terms of parole. It's unfair to 4 5 Parole, and it's not going to succeed. If an inmate has been getting high while in prison, the inmate's going to fail when we release. It is the 7 correction of our system to countenance prisons and iails that are drug-free. Nobody does it by 10 design. Nobody does it intentionally. But we have 11 to pay attention to that. Moreover, we have to use the time that we have available to us while the 12 individual is in our custody to address their 13 14 addiction, and we have to do it more aggressively than we do today. Inmates have to be taught how to 16 get sober and how to remain sober. Substantial investments must be made in this effort and in 17

helping inmates stay sober upon release. If you're

making that investment, as far as I'm concerned --

not making that investment -- the state is not

the state's not really interested in reentry. I'm

past my pension date, so I can say these -- an

inmate who can't stay sober won't hold a job, and

an inmate without a job is an inmate who's bound to

18

19

20

21

1

Page 188 1 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 fail. An inmate without a home, as Felix said, 3 living on the street or in a public shelter, is 4 going to have a hard time staying sober. When I 5 have spoken to employment organizations, like the 6 Center for Economic -- for Employment Opportunities or Wildcat or Osbourne, they say, "look, the guy 8 comes out of prison; he takes the bus home; he 9 reports to the parole officer; and he doesn't know 10 where he's going to sleep tonight. He's going to a 11 homeless shelter. He's sleeping with one eye open because he's afraid somebody is going to steal his 12 13 sneakers, and they kick him out at six in the morning. He's in no condition to work. He's just hanging out with the same people who are going to 16 help him get high again. And if he gets high" --17 we did a study in New York of individuals who've been released from our jails and who fail. And we 18 19 said, "well, what -- what happened?" What we found was, most individuals, on the day of their release 20 from prison -- they intend to succeed; they want to 21 22 succeed. Their plan is to succeed. "Oh, yeah. 23 I'm going to go live with my 24 sister. I'm going to get a job. I'm going to do

Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 fine this time." Well, they go, and they live with their sister. And for the first week or two, they 3 go out, and they start looking for work, but they don't find work. As a state, the amount of money that we spend helping offenders find jobs is negligible -- negligible. A parole officer without 7 the ability to help an offender find jobs is a parole officer who cannot make a difference. So what happens? After a week or two weeks, the 10 sister says, "hey, buddy. You know, you're 11 sleeping on my couch. You're eating into my 12 13 privacy. You're not bringing any money in. I'm doing your dirty laundry." So what does he do? He 14 15 starts hanging out on the street. He gets drunk; he gets high. Sister kicks him out. Or maybe he gets a job. He doesn't stay sober, and he loses 17 the job. He's got to stay sober. We've got to 18 invest in sobriety; we've got to invest in jobs. 19 20 The work of imprisonment and the work of 21 post-release supervision, whatever else it means, 22 has to mean those three things: sobriety, 23 employment, and housing. If the state is serious, it must make investments in keeping prisoners drug 24

Page 193

20

21

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 and alcohol free, teaching inmates how to remain 3 sober, and helping them remain sober upon release. 4 If we are serious about successful and productive 5 reentry -- the -- the -- the statutory language --6 we have to invest in helping inmates learn how to 7 work. We can't teach inmates in prison to be brain surgeons. We shouldn't pretend. But we -- every 9 job in prison -- every task in prison has meaning. 10 whether you are a state legislator, whether you are an attorney, whether you are a judge, whether you 11 12 are a bureaucrat. And you have to get up in the 13 morning and go to work. You have to work cooperatively with other people. You have to accept criticism. You have to take pride in your 16 work. You can take pride in your work if you're cleaning up the cell block. You can take pride in 17 18 your work if you're working in the kitchen. You 19 can take pride in your work if you're helping to

22 We can also teach inmates how to 23 read and write. It is unconscionable to steal 24 thirty-six or forty-two months of a person's life

paint the prison. It is the work ethic. It is how

to work that we can teach inmates in prison.

Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 who doesn't have a high school diploma and not have advanced their educational level. We should set as 3 a goal for ourselves that our inmates leave prison 5 with an improved educational attainment level than 6 they had when they came in. If we -- if we don't, 7 then we will be setting them up for failure. 8

Felix said this very well. I agree. Catching released offenders who violate the conditions of their release is easy. Finding them jobs, housing, and keeping them sober is hard and costly.

Let me talk a little bit about capacity. We talk in our criminal justice system about responsibility. We talk about the individual being responsible. And in fact, we don't allow the system to punish a person who's not responsible by virtue of age or mental defect. Why, then, do we perpetuate a system that implicitly places the 20 responsibility for the success or failure of the released inmate on the state? And Mr. Lentol spoke about that when he talked about why, when you try 22 to get away from the social -- "it's Parole's fault that they failed. Parole didn't prevent the crime 24

Page 192

1 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 from happening." Parole can't prevent crimes from 3 happening. Why don't we hold the inmate 4 responsible for good behavior? We reward good behavior as if it's a gift that the inmate has 6 given us, when, in fact, it's an expectation that 7 we're entitled to have of the inmate. It 8 ill-serves the victim if good behavior vitiates the 9 deserved term of imprisonment. If a person has 10 committed a crime for which five years of 11 punishment is what is just and what is deserved, 12 then to vitiate because the inmate has behaved, it 13 seems to me, undermines justice. It seems to me we 14 accept five years of good behavior. I think it 15 should be more like bad behavior. I liken it to 16 the movie "Groundhog Day." If you don't do the day right, you have to do it over. So subject to some 17 18 sort of a multidisciplinary hearing, add time --19 some proportion of -- of the imposed sentence for 20 bad behavior. But don't reward good behavior. We 21 should hold the inmate to the expectation of good 22 behavior and extend the term of social control if 23 he or she misbehaves, subject, of course, to 24 constitutionally acceptable due process.

Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 Upon release, the individual's behavior is his or her responsibility, not the state's. Yet the state is blamed if the parolee commits some serious crime. Why? Because we behave in a way to suggest that we really can control parolee behavior upon release. The reality is, we can't. If we have equipped an inmate with the tools he needs to succeed upon release, and if we provided him help to stay sober, find and keep a job and find a place to live, then the responsibility to succeed is the parolee's. A parole or probation officer in New York State works thirty-seven and a half hours a week -thirty-seven and a half hours a week. Think about that for a minute. How much time can he or she spend with an individual, even with the mixed caseload or weighted caseload of forty cases? That is less than an hour a week, and that is if that parole officer never takes a vacation, never does any paperwork, never has to appear at a hearing,

never has to write a report, never has to go to

training. And we knew the -- know they do all

those things -- less than a half an hour a week per

49 (Pages 190 to 193)

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

with those dollars?

Page 195 Page 194 1 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 1 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 2 individual. It can't be done. Let's be honest. have a probation or parole system. They have 3 It has to be done by the 3 little demonstrable relationship to the successful 4 offender, and that's true on probation or on 4 reentry or success of the offender. And I differ 5 5 with my friend Felix on this issue. Jill Austin a parole. 6 The issue of capacity -- this is 6 very, very well known researcher, Christy -- is it 7 what I mean by capacity -- limits the expectations 7 Christy -- no. Who's the woman at the Urban we can have of probation and parole. In order to Institute? There are several studies that are 9 maintain a probation and parole system, we have to coming out that show that this notion that 10 have housekeeping rules. What are those 10 technical parole violations, intervening before housekeeping rules? Well, if we say that I'm a 11 11 they have an opportunity to commit a new crime, is 12 parole officer, and I'm going to have some 12 simply not true. There is no evidence that 13 interaction with the parolee. I'm going to counsel technical violations prevent new crimes. In fact, 13 him, and I have to have a rule that requires him to there is some evidence to show that individuals who come see me. If he doesn't come see me. what do I 15 are released without supervision, at the maximum 16 do, Felix? I get a warrant. Right? He has to expiration of their sentence, do just as well as tell me where he lives so I can go visit him at his parolees who are subject to intervention by a 17 17 home. If he moves, and he doesn't tell me where he 18 18 parole officer. My point is that there's no 19 lives, what am I going to do, Terry? I'm going to evidence that enforcement of these housekeeping 20 go get a warrant. I'm going to arrest him. Give rules bears any relationship to crime reduction. 20 21 him one or two chances, but ultimately, that's what 21 Yet, it imposes an enormous burden on the state. 22 I'm going to do. The rules that result in 22 The -- the -- the state spends an 23 thirty-five percent of the returns to state prison 23 awful lot of money -- I don't know how much -- but 24 are rules that exist simply because we need them to 24 several hundred million dollars, probably, paying

Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 the counties for the re-incarceration of parole violators, as well as the incarceration of the thirty-five percent of the new admissions to the state prisons, who are technical parole violators. Imagine if that money was freed up for teen pregnancy prevention. Imagine if that money was freed up for juvenile delinquency or truancy prevention programs. Could we not -- perhaps not immediately, but ten years from now -- have affected a substantially greater crime reduction Let me suggest a different model. Maybe there's an opportunity here. You asked for some concrete examples. And I say this -- you

Page 196

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

24

many things that are wrong with it. But just for the purposes of moving your discussion along, is there a possibility to improve the likelihood of success on the part of the offender, change the locus of responsibility, and perhaps create more transparency and explicitness in sentencing? I think that a -- a -- a sentence has to be 24 appropriate to its purpose, right? A dangerous,

know, I'm sure there's law. I'm sure there are

Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 violent offender would require an incapacitative sentence to protect the public. This sentence would be long, and I don't think it would be diminished by good behavior or programming. The 5 offender would be educated. Again, it's unconscionable to hold an offender for years if you're unable to educate all but the most disabled. And let's -- let me digress for a moment and say 10 that if you discuss sentencing, and you don't discuss the fact that twenty percent -- twenty-five 11 12 percent of the inmates in New York City, let me 13 say, are mentally ill -- diagnosable mentally ill. 14 In the state prisons, what is it -- fifteen 15 percent? In -- in 1969, when I became a parole officer, there were ten thousands inmates in prison 17 and eighty thousand patients in the state's mental 18 hospitals. Today, there are fewer than five thousand patients in the state's mental hospitals 19 20 and sixty-five thousand inmates. Just think about 21 that for a minute. Something happened. We've got 22 to think about that when we think about sentencing. 23 I think -- quite frankly, I'll tell you I think the most -- the most important development in -- in

50 (Pages 194 to 197)

Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007

7

2

4

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

Page 198

Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 sentencing and in criminal justice in the last ten 3 years is the advent of mental health courts. And I 4 think they should be promoted and grown. Anything 5 that can -- the mentally ill don't belong in 6 prison. The state's getting beat up over it. They -- it -- it makes the lives of other inmates impossible. It makes other 9 people unsafe. The people who are mentally ill are

10 themselves unsafe. It makes it impossible to succeed on parole. It's very difficult on parole 11 12 or on probation. We have to think about what we 13 are doing with mentally ill in this society, and we never created a substantial safety net for the mentally ill when we deinstitutionalized. That's 16 not to say that the mentally ill are criminal. 17 virtue of the symptoms that they have. They get into fights. They -- they make the rest of us 20 uncomfortable. They use drugs to self-medicate.

23 On the other hand, as compared to

They're not. But some end up committing crimes by 17

18

19

21 It's not because they're criminal. And let's 22 recognize that they don't belong in prison.

24 that dangerous offender that we want to

Page 199

incapacitate, the non-dangerous, nonviolent young offender with a lesser record doesn't need to be held as long. But the structure of the prison sentence could be the same. In both cases, they're coming home. Let's ask ourselves. How should this occur? Perhaps the judge could specify that the last year of the sentence shall be served in the community. The violent offender would be in prison longer than the nonviolent, but each would spend some portion at the end of their sentence in a reentry phase. The victim, the press, the public would all know the date the offender would be released into the community -- no surprises. If the inmate misbehaves and is found to have violated prison rules, pursuant to a multidisciplinary hearing, the time before the community supervision commences could be extended, perhaps by some fraction of the underlying sentence -- bad time instead of good time.

Upon transfer to some halfway house, the offender would receive help finding a job, perhaps by a parole officer. They would be enrolled in a community-based drug and alcohol

Page 200

1 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 treatment program and would be given guidance and 3 assistance in finding private sector housing. The 4 stay at the halfway house could for a fixed period 5 of time -- not less than six months, say, with 6 release subsequent to that dependent upon some concrete and measurable objectives. Let's say, for 8 example, you have to have been living in the 9 halfway house for six months and have been drug and alcohol free for the last ninety days. How about, 10 you have to have been in the halfway house for at 12 least six months and have held a steady job for 13 at -- at least the last ninety days? How about, 14 you have been in the halfway house for six months, 15 and you have a lease on an apartment and enough 16 money in the bank account to pay your first three

17 months' rent? When you achieve those very three 18 concrete measurable goals, you go out the door. 19 Whether we supervise with a parole officer or not,

20 as far as I'm concerned, is irrelevant. And as 21 I've said, I don't think a parole officer's ability

22 to add much value at that point exists. Instead of 23 discretionary release upon attainment of the

24 objectives and the requisite time, the offender is

Page 201

Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 free to live on his or her own. The only thing we

want of this offender is that he or she not commit 3 4 a new crime. That's all we want. We can enhance

the chances of his success by giving him the means

6 to obtain help, counseling, treatment, job search 7 training, and, in fact, we can continue to pay for

8 it. You know, when you buy a car, you get a

warranty. An individual goes to state prison four

10 years. They get out. We don't get a warranty.

Right? We don't get a service contract. Why not 11 establish a service account for every person at the 12

13 end of their sentence? Put aside a sum of money,

say twenty-five hundred dollars -- that they can 14

draw against to obtain counseling, to obtain help

with job search, to obtain drug treatments, pay for 16

17 their drug treatment if they're not otherwise

Medicaid-eligible, to pay for increased education 18

19 so that they can improve their job skills in the 20 community. Make that investment against the four

years that you've invested to incarcerate them. 21

22 And spend that money instead of a parole officer.

23 Instead of looking to see whether they came in to 24 see the parole officer, say to them, "look,

51 (Pages 198 to 201)

```
Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007
                                                                 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007
2
    we've -- we've made you sober. We taught you how
                                                              Thank you.
 3
    to stay sober. We helped you find a job. We
                                                                         COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: Wow.
                                                           3
4
    improved your educational attainment. We helped
                                                           4
                                                              Any questions?
 5
    you find an apartment. And now we've given you
                                                           5
                                                                         MR. LENTOL: Yeah. Why don't you
6
    this service account -- this voucher for
                                                           6
                                                              tell us what you really think?
7
    twenty-five hundred dollars for each of the next
                                                          7
                                                                         MR. VANCE: The question is,
    two years. Whether you succeed or not, fellow, is
                                                              is -- is there any state that's doing it right?
    up to you." And when they appear on the front page
                                                          9
                                                                         MR. HORN: There are states, you
10
    of the New York Post, it's not because Parole
                                                              know, that are tapering, that have elements of it.
                                                          10
11
    failed. It's not because the state failed. It's
                                                              I think Washington State is -- is -- is working.
                                                          11
                                                         12
12
    because they failed. The potential savings are
                                                              Look at Pennsylvania. We started going this
13
    large. The ability to focus the time of the parole
                                                              direction when I was Secretary of Corrections at
                                                          13
    officer on the offender at the critical first
                                                          14
                                                              Pennsylvania. And my -- my successor has built it
15
    post-release phase, during that halfway house
                                                              up. I -- I -- last time I checked, sixty-five
                                                          15
16
    period, is enhanced. The housekeeping rules go
                                                              percent of all the people who were being released
    away. The use of short-term imprisonment of parole 17
                                                              in Pennsylvania were being released through halfway
17
18
    violators becomes a thing of the past. The
                                                              houses. I -- I believe very strongly that the
19
    potential savings come to several billion dollars
                                                          19
                                                              state has to build up its reliance on work release
20 over two or three years. This money could be
                                                          20
                                                              and halfway houses as a way out. Everyone should
21
    reinvested, as I say, into initiatives that are far
                                                          21
                                                              leave in that way. I don't think people should
22 more likely to be productive of public safety than
                                                          22 just walk out of the S.H.U., right, as -- as
23
    the way we do business now. And more importantly, 23
                                                              someone said earlier because Terry said prison --
24 the system is more just, transparent, and explicit.
                                                              you know, I don't -- someone should go from the
```

Page 202

1 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 S.H.U. to a halfway house. Pennsylvania -- as I 3 said, sixty-five percent of all the releases were 4 going that way. The state was paying for it. They were receiving intensive help with drug treatment 6 in the community, job search, and -- and house finding. And in fact, I think they were bringing 7 8 down the recidivism rate. 9 MR. VANCE: Connecticut appears 10 to be, in its sentencing commission, doing new and different things. I actually don't know what they 11 are, but I just read that they are. 12 13 MR. HORN: I -- I -- I'm not familiar enough. We're familiar with New York; 14 we're familiar with Pennsylvania. 15 16 MR. BERGAMO: So how do we grant 17 probation? 18 MR. HORN: Excuse me? 19 MR. BERGAMO: What would you do 20 about probation? How would you help probation? 21 MR. HORN: I think -- with 22 respect to probation, I -- I -- I think there 23 are a -- a couple of things. Probation has a very important role. First of all, whether or not a

Page 205 1 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 probation officer can be effective in affecting the outcome, there always needs to be an -- as I said, 4 we have to be more creative with respect to how we impose sanctions. So probation isn't so much just 5 6 a status degradation. It's a status. And judges always have to have the ability to place a person 7 8 on probation for whom the use of imprisonment is inappropriate. The crime is de minimis. Their record is de minimis. It's the appropriate and 10 just thing to do. There is no immediate threat to 12 public safety. So there has to be probation. 13 I think the right people have to get on probation, 14 and I think we create a problem -- and -- and this 15 is what we're doing now very, very differently. In 16 New York, sixty-five percent of the people, you 17 know, on probation in New York are -- in New York 18 City, are on probation for felonies. And when you look at their records, you scratch your head and 19 20 wonder why they're on probation to begin with. And 21 as I say, I have examples here of individuals who 22 are placed on probation without the benefit of 23 pre-sentence investigation. And guite frankly, it -- it makes my hair curl. And -- and -- and 24

3

4

5

1

2

16

17

24

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

Page 206 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 they all -- they all get rearrested. Right? And I can share some of these cases with you -- horror

stories. So the right people have to get

6 on probation, and then finally, probation has to be 7 adequately funded. Right now, the state law

provides that the state may reimburse counties for 9 up to fifty percent of the cost of probation. At

10 one time, the state reimbursed the counties for

11 fifty percent. Today, they only reimburse 12 seventeen percent, eighteen percent of the cost of

13 probation. If probation is going to be meaningful, 14 probation has to be adequately funded by the state. 14

15 MR. BERGAMO: Would it be of any 16 benefit to make a state agency and blend it with

17 Parole, make it one? I don't want to walk away

18 from the local, make a state agency?

19 MR. HORN: I think because the 20 way in which the statutory structure -- because

21 Probation is answerable to judges, rather than to

22 the administrative board, I think there is

23 something to be said for keeping the county

24 function. I think, however, it does belong under

Page 207

Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 O.C.A. rather than under the Executive Department, 3 as the -- the Chief Justice Task force recommended.

COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: Marty, could you just touch on the numbers that Terry was pointing to in terms of crime reduction in New York City and decreases in the population at Rikers Island and everything?

MR. HORN: Yeah. At -- at one time, Rikers Island held twenty-three thousand inmates, and at one time, there were lots of -there was lots of crime in New York City. Crime is down. I think the answer that -- I guess what Terry gave was the right one. There are lots of reasons why crime is down. Certainly, you know, if you ask Commissioner Kelly, if you ask Bill Bratton, they would tell you that policing and Comstat had a lot to do with it. I think it did.

I think, also, the improvement in

the economy had something to do with it. I think, perhaps more than either of those, there was a change in the demographics. The data is fairly clear that the amount of crime in a community is the result of how many -- what percentage of the

Page 208

Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007

3 eighteen-to-twenty-five-year age range. And there

4 was a -- a -- a sharp drop in the, you know,

people in that community are in the

post-Baby Boom generation. And it -- you know, I

6 mean, it may be coming back. We're all having

7 children. Our children are reaching their

8 crime-prone years. So there's that sort of echo

9 boom that's coming. But I think it was the -- it

was the coming together of all those factors -- an 10

11 improving economy, smart policing, aggressive

12 policing. Look. There's no question. If you lock

13 up seventy-five thousand people, you locked up

some -- probably some predatory criminals and some

15 high-volume criminals. It's got to have an effect.

You can't lock up two million people in this country and not have an effect on

18 crime. It has to have an effect. You combine that

19 with a drop in the eighteen-to-twenty-five-year age

group, you combine that with an improving economy, 20

21 and you combine that with smart policing, and

22 that's why crime is down. And that's why the jail

23 population is down.

The -- the number of -- the --

Page 209

Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007

2 the -- it's -- it's very interesting. The police

in New York City are making more arrests every year 3

4 than in the preceding year. The -- what has

5 changed is that the growth in arrests is all

6 misdemeanor arrests. And misdemeanors don't stay

7 very long. So we reduce the time that people

8 serve. Again, two things determine how many people

9 are in a prison or a jail system. It's a bath tub.

10 How fast is the water coming in? How fast is the

water coming out? If it's -- if the water's going 11

out at the same rate, it's stable. If you stop up 12

13 the drain, which is what happened when -- when --

when -- when I was the Executive Director of 14

15 Parole -- and somebody asked this question

earlier -- we were releasing sixty-five percent of

17 the people that we saw at their first Parole Board

appearance -- were being released. When -- after I 18

left, that number dropped to about thirty-five 19

20 percent. I don't know where it is today. If you

go from a sixty-five percent release rate to a 21

22 thirty-five percent release rate, the bathtub's

23 going to fill up. What we did in New York -- and

24 this is -- this gets to another issue, which is

53 (Pages 206 to 209)

Page 211 Page 210 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 1 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 2 judicial administration with respect to the COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: Yeah. 3 jails -- how quickly did the courts dispose of Yeah. But -- but very thoughtful report -- a lot 4 cases in New York City? We've worked very hard to 4 of effort that went into it headed toward the 5 expedite the disposition of cases. The faster determinate sentencing model with sentencing 6 you -- you dispose of cases on trial, the better it guidelines. If you go to the determinate 7 is for your jail system. 7 sentencing model without sentencing guidelines, you 8 COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: The -have -- you've basically, you know, removed looking 9 the other question is -- I don't know where you at factors other than the severity of the offense. 10 were in 1984 and 1985. 10 Is that -- do you have thoughts on that? Is that, 11 MR. HORN: In 1984. I was the you know, where you're -- you're recommending that 11 we consider going or --? 12 warden at Hudson; in 1985, I was the Director of 12 13 Operations at Parole. MR. HORN: As -- as --13 14 COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: Okay. 14 intellectually, I believe that there should be So you were around for the last sentencing 15 sentencing guidelines. I believe there should be 16 commission, --16 determinancy. I believe that the elements of a MR. HORN: Yes. sentence should all be incorporated at the time of 17 17 COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: -- at 18 18 sentencing, as I've said. That said, it's very 19 least at Parole. 19 hard, and there are a lot of politics. Right? 20 20 There's the -- you know, if you -- if you -- you MR. HORN: I appeared before it. 21 COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: And --21 have to -- if you don't want to increase the prison 22 and, you know, we -- we read the -- the very --. population, then the determinate sentences in the 23 MR. HORN: Read Ted Merced's 23 guidelines we establish have to be pretty close to the current average time served. Right? You have 24 (phonetic spelling) book? 24 Page 212 Page 213 1 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 1 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 the result. And pretty -- you have to make sure 2 bargaining system, you have to -- you can't get the 3 that people are going out at about the same right plea bargaining system. It's all about power. 3 4 they're going out now, right, of your prison 4 Who's got the power? The D.A. had the power. This population. Now, you can -- you can buy time. You is about the power of district attorneys, and I 6 can reduce the amount of time that a minor offender love my district attorneys in New York. We have serves, and you can use that to purchase more time. 7 the finest district attorneys in New York. But 8 North Carolina, by the way, did an excellent job it's about power. Sentencing is all about plea 9 with their sentencing guidelines, and they reduced 9 bargaining, and the power in plea bargaining is in 10 the amount of time that minor offenders, drug the district attorney. And -- and so if you start 10 11 offenders served, and used that time to buy more taking that way, and -- and then you get the soft 11 12 time for felony offenders. If you look what the on crime thing, and then -- then you -- then --12 13 actual time served by rapists -- when I was here, 13 then you start ratcheting up the amount of time 14 the -- a rapist in New York was serving, on 14 served. 15 average, eighty-four months. Is the legislature 15 I saw Paul looking it up. What's 16 going to vote to say, you commit rape -- one in New 16 the average for rape? 17 York State, you do eighty-four months? No, because 17 MR. KOROTOKIN: First degree, 18 they would much prefer that a D.A. stand up and say first felony, eight years. 18 19 ten to twenty, eight and a third to twenty-five. 19 MR. HORN: Eight years -- so we 20 Right? Whatever -- and -- and 20 can say the penalty for rape in New York is eight years. 21 then -- well, we trace it. Is it eight and a third 21 or is it twenty-five? So it's very hard. It's 22 MR. KOROTOKIN: And going --23 politically very hard. And the other thing that 23 going down. 24 was operating in '83-84 is, because it's a plea 24 MR. HORN: You know, I mean,

Page 214 Page 215 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 1 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 that's what you have to say. You have to say -- if 2 they -- individuals are held on bail. If the 3 you, you know -- there might be some a little individual wants to get out, the D.A. has to agree 3 4 longer, but on average, you'd have to keep the to accept a plea. And -- and so they will -- they 4 average sentence for rape at eight years. You 5 will hold out. There's no -- there's no incentive 6 know, Senator Schneiderman or Assemblyman Lentol for the D.A. not to hold out for the plea that they 6 7 can tell you whether or not that can fly in the 7 want. And so -- and the -- and the higher the -legislature. But my experience, in 1983, was it the -- the range of sentencing, then the more couldn't. And that's the problem you're up currency the D.A. has to work with. You know, he 10 against. has a bigger range. He can say, well -- you know, 10 11 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: We're eight months, nine months, twelve months. He --11 12 trying to defeat the knee capping for probation he -- he's -- he's got time -- the -- the currency 12 13 violation bill, in a sense. in the court, in the plea bargaining, is time. And 13 MR. HORN: I mean, it's tough. 14 14 that --. 15 And, you know, I -- I don't envy your -- your --MR. LENTOL: I -- and I suppose 15 your -- your -- your challenge. 16 16 you -- you -- you would agree that it also has 17 MR. LENTOL: I think, Marty, it 17 something to do with the sentencing structure. 18 might be useful for you to explain to us -- and I 18 speaking to specifically about second-felony 19 know it a little bit -- as to why the district offenders --. 19 20 attorneys have the power. And I know, in 20 MR. HORN: Oh, yeah. Sure. I 21 Rockefeller, a lot of may colleagues here may know 21 can choose -- I can choose to trade off. "I 22 that the district attorneys have the supreme power. won't -- I won't seek second-felony offender if you 22 23 But why is it so with other crimes? 23 agree to this plea." 24 MR. HORN: Well, I mean, because MR. LENTOL: Right. 24 Page 216 Page 217 1 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 1 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 2 MR. HORN: Uh-huh. MR. HORN: Right. It's all MR. LENTOL: -- the configuration 3 3 about -- it's all about that. 4 MR. LENTOL: And my second 4 that we need to think about in order not only to 5 question -- I guess it's not really a question. 5 stop D.W.I. crime, but also to have programs -- I 6 Maybe I'm editorializing again, but I like what mean, we have A.A. But we don't have -- people who you -- what you gave us. You really gave us, I 7 go to jail aren't the ones that go to A.A. And 8 think, a blueprint for reentry. And I also like maybe there ought to be more alcoholism programs to 9 what you said about sobriety being very important. 9 treat people who are in prison. MR. HORN: Certainly, I agree, 10 And I -- I want you to comment, respectfully as you 10 11 can, as to the efficacy and the effectiveness of 11 and also, I think that it's a mistake to think that 12 the CASAT program in -- in the state prisons alcoholism and drug addiction are mutually 12 13 because there is some question as to whether we -- 13 exclusive. There's tremendous overlap. And -- and 14 we ought to do something differently in order to not that I don't -- I'm not even talking about 15 make sure that we allow certain people to come out 15 things like, you know, entry drugs or -- or -- or that sort of thing. But people who are addicted 16 of jail. 17 And then the second thing is, in 17 find a way to get high. And if they can't get high 18 our state, there's only one program for alcoholism. on drugs, they'll get high on alcohol. If -- if --18 if they're high on cocaine, they'll drink alcohol 19 And it's in Suffolk County. And we don't do 19 to take the edge off. There's a tremendous 20 anything to get people sober --20 interrelationship. There's a blurring of the 21 MR. HORN: Right. 21 22 MR. LENTOL: -- except lock up 22 lines. So I -- I think we ignore alcoholism, as D.W.I. people and put them in jail. And I think 23 23 you say correctly, at great risk. And we have to address that. And -- and -- yes. I think that, as that that's also part of --24 24

14

15

23

Page 218

Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 with mental health, it raises the question about 3 whether imprisonment -- nobody likes D.W.I.s. 4 Every one of us steps off the curb and could be the 5 victim of it. But I'm not sure that imprisonment 6 changes things. I think -- and I -- I know my 7 colleagues in state prisons, and we talk about it all the time. It's very hard -- and it's very hard 9 for me in the city jails -- to keep drugs out. 10 People who are addicts will do 11 anything to get high. And the -- the -- the length 12 that I see people go to to get drugs into the 13 jails -- you can't even speak about it. It's

Draconian, I mean, the things that go on. And --

and so it's -- and so keeping the inmate sober

16 requires enormous effort. 17 And with respect to CASAT, I 18 think that -- I think it's an earnest effort. I 19 think you can't just do it in a few places. I think the problem with state -- you can't say, "oh, 20 we're going to do it in this prison, that prison, 21 and that prison." You have to do it in every 22

prison. I think, also, it has got to be part of

24 the culture. One of the things that I've

1 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 learned -- and -- and -- and people smarter than myself who work in the field of addiction --3 Stacy Murphy who used to be with the Fellowship 5 Center of the New York Council on Alcoholism, taught me that -- that you -- you send people 7 double messages. If an inmate goes to drug treatment, right, in a classroom in the prison, and 9 then he comes back to the cell block, and the two 10 officers are talking about how, when they get off 11 shift, they're going to get wasted, or "man, did I get wasted last night," and they're talking --12 13 because right -- because, as a society, we're 14 ambivalent about the use of alcohol. And we're really ambivalent about the notion of getting high. 15 16 The inmates are getting a -- a mixed message.

"Well, wait a minute. It's okay." What are we really saying? And so you almost have to create a culture within the prison, much like they do with the state program at Arthur Kill. It has to -- the entire prison has to become an -- a therapeutic environment. That's very hard to do. It requires a tremendous investment in training. It requires a tremendous investment in

Page 220

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 morale building, a tremendous investment in 3 supervision. Another problem that you have is 4 recruiting professions. There is a dearth of 5 alcohol and substance abuse professionals in New 6 York. The state probably should make a greater investment in training. You know, when they open 8 the CASAT programs, every county alcoholism agency 9 complained, because where did the employees of the 10 county alcoholism agency go? They went to work in 11 state prisons because the state salaries were better. Right? That's exactly what happened. So 12 13 it -- it -- you -- it's not something that can happen overnight, but it has to happen. 14 15 I also think -- and this is 16 something else I learned. When I was -- I started 17 as a parole officer. And they taught me how to be a parole officer and all the rules, and I knew when 18 19 to issue a warrant and all that. But they never 20 talked to me, as a parole officer, about 21 understanding the disease of addiction. Addiction 22 is a disease. And they never talked to me about 23 recovery. And recovery is a process, and it 24 doesn't proceed in a straight line. Right? And

Page 221

1 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 they never told me to start talking to my parolees 3 about their addiction on the first day they came 4 out. Parolee comes out, and say, "where are you going to live? Where are you going to work? Here are the rules. I expect you to do this. I expect you to do that. Don't get high." You never 7 explore the addiction. You never say -- and I want you to -- and unless it's a special condition that the Board imposed, by and large, we're not saying, 10 "and I want you start in treatment today." Right? 11 Today, we do a lot of drug and alcohol testing. We 12 13 probably do it on all parolees now. Right? But you have to start talking about it in prison. Part 14 15 of it is a cognitive thing, to understand. To -- I mean, we can do a better job in the prisons of helping inmates to understand. We have to do it in 17 18 every prison. We don't need a CASAT program to ensure that, in every prison, we are talking to 19 20 inmates about addiction, that they learn why they 21 drink, that they learn how to stay sober and that, 22 on day one, the parole officer or the probation 23 officer work with them. 24 Here's what I learned. I learned

Page 222 Page 223

```
Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007
                                                           1
                                                                  Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007
2 that -- and -- and this is before we had the sort
                                                           2
                                                               later, it comes back, and you're arguing with him,
3 of on-site drug tests that we have now. A parolee
                                                               he's now been using drugs for three months. He's
4 would be on parole for a couple of weeks, a couple
                                                               too far gone. By the time they've been using drugs
                                                           4
5 of months, and he's doing fine. And all of a
                                                           5
                                                               for three months, they're too far gone. They have
6 sudden, something changes. He loses his job. He
                                                           6
                                                               to go back into detox. So we have to nip it in the
7
    missed a couple of reports. So you say, "Smitty,
                                                           7
                                                               bud. We have to intervene and prevent the drug
8
    are you using drugs?"
                                                               abuse much earlier in the experience of probation
9
               And he says, "no, no, Mr. -- I'm
                                                           9
                                                               and parole. We have to keep them -- our goal has
10 not using drugs."
                                                          10
                                                               to be to keep them drug-free and alcohol-free,
    I'd say, "oh, yeah; I think you're using drugs."
                                                               beginning on day one. And it's hard. But it can
11
                                                          11
    Back then, heroin was new, so you'd roll up their
12
                                                          12
                                                               be done. It can't be done on the cheap.
13
    arm and put their arm -- say, "look -- needle
                                                          13
                                                                          I think you could do it better --
14
    marks."
                                                          14
                                                               and this is why I -- I -- I believe in this notion
15
               "Oh, no. I gave blood."
                                                          15
                                                               of a halfway house -- if they -- if they leave
               "Well, all right. You've got to
16
                                                          16
                                                               Attica, and they come down to a halfway -- and
    come back next week, and I expect that to be
                                                               then, of course, you've got the whole problem of
17
                                                          17
    healed."
                                                               community acceptance of halfway houses, right? So
18
                                                          18
19
               Well, the next week, he doesn't
                                                          19
                                                               I know that I'm not talking about reality, here,
20 come back. He comes back two weeks later. Smitty,
                                                               because you -- right in -- you know, in your
                                                          20
21
    right? He's got a few more needle marks. Am I
                                                          21
                                                               community and -- and they don't want them. But
22 right, Felix? But the time you finally get Smitty
                                                          22
                                                               in -- in a halfway house, they're coming home every
23 to agree that he's using drugs -- right -- by the
                                                          23
                                                               night. If I can put them in A.A. every night, in
24 time you send a test to an outside lab, and a week
                                                               the halfway house, I've got a much greater ability
                                                          24
```

Page 224

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

```
1
       Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007
2
    to affect the outcome. And if they're on parole, I
3
    see them once a week.
4
               MR. ANNUCCI: I was just about to
 5 ask your experience in Pennsylvania where
6
    sixty-five percent go into halfway houses. How
7
    could they possibly achieve that in the
8
    communities?
9
               MR. HORN: Most of the programs
10 were privately run, on contract -- Quakers.
                                                        10
    There's a -- Pennsylvania -- and I -- I have to
11
                                                        11
12 say, the one thing that -- that differentiated my
                                                        12
13
    experience in Pennsylvania from my -- is the Quaker 13
14 history in Pennsylvania. There's -- there's a
                                                        14
    difference in the prisoners in Pennsylvania and a
                                                        15
    difference in the communities. And I -- and I
16
    attribute it to the Quakers, quite frankly. I'm
17
                                                        17
    not sure you could do it. Listen. The first time
18
    I met now-Governor Rendell, he was Mayor Rendell. 19
19
20
               And the -- my phone rings, and
                                                        20
21
    they say, "Mayor Rendell is on the phone." This
                                                        21
    was the mayor. He called me up and says, "you
22
                                                        22
23
    know, we understand that, you know, so-and-so --
                                                        23
24 Community Education Centers is trying to -- has a
                                                        24
```

Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 contract from you, and they're trying to put a -- a halfway house in our community, and we don't want it." I mean, sure; it happened. It didn't happen overnight. It happened over a period of years. But they -- there was a long history of having community-based programs. And really, we had existing programs, and we pretty much grew them. We took existing programs and grew them. You had the ability in New York in the early '90s, when you were up to -- what -- six thousand people in work release, and you had a lot of contract facilities. I don't know if you could ever get it back. I mean, you got six thousand. If you had six thousand bids, and you could move twelve thousand people a year through that, you're talking about fifty percent of your -- that was -you know, you could -- that was fifty percent of your release program. COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: Marty, I'm going to have to wrap it up. I really appreciate it, and --MR. HORN: Thank you. COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: -- I

57 (Pages 222 to 225)

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Page 226 1 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 hope we --3 MR. HORN: I -- I hope --. 4 COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: -- have 5 you back and -- and call you for assistance --6 MR. HORN: Sure. 7 COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: -- as we 7 8 move along in this process. Thank you very much. 9 Lastly, we have Bob Maccarone, who -- who is 10 certainly one of the preeminent experts in 11 probation, in reentry, and so many criminal justice 12 issues. Bob was a former A.D.A. He has many 13 positions in Westchester County in the criminal justice system. He's served as the State Director 15 of the New York State Division of Probation and 16 Correctional Alternatives since 2005. He served ably in the last administration. Governor Spitzer 17 18 asked Bob to stay on as one of his first 19 appointments at the commissioner level in his 20 administration, which shows just how good he is --21 what a professional he is. And I'm just delighted 22 to have you here, Bob. 23 MR. MACCARONE: Thank you. Thank 24 you, Commissioner. And it is a pleasure to be here

Page 227 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 today. Just let me say that I just came from 3 addressing the New York State Association of Prison 4 Chaplains, who have a unique look at the needs of 5 offenders and have some distinct thoughts about how they might succeed in the community. And I 6 understand my colleague, Commissioner Brian Fischer, is going to be addressing them tomorrow. And so that was a very good group as a warm-up, 10 certainly, for the commission. 11 And let me say that I want to

talk a little bit about probation, but I have 12 strong interests, as the Commissioner knows, in 13 reentry and its implications for sentencing, et cetera. I don't know how much you know about probation. I'm very reluctant to say too much about the data because Donna Hall, who I am in awe of in her understanding of the data with New York, is here. So I'll -- so I'll try to let Donna. But let me just say the following, that -- because I think it is important that you understand probation. Probation in New York State is performed by city governments. We have fifty-seven

Page 228

1 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 fifty-eight probation departments. I think they do 3 an outstanding job -- an absolutely outstanding job 4 in managing a -- a part of the community --5 corrections population. There's also a very 6 impressive array of alternatives to incarceration programs, and I want to talk a little bit about 8 those later, as well. 9 But our fifty-eight probation 10 departments manage one hundred and twenty thousand 10 11 offenders -- adult offenders in the criminal 11 12 justice system -- a hundred and twenty thousand 12 13 offenders, a hundred and twenty-eight thousand 13 14 cases. Some offenders have more than one case. 14 15 Commissioner Horn's department, the New York City 15 16 Department of Probation, manages thirty-two 17 thousand offenders. And eight of those -- eight 17 18 thousand of those offenders are actually in 18 19 specialized caseloads where their caseload sizes 19 20 are one to sixty-five. I think Commissioner Horn's 20 21 made some great decisions down in New York about 21 22 the utilization of personnel and how he manages 22 23 them. He does an initial assessment on ninety days 23 24 of every offender coming through the system, and he

Page 229 1 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 places upwards of twenty-four thousand offenders 3 reporting to a kiosk. But there's regular 4 involvement -- coming in for drug testing, some contact with probation officers. But they do an 5 6 initial assessment, referral -- information 7 referral. So given the overwhelming numbers that 8 they have, they've made some smart decisions on 9 resource allocations.

departments in the City of New York. So it's

Probation has a huge mission in New York State. I should preface my comments, however, by saying we should be -- we should look at the reality on the large picture of things. New York State has done a good job with its criminal justice system. Let me just repeat that. New York State has done a pretty good job with its criminal justice system. Why do I say that? Because in 1974, New York State had twelve thousand five hundred inmates in its prison system. And of course, it ramped up, and there's a couple of things responsible for that. And I think it was a combination of a second-felony offender law in combination with making five hundred milligrams of crack cocaine a Class D felony offense. Up until

58 (Pages 226 to 229)

1

2

3

5

7

9

10

11

Page 230

Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 that point, it was a misdemeanor. So it's the 3 nexus of those two pieces of legislation coming 4 together and the redefinition of some violent 5 felonies that required state prison time. That 6 really drove that prison population. Well, it drove it to seventy thousand people.

7 8 But how is it that we were tied 9 with places like California and Texas, at twelve 10 thousand people, some thirty, forty years ago, and today, California has two hundred thousand people 11 12 in its state prison system, and Texas has a hundred 12 and seventy-five thousand? And I don't know what 13 13 14 the budget of the Department of Correction is 15 today. It used to be about a billion five with a 16 two-hundred-million-dollar debt service to pay for 17 the construction that the two prior governors had 18 to build, and I'm sure they weren't pleased about

it. Because, let's face it, when you pump a lot of

20 money into an infrastructure like prison construction, for social, economic, and political 21 22 reasons, two realities occur. One is, those 23 institutions are filled. And secondly, it is very

24 difficult to close them. So once you commit those

Page 231

Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 types of resources and those types of revenues -the state's revenues to that level of selective incapacitation -- that's what we're doing. We're 4 selectively incapacitating a group of individuals 6 for extended periods of time, and we don't have the resources to do all the other things that we want to do. We don't have the resources to provide medical insurance to four hundred thousand uninsured children in New York State, which the Governor is doing, and we don't have the money to commit to public education. And those clearly ought to be our priorities. If you read Thomas Friedman's book, "The World Is Flat" -- it's a little difficult getting through seven hundred and 16 fifty pages -- but I think he has keen insights into the ability of our country, our nation to 17 compete globally with other countries. And 18 19 actually, the picture is a good one. And America 20 can compete, but education is the key. So what 21 we've done in putting money into education is 22 important. How does corrections and probation and 23 criminal justice play into that? It's the argument that I just said -- that if you continue to invest 24

Page 232

1

2

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

1 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 resources -- enormous resources into the prison 3 system, you won't have those resources available to 4 reinvest in others. And there is no going back, 5 once you've committed to that. Governor 6 Schwarzenegger knows that because he is requesting 7 billions of dollars to add fifty thousand new 8 cells. Apparently, they're still under the 9 impression that they can build themselves out of their criminal justice problem. Ironically, the 10 11 crime problem continues to grow in those states, 12 and it continues to -- to decline in the State of 13 New York for largely, I think, good reason. We've been smart about a lot of things in criminal 15 justice. So I want to present to you a balanced 16 approach, because I'm proud to live in a state that's made some good decisions about criminal 17 18 justice. 19 With respect to those states, 20 however, and what New York State -- why -- why have 21 we succeeded? A couple of reasons -- I think, one,

20 22 the New York Police Department -- the N.Y.P.D., I 23 think, is a -- a really enormous and wonderful 24 organization that is really capable of responding

Page 233 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 to crime. And -- and under Commissioner

O'Donnell's leadership, we're trying to extend that 3 4 to the seventeen impact jurisdictions outside the

City of -- City of New York, which, indeed, if you look outside the City of New York, eighty-five

percent of the crime occurs in those seventeen 8 impact jurisdictions. So we're trying to ramp up

and bring that same level of expertise, crime analysis, and dedication of resources to the police 10

departments and sheriff's offices in those other 11 12 counties, clearly. 13

So New York has been different. Why -- why have we been different, apart from law enforcement? I think certainly what Commissioner Horn said about the economics and about the demographics play into that, clearly. I think, actually, probation and the A.T.I. community is also responsible. And let me tell you why. New York State has always enjoyed and benefited from a strong tradition of probation regulation, albeit the state's reduced commitment to probation funding. And it is indeed true that the statute provides for reimbursement up to fifty percent. I

59 (Pages 230 to 233)

Page 237

1

2

3

4

5

7

10

11

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 234

Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 think the appropriation language, however, is 3 forty-six and a half percent. And over the last 4 twenty years, we've reduced funding to probation 5 and community corrections, down to now what is 6 eighteen percent. Probation caseloads continue to 7 grow. When I talked about a hundred twenty thousand adult offenders, you need to know that 9 fifty percent of those hundred and twenty thousand 10 probationers -- fifty percent statewide, sixty-five percent in New York City are felons. So fifty 11 12 percent are felons, and fifty percent misdemeanors. 13 Included among that probation population, twelve to fourteen percent of probationers are violent felony 15 offenders.

16 We manage twenty-five to 17 twenty-six thousand D.W.I.s. and as Assemblyman 18 Lentol -- I want to talk about D.W.I.s because I 19 think that's a real potential population that we 20 should address. But we need to do it in a planful 21 way -- in a careful way because, after all, while 22 most of us think we're somewhat immune from being 22 23 victims of violent crime, no one's immune from 24 being the victim of a D.W.I. The chances of us

Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 coming back from the movies on a Saturday night with our families and running into a drunk driver, for many of us, are much, much larger than meeting a violent felon at gunpoint and being robbed in our communities, when you think about it. So -- but we manage twenty-five, twenty-six thousand D.W.I.s, an equal number of drug-dependent offenders, six thousand sex offenders. We spend -- that's three to five percent of our population.

And the reason I say three to five percent of our population -- we manage six thousand sex offenders. Four thousand are on the sex offender registry. We -- we manage another two thousand sex offenders that are comprised of four hundred juveniles, six hundred youthful offenders whose records are sealed and actually adjudicated instead of convicted. The conviction, as you know, of a youthful offender is -- is converted to an adjudication. And then another thousand people plead in satisfaction of a sex offense, and that is endangering the welfare of a minor and public lewdness. And let me say, just parenthetically at this time, that we need to address that. We need

Page 236

1 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 to fix that problem with sex offenders. So when 3 Westchester County looked at its sex offending 4 population, it determined that, after the use of polygraph and continued supervision, some of those 6 people had upwards of fifty prior offenses. And 7 then many of them had public lewdness charges on 8 their record. And I think Commissioner Pozzi may 9 have spoken with you today, and I'm very proud of 10 the job that he does in -- in my home county, of 11 course. But sex offender management is a 12 challenge. It's three to five percent of our 13 population, depending whether you include those two thousand additional ones. We spend about eighty-five percent of our time in sex offenders. 16 given the gravity of its -- its nature in -- in the 17 community. 18 Let me say, also parenthetically, 19 we have a thousand-foot provision in the penal 20 code. Local communities are going about passing --21 increasing that distance, whether it's two 22 thousand, three thousand. And I guess my guestion 23 to you would be, how many feet will make you feel 24 comfortable? The truth is, we need to protect our

Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 communities from sex offenders, but probation is the most frequently used disposition. Forty percent of the dispositions on sex -- sex offenses are to probation departments. It's community supervision, followed by parole, with fifteen hundred. Another forty percent, of course, are sentenced to state prison. I have two daughters.

I'm a father of three children and -- and one son.

And clearly, I'm concerned about the safety of my children. But I want to manage sex offenders out of an intelligent, practical strategy and not one out of fear. And the research says that aggressive case supervision and intensive treatment -- treatment not as in a cure, but treatment as in management, and use of polygraph will drive down recidivism. And so we need -- need to give both Parole and Probation the resources to intelligently manage sex offenders in the community. Excuse me.

lowa had an experience with this when we were looking at sentencing around sex offenders. And if you look at lowa's experience in that, they passed increasingly expanding perimeters

60 (Pages 234 to 237)

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

16

17

18

19

20

Page 239

Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 1 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 and circles of exclusion, zones of exclusion, until 2 you'll probably be able to verify the fact that if 3 they excluded the sex offenders out of almost every you look over the last five, ten years, the 4 community. That doubled the number of their violence percent of the -- the percent of violent 4 5 absconders. And if you look at a white paper 5 offenders in -- in state prison continues to grow. 6 created by their state's attorney general's office 6 And percent of nonviolent offenders continues to 7 and county attorneys, you'll find that they're --7 decrease. We're actually doing a better job at they want to take those back. They want to take identifying those threats to community safety in 9 those back because they -- they recognize they need New York City. And I think that's important to 10 to manage sex offenders successfully in the recognize. However, we're not doing the best job 10 that we could. When I look at offenders like 11 community. I don't think I want to live in a state 11 12 where we sentence sex offenders to living under Darryl Littlejohn and his crime in the Lower East 12 13 causeways, as in other states. And, you know, I 13 Side in Manhattan, and I look at the crime of think we've come a long way in 2000. When I read 14 Philip Brandt in my own county, in Westchester, a person who's convicted of three rapes in New York about that, one state sentencing sex offenders and 15 16 placing them under the Julia Tuttle Causeway, I 16 State in 1979, and then I look at the -- at the 17 think of the movie "Ben Hur," when Ben Hur went to 17 murder that was committed of Maria Conchevaluso 18 visit his mother on the island of lepers. And I 18 (phonetic spelling) -- basically, the individual's 19 say, "haven't we changed in the last two thousand 19 name who's been attached to the civil confinement 20 years?" I would hope that we have. So I think 20 law in New York State -- a level three sex offender 21 when you compare New York State with other states, 21 living in our community, I say why? Aren't we 22 we've done some good things. 22 better at this point in identifying the real 23 And now the question -- and I 23 threats to our community? Shouldn't we be? 24 think, Paul, if you look at the statistics, I think 24 Doesn't the public have a right to expect that we

Page 240

can do a better job on that? So I would say to the sentencing commission that we need to do a couple of things. We need to look for opportunity to divert people and shift people from the state prison -- state prison system, where appropriate. And we need to get better at determining who should remain in prison and protect the public. And that is not an 10 exact science, but I think we're getting better at it. One of the things we need to look at is the 11 12 use of risk and need assessments. In risk and need 12 13 assessments, risk looks at usually static factors: prior criminal history. An individual cannot 15 change their prior criminal history. But they can

change the dynamic factors: their education, their

associates and peers, family dysfunction, sobriety,

change. And I think it's incumbent upon the state

employment. Those are the things that we can

Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007

21 to do so. 22 I think state prison can be an 23 opportunity for -- for some people. Earlier this week, I was in the company of Glen Martin, who's

criminal attitudes and thinking, their criminal

Page 241 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007

1 2 working for the Legal Action Center. And Glen is a pretty remarkable individual who changed his life. 3

4 He was very successful at committing armed

robberies. He -- his specialty was jewelry stores. And he was knocking them off pretty regularly. And

7 he was caught, convicted, and sent to prison. But

he was a smart kid, and someone came into the

9 prison system at some point in his testing and 10 said, "you should be in college. You're a really

bright individual." And so he pursued -- because 11

Canisius College provides an educational program at

13 Wyoming, he pursued that, got his undergraduate

degree. And he said to the group in attendance --14

15 and it was a national group looking at voter

16 disenfranchisement -- he said, "when I reached the

17 Port Authority, I had, in my backpack, my four-year

undergraduate degree. And I said to myself, 'what 18

am I going to do? You know, what direction am I 19

20 going to take?" He said, "that evening, my

21 friends came to visit me, and they gave me a loaded

22 handgun and a -- a vest, and said, 'we're back in

23 business, Glen." And he said, "you know, I

24 thought about it all night. And I decided because

61 (Pages 238 to 241)

1

3

7

8

9

Page 242

Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 I had the degree, and I had the opportunity, and I 3 had the job" -- Legal Action Center was giving him 4 minimum wage -- "that I could make a difference." 5 It's all about opportunity and the opportunities we 6 create for people in their integration back into 7 society.

8 He said something about 9 rehabilitation. People expect, well, you know, 10 rehabilitation. And I said to him later, "Glen, was that because -- people are people. You really 11 12 don't change. It's not a question of something magical happening, and at some point because we 13 14 selectively incapacitate you for a number of years. It's a question of what we do while you're in that 15 16 facility and what you do while you're in that 17 facility that mattered." And for me, it's all 18 about employment. It's all about housing. I agree

20 Employment and housing are key. Now, just let me annotate that a little bit because 21 22 I think sobriety is overblown. And I think drug 23 dependency in this country is overblown. And I 24 think we've dedicated far too many resources to it.

with Commissioner Horn. It's a variety.

Page 243

Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 Is drug dependency and alcoholism an issue? Yes,

it is. But is it the real issue or is it

symptomatic of our larger problem? A person with 4

5 no education, a person with no skills, a person who

6 believes he does not have a chance or an

7 opportunity or hasn't made an opportunity for

themselves will probably resort to drug and alcohol

dependency. When I visited the Doe Fund down in

Brooklyn, at the Sharpe Center -- and anyone who 10

11 hasn't visited that, you ought to take the

opportunity to do so -- and spoke to George 12

McDonald. He's very, very good at getting people 13

to build a -- a -- a history, if you will, of successful employment, starting off in

16

meaningful -- very low paying but meaningful jobs

and Ready, Willing, Able groups down in New York 17

18 City. And he's got contracts with the city, and

19 he's got contracts with the bids, including New

20 York City and ensuring that it's litter-free. And

21 then, while he took me through the shop center, and

22 he took me by two of his drug testing machines, he

23 says to me, "Bob, that's my drug treatment

program." Because if people have employment, if 24

Page 244

1

Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 they have housing, if they feel that they have a chance to succeed, then drug and alcohol addiction 4 is going to be played less prominently into their lives. So please don't misunderstand me. I'm not 6 saying that drug and alcohol treatment isn't -isn't important. I don't think it is the key thing that is important. If, in fact, we treat addiction, and the person comes out to -- out of 10 prison with no job and no housing and -- and no real opportunity, then -- then we have a drug-free 11 person who's not going anywhere. And that's not 12 13 going to last for long. So I think that's

important to say. 14 15 I want to say a couple of other 16 things to you about sentencing and probation, as it is, because one of the things in terms of metrics, 17 18 in addition to the hundred and twenty thousand 19 people we supervise, we prepare a hundred and 20 thirty thousand investigation -- pre-sentence 21 investigations for the courts. And that's a huge 22 tax. And in fact, one of the findings of the 23 Judicial Task force on the Future of Probation was that that -- that document is so important, not

Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 only to the sentencing judge -- and some would

2 3 argue that it's less important because, indeed,

ninety-six or ninety-seven percent of the cases in

New York City are through plea. I was a

6 prosecutor, however, and I sat in court and stood

before the judge. And in many cases, the P.S.I. 7

8 upstate -- maybe not in New York City, but outside

of New York City -- can make a real difference.

And I think judges throughout the state rely 10

heavily both on the family courts and in the adult 11

courts on the recommendations in probation. 12

13 But what we said in the Judicial Task force report

14 is that we ought to raise state aid funding if for

15 no other reason than the preparation of a

16 pre-sentence report. And people from Corrections

came in, and people from Parole came in and 17

18 testified to the importance of the pre-sentence

19 report. I submit to you today that I think that's

20 important. I don't think it's that important as

21 far -- in terms of following the person through the

22 system. And you would say, "well, you know, you're

23 the State Director of Probation, Bob. How can you

possibly be saying that?" We prepare that report

Page 245

1

2

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Page 246

Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 for judges. It has to go along with the individual 3 at commitment or we don't accept them into the 4 prison system, along with some other information. 5 And it follows that individual 6 through parole. My question is, after eight or ten 7 years, how meaningful is a report that was prepared eight or twelve years before that? And why is 9 Parole looking at that as the seminal document in 10 determining what, in fact, should be done with this 11 individual? Isn't it incumbent upon the Department 12 of Correction in our state to do its own 13 assessment -- careful assessment, not only for classification but for risk and need and identifying the types of programs and services this 16 individual will benefit from? And what I'm talking 17 about is the preparation for real jobs. And while 18 I agree with Commissioner Horn that there's 19 integrity in every job -- and I believe that, 20 including the individual who cleans the wastepaper 21 baskets in this room. If you do a careful job, and 22 you take pride in that -- and I think everyone, at 23 the end of their day, wants to go home and feel the same pride that, one, they did a good job, and what 24 24

Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 they did was important. I understand that. But let's face it. When we leave the community, there are only so many jobs for folks. And in fact, we're an increasingly technical society. And so we ought to prepare people. I was really encouraged, also, when I heard Commissioner Horn talk about literacy. That seems to be something that we should really be able to accomplish. And yet so many people in our prison system are illiterate. So getting back to the job of

Corrections, I think we ought to be more careful as to carefully assessing risk and need. And -- and I think that Parole ought to be doing the same thing. So our report is important because it should reflect the thinking and motivations of that individual when they committed that crime six. eight, and ten years ago. But it shouldn't be the most important because, indeed, both Corrections and Parole should know that individual far better than when Probation was involved with this individual.

I want to talk about -- I -- I handed out some papers to you, and basically, I

Page 248

1

1 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 want to save -- I don't want to take too much time

3 on this, but it reflects our active probationers,

4 and it talks about the felony misdemeanor

5 distribution. It talks about the fact that we get

6 three thousand probationers each and every month

7 placed on probation, half of which are felony and

8 misdemeanors. On the second page, however, I want

9 to talk to you about our A.T.I. programs -- the

10 Alternatives to Incarceration. And I want to talk

11 about that for a couple of reasons because I think

12 it played handily into why we were able to reduce

13 our prison population -- not only a strong history

14 of probation regulation, unlike other states like

15 Texas and California. In 1983 and 1984, New York

16 State passed a remarkable piece of legislation.

17 It's called the Classification Alternatives to

18 Incarceration Order. And the reason that I think

19 that is remarkable is it gave counties just small

20 amounts of money to plan about -- plan programs

21 that reduced their reliance on jail -- on their own

22 jail systems because they were all overcrowded at

23 the same time. I would have liked to have seen

24 that money increase over the years. I'd still like

Page 249 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007

2 to see it increase further. But nevertheless, what

3 was important was not the level of funding, but the

4 way they program was designed. If counties

developed alternatives to incarceration service

plans, in turn -- and we reviewed and approved

7 those as the state authorizing agency -- they were

able to reduce the classification in their local

jail systems. And that was very, very important to

10 counties -- from twelve to four, in fact. And so

11 they saved huge amounts of money in overtime. And

12 when facilities were overcrowded at that time, that

13 provided enormous relief to them. So that was 14

important.

15 What types of programs did they 16 develop and we fund? Pretrial service programs --17 and the numbers there, I need to caution you, that

does not include the New York City C.J.A. --18

Criminal Justice Agency -- which screens over three 19

hundred thousand individuals each year and is 20

responsible for release -- for release on 21

22 recognizance of some one hundred and fifty

23 thousand. So it doesn't include the C.J.A. But it

24 includes forty-one programs, forty-two pretrial

63 (Pages 246 to 249)

2

Page 250 Page 251 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 service programs. We developed -- counties 2 3 developed, we funded forty community service 3 District Attorney Hines in Brooklyn, to say, "a programs and fourteen defender-based advocacy 4 second-felony offender doesn't have to go to state 5 programs, ten task programs. In the last category, 5 6 which I think is really interesting, are what I 6 crack in his pocket last year, which was a 7 call the eclectic programs -- you know, the

9 York City and upstate, as well. And what are those 9 10 programs, because it's important to know them?

11 They're the Women's Prison Association and Project 11

12 Green Hope and Center for Employment Opportunity 13 and the Center for Community Alternatives and --

programs that are unique to New York State and New

and programs like that. They've done an absolutely

15 wonderful job in changing the lives of individuals

16 one by one -- and the CASES Program, the Nathaniel Program that operates in Kings County, as well as 17

E.A.C. that operates in the Bronx -- tremendous 18

19 programs.

20 Apart from all the good that they 21 did, they achieved something else. And that is

22 that they achieved a change in judicial thinking.

What we did is -- those programs, I think, changed

24 the culture of judicial decision-making and laid

the groundwork for -- for courageous people, like

prison. The fact that a person had twelve vials of

7 misdemeanor, and this year, that's a Class D

felony, I can convict that individual, and I can

coerce that individual into succeeding and

10 treatment. And I can use it -- do it using my

powers as a district attorney." And he did so, and

he did so very effectively, and New York State is 12

indebted to him and the other district attorneys 13

14 that followed suit because they had an enormous

effect -- an enormous effect on the state prison 15

16 population with respect to drug-dependent

offenders. And D.A. Brown and Morgenthau followed 17

18 suit, and we diverted a whole lot of people out of

19 state prison, and we got great outcomes.

At the same time, Judge Kaye developed a -- a series of -- of drug treatment courts. And it's important to talk about the drug

23 treatment courts. They deal in small numbers. But

they have very good outcomes. There are over two 24

Page 252

20

21

22

1

2

Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007

hundred drug treatment courts today in the state, a series of mental health courts, five sex offender

3 courts -- a little different in their outcome and

5 their -- their direction, but still important,

6 clearly. What's important to know about drug

treatment courts is the notion that I want to carry

8 into probation. And that is the power of the black

9 robe. It is the responsivity. The thing that

10 makes the drug treatment court work is that there's

11 immediate access to treatment, and if there's

12 violative behavior, that individual is before that

judge the very next day. And what I have said to

14 the judges last year at the administrative judges'

session -- I'm going to sit in next week at the

16 judicial training -- is the same thing. I wish

17 probation enjoyed the same responsivity of judges

in New York State. Indeed, if we did, I think we'd 18

19 have similar outcomes, because Commissioner cannot 19

20 live in a system where he has to wait for four or

21 five months for a probation violation hearing.

22 Everything we know about human behavior, we know --

23 we exercise this in bring up our children -- that a

24 response to wrong behavior has to be swift and

Page 253 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007

certain. It has to be immediate to the act. And

3 in the -- one of the things I'm going to suggest to

4 this commission is that we think about expanding

the powers of probation officers to enact 5

6 intermediate sanctions -- the power to up the

7 level, to ensure that a person goes to drug and

8 alcohol treatment without going back to the court,

the -- the power to impose a curfew, where

10 necessary. Give us the latitude to impose

increasingly serious conditions -- and I know that 11

New York City's been a big proponent of this over 12

13 the years and has looked at the State of Oregon

with respect to this -- you know, a graduated -- a 14

15 graduated sanction matrix, if you will, of what we

can do to avoid violative behavior. And when we do 16

17 violate an individual, if we're before the court

the very next day, I think we'll change offender 18

behavior. And indeed, if we had a place to put

20 that individual into a respite, rather than going

through the entire violation process and had him 21

22 spend seventy-two hours in jail, rather than the

23 next year and a half, I think we might bring in the

24 same message with him, and that what they did was

64 (Pages 250 to 253)

1

2

3

5

7

24

Page 254 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 1 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 wrong. Very similar and analogous to 3 4 this is our agency's quest for a detainer in the 4 percent. legislature. We are going in very narrowly around 5 And I won't go into the details, 6 sex offenders and domestic violence batterers. But I think it is so important for probation officers, 7 when the courts are not in session, and we see 9 violative behavior, to take immediate action and

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

8

10

11

12

13

14

18

22

10 ensure that person is removed from the community, 10 that we arraign within twenty-four or forty-eight 11 11 12

hours. And certainly, their due -- due process 12

13 rights will not be violated.

14 Recidivism -- we measure probation performance by recidivism. When I got 16 there several years ago, probation departments had never seen recidivism data. We -- thank you to 17 18 D.C.J.S., who we work very closely with, in 19 providing that. How can you -- how can you measure 20 a performance without recidivism? Recidivism is

21 the goal of probation. It's -- it's reducing --22 it's changing offender behavior in reducing recidivism. If you look at the numbers, you see

24 that twelve months for felony re-arrest. It's

about thirteen percent. Twenty-four months for the twenty, and three years were about twenty-five

but I provided you New York City -- the statewide picture, New York City, and non-New York City numbers. They're a little different as -- clearly. you heard Commissioner Horn say that he has -sixty-five percent of his caseload are felons. So he's dealing with a more serious probation or population. So his recidivism is going to be naturally higher.

I also provided some of the charts that I read each and every quarter when Sue Jacobson sends them over to me. And again, thank you to D.C.J.S. It's the sentences for felony convictions. And I monitor by county what's happening throughout the state with respect to probation sentences. But if you'll look at the first one -- and it's for felony convictions only, now -- it's for felony convictions off of indictments -- you'll see that, between probation and jail and probation, the split sentences for

Page 256

Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 sentencing if, in fact, we were present with a recommendation to the judge. I've been a prosecutor. I know about expediency. You know, I know about moving cases, and -- and I know about court schedules. But I also think that's

7

important. Just tailing back a moment on probation violations -- you know, I said that our action has to be swift and certain. One of the things that I -- I would suggest that a sentencing commission -- and certainly something that I expect we're going to pursue as a department next year, and I'm talking to the Office of Court Administration on uniform court rule, is a standard for probation violation rights -- five business days. That's going to seem heretical to a lot of people. Everyone will throw up their hands and say, "how can we possibly do that?" And I guess I would say, "how can we possibly not do that?" The court has sentenced this individual to probation supervision. We have their authority and the public's trust that we're going to succeed with them. If, in fact, we're violating that

1 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 felonies and misdemeanors -- that, in fact, 3 thirty-six percent of all dispositions are to 4 probation. On felonies, forty-five percent of them are off to state prisons. So probation has an 6 enormous workload. And then you'll see that broken 6 down by classification and drug offenses, as well. 8 For probation and sentencing, several things -- and 9 I'll -- I'll try to move along as quickly as 10 possible. But I want to talk to you about the nonwaiver of the pre-sentence report. Right now, 11 12 Probation's experience is that we often find 13 offenders at our doorstep, sometimes beating the 14 paperwork to the door, that the offender has been sentenced to probation supervision -- even a period 15 16 of five years for a felony or ten years for a sex 17 offender. And we may not have had the opportunity 17 to conduct a pre-sentence investigation. And I 18 19 will tell you that I think that's just wrong 20 because, when they get there, we -- we have to do a 20 pre-sentence investigation, anyhow. When it comes 21 21 to probation supervision, a nonwaiver of the 23 pre-sentence investigation is absolutely essential.

And we have better outcomes at

```
Page 258
       Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007
                                                               Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007
2
                                                         2
    individual, the -- the response by the board -- the
                                                                        MR. MACCARONE: Right. I
 3
    court has to be immediate. So that's something I
                                                         3
                                                             understand.
4
    would suggest the court -- the sentencing
                                                         4
                                                                        COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: -- by --
5
    commission certainly take a look at. The --.
                                                         5
                                                             by way of intervention? Do you have ideas along
                                                         6
                                                             those lines?
6
               COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: I --
7
    I -- I just want to interrupt you because I -- I
                                                         7
                                                                        MR. MACCARONE: I do. Let me say
8
    know --
                                                             the following, that I don't there's a minor
9
               MR. MACCARONE: Yeah.
                                                         9
                                                             offender in the state prison system in New York.
10
               COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: -- I --
                                                        10
                                                             You have to earn your way into the state prison
                                                             system in New York. And you may hear different
11 I -- I know we cut into your time somewhat. But
                                                        11
12
    one of our explicit missions is to look at A.T.I.s
                                                             things. But as a prosecutor, I know who goes to
                                                        12
13
    and how they can be expanded to reduce
                                                             state prison. And if you look at that criminal
                                                        13
    incarceration rates. Is there -- you know, can you
                                                        14
                                                             history, it's pretty serious. It's not that we
    kind of point us in that direction? I'm thinking,
                                                             can't make decisions about diverting some of those
16
    are there specific offenses, for instance, that
                                                        16
                                                             and shifting some of those people. I know no one
    there may be data or we may be looking for data
17
                                                        17
                                                             wants to build additional state prisons, and I was
18
    that will simply show that they either they don't
                                                        18
                                                             encouraged to hear the governor say that. So we
19
    need probation supervision; they certainly don't
                                                        19
                                                             need to rely on community corrections. But we need
20 need incarceration, and that if we were to expand
                                                        20
                                                             to be careful in what we do because, in fact, if
21
    programs for these more moderate offenses that are 21
                                                             indeed we make recommendations like that, it's
22 alternative completely to any kind of -- of
                                                             going to have some serious repercussions on local
23
    criminal intervention, that we could save a lot of
                                                             county jails and local -- and county probation
24 money and maybe not make their situation worse --
                                                        24
                                                             departments.
```

Page 260 1 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 1 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 Why don't we take the D.W.I. as 2 payments like everyone else and is probably 3 an example? The most commonly -- common -- and I 3 4 think certainly Paul and Donna can give you better 4 5 data on this -- is the D.W.I. population. Now, 6 who's going to prison for the D.W.I.? Probably, if you look at the way our laws are structured, the 7 8 first gets pled down to a D.W.I. violation. Second 8 9 is a misdemeanor. The third is a felony. He's on probation at that point, And he may have been even 10 10 prior to, although not necessarily. And even on 11 12 the first felony, he may not be on probation -- may 12 13 pay a fine, and he's done. So it may be the second 13 14 felony by the time we reach that person. And some 14 15 of our offenders will fail from probation and be 15 16 even given another chance. So we're talking about 16 17 a third- or fourth-time offender that we catch, 17 18 that law enforcement -- he comes to the attention 18 19 of law enforcement. How many other times is he --19 20 he's -- has he driven while under the influence 20 21 of -- of alcohol? However, is there opportunity 21 22 here? Yes, there is, because the D.W.I. offender 22 23 is an individual clearly, by definition, who owns 23

employed. Could we do something different? I think there's opportunity there, but I would never say that we should divert that person from the state prison system and just into probation. Rather, I'd look at the Suffolk County model. And that's within the context of a Suffolk County jail facility. And it's a period of, I think, six months, the last time that I visited that program -- six months of incarceration followed by five years of probation supervision -- every day, five, six days a week in a treatment milieu and looking at their chemical dependency because, let's face it, we're dealing with alcoholics. And alcoholism is an extremely difficult disease to change. But couldn't we do something in the community on that order? Yes, we could. And could probation be there for the community supervision aspect of that? Yes, it could. But we've got to be sure to fund that in a very planful and intelligent way, because the worst thing that we

could is divert D.W.I. offenders back into the

24 an automobile, who pays insurance, who pays car

24

Page 263 Page 262 1 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 community and have them re-offend. Fifteen hundred think the Rockefeller Drug Laws was our first entry 3 deaths occur on our -- on our highways in New York into that -- to that vehicle. I know Class D 4 State each and every year. Five hundred and fifty felons were looked at. I think we ought to 5 of those -- about a third -- are D.W.I.-related. 5 probably take another look at them under certain 6 Interestingly, we've looked at 6 limited conditions and see what their activity is 7 the D.M.V. One Oh Four Crash Fatality Reports in 7 and -- and whether there's opportunity to divert Probation, and each and every year, thirteen them into treatment. I think there's opportunity 9 percent of the deaths are persons who are known to 9 there for different populations. 10 Probation. I'd be interesting in working with 10 COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: What 11 ITSMAR, the Institute for Traffic Safety and 11 about initial programs, like first-time offender 12 Management and Research, in determining how many programs and, you know, programs that really are 12 13 parolees are involved in those. We're not only about -- I guess that's where I was kind of 13 involved in D.W.I.s, but just in risk-taking 14 focused. behaviors. And so that's something we're looking 15 MR. MACCARONE: Yeah. 16 at, clearly, and admission into LOPS and -- and 16 COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: Do we license plate readers and a whole lot of other have enough programs in the state? Are they funded 17 17 18 strategies to manage our population. 18 sufficiently? You know, I know we had huge 19 So when you ask me, Commissioner, 19 problems with the juvenile justice system and --20 is there opportunity, yes, there is. The D.W.I. 20 and, you know, how people -- you had people 21 population, if we did something on the Suffolk 21 graduating from that system into the adult system, 22 County model for certain offenders, I think is 22 even at age sixteen. That -- and what about the possible. I think there's certainly an opportunity 23 more minor offenses? I don't know --24 to intervene with drug-dependent offenders, and I shoplifting -- those kinds of offenses. Are we 24

Page 264 1 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 1 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 aggressive enough in the way that we're 2 class of individuals that are placed on probation 3 intervening, and do we have the programs available? 3 for us to collect the restitution and give the 4 MR. MACCARONE: Too aggressive --4 collection agency. Might we suggest to you that 5 too aggressive from a probation perspective -- what that should be done by courts, just like a traffic 5 6 risk and need is demonstrating to us, and all the 6 ticket? And you know, if you don't pay, you get 7 literature says -- and you can speak to Ed Latessa 7 the notice in the mail, and you go out -- and in 8 out of the University of Cincinnati and Dr. Faye 8 fact, I would think that we get better results with 9 the judge sitting there than the probation officer Taxman out of the Bureau of Governmental Research 10 at the -- at the Commonwealth, and more recently, 10 trying to plead for them to make the next payment. 11 she was with the University of Maryland. They What that might do is free up resources so we could 11 12 looked at that and said there's a population that, shift and handle another population, because 12 13 in fact, you're going to over-supervise. And 13 anything we do, frankly, we've got to fund because we do have minor offenders who are 14 better -- fund probation better. sentenced to probation -- I'd like to give you one 15 One of the things we're doing -- and I know you've 16 class of offenders that we ought to do something 16 heard this, but for the benefit of the sentencing 17 about right away. And that is people who are 17 commission, I think it's important -- is we're ordered to pay restitution. They're not placed on 18 18 looking carefully at these risk and need 19 probation for any other reason than paying 19 instruments because we are defining -- more clearly 20 restitution. And so there are a number of those. 20 defining the probation population. Out of the 21 I don't know the exact number 21 first five thousand cases we've looked at, we know 22 because we're automating probation departments with 22 the breakdown. Ten percent are the highest risk 23 your financial assistance, as you know, and we'll probationer. Thirty percent is the next level, and

24

so forth and so on. The truth is, we

67 (Pages 262 to 265)

24 know better in the future. But there's a whole

Page 265

2

22

23

24

Page 266

Page 267

Page 269

1 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 1 2 2 over-supervise, and we over-involve a lot of 3 offenders because all the research says that a 3 4 whole lot of offenders, you should do nothing. 4 5 They're not going to recidivate. 5 6 So don't -- don't over-program those offenders. 6 7 You'll be doing, you know, a disservice to them. 7 That should free up resources. That's going to do 9 two things for us during the next two years. One, it's going to necessarily drive us to reconsider 10 10 our supervision rule. Right now, our supervision 11 11 12 rule is very structural. Thank God it is. But 12 13 it's about context -- counting context and not 13 14 determining the quality of those contexts. So 14 15 we're all about seeing the person once a week, and 16 "do you have your pay stub, and are you going to 16 17 treatment? Are you remaining in drug treatment? 17 18 Thank you, and how were the Yankees last night?" 18 19 And off they go, rather than sitting around in a 19 20 cognitive behavioral group and saying to that class 20 21 of offenders, "what are you doing without a job?" 21 22 And we've made some inroads on employment, I need 22 23 to say, because we've got a great affiliation with 23 24 the National Institute of Corrections, and most 24

Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 recently. Commissioner Horn has become the fifth model resource site in the state, and he sent an entire team of representatives to every borough to a three-week, one-hundred-and-eighty-hour training course by N.I.C. He's going to train probation officers in employment. They're going to do groups starting in September around employment because they need -- they need skill-building, role modeling about how to get a job, how to obtain a job, how to keep a job.

So when you ask them is there opportunity, yes, there is. But just to finish my thought, the risk and needs is going to drive us to reconsider how we have contact with offenders, and that's going to necessarily drive the development of recommended caseload standards. And I will tell you that one of the things we absolutely have to do in this state is we have to have a standard because, indeed, there are only thirty-seven and a half hours each week. And how we want to spend those hours and how we want to allocate resources is absolutely the most important thing. We just completed a caseload survey in the last week. I

Page 268 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007

1

2

3

4

7

20

Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 there is people that violate. Do you -- do you have a feeling about that or a view on that? MR. MACCARONE: Truthfully, a lot of offenders are cut loose early in New York State. A lot of the inmates -- a lot of offenders are --

8 probation officers and request that their probation 9 status be terminated. If they're succeeding, if

their probation is -- they go back to the court

10 they're employed, they're sober, and -- and they're 11 succeeding, the probation department will go back

to the court. Now, the problem is, that is 12

13 permissive. It is not mandatory now. And so we could, with careful analysis of the data, begin to 14

15 look at that area more carefully so we can move

16 people through probation at a quicker point in time

17 because, you know, to parrot what Commissioner Horn

said, you know, it's -- it's -- it's employment; 18 19 it's opportunity; it's sobriety; it's housing.

If -- if we're addressing those 21 major factors, the -- the offender ought to be 22 doing okay and not recidivating. So -- but in --

23 I -- I would be -- I wouldn't be honest if I -- I 24 said here that everyone serves their entire term.

68 (Pages 266 to 269)

3 available so you can see -- the members of this 4 commission can see the probation caseload sizes by 5 supervision, by investigation, by criminal, and by 6 family court in the caseloads. They're very high. Some of the sex offender caseloads have upwards of 8 seventy-five and eighty people -- very, very 9 difficult. And --. 10 COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: Well, 11 Bob, we had at least one recommendation that by having lengthy terms of supervision, probation or 12 13 parole, that, you know, maybe you're setting some people up for failure, that it's so long, that the 14 15 people that go to the other level where they're 16 just -- you know, you're seeing them once a month 17 or they send in their pay stubs -- or whatever 18 that -- that kind of reduced supervision is --19 doesn't really accomplish much, and yet you keep 20 people basically under supervision for a much longer period of time. It's costly. There are 21

MR. MACCARONE: Uh-huh.

COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: And

some costs associated with it.

sent it out to directors, and I can make it

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1

2

3

4

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 270

1 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 They do not. And it's an individual decision. 3 Now, what I want to do in the 4 next year or so around sex offender management is I 5 want to drive a model policy that limits their 6 ability, although I have to say that our 7 department's doing an impressive job of managing that population. And I don't know of any probation 9 departments that are going out to seek early termination on -- on sex offenders unless it's in 10 unique circumstances and rare cases and low-risk 11 12 cases.

13 So that being the case -- you 14 know, I -- I would say, in my closing statement here, you know, in -- I gave a lot of thought to 16 the sentencing commission. And, you know, it seems 17 to me you have many goals. And one is to look at 18 how we can rely on community corrections greater --19 both the A.T.I.s and the probation system. And is 20 there great potential there? Absolutely. But it must be adequately funded. And that's an important 21 22 consideration. Distinct populations -- I can think 23 of a few. We've discussed them here. But I think 24 we've got to do it in a very planful way to ensure

Page 271 1 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 that the public is protected. Clearly, upstate, I think there's great potential for the D.W.I. 3 population where most of the offenses occur. I 4

5 think that there -- I would hope that you look 6 at -- at a -- a risk model and really determine

7 where sentences should be decreased, where populations can be diverted, and where some

9 sentences for violent offenders should be, in fact, 10 increased.

With respect to determinant and indeterminant, I think you can look at the federal system on determinant. I don't think that's successful. I don't think sentencing guidelines where they're written in stone serve anyone's interest, and I think the federal system has its drawbacks. I understand that the indeterminant sentence -- and I certainly understand the issue with parole. If, in fact, you're going to have a true indeterminant sentence, then the Parole Board has to get on board and make the decisions that way and can't continue to give reasons for precluding release, as it goes back to the original of a 24 crime. Well, there's nothing the offender can

Page 272

Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 really do to change that now.

2 3 So, you know, I think that there 4 has to be incentive in -- within the prison system 5 to achieve. And if we take that away and say, 6 "look, your sentence is fifteen years regardless of your behavior" -- although I have to say, I -- I 8 was -- I did find very interesting Commissioner 9 Horn's model of -- of actually adding time on for 10 behavior. And that's certainly a way to -- I think that we ought to look at that. But I think that --11 12 you know, I think some of the indeterminant --13 well, it's a patched quilt. And the thing that I 14 like in New York State's sentencing laws, too, it's 15 kind of like the federal tax return when you think 16 about it. It's the basic statement, and then it's 17 a hundred pages of attachments. And at the end, you find out that you still have to pay your 18 19 children's tuition. So -- so in that respect, I --20 I think that there's opportunity for change. 21 We will be sending the commission

22 a written document with all of our detailed

23 recommendations to you on changes in restitution,

24 in P.S.I. things that we're looking at that -- and

Page 273 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 we'll work on that the next couple of weeks and give you something in writing.

COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: That would be really helpful. I am curious -- and I don't know if -- if any of the research people know this or you may know this or Murray may -- but are there any good research studies that -- that show that probation or supervision really work or what -- what kind of supervision models work? MR. MACCARONE: Washington Policy

Institution, Stephen Aos -- A-O-S -- Dr. Faye Taxman, Dr. Ed Latessa -- we think -- they think the research indicates what works. For years, the thinking was, nothing works. I don't think that's true. I think we know what works. But it is basic And it's around those things we discuss -- same for the parolee population. Just let me say something for the parolee

population and -- and how we do reentry in the state. I'm absolutely convinced that we have to change that process. I've talked about it before.

If we make no change, we should not expect a different result. People cannot leave

69 (Pages 270 to 273)

2

3

7

9

13

23

Page 274 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 the SHU after fourteen years or Southport after 2 think you go to communities the same way Joanne twelve and be dumped in a homeless shelter and Paige did when she built the castle and say, "you 3 4 expect that we're going to succeed with them. 4 know what? These folks are in your community. At 5 We're not going to. And we've invested a lot of 5 least now we know where they are." The parolee money. Some people look at it as an investment. I 6 population, we're not quite sure. The probationer wish we had more to show for it in terms of 7 population, like parole, we try to do home visits; education. Education is important and should be an we try to have a lot of contact. But there's an important part of the corrections experience. element of the population returning from state 10 Where else do we have such a captive population to 10 prison that would be better served being in the 11 achieve those goals? So I think that we have to 11 step-down facility over a period of time, in easing 12 step down. Some people call that work release. that integration back in a very planned way. I 12 And work release, unfortunately, think that is something that we have to do. 13 14 has a bad connotation to it or has come to had a --14 COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: Thank 15 have a bad connotation to it. I think we could 15 you. MR. MACCARONE: Questions? 16 look at it as just structuring the prison 16 sentence -- restructuring the prison sentence where 17 COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: Thank 17 18 there is a stay within a correctional institution, 18 vou. We --. 19 followed by a step down into a halfway house. I am 19 MR. VANCE: I think director --20 convinced people have to have the opportunity to be 20 21 employed, to have savings, to be sober. And only 21 22 then should they be moved to the community. 22

following up on Commissioner Horn's comments, I've spent time as an assistant D.A. in Manhattan in misdemeanor court. It's -- it -- that is an arena where it seems to me nothing -- with all -- I mean no disrespect to judges or prosecutors -- nothing

Page 276

23

24

1

2

3

4

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
1
       Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007
2
    happens except cases are processed.
3
               MR. MACCARONE: Uh-huh.
4
               MR. VANCE: There isn't any -- at
5
    least in my experience, cases are moved, adjourned,
6
    but there really is no impact in terms of stemming
7
    the tide of individuals who go through the system
8
    or stemming the tide by sanctions or preventing
9
    that group from becoming more professional and --
10
    and -- and committing higher crimes. Is there,
    from your perspective, a way to look at the
11
12
    misdemeanor courts differently, perhaps by
13
    decriminalizing as a concept or -- or -- or a
    number of minor offenses and sending them to a --
    you know, a -- a different kind of resolution,
16
    which is designed to have a personal impact and be
17
    more effective in reserving the misdemeanor courts
    for those misdemeanors where you're going to have
18
19
    tough guaranteed sanctions? It's a general
20
    question, but my observation is, it doesn't do
21
    anything to change your process and -- and really
22
    affect people who go through it, except on the
23
    margins.
24
               MR. MACCARONE: I think you're
```

Community opposition is -- is certainly an issue

24 out there. I don't think it's insurmountable. I

Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 right. Let me just say by analogy that, you know, with -- let's look at the truancy issue there. We don't do much in this country around truancy until the -- you know, the child has about eighty absences, and then we, you know, seek to intervene and bring in educational neglect, which is very difficult to prove. And so we have these enormous dropout rates. And yet, in Scandinavia, when a -when an individual doesn't show up at school, they send an entire governmental team out to the house because they know that's a prognosticator of problems occurring in that family. So I'm not about ignoring the issue, and I don't think you're suggesting that but, rather, are looking for more effective intervention.

I think there can be more effective interventions, but I think New York -and New York City is looking at this through some of their midtown courts, which prove to be very effective. And that is -- you know, it's a -- you know, an ordinance or -- not an ordinance, but even a lesser serious misdemeanor -- a petit larceny. And the individual comes into court that day and

70 (Pages 274 to 277)

Page 279 Page 278 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 goes out and performs the community service almost 2 couldn't be sold. I -- I agree with you, but I 3 that day. And New York City's doing a lot of that. 3 don't know how to present it politically. 4 I think that there is a potential to look at that, 4 MR. SCHNEIDERMAN: Well, the --5 and maybe we do a specialized court. I mean, maybe 5 one issue that I -- I'm very interested in that --6 that's what we do with all misdemeanors and to looking at your page on A.T.I.s is, what 7 concentrate on some of the more serious felons. 7 information do we have? I mean, what if --8 That -- that's something I certainly think is we're -- how did -- who is in -- who are -- where 9 worthy of looking at because a lot of the 9 did it come from? Is it counties or by -misdemeanors will not re-offend. Once they're, you 10 particular judges use them, and some judges don't 10 know, arrested, they will not re-offend. I may use them? How much -- it'd be -- I'd be very 11 11 12 also say, there's another entire -- entire 12 interested in getting some more detailed 13 population that continues to commit misdemeanor 13 information because my experience is that a lot of after -- after misdemeanor, and they fill our jail 14 it is -- is a lack of information about what works 15 system numerous times. And there's no graduated and what doesn't work. Some judges like them; some 15 16 sentence in New York State. Some district 16 judges don't like them. And that we could -- just 17 attorneys have suggested there ought to be. And I by systematizing and getting better information and 17 having it more current, that does make it easier to 18 think that might be something we want to take a 18 19 look at. 19 sell. 20 MR. BERGAMO: Thinking about the 20 MR. BERGAMO: Yes. I agree. 21 alternatives, they really are radical. I think it 21 MR. MACCARONE: Yeah. Let me say 22 would be real hard for a politician to sell. I that I can give you some more detailed information 22 23 agree with some of the things you've said. 23 now, and that is that two thirds of those hundred 24 certainly. I think -- politically, I think it and seventy A.T.I. programs are operated by 24

Page 280 1 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 probation departments. So virtually all of the 3 pre-trial service programs, except two or three 4 counties -- Monroe, Westchester, and -- and New York City C.J.A. -- are actually operated by county 6 probation departments. Similarly, most of the community service programs are operated by the 8 probation departments. The task programs are 9 either part of probation or independent. And the 10 defender-based obviously are. And then the remaining fifty or so programs are those 11 12 programs -- the Center for Employment Opportunity. 12 13 Where do they get their clients from? Well, the 14 cases -- Nathaniel Program, which runs a very successful ACT team in intervening and, you know, the mentally ill, takes its cases out of Rikers, 16 17 plus felony indictment. They go to Rikers, and 18 they interview offenders, and they come back and advocate before judges. And they're very 19 20 successful, as I said, changing lives one at a time there. So some of the A.T.I.s will get their cases 21 from direct referral from Probation, throughout the

state. Others will have independent screeners in

24 court and get their cases and make separate

23

1 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 arguments. And the Judicial Task force identified 3 this -- that some of the judges had relationships 4 directly with the A.C. Task and with the Center for Employment Opportunity. And as part of the discussion in moving where D.P.C.A. should be -and the only reason for arguing where D.P.C.A. 7 8 should be in terms of changing it to the courts -was to build a constituency in there to fund 10 probation. Most people feel county probation is doing a good job. And they think that we're 11 leading in the right direction. But they lack the resources. And what they really need is the guarantee and support of -- of the governor and the 14 15 legislature on resources and -- because probation officers want to do the right job. They just want -- they need the resources to do that. 17 COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: Bob, 18 19 that's a really good point, though. And I'm glad 20 you brought that there to -- do you have a list of 21 A.T.I. programs in the state? Is there a glossary 22 or -- or -- I don't know if you have one, Marty, 23 that we could take a look at. 24 MR. MACCARONE: Sure.

Page 281

Page 282 Page 283 1 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 1 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: And, you 2 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I think, 3 know, is anyone seriously looking -- did the two years ago, the New York City Criminal Justice 3 4 commission, for instance, look at how to make that 4 Agency did an evaluation of the A.T.I.s in New York 5 information more available to judges, where in the 5 City. I'm sure that's -- we can get a copy of that 6 state -- in the state -- in that state these 6 for you. 7 programs don't exist and --7 MR. MACCARONE: And you'll want 8 MR. MACCARONE: Yeah. 8 to look at that -- the A.T.I. evaluation --9 COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: -- and because, you know, it shows that, you know, 10 10 outcomes are about the -- the same. should be started, if they don't? 11 MR. SCHNEIDERMAN: It sounds like 11 Let me just say something about 12 some counties have some, and some counties don't. what we're doing with the A.T.I.s, and certainly, I 12 13 Some judges have relationships; some judges -can give you an index of -- you know, a -- a -- a 13 14 COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: And they 14 guide on where all the A.T.I.s are by county, and 15 work --. we have that information. In fact, one of the 15 16 MR. SCHNEIDERMAN: -- don't. 16 things we sent it over was just for the -- the 17 It's not --. 17 recent reentry hearing. 18 COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: Do they 18 COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: Uh-huh. 19 work is always --19 MR. MACCARONE: But we'll give 20 MR. MACCARONE: Yeah. 20 that, as well as the 2010 programs we're funding. 21 COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: -- the 21 And we can provide that information. 22 But we've done three or four 22 core --. 23 MR. SCHNEIDERMAN: Yeah. And 23 distinct things with the A.T.I.s since I got there. First of all, we implemented a careful quarterly 24 then -- yeah. 24 Page 284 Page 285 1 1 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 reporting. So you have the data for the first 2 recidivism information on the A.T.I.s. That, too, is going on the public website because they need to 3 time. You never had data. You know, when I got 3 4 there, they said, "well, we're so understaffed, and 4 know how they're succeeding. And some of them are the agencies won't want to really provide the very expensive. It is not inexpensive. If you 5 6 data." They must absolutely provide the data 6 look at some of the Fortune programs and -- and thirty days after the close of the quarter or they 7 some of the other residential programs, there can 7 8 don't get funded that quarter. So they have to be upwards of eighteen, twenty thousand dollars per 9 give us the data. 9 offender. We do our best to create a very 10 The second thing that we did in 10 competitive environment and hold them to the 11 milestones. If they do not reach their milestones, 11 the last two years is we moved all of our two 12 hundred contracts to performance-based 12 they do not get paid. 13 contracting -- all of it. And but for the member 13 So we've made it performance-based contracting. We've provided items on the TANF (phonetic funding) funding and 14 15 SASTO (phonetic spelling) and some of the other recidivism data. And this year, we implemented a specialized fundings, they're all R.F.P. We thirty-three percent random sample audit. We just 17 evaluate the programs after a year. Anything under 17 sent out cases. They have to deliver to us an exact copy of their file to review to ensure that 18 the fifty percent in completions in terms of what 18 they hit all of the benchmarks on those cases, as 19 they told us they were going to do, we stopped the 19 20 contract, take the money back, turn it into a new 20 a -- and a way of auditing against them. Why is 21 R.F.P. We want to show that we're dynamic in 21 that important? Performance-based contracting for responding to, you know, the -- the situation. 22 22 the A.T.I.s is the first step in getting them 23 We are working with D.C.J.S. in 23 toward evidence-based outcomes -- looking at 24 providing tracking data and, for the first time, 24 outcomes. And we've had programs that now are

23

Page 286 Page 287 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 1 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 2 really concentrated on how are we going to succeed support and guidance and direction. So I hope 3 with this offender. So it's an entire -- entirely 3 you'll sit with us and -- and work very closely 4 different philosophy than getting the state money, 4 with us --5 5 MR. MACCARONE: Certainly. regardless of whether we perform or not. So --. 6 COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: Well, so 6 COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: -- over 7 I don't get fired here as --7 the summer, if you can. 8 MR. MACCARONE: Yeah. MR. MACCARONE: Surely. Thank 8 9 COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: -- chair 9 you. 10 of this commission on our second meeting, I -- I'm 10 COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: Well, going to have to bring it to a close so we can get 11 11 any pertinent matters everyone would like to 12 out of here by five. But I really want to make a discuss. I just want to make sure everyone knows 12 13 plea to you, Bob, to you, Marty, to work with us. our next meeting is June 27th. So we won't be 13 We're -- we're -- we have a schedule. We're going 14 meeting next week to allow our legislators to pass to be breaking down into subcommittees in July and some very meaningful legislation as we wind down 16 August that are really going to tackle these issues 16 the legislative session. Our meeting is going to 17 for a preliminary report, to make preliminary 17 be a very important one. I think that all -- both of them have been so far. But we are going to hear 18 findings. And we really need your expertise. So 18 19 I'll talk to you --19 from Ed Latessa, who Bob Maccarone spoke about, 20 MR. MACCARONE: Sure. who's really a national expert on a number of 20 21 COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: --21 corrections issues and, particularly, reentry 22 individually, but I'm really making this plea, 22 issues. So he's going to be very important. We're 23 as -- as two of the preeminent experts in this 23 going to hear from Jeremy Travis, you know, who is 24 field, that we could really use your help and also a national expert and wrote the book on 24 Page 288 Page 289 1 1 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007 2 reentry. And we're going to have a presentation 2 it. If we're able to reduce prison populations, 3 for our research team. We're going to hear from 3 there are cost savings. Is there any way to 4 Mike Jacobson from VERA on a number of very 4 suggest or provide that that -- those savings be important issues. And we're also going to get reinvested into criminal justice? I don't know. 6 some -- some speakers from the courts to talk about But somewhere in here, I think the finances of this 7 the specialty courts. So it's going to be really have to be addressed, because if we're just going 8 a -- a critical session. I hope you can all make 8 to rely on the legislature to fund alternatives 9 it. It's in New York City. So we'll get you the with the money they would have spent on prison, I 10 address, and we look forward to seeing you there. think we're going to have a problem. That goes --10 11 Are there any matters that we I mean, probation and parole -- very incredibly 11 12 need to address before we adjourn today? impressive presentations, but obviously --. 12 13 MR. SCHNEIDERMAN: I just want to 13 COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: Yeah. 14 raise one issue. I don't know if it's -- it's 14 Absolutely. I mean, it absolutely is part of what 15 really within the scope of our jurisdiction or not. 15 we have to do. It was part of the executive order But it occurs to me, listening to everyone -- and that any recommendations that we're going to make, 16 16 17 this is an incredible array of speakers. Your 17 we're going to have to think about how they can be staff has done an amazing job of getting that -financed, what -- where there's cost savings in the 18 18 19 the best people in the business. But we're going 19 system. And it's not easy. We didn't really ask 20 to think somewhat, if we're going to have any 20 DOCS to address this. But you're asked to address impact at all, about financing mechanisms for it frequently, and how can you reduce the 21 21 programs -- for probation, for parole. It's not 22 population significantly and not save money?

23

24

But -- but there's reasons that

I'm sure they'll all share with us. So it -- it

going to do us any good to make a proposal where

24 our colleagues are just not going to fund -- fund

	Page 290		Page 291
1	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007	1	Commission on Sentencing Reform - 6-13-2007
2	absolutely is part of it. We have one session	2	I, Gary T. McGloine, do hereby certify that the
3	where we have some budget people, but really, it's	3	foregoing was taken by me, in the cause, at the time
4	going to have to be part of the ongoing work, as	4	and place, and in the presence of counsel, as stated
5	we're working in the subcommittee with our research	5	in the caption hereto, at Page 1 hereof; that before
6	staff, having some budget people who will be	6	giving testimony said witness(es) was (were) duly
7	working with us on it from the various components.	7	sworn to testify the truth, the whole truth and
8	But it is true. We we won't succeed if we have	8	nothing but the truth; that the foregoing typewritten
9	grandiose proposals and no way to finance them	9	transcription, consisting of pages number 1 to 290,
10	and and no cost savings as a result. So it's	10	inclusive, is a true record prepared by me and
11	widely said yes.	11	completed by Associated Reporters Int'l., Inc. from
12	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I tried to	12	materials provided by me.
13	address it, and I did reach out to Budget and talk	13	
14	to them about this.	14	Gary T. McGloine, Reporter
15	COMMISSIONER O'DONNELL: Great.	15	Date
16	Thank you very much. Thank you to our speakers.	16	rgtm/tek/paj
17	You were magnificent.	17	
18		18	
19		19	
20		20	
21		21	
22		22	
23		23	
24		24	

A **abide** 107:14 ability 21:10 32:24 33:13 88:22 97:19 107:14 130:19 132:19 134:9 156:19 159:10 160:14 166:10 174:4,12 189:8 200:21 202:13 205:7 223:24 225:10 231:17 270:6 **able** 4:10 5:18,24 12:6 38:23 49:20 70:13 77:4 80:19 87:10 103:7 105:19 107:5,14 113:5,7,12,23 117:18 122:18 123:18 132:20 133:4 134:7 135:6 141:20,23 145:18 148:13 148:22 149:2 151:16 154:4 156:19 159:6 161:8 164:11 239:2 243:17 247:9 248:12 249:8 289:2 **ably** 226:17 **abolish** 108:13 abolishing 107:24 absconded 115:3 absconders 238:5 absences 277:6 **absent** 182:15 absolute 55:6 173:14 absolutely 35:21 51:21 56:12 131:24 132:10 144:15 148:15,18 154:16 160:16 228:3 250:14 256:23 267:18,23 270:20 273:21 284:6 289:14,14 290:2 abstinence 82:15 abstinent 149:21 abuse 24:17 67:17 88:14 150:4 220:5 223:8 academic 45:3 accept 10:6 11:4,15 17:16 35:12 173:8 174:9 190:15 192:14 215:4 246:3

```
acceptable 192:24
acceptance 223:18
accepted 44:10 64:12,12
access 252:11
accomplish 161:8 247:9
 268:19
accomplished 4:22 46:2
accomplishing 185:22
account 200:16 201:12
 202:6
accountable 134:18
accumulative 92:10
accurate 67:22 148:8
 151:21,23
accused 22:4
accuses 22:9
achieve 166:19 200:17
 224:7 272:5 274:11
achieved 250:21,22
achieving 5:18 119:9
acoustics 39:12
acreage 19:20
act 6:21,24 16:18 30:17
 32:14 100:23 105:21
 112:10 150:9 159:18
 253:2 280:15
acting 136:5 150:6 156:20
 186:6
action 74:17 241:2 242:3
 254:9 257:10
actions 136:23
active 248:3
activities 145:17,22
activity 137:11 263:6
acts 30:20 67:9 74:21
 178:22
actual 59:19 76:14 116:20
 185:13 212:13
actuality 89:23
act-wise 22:18
add 121:17 132:24 192:18
 200:22 232:7
added 181:11 184:22
addendum 63:24
```

addict 24:15 addicted 217:16 **addiction** 83:19,21 187:14 217:12 219:3 220:21,21 221:3,8,20 244:3,9 addicts 82:10,10 218:10 **adding** 272:9 addition 66:14 244:18 **additional** 7:14 37:16 38:18 67:8 78:13 87:7,11 129:19 133:12 143:7 236:14 259:17 address 36:7 73:3 85:11 96:24 100:17 127:4 161:10 165:6 186:17 187:13 217:24 234:20 235:24 288:10,12 289:20 289:20 290:13 addressed 30:9 36:7 289:7 **addressing** 227:3,8 269:20 adds 67:8 adequate 34:10 adequately 130:24 206:7 206:14 270:21 adherent 134:21 adhering 134:11 adjourn 288:12 adjourned 276:5 adjudicated 235:17 adjudication 235:20 adjudicatory 173:22 **adjust** 95:19 adjustment 65:22 administer 142:18 administration 181:16 210:2 226:17,20 257:15 administrative 178:18 206:22 252:14 administrator 73:16 183:13 admission 64:3 262:16 admissions 73:22 184:18 184:20 196:4 adolescents 137:9

adopt 67:5 168:3	167:7 173:10 177:8 180:3	258:22
adopted 43:21	183:22 191:9 215:3,16,23	alternatives 85:16 97:14
adult 120:11 135:19	217:10 222:23 242:18	163:24 226:16 228:6
137:11 138:13 176:17	246:18 278:23 279:2,20	248:10,17 249:5 250:13
228:11 234:8 245:11	agreed 173:15 175:15	278:21 289:8
263:21	agreement 44:2,6	amazing 288:18
advance 73:20	agreements 175:12	ambivalent 219:14,15
advanced 191:3	ahead 71:17	amelioration 30:16
advent 36:15 42:14 198:3	aid 245:14	amended 42:23
adverse 182:13	air 21:12	Amendment 38:10
advice 62:23 63:12 64:13	Airs 10:10	amendments 83:17
64:16	Albany 1:13 116:5	America 231:19
advise 24:21	albeit 233:21	Americans 16:17
adviser 156:3	alcohol 24:17 67:17 88:13	Amodeo 2:11 61:14 120:2
advocacy 250:4	150:4 186:23 190:2	170:12
advocate 96:2 280:19	199:24 200:10 217:18,19	amount 8:17,23 16:11
advocates 99:18	219:14 220:5 221:12	42:19 60:9 62:16 87:14
affect 27:3,9 72:19 90:6	243:8 244:3,6 253:8	92:21 101:16 108:5
169:10,10 224:2 276:22	260:21	116:18 189:5 207:23
affiliation 266:23	alcoholics 261:16	212:6,10 213:13
afraid 188:12	alcoholism 216:18 217:8	amounts 248:20 249:11
afternoon 100:24 101:5	217:12,22 219:5 220:8,10	anachronistic 184:2
164:14	243:2 261:17	analogous 254:3
age 42:22,24 43:6 44:14,14	alcohol-free 186:24 223:10	analogy 277:2
44:15 58:12,19 135:18	Alexander 2:4 31:2 35:21	analysis 72:18 233:10
136:3 137:16 138:9 172:6	44:13 47:4 85:4,7	269:14
191:18 208:3,19 263:22	allocate 267:22	Andy 161:23
agencies 284:5	allocations 229:9	anecdotal 40:14
agency 15:8 34:19 70:9	allotted 165:12	anecdotally 111:6
111:24 112:10 183:14	allow 6:22 17:6 41:22	angels 165:2
206:16,18 220:8,10 249:7	49:22 62:12 78:2 91:3	ankle 144:23,24 145:3
249:19 265:4 283:4	107:9 124:5 132:21,23	annotate 242:21
agency's 254:4	168:11 191:16 216:15	annual 51:7 54:7 59:10
agenda 99:15	287:14	Annucci 2:4 3:20,23 4:3
aggravated 40:19	allowable 169:22 173:16	6:11 28:19,24 29:5,9,12
aggressive 208:11 237:14	allowance 24:8 27:19	29:21 30:3 31:6,24 32:6
264:2,4,5	30:15 31:4,11	33:22 34:13 36:8,12,22
aggressively 187:14	allowed 147:3	39:15,18,22 44:17 47:7
ago 5:15 38:6 93:19 101:18	allowing 49:8 78:22	50:5,13,22 51:2,7,11,15
103:3,5 126:5 135:13	allows 123:3 127:4 133:14	51:18,21 52:8,15,19,23
165:22 230:10 247:18	148:19	54:10,17,21 55:19,23
254:16 283:3	all-time 5:11	56:3,9,12,15 57:2,17,22
agrarian 172:11	alternative 12:16 70:10	58:3,10 59:14,22 60:2
agree 81:16 124:5 132:6	77:24 78:20 133:16 170:7	61:4,13,19 63:2 64:5

67:24 68:4 69:9,12,19	105:5 119:13 162:23	209:15 226:18 289:20
70:2 71:6,9,21 72:3,24	225:22	asking 39:12 71:22 102:4
73:4,18 77:13,17,21 82:6	approach 153:2 232:16	142:8
83:8 84:5,20,23 85:6,18	approaching 6:19	aspect 9:9 96:12 261:21
85:22 86:2 88:6,11 91:15	appropriate 9:14 24:19	assault 32:3
92:13,19 93:6,9,13 94:8	28:15 35:5 70:6 196:24	assaultive 33:9
94:10 95:9 96:16,22	205:10 240:7	assaults 31:21
99:24 111:3,12 118:14,17	appropriateness 174:3	assemble 37:21
224:4	appropriation 234:2	assembly 63:9 123:10
answer 32:2 34:15 35:17	approve 42:16 63:6,6	Assemblyman 15:18 36:23
37:13 153:15 160:6	approved 65:20 69:4 249:6	214:6 234:17
207:13	arcane 165:4	Assemblymember 2:6
answerable 206:21	arduous 6:4,14	assesses 177:4
answered 58:6	area 51:23 146:19 147:8	assessing 247:13
Anthony 2:4,5	165:4 166:2 269:15	assessment 73:19 228:23
anticipation 24:9	areas 3:17 20:5 56:18 65:7	229:6 246:13,13
anybody 14:4 38:21,23	100:15 145:15 146:15	assessments 240:12,13
53:21,23 66:8 67:11	147:2,5,17 148:7	assign 28:14 123:21
anybody's 45:6 164:9	arena 120:14 275:22	assigned 24:6,13 28:12
anyone's 16:12 271:15	argue 185:10 245:3	174:5
anyway 107:12 123:14	arguing 223:2 281:7	assistance 200:3 226:5
Aos 273:12	argument 231:23	264:23
apart 173:24 180:6 233:14	arguments 173:7 281:2	assistant 275:21
250:20	Arizona 109:17	associated 8:17 268:22
apartment 200:15 202:5	arm 222:13,13	291:11
app 12:12	armed 20:10 241:4	associates 240:18
apparent 4:20	arraign 254:11	Association 99:9,17,19
Apparently 111:9 232:8	arraignment 156:23 157:4	227:3 250:11
appealed 38:10	157:7 176:3	assumptions 182:24
Appeals 10:10 76:9	arrange 46:18	astronomical 130:12
appear 193:21 202:9	array 228:6 288:17	atmosphere 82:14
appearance 30:13 55:8	arrest 115:4 155:12 156:24	attached 67:7 239:19
209:18	176:16 194:20	attachment 68:20
appeared 22:13 210:20	arrested 115:5 176:17	attachments 272:17
appearing 24:11	278:11	attainment 191:5 200:23
appears 24:15 119:6	arrests 150:16,22 209:3,5,6	202:4
142:12 204:9	arrest-free 151:9	attempted 36:7
apple 128:14	Arthur 16:5 219:20	attend 179:10
applicants 59:19 60:3	Article 67:11	attendance 241:14
applied 138:3,4	artificially 98:7	attention 46:10 47:2 97:17
apply 60:17	ascertain 91:23	97:20 115:16 187:11
applying 112:13	aside 97:5 201:13	260:18
appointments 226:19	asked 72:14 101:19 122:8	Attica 223:16
appreciate 3:3,4 100:17	177:22 178:15 196:14	attitude 25:19,23 26:4
		1

attitudes 240:17 attorney 13:10 21:24 121:9 136:16 152:11,17 182:6 190:11 213:10 238:6 251:3.11 attorneys 48:19 99:9 116:9 172:20,24 173:2,2,8 213:5,6,7 214:20,22 238:7 251:13 278:17 attribute 8:11 224:17 attune 115:14 atypical 131:22 **Auburn** 20:22 21:3,5 audit 285:16 auditing 285:20 auditorium 45:22 **August** 286:16 **Austin** 195:5 authority 28:14 37:13 127:6 241:17 257:22 authorize 180:9 authorized 173:9 175:6 179:19 authorizing 249:7 **automatically** 53:23 80:23 automating 264:22 automobile 260:24 available 14:6 32:17 51:20 95:7 105:19 112:17 121:8 121:24 123:24 149:2 153:5 171:21 187:12 232:3 264:3 268:3 282:5 avenue 48:24 average 86:11,13,22,22,23 87:12 109:19,24 118:10 122:7 142:13,19 158:4 171:8.10.13 211:24 212:15 213:16 214:4,5 avoid 21:12 30:10,11 126:15 253:16 avoidance 46:15 award 45:23 46:3,4,6,7 aware 37:7 141:14 142:12 149:15,16

awe 227:17 awful 195:23 A-O-S 273:12 A.A 217:6,7 223:23 A.C 281:4 A.D.A 226:12 a.m 1:11 A.T.I 233:18 248:9 279:24 281:21 283:8 A.T.I.s 258:12 270:19 279:6 280:21 283:4,12,14 283:23 285:2,22

B

B 2:4 8:22,24 45:15 48:14 48:15 76:18,21,22 77:6,7 80:13,22 179:20 180:9,23 181:2,3,4,6 back 3:22 5:5 8:13 11:12 13:14 21:19 31:11 34:8 40:7 47:12,13,13,23 48:4 55:22 57:18.18 59:15 65:15 75:16 88:8 95:12 97:3,11 98:2 101:10,24 102:20,22 103:6,13,20 104:9,12,15,18 105:24 106:13 108:6 110:13 114:3 115:21 116:15 117:7 119:10 120:24 123:6 125:16,23,24 126:11 127:23 128:21 130:8 132:20,20 134:22 135:23 139:23 140:23 145:11,18,21 154:3 159:19 162:9 172:6 176:15 181:14 182:8 186:9,10,12,13 208:6 219:9 222:12,17,20,20 223:2,6 225:14 226:5 232:4 235:2 238:8,9 241:22 242:6 247:11 253:8 257:8 261:24 269:7 269:11 271:23 275:12 280:18 284:20

backed 103:2 **background** 16:12 22:3 25:9 38:17 backlash 68:16 backpack 241:17 **bad** 127:22 177:23 178:3 182:15 192:15,20 199:19 274:14,15 bail 157:9 215:2 balance 57:24 balanced 232:15 bank 200:16 bar 121:9 bargained 180:3 bargaining 80:12 213:2,3,9 213:9 215:13 Barrett 2:11 **barrier** 169:17 **based** 23:14 24:19 28:22 29:3 36:4 51:11 82:24 108:21 110:15 126:14 138:7 179:2 182:23 183:19 basic 43:8 62:19 168:11 272:16 273:16 basically 10:4 11:3,20 23:2 23:9 30:18 52:9,19 63:8 64:12 66:8 88:24 90:5 145:7 154:18 177:7 211:8 239:18 247:24 268:20 basis 30:12 54:7 **baskets** 246:21 **bath** 209:9 bathtub's 209:22 batterers 254:6 battling 10:15 bear 167:11 174:3,14 186:14 bearing 168:21,22 bears 195:20 beat 198:6 **beating** 256:13 becoming 276:9 **Bedford** 19:13

		1 age 27
beds 19:18 67:23 69:2	168:13 239:10 285:9	block 53:2 190:17 219:9
89:16,23 95:20 168:5	288:19	blocks 36:24
began 165:21	betrayed 182:14	blood 222:15
beginning 112:15 120:17	better 5:7 17:7 34:15 47:15	Blue 1:12
120:21 223:11	47:16,17 118:10 122:16	blueprint 41:21 216:8
begins 54:19,22	147:24 148:7,8 149:7	blurring 217:21
behalf 4:4 186:6	171:18 180:8 184:13,17	board 24:10,11 25:18 27:5
behave 28:2 31:15 32:24	210:6 220:12 221:16	30:14 55:8 74:17,21
33:5 193:6	223:13 239:7,22 240:2,8	91:17 161:23 173:11
behaved 183:3 192:12	240:10 247:20 256:24	178:17,21 182:6,17,20
behavior 27:2,12 28:23	260:4 264:24 265:8,14,14	183:4,8,19,21,24 184:4,7
29:3 32:21 112:22 130:7	275:10 279:17	184:12 206:22 209:17
136:5 142:4 150:12	beyond 27:10 37:10 38:12	221:10 258:2 271:20,21
172:10 182:15 192:4,5,8	182:14,16	Board's 183:20
192:14,15,20,20,22 193:3	Bianchi 2:12	Bob 100:24 111:18,19
193:7 197:5 252:12,22,24	bids 225:15 243:19	113:12,12 140:12 156:18
253:16,19 254:9,22 272:7	big 4:7 11:5 16:7,7 18:18	161:9 163:6 177:11 226:9
272:10	36:7 41:16 73:21 75:21	226:12,18,22 243:23
behavioral 266:20	253:12	245:23 268:11 281:18
behaviors 262:15	bigger 215:10	286:13 287:19
believe 19:10 47:8 103:18	biggest 40:10	body 17:23 112:11 113:23
111:15 117:22 156:6	bill 156:17 207:16 214:13	178:18
173:19 177:13 185:22	billion 15:8 46:16 202:19	bold 165:17
186:19 203:18 211:14,15	230:15	bond 6:21,24 168:3
211:16 223:14 246:19	billions 232:7	bonds 7:8
believes 243:6	Bing 2:7	book 210:24 231:14 287:24
belong 198:5,22 206:24	BING-NEWTON 33:14,18	boom 208:5,9
Ben 238:17,17	33:23 83:15	boot 41:19 60:12 95:16
benchmark 5:8	bio 163:19	border 16:4,5
benchmarks 285:19	birth 81:13	borne 34:22
beneficial 44:23	birthday 43:3	borough 267:4
benefit 3:3 60:13 62:3	bit 4:15 26:15 39:14 53:15	borrowed 43:23
63:13 175:24 205:22	81:10 85:2 119:19 120:18	borrowing 44:9
206:16 246:16 265:16	121:18 124:19 129:18	boss 40:24
benefited 233:20	138:2 140:13 154:3 165:7	bottom 4:24
Bergamo 2:5 31:18 32:5	165:10,17 166:6 168:15	bought 77:24
52:6,13,18,22 54:7 69:23	169:2,12 191:13 214:19 227:12 228:7 242:21	bound 187:24 box 165:16
91:12 99:22 125:14,19,21		
126:3,13,20,23 127:11,16	bite 128:13	bracelet 144:21,23 145:2,4 brain 41:24 190:7
149:10,13,19 150:11 151:2 204:16,19 206:15	black 252:8 blamed 193:4	Brandt 239:14
278:20 279:20	blanket 66:14	brandt 239.14 brand-new 41:22 42:2
best 4:17 6:20 35:13 37:8	bleeding 129:19	Bratton 207:17
38:22 104:20 132:6	blend 206:16	break 56:23 76:19
30.22 107.20 132.0	Dicita 200.10	bicak 30.23 /0.19

breakdown 265:22 business 9:22 48:8 104:16 career 165:21 breakdowns 76:11 167:24 202:23 241:23 careers 48:3 breaking 286:15 257:16 288:19 careful 151:10 234:21 **Brian** 4:5 227:7 buy 129:23 201:8 212:5,11 246:13,21 247:12 259:20 **Bridge** 15:22 **buying** 42:18 269:14 283:24 carefully 24:24 50:16 **brief** 118:20 bystanders 8:4 briefly 13:19 88:4 **B's** 76:16 247:13 265:18 269:15 **bright** 241:11 Carolina 212:8 \mathbf{C} **bring** 63:15 70:17 130:8 carry 134:7 252:7 C 2:5 16:21 169:22 179:20 155:16 233:9 252:23 **CASAT** 88:12,19,24 90:8 180:9 253:23 277:7 286:11 216:12 218:17 220:8 calculate 18:7 221:18 **bringing** 189:13 204:7 **calculated** 86:14,24 **broad** 5:21 163:22 case 10:10 12:12 26:2 calculation 26:15 **broken** 119:7 256:6 55:12 59:20 74:2 75:10 calendar 9:24 **Bronx** 250:18 76:9 83:6 97:15 111:9 California 230:9,11 248:15 **Brooklyn** 15:18 186:4 133:2 141:12 152:11 **call** 11:2 34:3 64:15 180:22 243:10 251:3 153:23 162:14 177:4,6,6 226:5 250:7 274:12 **brought** 30:9 45:22 85:8 177:9.9 184:21 228:14 called 10:10 12:2 41:13 109:12 115:15 281:20 237:14 270:13 65:18 88:12 109:6 177:20 **Brown** 251:17 caseload 158:4 159:11 224:22 248:17 **bud** 223:7 160:13 193:18,18 228:19 calls 40:19 158:17 182:6 **buddy** 189:11 255:10 267:17,24 268:4 camp 44:24 95:17 **budget** 7:11 11:2 15:7 68:8 caseloads 131:18,21 146:7 camps 41:19 53:6 230:14 290:3,6,13 158:3 160:8,19 228:19 campus 14:23 95:23 **Buffalo** 65:8 234:6 268:6,7 campuses 19:22 build 6:23,23 7:9 11:16,24 cases 94:20 103:23 123:6 camp-style 60:13 168:4 203:19 230:18 124:4 145:14 160:18,20 Canadian 16:3 232:9 243:14 259:17 162:11 178:3 180:18 candidate 62:10 281:9 193:18 199:5 206:3 210:4 candidates 47:11 60:9 70:5 **building** 1:12 7:3 12:23 210:5,6 228:14 245:4,7 **Canisius** 241:12 89:14 220:2 250:16 257:5 265:21 cap 89:15,22 **buildings** 19:21 148:5 270:11,12 276:2,5 280:14 capability 20:5,8 **built** 7:4 20:23,23 21:7 280:16,21,24 285:17,19 capable 45:14 232:24 203:14 275:3 case-by-case 129:9 capacity 10:9 95:7 169:2,9 bullets 48:7 **castle** 275:3 176:5 191:14 194:6,7 **bunk** 19:18 catch 260:17 capital 1:12 15:7 **burden** 78:14 123:11 133:3 Catching 191:9 **capping** 214:12 169:24 195:21 **category** 80:3 250:5 caption 291:5 burdened 125:3 caught 177:17 241:7 **captive** 274:10 **burdensome** 116:9 119:8 cause 150:9 291:3 capture 29:15,17,22 **Bureau** 264:9 Causeway 238:16 captured 25:23 bureaucrat 190:12 causeways 238:13 car 201:8 260:24 burglary 23:20,24 62:7 causing 38:2 138:21 care 16:15,16 32:15 **bus** 188:8 **caution** 249:17

cell 20:3,4,8 147:19 148:20	ohanga 11:17 40:7 77:10	163:18 169:7 174:17,20
149:4 190:17 219:9	change 11:17 49:7 77:10 80:21 124:17 134:19	177:11,13,22 178:11
cells 31:21 232:8	135:23 156:8 169:22	179:11 180:8 197:12
center 18:6,22 23:6 25:6	170:4 196:20 207:22	205:18 207:7,12 209:3
43:9 49:13 53:24 54:20	240:15,16,20 242:12	210:4 218:9 227:23,24
117:18 173:7 188:6 219:5	250:22 253:18 261:18	-
		228:15 233:5,5,6 234:11
241:2 242:3 243:10,21	272:2,20 273:22,23 276:21	239:9 243:18,18,20 245:5
250:12,13 280:12 281:4		245:8,9 249:18 250:9
centers 17:10,12,17 18:2 30:20 117:11 224:24	changed 79:24 175:2 209:5 238:19 241:3 250:23	255:6,7,7 277:19 280:5
centralize 117:21		283:3,5 288:9
	changes 12:9 31:10 37:3 126:3 218:6 222:6 272:23	City's 253:12 278:3
ceremony 45:21 certain 9:19 35:15 80:2		civil 36:15 37:4 38:21 110:12 239:19
	changing 250:15 254:22 280:20 281:8	
104:12 132:22 133:5		clarify 61:15
145:9,11 147:5 148:7	Chaplains 227:4	class 8:22,24 66:24 72:17
169:21 216:15 253:2	chapter 176:9	72:18 76:16,18 77:3
257:10 262:22 263:5	characterize 96:17	80:14 86:10 87:6 169:21
certainly 5:2 14:6,12 34:18	charge 113:18 138:7	229:24 251:7 263:3
35:24 36:6 96:23 99:14	charged 22:6	264:16 265:2 266:20
100:12 102:12 104:17	charges 76:7 150:22 236:7	classification 19:2 122:21
105:23 127:20 164:17	chart 76:10	122:22 123:21 246:14
170:13,19 172:17 207:15	charts 76:19 86:3 255:15	248:17 249:8 256:7
217:10 226:10 227:10	cheap 223:12	classroom 219:8
233:15 254:12 257:12	cheaper 148:21	clean 84:3
258:5,19 260:4 262:23	check 41:7 63:16 92:14	cleaning 190:17
271:18 272:10 274:23	130:5	cleans 246:20
278:8,24 283:12 287:5	checked 203:15	clear 6:23 71:4 96:11
certificate 157:8	chemical 261:15	181:23 207:23
certify 291:2	chief 119:24 120:12 135:13	clearly 7:3 60:2 62:2 81:4
cetera 38:5 74:13 92:2,2	170:13 207:3	81:15 97:2 164:20 182:2
227:15	child 67:10 277:5	231:12 233:12,17 237:10
chair 286:9	children 208:7,7 231:10	252:6 255:8 260:23
chairlady 85:7	237:9,11 252:23	262:16 265:19 271:2
Chairman 47:17	children's 272:19	clients 280:13
Chairwoman 4:3	choices 167:12 172:12,16	clinics 16:20
challenge 21:8 81:10	choose 167:5 215:21,21	Clinton 21:7
165:14 166:18 214:16	Christy 195:6,7	clock 17:16 55:3 144:8
236:12	Cincinnati 264:8	close 16:4,6 18:18 75:18
challenges 164:24	circles 238:2	85:3 115:7 211:23 230:24
chance 128:18 131:4,5	circulating 21:12	284:7 286:11
184:13,17 243:6 244:3	circumstances 270:11	closely 156:18 254:18
260:16	citizens 112:2	287:3
chances 62:4 72:20 146:18	city 7:21 15:19 65:7 101:7	closer 20:13 33:2,3 74:5
194:21 201:5 234:24	115:9 146:15 147:6	closing 270:14

coalesce 39:6	coming 5:5 9:7 12:8 54:13	127:1 128:1 129:1 130:1
cocaine 217:19 229:24	78:12 83:5 85:3 92:16,23	131:1 132:1 133:1 134:1
code 236:20	95:12 101:4 110:16	135:1 136:1 137:1 138:1
coerce 251:9	120:15 152:21 186:9,10	139:1 140:1 141:1 142:1
coerced 82:15	186:12 195:9 199:6 208:6	143:1 144:1 145:1 146:1
cognitive 137:13 139:7	208:9,10 209:10,11	147:1 148:1 149:1 150:1
221:15 266:20	223:22 228:24 229:4	151:1 152:1 153:1 154:1
cognitively 137:15	230:3 235:2	155:1 156:1 157:1 158:1
coin 28:3 35:14 184:17	commandant 45:16	159:1 160:1 161:1 162:1
collar 186:4	commence 9:15	163:1,7,16 164:1 165:1
collateral 159:14	commences 199:18	166:1,24 167:1 168:1
colleague 227:7	commend 170:12,18	169:1 170:1,23 171:1
colleagues 96:23 165:21	comment 128:7 216:10	172:1 173:1 174:1 175:1
214:21 218:7 288:24	comments 229:11 275:20	175:5 176:1 177:1 178:1
collect 265:3	commission 1:3,4 2:1,2,10	179:1 180:1 181:1 182:1
collection 265:4	3:1 4:1,4,8 5:1,4 6:1 7:1	183:1 184:1 185:1 186:1
college 19:22 241:10,12	8:1 9:1 10:1 11:1 12:1	187:1 188:1 189:1 190:1
Columbia 90:23	13:1 14:1 15:1 16:1 17:1	191:1 192:1 193:1 194:1
column 74:11	18:1 19:1 20:1 21:1 22:1	195:1 196:1 197:1 198:1
combination 11:18 18:13	22:12 23:1,23 24:1 25:1	199:1 200:1 201:1 202:1
92:11,22 229:22,23	26:1 27:1 28:1 29:1 30:1	203:1 204:1,10 205:1
combine 208:18,20,21	31:1 32:1 33:1 34:1 35:1	206:1 207:1 208:1 209:1
combined 15:6	35:2 36:1 37:1 38:1 39:1	210:1,16 211:1 212:1
come 7:2,6 8:21 9:3 19:7	40:1 41:1 42:1 43:1,7	213:1 214:1 215:1 216:1
21:23 22:21,22 28:23	44:1 45:1 46:1 47:1 48:1	217:1 218:1 219:1 220:1
30:22,22 31:11 32:23	49:1 50:1 51:1 52:1 53:1	221:1 222:1 223:1 224:1
43:9 45:8,12,18 47:13	54:1 55:1 56:1 57:1 58:1	225:1 226:1 227:1,10
48:3 49:8,13 53:18,24	59:1 60:1 61:1 62:1 63:1	228:1 229:1 230:1 231:1
57:18 65:15 78:6,15 83:7	64:1 65:1 66:1 67:1 68:1	232:1 233:1 234:1 235:1
88:8 90:23 91:4 97:3,11	69:1 70:1 71:1 72:1,15	236:1 237:1 238:1 239:1
99:18 104:18 141:8 154:3	73:1 74:1 75:1 76:1 77:1	240:1,4 241:1 242:1
158:10 167:9 174:8	77:9 78:1,10 79:1 80:1	243:1 244:1 245:1 246:1
185:18 194:15,15 202:19	81:1 82:1 83:1 84:1 85:1	247:1 248:1 249:1 250:1
216:15 222:17,20 223:16	86:1 87:1 88:1 89:1 90:1	251:1 252:1 253:1,4
238:14 274:14 279:9	91:1 92:1 93:1 94:1 95:1	254:1 255:1 256:1 257:1
280:18	96:1 97:1 98:1,23 99:1	257:12 258:1,5 259:1
comes 23:13,18 24:2 25:6	100:1,17,22 101:1 102:1	260:1 261:1 262:1 263:1
25:10 30:18 42:14 49:18	103:1,11 104:1 105:1	264:1 265:1,17 266:1
53:7 57:18 124:9 137:21	106:1 107:1 108:1 109:1	267:1 268:1,4 269:1
162:7 164:20 188:8 219:9	110:1 111:1,23 112:1,9	270:1,16 271:1 272:1,21
221:4 222:20 223:2 244:9	112:18 113:1,17 114:1	273:1 274:1 275:1 276:1
256:21 260:18 277:24	115:1 116:1 117:1 118:1	277:1 278:1 279:1 280:1
comfort 44:8 162:7,8	119:1 120:1 121:1 122:1	281:1 282:1,4 283:1
comfortable 43:22 236:24	123:1 124:1 125:1 126:1	284:1 285:1 286:1,10

287:10 289:13 290:15 199:9,14,17 201:20 204:6 287:1 288:1 289:1 290:1 291:1 commissioners 99:3 207:23 208:2 223:18,21 commissioned 120:13 Commissioner's 41:13 224:24 225:3 227:6 228:4 170:14 **commissions** 104:4 111:6 233:18 234:5 236:17 **commissioner** 2:3 3:2,21 commit 177:17 195:11 237:5.20 238:4.11 239:8 3:24 4:5 6:7 28:17,20 201:3 212:16 230:24 239:21,23 247:3 250:3,13 29:2,7,10,14 30:2,23 231:12 278:13 254:10 259:19 261:19,20 33:16 39:9,16,19 41:2,9 **commitment** 23:2 37:5 262:2 270:18 274:22,23 43:7 50:3,6,20,23 51:4,9 38:21 57:10 80:4 157:11 275:4 278:2 280:7 51:13,16,19,22 54:15,18 233:22 246:3 community-based 199:24 55:17,20,24 56:5,10,13 **commitments** 18:3 57:19 225:7 74:9 92:15,20 58:23 59:3,8,12 63:18,21 **company** 240:24 66:16 67:18 68:2 69:7,16 commits 180:16 181:2,7 comparable 77:5 69:21 71:3,7,10 72:14 193:5 compare 47:5 56:24 57:11 73:2.5 77:11.14.18 81:20 **committed** 15:13 24:14 58:11 74:7 94:3 238:21 82:23 83:9 84:18,21 **compared** 75:15 160:10 28:11 57:19 66:19 68:15 72:5 73:11 153:19 164:16 198:23 85:20,23 88:3,7 93:4,7,11 93:20 94:24 95:5 98:9 172:9 176:11 180:23 **comparison** 58:8 76:12 99:13 100:2 101:20,20 185:8 192:10 232:5 compete 231:18,20 104:23 105:3 106:2,4,8 239:17 247:17 competency-based 89:5 106:11,14,18 107:2,6,8 **committee** 24:8 27:19 competitive 285:10 107:10,19 110:18,21 30:15 31:11 61:22 62:11 complained 220:9 112:19 114:2,5,7 118:4 64:13 109:5 170:19 **complete** 113:6 122:18 118:15,19 119:15,21 **committing** 198:17 241:4 123:12 132:2,5,11 140:24 141:4 276:10 **completed** 124:6 267:24 143:17,22 144:2,10,13,20 **common** 260:3 291:11 144:24 146:13 148:10,16 commonly 260:3 completely 258:22 completes 42:17 151:19 152:23 154:6,10 Commonwealth 264:10 154:13 156:7,10,14 **communities** 8:14 47:22 completing 123:13 157:13 159:22 160:3,21 102:22 104:9 108:6 completions 284:18 161:6,14 162:22,24 110:10 119:4 125:11 complex 4:13 18:16 81:11 163:17 164:4,6 165:9 140:23 148:23 172:2 81:12 85:19 164:20 166:8 224:8,16 235:6 236:20 complexities 4:19 203:3 207:4,16 210:8,14 210:18.21 211:2 225:20 237:2 275:2 complexity 165:7 225:24 226:4,7,19,24 **community** 12:15 37:12 complicated 18:19 227:7,13 228:15,20 233:2 39:4 46:24 47:12 60:21 complications 18:11 233:15 236:8 242:19 65:12 70:15.17 71:23 complied 24:12 246:18 247:7 252:19 79:13 84:9,12 89:5,20 comply 10:12 16:17 130:22 130:23 255:9 258:6,10 259:4 94:23 97:9,16 101:24 complying 28:4 262:19 263:10,16 267:2 102:20 113:8 123:7 268:10,24 269:17 272:8 127:23 139:23 148:20 component 42:12 273:4 275:14,17,20 149:5 153:22 159:13,15 **components** 15:2 290:7 281:18 282:2,9,14,18,21 162:9 171:24 172:11 comported 167:20 283:18 286:6,9,21 287:6 185:15,19 186:6,7,13 comprehensive 34:9 88:13

comprised 235:15 contact 229:5 267:15 275:8 conducting 141:21 **compromise** 11:21,22 conduit 7:8 contacts 91:21 159:12.14 62:13 confers 44:3 contained 34:2 compromised 176:7 **confidence** 173:20 176:20 contains 67:7 **compromises** 89:14 174:11 confident 177:5 contempt 10:13,16,20 174:12 176:19 configuration 217:3 11:10 confined 19:16 **computation** 165:4 181:12 content 9:18 **compute** 18:19 **confinement** 15:9 16:14 context 174:22 261:9 **computer** 25:8,11 17:6 26:13 36:15 49:23 266:13,13 **Comstat** 207:18 52:12 56:3 60:17 67:14 **contexts** 266:14 concentrate 278:7 78:3 110:7,13 175:9 continue 6:11 44:4 47:21 239:19 48:10 79:13,17 80:9 89:9 concentrated 286:2 concept 41:3 70:12 111:21 conform 97:8 99:11 102:9 132:23 201:7 **Connecticut** 135:21 204:9 276:13 231:24 234:6 271:22 concern 67:20 128:8 133:9 **connotation** 274:14.15 **continued** 97:15 115:23 179:16 consecutively 83:22 236:5 **concerned** 122:23 133:9 consent 80:9,21 81:2 96:8 **continues** 232:11,12 239:5 137:11 139:15 140:14 consequence 97:22,23,24 239:6 278:13 147:16 184:11 187:20 consequences 24:5 Continuing 39:22 200:20 237:10 consider 43:7 48:23 49:8 contract 134:5 201:11 concerns 78:17 114:12 49:21 77:9 80:8,19 224:10 225:2.13 284:20 115:15 148:11 163:22 170:24,24 **contracting** 284:13 285:14 Conchevaluso 239:17 172:12,16 211:12 285:21 contracts 243:18,19 284:12 concomitant 92:15 consideration 83:22 contrast 178:15,17 concourse 45:11 110:14 112:24 170:20 185:20 186:7 270:22 concrete 163:21 165:11 control 33:11 68:14 80:11 192:22 193:7 196:15 200:7,18 considerations 184:24 **condition** 188:14 221:9 considered 93:15 controlled 8:23 **conditional** 24:9 26:20 **considering** 43:19 109:13 controversial 101:14 27:10 37:17 43:11 74:10 113:21 148:12 173:12,14 103:17 74:19 103:4,11,13,20 consist 22:24 conventional 78:6 81:5 104:4,13,18,22 105:6 consisted 41:16 90:4,11 111:5,21,22 112:13,18 consistent 14:13 64:14 **conversation** 107:22 109:3 113:16 consisting 291:9 convert 60:4 95:21 **conditions** 16:12 72:21 consolidated 136:24 converted 235:19 97:4 98:6 107:14 114:22 constant 31:14 **convict** 251:8 124:14 134:12 139:20 constantly 5:7 17:22 33:6 convicted 23:4,19 43:14 49:3 66:8 67:11 77:6 140:4,6,7,11,16,21 141:6 constituency 281:9 80:10 137:21 175:7 constituted 76:14 141:15 142:7,9 143:7,10 143:12,13,15 178:13,18 constitutes 22:19 180:16 181:7 235:18 178:19,20,23,24 191:10 239:15 241:7 constitutionally 192:24 253:11 263:6 **conviction** 38:11 178:4 construct 21:14 conduct 91:5 118:2 171:22 **construction** 230:17,21 235:18 256:18 constructive 113:8 **convictions** 255:18,21,22

convinced 273:21 274:20	66:16	229:20 236:11 237:7
cookie 19:19	Council 219:5	267:6
cookie-cutter 12:3	counsel 40:18 128:11	court 9:20 10:2,8,10,12,15
Cooney 136:18	194:13 291:4	10:15 13:12,14 21:23
cooperatively 190:14	counseling 24:4 31:9 38:8	22:17 76:9 83:20 88:23
copy 283:5 285:18	201:6,15	109:21 110:13 120:14,19
core 98:16 282:22	counselor 25:7 26:6	121:20,21 122:2,9,11
corners 15:16	counsel's 128:11	123:24 124:15 125:3,16
Corporation 7:5	count 22:7	126:11 127:4,9,14 128:4
corps 45:17	countenance 187:8	130:8 132:20 134:6,8,12
correct 18:11,20 25:3	counties 9:16 10:3 17:12	134:19,23 135:7,15 136:6
28:24 29:9 31:5,6,7 33:22	34:22 78:14,18 104:14,15	136:9,10,18,19,21,24
36:23 57:3 112:21 155:10	111:13,16 113:11,14,15	137:2 138:12,15 139:21
	116:18 119:8 130:16	142:6 159:19 183:22
155:13,14		
correction 25:7 152:2 187:8 230:14 246:12	161:16 170:2 196:2 206:8 206:10 233:12 248:19	184:10 185:12,15 215:13 245:6 252:10 253:8,17
correctional 4:7 10:5 13:16		
	249:4,10 250:2 279:9	257:6,14,15,21 258:3,4
14:21,22,24 15:24 16:8 19:13 20:22 26:5 53:6	280:4 282:12,12	268:6 269:7,12 275:22 277:24 278:5 280:24
	counting 266:13	
102:10 105:14 113:9	countries 90:23 231:18	courtroom 13:14
116:15 118:12 153:18	country 5:2,3 91:9 94:5	courts 3:17 9:10 93:5,9
157:3 163:15 226:16	100:13 109:15 152:6	94:19 133:3 135:10
274:18	163:2 172:4 208:17	139:14,18 198:3 210:3
corrections 101:21 112:17	231:17 242:23 277:4	244:21 245:11,12 251:22
112:19 113:3 157:10	county 10:23 22:8 34:23	251:23 252:2,3,4,7 254:8
163:17 164:17 174:4	35:18,23 100:9 101:19	265:5 276:12,17 277:20
203:13 228:5 231:22	103:5,19,21 113:19	281:8 288:6,7
234:5 245:16 247:12,19	116:22 130:15,16 133:21	court's 137:7 184:9
259:19 266:24 270:18	157:18 161:19,20,20,22	cover 77:20 84:19,24
274:9 287:21	186:10 206:23 216:19	124:22,22
correctly 18:19,23 104:2	220:8,10 226:13 236:3,10	covering 10:24
111:4 217:23	238:7 239:14 250:17	Co-chair 2:3
corrects 33:6	255:18 259:23,23 261:8,9	co-facilitators 158:13,21
cost 10:18 116:20 118:23	262:22 280:5 281:10	crack 7:21,24 229:24 251:6
167:22 169:24 170:9,22	283:14	cracking 73:16
171:14 180:7 206:9,12	couple 103:23 109:9	crafted 68:9
289:3,18 290:10	135:13 142:17 143:2	Crash 262:7
costing 116:18	204:23 222:4,4,7 229:20	cravings 150:5
costly 83:11 191:12 268:21	232:21 240:4 244:15	crazy 167:18
costs 34:21 46:15 171:23	248:11 273:2	create 41:21 98:7 117:18
268:22	courageous 251:2	125:6 127:7 148:20 149:3
cost-wise 119:2	course 16:24 22:12 23:23	149:4,4 196:21 205:14
couch 189:12	31:22 53:17 79:16 93:19	219:19 242:6 285:9
Coughlin 28:9 41:2,9	96:4 114:9 192:23 223:17	created 7:6 28:4,8 35:3

date 1:10 9:23 19:6,8 22:10 40:10,16 42:20 43:22 **Cuba** 90:24 78:20 102:11 111:18,22 **culture** 218:24 219:19 24:9 27:11 34:6 37:11,17 121:6 134:5 168:11 250:24 38:13,14 43:10,11 49:10 198:14 238:6 **cumulative** 46:13 92:24 49:15 60:15 70:20 74:23 creating 44:9 78:13 104:7 curb 218:4 88:20 128:17 187:22 117:10 126:6 136:20 cure 149:16,18 237:15 199:13 291:15 138:6 169:24 170:9 **curfew** 253:9 dates 18:12,21 20:14 26:20 creation 121:10 135:9,15 curfews 97:7 86:14,18,24 87:13 creative 172:5 205:4 curious 273:5 daughter 45:13 **credit** 87:11 curl 205:24 daughters 237:8 crime 5:19 22:9,13,19 25:9 **currency** 215:9,12 day 10:17 11:19 45:8 46:20 27:5 38:9,17 73:11 92:8 current 21:10 37:5 44:14 65:3 79:11 115:7 116:19 170:6 172:5,10 177:17 136:15 211:24 279:18 116:21 124:8 145:23 179:17 185:7 191:24 **currently** 13:2 43:2 73:23 180:24 188:20 192:16,16 192:10 193:5 195:11.20 75:5 221:3.22 223:11 246:23 196:11 201:4 205:9 207:6 **curve** 39:23 252:13 253:18 261:13 207:12,12,15,23 208:18 277:24 278:3 **custody** 35:13 38:4 40:3 208:22 213:12 217:5 75:20,22 86:7,17 91:6 days 9:5,22,24 10:19 65:23 232:11 233:2,7,9 234:23 115:5 155:13,16 168:7 65:23,23 79:11 83:20,23 239:12,13 247:17 271:24 187:13 83:23 200:10,13 228:23 crimes 8:19 48:20 67:9 cut 152:17 258:11 269:5 257:17 261:14 284:7 68:14 71:23 72:5 76:12 **cutters** 19:19 **de** 169:11 205:9,10 176:11 192:2 195:13 cut-off 42:22,24 dead 147:21 198:17 214:23 276:10 Cyrus 2:9 deadly 22:13 66:10 crime-prone 208:8 **C.J.A** 249:18,23 280:5 deal 12:7 14:2 16:21,21,23 20:19 21:11 69:5 81:11 **criminal** 1:2 3:6,11,15,18 **C.L.E** 68:20 6:19 9:11 13:9 15:2 23:5 **C.R** 37:10 38:12,14 60:15 114:17 127:9 130:7 150:8 23:7 92:2 112:4,6 114:21 152:20 165:4 170:15 D 136:8,18 137:11 171:22 180:7 183:5,5 251:23 **D** 77:3 79:4 80:10,14,16 173:20 174:17,19 191:14 dealers 8:5 83:3 169:22 229:24 251:7 198:2,16,21 226:11,13 dealing 13:3 37:19 82:9 263:3 228:11 229:14,16 231:23 104:5,21 112:22 136:12 damages 10:16 232:10,14,17 240:14,15 139:7,24 140:2 255:11 damaging 171:24 240:17.17 249:19 258:23 261:16 dance 165:3 259:13 268:5 283:3 289:5 deals 120:11 **dangerous** 39:2 66:10 criminally 66:24 **Dear** 41:17 185:3,5 196:24 198:24 criminals 208:14,15 **dearth** 220:4 dangerousness 38:24 criteria 64:7 **death** 76:8 **Darryl** 239:12 critical 26:8 202:14 288:8 deaths 262:3,9 data 34:6 57:6 59:3 72:22 critically 186:20 **debated** 156:11 81:24 82:7 207:22 227:17 **criticism** 67:20 190:15 debilitated 81:6 227:18 254:17 258:17,17 criticisms 146:14 **debt** 230:16 260:5 269:14 284:2,3,6,6 **December** 5:12 75:20 76:4 crossed 15:22 284:9,24 285:15 crowded 21:13 decide 23:17 24:19 28:15

		Page 30
decided 241:24	deinstitutionalized 198:15	depending 66:3 236:13
decision 34:17 60:22 62:23	delay 126:16 128:12	depends 19:5 61:24 62:12
63:3 64:18,20 76:9 167:3	delegate 127:6	94:14
169:15 185:12 270:2	deliberately 37:10 78:21	deportation 90:21 91:3,5
decisions 23:12,16,16	81:3	167:16
35:10 36:4 166:22 167:2	delighted 100:16 164:10	deported 90:21 91:8
169:18,20 228:21 229:8	226:21	deprecate 182:18
232:17 259:15 271:21	delinquency 125:7 196:8	depreciate 182:19
decision-making 250:24	deliver 285:17	describe 6:17
declaration 125:6	delivered 9:13 13:15 17:11	described 23:14,21 45:21
declared 9:23	17:24 18:6 30:19 79:10	describing 6:16 92:11
decline 232:12	delve 4:15	description 23:20 25:12,13
decrease 5:17,21 92:6,15	demand 85:14 95:21	139:19
92:16,18,20 239:7	demanding 42:4 44:20	deserts 185:6
decreased 13:5 75:22	45:4,20	deserve 185:7
271:7	demands 95:10	deserved 192:9,11
decreases 207:7	demographics 207:22	deserves 183:21
decriminalizing 276:13	233:17	design 187:10
dedicated 242:24	demonstrable 195:3	designed 249:4 276:16
dedication 233:10	demonstrate 48:6 65:21	desire 81:15
deemed 175:17	112:21	despite 4:19,20 179:16
default 176:24 177:2	demonstrating 264:6	destructive 172:2
defeat 214:12	Denise 2:3	detail 13:19 22:3,5,15
defect 191:18	densely 146:14	detailed 13:8,17 41:10
defendant 22:9 61:16	department 4:7 10:5 13:15	272:22 279:12,22
defendant's 128:10	14:20,23 15:23 68:9 78:7	details 255:5
defender-based 250:4	106:15 113:3 124:14	detainer 156:19 254:4
280:10	130:15 134:6 137:3	determinancy 211:16
defense 11:4 13:10 21:24	141:14 143:6 153:6 157:4	determinant 48:17 271:11
24:22 81:2 121:9 129:21	158:9,12 161:3 162:4,15	271:13
133:10 173:2 177:8	162:17 163:18 207:2	determinate 15:10 18:14
185:10	228:15,16 230:14 232:22	26:19,22 43:12,13 79:3
defenses 11:4	246:11 257:13 269:11	168:14 211:5,6,22
defer 96:23	departments 34:23 35:18	determination 64:4 176:4
defiant 25:19	106:19 121:24 122:18	determinations 39:7
deficiencies 112:23 139:8	123:11 131:7 134:9 140:5	determine 18:24 21:20
defining 265:19,20 definite 15:13	227:24 228:2,10 233:11 237:5 254:16 259:24	23:24 63:5 138:14 167:8
		209:8 271:6
definitely 70:19,22 definition 260:23	264:22 270:9 280:2,6,8	determined 236:4 determines 108:21
degradation 205:6	department's 270:7 depend 26:24	determining 26:9 38:24
\mathcal{C}	1 -	55:6 167:19 240:8 246:10
degree 8:22 22:7,10,20 66:22 80:18 148:21	dependency 242:23 243:2 243:9 261:15	262:12 266:14
1 00.44 00.10 140.41	Δ 1 J.9 Δ01.1J	LUL.14 400.14
213:17 241:14,18 242:2	dependent 200:6	deterrent 175:5

detox 223:6	diminished 197:5	DiSimola 26:16
develop 102:15,16 109:18	diminution 171:12	dismissive 25:20
135:7 137:15 159:6	diploma 191:2	displayed 22:13
249:16	direct 169:14 280:22	dispose 177:6 210:3,6
developed 91:18 108:9	directing 79:5	disposition 78:2,12 80:12
111:17 133:14 137:16	direction 14:13 203:13	179:19 210:5 237:3
158:19 249:5 250:2,3	241:19 252:5 258:15	dispositions 81:9 237:4
251:21	281:12 287:2	256:3
developing 111:20 139:9	directly 118:8 153:21	disqualify 80:23
development 7:5 137:13	160:13 281:4	disrespect 165:19 275:24
197:24 267:16	director 18:9 112:6,8	disrupting 37:24
developmental 16:24	113:15 163:14,17 209:14	disruptive 32:8,14
devil's 96:2	210:12 226:14 245:23	disservice 266:7
devised 31:16	275:19	distance 236:21
devotion 153:5 165:20	directors 268:2	distinct 227:5 270:22
diagnosable 197:13	dirty 189:14	283:23
dialysis 16:15	disabilities 16:18,24	distinguished 163:19
diem 10:18	disabled 197:8	distributed 13:7,22
differ 195:4	disaggregation 172:9	distribution 248:5
difference 130:14,17 153:2	discharge 104:22 108:18	district 21:24 48:18 99:9
155:10 157:17 171:3	109:21 166:7,10,11	117:16 121:9 136:16,18
189:9 224:15,16 242:4	discharged 102:7	152:11,16 168:21 173:2,8
245:9	discharges 103:7,15	182:5 213:5,6,7,10
differences 131:7	disciplinary 27:13,14	214:19,22 251:3,11,13
different 6:5,18 13:11,17	29:16,16,22	278:16
13:24 16:2 50:17 78:12	discipline 48:5	disturbances 20:6
136:11 138:2 142:7 155:5	discretion 16:11 27:23	divert 93:14 240:5 261:6
160:7 163:11 167:18	61:23 62:11,18 140:18,20	261:24 263:7
196:13 204:11 233:13,14	141:10	diverted 251:18 271:8
252:4 255:8 259:11 261:4	discretionary 183:23	diverting 78:11 259:15
263:9 273:24 276:15	184:22 200:23	Division 1:2 11:2 89:12
286:4	discuss 4:6 38:16,17 52:2	163:14 226:15
differentiate 66:21	85:24 165:2 197:10,11	divisions 57:12
differentiated 224:12	273:17 287:12	DOCS 57:5 75:22 289:20
differently 205:15 216:14	discussed 270:23	document 23:8,10 33:20
276:12	discussion 52:2 118:6	36:3 156:21 244:24 246:9
difficult 35:10 42:3 116:3	119:12 167:13 170:16	272:22
124:13 140:9 146:17	172:14 186:21 196:18	documents 17:13 21:20
198:11 230:24 231:15	281:6	22:24 Pos 242:0
261:17 268:9 277:8	disease 150:4 220:21,22	Doe 243:9
difficulties 18:10	261:17	doing 14:15 42:10 50:11,24
DiFiore 136:16	diseases 21:11,12 disenfranchisement	83:4 90:2 101:8,22,24
digress 197:9 dime 129:13 130:10	241:16	108:16,17 114:24 115:2 121:15 124:10 129:19
unite 129.13 130.10	Z41.10	121.13 124.10 129.19
	<u>I</u>	

137:5 138:5 141:22 151:6 165:16 169:24 186:4 189:14 198:13 203:8 204:10 205:15 222:5 231:4,11 239:7,10 247:14 265:15 266:7,21 269:22 270:7 278:3 281:11 283:12 dollars 10:22 11:9 15:8 46:16 116:19,21 195:24 196:12 201:14 202:7,19 232:7 285:8 domestic 138:8 140:3 145:13 160:17 254:6 Dominican 90:24 **Donna** 2:12 227:17,19 260:4 door 29:13 200:18 256:14 doors 168:10 doorstep 256:13 dorm 19:19 dormitories 19:17 dormitory 31:19,21 dorms 56:18 **double** 68:24 219:7 doubled 109:8 238:4 **doubt** 62:10 doubts 162:12 downstate 32:23 Dr 264:8 273:12,13 Draconian 218:14 drafted 120:3 drafting 43:19 drain 209:13 dramatic 40:2 draw 201:15 drawbacks 271:17 drink 217:19 221:21 drive 237:17 266:10 267:14.16 270:5 driven 260:20 **driver** 235:3 drives 25:4 drive-by 8:3

driving 8:12 9:6 147:20 drop 129:13 130:10,21 208:4,19 dropout 277:9 **dropped** 138:24 209:19 dropping 53:16 drove 8:20 230:6,7 drug 7:20,20 8:4,12,19,22 8:24 12:7,14 14:23 24:15 43:12 48:15,20 53:9,11 62:9,15 73:23 75:8,20,21 76:3,21,22 77:3,7,16,22 79:11 81:4 82:16 83:3,12 83:18,20,24 84:13 85:8,9 85:13 86:4.10 87:3.6.10 87:14,18,18,22 88:5,12 88:18 89:4,9 90:15,22 91:10.24 92:6.8.23 93:17 94:13,14,15 95:22 96:13 99:17 102:11,13 114:24 170:4 178:3 186:23 189:24 199:24 200:9 201:16,17 204:5 212:10 217:12 219:7 221:12 222:3 223:7 229:4 242:22 243:2,8,22,23 244:3,6 251:21,22 252:2,6,10 253:7 256:7 263:2 266:17 drugs 7:23 24:16 30:10 47:23 82:15 83:4 85:13 85:16 93:6 94:2 97:6 186:23 198:20 217:15,18 218:9,12 222:8,10,11,23 223:3.4 drug-dependent 235:8 251:16 262:24 **drug-free** 187:9 223:10 244:11 drunk 189:15 235:3 **dual** 101:19 **Duchess** 117:17 due 5:24 174:24 192:24 254:12,12 **duly** 291:6

dumped 274:3 duplicating 121:14 duration 164:8 dynamic 240:16 284:21 dvsfunction 240:18 **D's** 78:20 **D.A** 13:10 24:22 27:5 81:2 96:8 99:16,17,19 173:13 173:15 182:23 212:18 213:4 215:3,6,9 251:17 275:21 **D.A.s** 80:11 177:22 **D.B** 162:14 **D.C.J.S** 5:3 254:18 255:17 284:23 **D.M.V** 262:7 **D.P.C.A** 281:6.7 **D.W.I** 55:10 138:8 160:20 216:23 217:5 234:24 260:2,5,6,8,22 261:24 262:5,20 271:3 **D.W.I.s** 218:3 234:17,18 235:7 262:14

\mathbf{E}

E 2:3 66:24 77:3 earlier 5:24 12:24 42:11 75:9 88:22 93:2 203:23 209:16 223:8 240:23 earliest 19:6,8 40:2 49:10 49:15 86:14.24 88:20 early 6:2,20 7:4,19 8:8 20:24 27:17,21 38:19 68:9 72:18 90:7,20 91:7 92:24 103:7,15 105:20 108:18 112:24 167:16 225:11 269:5 270:9 early-release 90:5 earn 26:21,23 27:4 87:11 259:10 earned 12:10 14:3 28:7 87:7,23,24 **earnest** 218:18 easier 279:18

		1 484 20
easily 95:21	eighteen-to-twenty-five	enacted 66:20 68:8
easing 275:11	208:3,19	encompasses 117:16
East 239:12	eighteen-year-olds 138:10	encourage 53:22 62:15
easy 131:20 191:10 289:19	eighth 81:13	encouraged 247:6 259:18
eating 189:12	eighty 115:8 152:5 186:21	encumbering 68:24
echo 208:8	197:17 268:8 277:5	endangering 235:22
eclectic 250:7	eighty-five 94:18 233:6	endeavor 165:10
economic 188:6 230:21	236:15	ended 11:24
economics 180:6 233:16	eighty-four 212:15,17	endorsement 47:9
economy 207:20 208:11,20	eighty-one 87:10	enforcement 82:24 83:10
Ed 264:7 273:13 287:19	either 11:16 15:10 19:3	195:19 233:15 260:18,19
edge 217:20	21:24 61:16 98:5 135:2	enforcers 163:8
editorializing 216:6	153:4 180:18 207:21	enforcing 178:14,24
educate 197:8	258:18 280:9	engage 27:15
educated 197:6	elaborate 101:11	engages 79:15
education 45:3 201:18	elect 61:3	engineered 81:3,9
224:24 231:12,20,21	electronic 143:18 145:6	enhance 70:24 201:4
240:16 243:5 274:8,8	element 275:9	enhanced 122:10 141:21
educational 102:15 191:3,5	elements 43:23 44:10	202:16
202:4 241:12 277:7	181:23 182:2 203:10	enjoyed 233:20 252:17
effect 9:5 10:3 42:18 78:22	211:16	enormous 101:16 116:18
82:14 89:19 92:24 125:10	eleven 12:5	146:20 195:21 218:16
169:14,19 170:9 172:10	eligibility 12:11 14:3 28:7	232:2,23 249:13 251:14
182:20 208:15,17,18	43:10 53:15 66:6 87:13	251:15 256:6 277:8
251:15,15	88:18	enroll 88:24
effective 176:23 205:2	eligible 43:14 53:23 59:19	enrolled 199:24
276:17 277:16,18,21	60:6 61:2,11,17 62:17	ensure 175:4 221:19 253:7
effectively 251:12	73:14 88:15	254:10 270:24 285:18
effectiveness 44:15,18 92:9	Elmira 21:8	ensuring 243:20
92:10 99:5 216:11	emergency 157:5	enter 32:19,19 64:24
efficacy 216:11	emphasize 26:18	entered 18:12 177:3
efficiencies 4:21 117:22	employed 261:3 269:10	enterprise 92:2
efficient 148:22	274:21	entire 25:16 52:8,20
effort 187:17 211:4 218:16	employees 15:4 30:5 112:8	145:23 147:6 219:21
218:18	220:9	253:21 267:4 269:24
efforts 121:14 129:12	employers 48:3 65:13	277:11 278:12,12 286:3
130:6	employment 65:4,14	entirely 42:14 141:2 286:3
eight 5:14 15:6 17:21 28:7	186:18 188:5,6 189:23	entitled 31:12 74:20 192:7
40:8 74:8 90:17,17,18	240:19 242:18,20 243:15	entry 25:8 30:12 217:15
115:11 212:19,21 213:18	243:24 250:12 266:22	263:2
213:19,20 214:5 215:11	267:7,8 269:18 280:12	environment 45:4 46:11,23
228:17,17 246:6,8 247:18	281:5	47:19 100:8 219:22
eighteen 103:5 135:20,24	empty 95:20	285:10
136:2 206:12 234:6 285:8	enact 253:5	environments 186:24

envision 99:16	49:18 52:23 55:10 60:14	expected 97:8
envy 4:23 214:15	67:10 78:15 80:16 81:19	expediency 257:4
epidemic 7:20	82:20 97:17 114:15	expedite 210:5
equal 18:4 235:8	117:15 137:20 141:18	expedited 79:8
equally 181:18	143:5,5 180:13 200:8	expensive 171:23 285:5
equation 7:17 9:9 131:8	260:3	experience 48:7 112:3
equipped 193:8	examples 176:9 196:15	126:14 128:9 129:4
erect 12:5	205:21	172:19 186:15 187:3
Eric 2:8 71:17 159:22	exceeding 65:2	214:8 223:8 224:5,13
Erie 16:4 130:16 133:21	excellent 212:8	237:21,23 256:12 274:9
error 25:2	exception 59:24	276:5 279:13
especially 7:21 107:23	excess 95:13	experiences 153:12
116:2 123:5 124:4 135:9	excluded 238:3	experimented 50:12,14
135:24 139:24	exclusion 238:2,2	expert 287:20,24
Esq 2:4,5,5,7,9,11,11,12	exclusionary 67:9	expertise 158:20 159:5
essential 256:23	exclusive 105:7 217:13	233:9 286:18
essentially 106:12 167:2	excuse 10:11 204:18	experts 226:10 286:23
169:15	237:20	expiration 74:23 195:16
establish 52:10 201:12	executive 4:9 66:4,7 67:3,5	explain 18:18 38:23 179:19
211:23	67:6 73:15 88:17 101:19	214:18
established 55:2	103:19 113:19 161:20,22	explained 18:10 26:17
establishment 8:16	163:13 207:2 209:14	explanation 13:17
estimate 142:2	289:15	explicit 168:20 181:21,22
et 38:5 74:13 92:2,2 227:14	executives 161:21	182:11 202:24 258:12
ethic 190:20	exercise 27:22 46:8 62:11	explicitness 173:19 196:22
evaluate 284:17	62:18 105:8,9 252:23	explore 221:8
evaluation 183:20 283:4,8	exercised 79:5	exposition 169:3
evening 241:20	exercises 61:23	extend 95:15 128:17
event 20:6	exhibit 74:2	192:22 233:3
eventually 115:4	exist 194:24 282:7	extended 84:11 129:5
everybody 8:2 50:4 55:4	existed 84:7	199:18 231:6
65:12,14 110:19 137:12	existence 44:24 60:13	extension 110:14
185:9	existing 10:11 225:8,9	extensively 124:23
evidence 184:12 195:12,14	exists 200:22	extent 82:17 95:19 174:23
195:19	expand 48:12 49:2 101:3	extraordinarily 161:2
evidence-based 285:23	258:20	extremely 5:6,8 81:6 83:11
evolving 147:15 148:9	expanded 98:18 258:13	116:9 139:23,24 140:4
exact 240:10 264:21	expanding 237:24 253:4	146:17 151:17 261:17
285:18	expect 221:6,6 222:17	eye 188:11
exactly 4:12 22:18 36:20	239:24 242:9 257:12	E's 78:20
41:11 91:23 133:22	273:24 274:4	E.A. C 250:18
178:16 220:12	expectation 175:21 192:6	$oxed{\mathbf{F}}$
example 16:14 18:8 22:4	192:21	face 165:2 230:19 247:3
23:18 30:7 31:7 46:2,18	expectations 194:7	100.2 250.17 247.5

261:16	failing 38:15	felon 235:5
facilities 12:3,13 14:22	failure 176:21 179:8,10	felonies 205:18 230:5
15:16 16:2,3 19:11,15,22	184:13 191:7,20 268:14	256:2,4
20:12 21:2,7,15,18 31:23	fair 50:19 73:8,19 96:15	felons 48:14 75:5 76:18,23
32:4,14,20 45:9 52:6,12	174:7 181:17,19	77:3 78:16 86:10 234:11
52:16,17 53:5 56:11,14	fairly 96:11 165:11 174:9	234:12 255:10 263:4
65:6 67:21 68:6 70:15,18	207:22	278:7
70:24 81:11 89:3 91:5	fairness 174:2 181:15	felony 5:22 8:22,24 12:16
102:10 104:4,6 117:2,20	183:11	42:21 43:15,15 49:3
118:24 225:13 249:12	familiar 204:14,14,15	57:20 61:16,17,20,21
facility 15:14 19:5,10,12,14	families 33:4 235:3	62:8 66:9 70:5,10 75:7,12
20:6,7,21,21,22 26:13	family 45:10 47:15 120:14	76:19,20 79:3 109:11
31:20,22 32:11 33:2,10	120:19 136:6,10,19,24	160:19 176:18 177:21
45:7 46:23 47:20 49:17	138:12,15 240:18 245:11	178:5,6 179:13,17,20
52:9,9,24 53:4 54:20,23	268:6 277:13	180:10,15,16,17,21,22,23
65:9 66:2 67:14 69:6	far 122:22 133:8,11 137:11	181:2,3,4,6,7,8,9,13
70:12 78:7 79:19 82:22	139:14 140:14 147:16	212:12 213:18 229:24
88:14 89:7,8 95:14	153:24 187:20 200:20	234:14 248:4,7 251:8
105:14 113:9 115:12,17	202:21 223:4,5 242:24	254:24 255:17,21,22
115:18,21 116:14,16	245:21 247:20 287:18	256:16 260:9,12,14
119:3 152:2 153:18 157:3	fashion 166:19	280:17
157:10 161:3 163:15,15	fast 209:10,10	felt 37:15 103:22 115:18
174:13 242:16,17 261:10	faster 210:5	131:4
275:11	Fatality 262:7	female 19:12 56:18
facing 133:21,22	father 237:9	females 56:17
fact 10:14 19:23 95:12,23	fault 191:23	fence 24:22
96:6 109:4 126:5 129:3	favor 140:19	fewer 167:24 174:18
129:21 144:5 151:17	Faye 264:8 273:12	197:18
169:5,23 179:16 191:16	fear 237:13	field 140:8 165:24 219:3
192:6 195:13 197:11	federal 91:8 271:12,16	286:24
201:7 204:7 239:2 244:8	272:15	fifteen 17:24 86:20 197:14
244:22 246:10 247:4	feedback 5:5	237:6 262:2 272:6
248:5 249:10 251:5 256:2	feel 25:2 100:22 106:20	fifteen-to-life 87:24
257:2,24 259:20 264:13	174:10 182:13 183:15	fifth 38:10 80:17 267:2
265:8 271:9,19 283:15	236:23 244:2 246:23	fifty 89:17 146:8 206:9,11
factored 27:12	281:10	225:17,18 231:16 232:7
factors 32:18,24 60:21	feeling 174:8 269:3	233:24 234:9,10,11,12
208:10 211:9 240:13,16	feet 147:12 236:23	236:6 249:22 262:4
269:21	Felix 2:16 154:7 164:12	280:11 284:18
facts 98:20	169:3 176:6 178:15 188:2	fifty-eight 75:13 228:2,9
fail 82:10,11 187:7 188:2	191:8 194:16 195:5	fifty-five 142:19 149:14
188:18 260:15	222:22	fifty-four 86:15
failed 176:11 191:24	fellow 202:8	fifty-seven 75:5,11,17
202:11,11,12	Fellowship 219:4	227:23

		1 age 310
fifty-three 75:16	firsthand 54:4	follows 25:16 246:5
fight 177:9	first-time 76:21 263:11	force 15:3 53:21 120:2,13
fights 198:19	Fischer 4:5 227:8	170:14 207:3 244:23
file 90:22 125:7 129:15,20	Fishkill 65:8	245:13 281:2
130:4 285:18	five 21:16 26:2 27:18 28:7	forced 38:3
filed 136:7	65:23 66:5 67:5 68:23	forces 8:12
filing 136:6	69:8 75:4 76:17 80:17	forcibly 22:11
fill 96:14 209:23 278:14	87:12 89:17 107:15	foregoing 291:3,8
filled 230:23	109:11 175:2,18 182:7	foreign 141:16
final 64:17 85:21	192:10,14 197:18 229:18	foreign-born 90:16,18 91:2
finally 90:14 168:24	229:23 230:15 235:10,12	form 37:4
179:18 206:6 222:22	236:12 239:3 252:3,21	formal 51:5 64:9 72:13
finance 290:9	256:16 257:16 261:13,14	formally 54:24 63:10
financed 289:18	262:4 265:21 286:12	format 20:3,4
finances 289:6	five-block 146:19	former 66:5 67:3 107:22
financial 264:23	five-year 149:20	112:7,7,8 136:19 161:22
financing 288:21	fix 180:14 236:2	164:10,10 226:12
find 7:6 59:18 131:20	fixed 200:4	formerly 91:4
145:23 151:13 158:18	fixtures 20:9	forth 265:24
189:5,6,8 193:10,11	Flat 231:14	forthwith 9:10,14,18
202:3,5 217:17 238:7	flexibility 108:15	fortieth 43:3
256:12 272:8,18	flexible 44:20	fortunate 161:18,19
finding 123:7,13 125:5	Flip 184:17	Fortune 285:6
135:16,24 150:20 191:10	float 7:8	forty 43:2 44:15 58:22
199:22 200:3 204:7	Florida 163:12	116:19 171:5,6 184:16
findings 244:22 286:18	flow 139:11	193:18 230:10 237:3,7
finds 177:19	flowing 17:23	250:3
fine 3:8 10:20 104:16 189:2	fly 214:7	forty-eight 254:11
222:5 260:13	focus 46:10 51:24 99:4	forty-five 184:14 256:4
finer 164:13	119:11 202:13	forty-four 171:4
finest 213:7	focused 172:15 263:14	forty-nine 74:16 86:13
finish 267:13	folks 103:9 110:6 112:20	forty-one 249:24
firearm 22:14 177:17,18	113:17,22 115:21 142:20	forty-seven 74:22
fired 286:7	143:11 146:11 150:6	forty-six 171:7 234:3
first 12:16,20 20:23 22:7	151:7 247:4 275:4	forty-two 190:24 249:24
22:10,19 28:10 45:10	follow 25:22 102:7,12	forward 3:13 48:23 70:13
76:19 77:23 109:17 111:4	103:10 130:19 132:9	288:10
124:8 166:6 168:19 178:5	134:18 156:19	forward-thinking 160:24
181:4 189:3 200:16	followed 75:6 94:21 104:11	found 113:3,4 147:5
202:14 204:24 209:17	237:6 251:14,17 261:12	178:20 188:19 199:15
213:17,18 221:3 224:18	274:19	four 15:16 42:23 65:23
226:18 255:21 260:8,12	following 26:13 108:8	70:21 75:17 82:5 94:18
263:2 265:21 283:24	135:14 227:20 245:21	110:2,4 111:23 118:12
284:2,24 285:22	259:8 275:20	171:2 201:9,20 231:9

235:13,15 249:10 252:20 263:17 270:21 284:8 Gina 2:12 139:16 262:7 283:22 **funding** 36:4 233:23 234:4 give 20:18 42:6 46:2,3,5,7 fourteen 9:24 65:3 86:9,12 245:14 249:3 283:20 58:24 64:15,17 73:21 109:20,24 150:24 234:14 284:14,14 81:13 97:18,18 99:18 250:4 274:2 **fundings** 284:16 102:5.6 103:7 110:14 furlough 65:18,20,22 **fourth-time** 260:17 112:24 113:13 114:15 70:16 73:9,13 four-and-a-half-to-nine 124:7 128:18 131:3 9:4 further 30:16 41:20 98:14 141:17 156:18 162:6 four-to-twelve 49:19 101:2 171:15 249:2 170:19 176:9 180:12 four-year 241:17 **furtherance** 65:5 185:23 194:20 237:18 **fraction** 199:19 **future** 120:4 159:21 170:14 253:10 260:4 264:15 265:3 271:22 273:3 frankly 160:9 176:20 244:23 264:24 197:23 205:23 224:17 279:22 283:13,19 284:9 G 265:13 given 64:13 68:21 114:14 gain 110:2 free 167:21 180:22 190:2 114:16 115:7 139:15 game 11:14 200:10 201:2 265:11 144:18 192:6 200:2 202:5 **gangs** 17:3 229:7 236:16 260:16 266:8 Gary 291:2,14 freed 196:6.8 **gives** 21:17 gather 123:14,20 124:11 freedom 19:20 33:12 55:14 giving 44:7 106:12,13 gathered 45:11 134:24 201:5 242:3 291:6 **gee** 183:2 frequent 132:13 **glad** 161:18 163:4 281:19 general 26:13 45:17 49:17 frequently 100:11 146:14 Glen 240:24 241:2,23 49:23 52:11 55:23 60:16 237:3 289:21 242:10 67:14 151:18 276:19 fresh 98:12 **global** 144:16 145:5 generally 56:23 **globally** 161:10 231:18 **Friday** 46:20 general's 238:6 Friedman's 231:14 glossary 281:21 general-confinement **friend** 195:5 **go** 9:19 11:12 15:20 17:13 79:19 82:22 friends 164:8,8 241:21 34:8 37:12,17 41:11 generate 23:6 frightening 142:24 42:16 43:8 45:8 47:22 **generation** 168:5 208:5 **front** 22:17 28:5 143:7 48:2,13,17,23 49:21 George 2:4 105:17 154:3,4 202:9 50:18 55:21 57:7 58:17 243:12 **fulfill** 4:10,10 35:19 174:4 60:11,18 65:22,23 66:7 getting 11:24 12:23 36:24 **full** 47:14 184:10 185:15 70:4 71:16 76:21,23,23 37:22 43:13 53:17 60:13 **fully** 137:15 76:24 77:4.15 79:8.20 62:24 70:19,22 81:14 **function** 32:10 63:9 104:13 83:6 89:9 91:14,16 95:19 82:19 90:10 93:2 116:8 106:9 113:24 206:24 96:12 98:7 99:2 100:21 123:6 127:18 131:12,15 **functioning** 98:22 119:7 110:13 118:22 125:15.22 147:8,9,24 148:2,7,8 161:2 126:11 128:21 131:6 155:5 176:8 187:6 198:6 **functions** 32:12 122:13 145:18.21 147:3.14 148:6 219:15,16 231:15 240:10 153:9 148:12 149:7 155:12 243:13 247:11 279:12,17 **fund** 7:3 243:9 249:16 159:13 163:10 172:6 285:22 286:4 288:18 261:22 265:13,14 281:9 173:4 188:23 189:2,4 gift 192:5 288:24,24 289:8 190:13 193:22 194:17,20 **giggled** 177:23 **funded** 206:7,14 250:3 200:18 202:16 203:24

		1 age 3
209:21 211:6 217:7,7	212:16 213:22,23 218:21	graduation 45:8,9 52:4
218:12,14 220:10 223:6	219:11 221:5,5 225:21	graduations 45:18 46:18
224:6 246:2,23 251:4	227:8 232:4 236:20	grand 24:14 86:24
255:5 265:7 266:19	241:19,20 244:4,12,13	grandiose 290:9
268:15 269:7,11 275:2	252:15 253:3,8,20 254:5	grant 55:9,11 204:16
276:7,22 280:17	255:12 257:13,17,23	granted 89:19
goal 166:16 182:13 186:12	259:22 260:6 264:13	gravity 236:16
186:15 191:4 223:9	266:5,8,10,16 267:6,7,14	great 6:10 22:5 82:7
254:21	267:16 270:9 271:19	135:10 170:15 217:23
goals 62:20 200:18 270:17	274:4,5 276:18 284:19	228:21 251:19 266:23
274:11	285:3 286:2,11,14,16	270:20 271:3 290:15
God 266:12	287:16,18,22,23 288:2,3	greater 13:19 33:11 153:4
goes 31:19 72:6 150:5	288:5,7,19,20,23,24	153:8 196:11 220:6
157:18 180:24 201:9	289:7,10,16,17 290:4	223:24 270:18
219:7 253:7 259:12	good 3:20 4:18,18 11:22	greatly 66:6
271:23 278:2 289:10	24:7 27:14,16,23 28:22	Greco 2:13
going 3:12,13,15 7:18,23	31:3,13 34:14 36:17 60:9	Green 2:5 250:12
10:7 11:3,7 12:13 14:10	62:9 81:23 104:3 117:4	grew 225:8,10
23:12 26:16 36:21 37:2	123:9 146:5 161:12	Grey 45:17
41:22 42:2,3,4,4 47:13	162:15 179:2 192:4,4,8	ground 124:8
49:5 54:8 63:5 64:17	192:14,20,21 197:5	Groundhog 192:16
70:11 72:15,21 73:13,14	199:20 226:20 227:9	groundwork 251:2
77:15 78:11,15,18,19	229:14,16 231:19 232:13	group 44:24 52:14 85:17
79:9,10 80:5 81:21 82:21	232:17 238:22 243:13	138:9 151:15 159:4
83:20 85:13 86:8 95:24	246:24 250:20 251:24	208:20 227:9 231:5
97:2,3,9,11,11,22 98:10	273:8 281:11,19 288:23	241:14,15 266:20 276:9
98:17 101:3,5,7,10,12,15	gotten 134:16 167:17 180:7	groups 58:21 75:9 158:11
101:23 103:18 108:2	government 14:14 161:20	158:13 243:17 267:7
114:10,23,23 115:21	governmental 111:24	grow 232:11 234:7 239:5
116:8 120:6,15,18,23	264:9 277:11	growing 15:19
121:12 127:24 128:7	governments 227:23	grown 198:4
130:3 133:10 134:23	governor 14:13 66:5 67:4	growth 40:3 209:5
135:2,14 136:5,9,10	70:13 107:22 115:13	guarantee 55:7 140:8
138:11,13,14,16,17 139:2	123:10 226:17 231:11	281:14
140:13,22 145:15 152:21	232:5 259:18 281:14	guaranteed 276:19
153:17 155:2,3,4,4 159:4	governors 230:17	guard 20:10
159:15 160:4 162:10,18	governor's 4:8 41:4 67:3	guess 50:19 76:17 150:11
162:19 165:13 168:4	88:17	166:23 207:13 216:5
173:18 177:24 181:14	graduate 55:21 84:12	236:22 257:19 263:13
186:24 187:5,7 188:4,10	graduated 132:7,14,24	guidance 200:2 287:2
188:10,12,15,23,24,24	134:21 253:14,15 278:15	guide 98:23 283:14
194:12,13,19,19,20,22	graduates 55:4	guideline 64:9
203:12 204:4 206:13	graduating 72:17,17 89:18	guidelines 64:2 91:19,22
209:11,23 211:12 212:3,4	263:21	183:6,7 211:6,7,15,23

212:9 271:14 guilty 8:24 22:16,22 180:3 gun 177:24 178:3 gunpoint 235:5 guy 178:2 182:7 188:7 guy's 186:9 G.P.S 143:19 144:5 145:11 146:4,7 147:23 159:10

H

hair 205:24 half 60:15 79:4 91:20 193:14,15,24 234:3 248:7 253:23 267:21 halfway 199:21 200:4,9,11 200:14 202:15 203:17,20 204:2 223:15,16,18,22,24 224:6 225:3 274:19 Hall 2:12 227:17 hand 35:6 174:10 198:23 handed 91:7 247:24 **handgun** 241:22 **handily** 248:12 handle 265:12 handled 111:21 handout 14:16 68:20 76:11 **hands** 257:18 hanging 188:15 189:15 happen 23:13 25:5 27:17 46:19 150:23 182:15 220:14.14 225:4 happened 10:14 11:19 74:7 86:5,5 113:11 145:20 188:19 197:21 209:13 220:12 225:4,5 **happening** 73:22,23 110:15 123:8,17 134:4 159:16 192:2,3 242:13 255:19 happens 13:12 21:21 26:9 55:21 76:8 128:3 131:22 133:20 147:23 152:19 162:20 178:3 189:10 276:2

happy 138:19 hard 57:11 60:19 76:10 188:4 191:11 210:4 211:19 212:22,23 218:8,8 219:22 223:11 278:22 **harm** 108:7 hat 119:18 130:21 157:10 hate 141:8 head 165:3 183:14 205:19 headed 156:15 211:4 healed 222:18 health 61:9 64:8 198:3 218:2 252:3 healthcare 32:16 healthy 133:24 134:3 hear 6:8,9,10 58:7 96:20 99:21 114:10 125:18 146:14 159:2 259:11,18 287:18,23 288:3 heard 18:8 96:3,21 116:8 164:13.21 167:13 172:14 184:12 247:7 255:9 265:16 **hearing** 79:17 116:8 117:12,18,19 125:8,22 126:6,15,19 127:5,7 128:8 133:6 160:11 192:18 193:21 199:17 252:21 283:17 hearings 116:11,13 117:13 117:21 118:3 124:21,22 124:24 125:3,12 130:11 **heavily** 245:11 heinous 27:7 **held** 10:13 114:18 134:17 156:22 157:4,9 163:11 182:14,16 199:4 200:12 207:10 215:2 hell 140:9 **help** 3:18 28:9 30:14 83:12 188:16 189:8 193:10 199:22 201:6,15 204:5,20 286:24 helped 202:3,4

helpers 163:8 helpful 99:7 273:5 helping 187:18 189:6 190:3 190:6,19 221:17 **helps** 44:7 Hepatitis 16:21 **hereof** 291:5 heretical 257:17 hereto 291:5 **heroin** 222:12 hesitancy 111:14,16 hey 189:11 **he'll** 79:17,17 101:2 178:6 **hiatus** 46:22 **high** 5:12 61:7 68:13 90:7 93:18 113:5 118:23 131:18 157:20 160:9 168:7 187:6 188:16.16 189:16 191:2 217:17,17 217:18,19 218:11 219:15 221:7 268:6 **higher** 32:3 58:14 153:23 215:7 255:13 276:10 **highest** 75:4 265:22 highlight 120:17 **highlighted** 119:23 120:7 137:7 highlighting 119:16 highways 262:3 high-profile 103:23 high-volume 208:15 Hills 19:13 Hines 186:3 251:3 **histories** 90:9 176:17 history 23:7 34:4 61:17,21 61:22 62:4 224:14 225:6 240:14.15 243:14 248:13 259:14 hit 82:21 101:3 124:8 285:19 **hold** 187:23 192:3,21 197:7 215:5,6 285:10 holding 9:16 **home** 33:3,4 46:20 145:8

145:11 185:18 188:2,8 194:18 199:6 223:22 236:10 246:23 275:7 homeless 188:11 274:3 homes 119:3 homicide 8:10 66:15,23 67:2 68:17 honest 194:2 269:23 honor 4:6 100:20 hook 178:7 hope 4:9 14:7 38:22 102:7 163:7 226:2,3 238:20 250:12 271:5 287:2 288:8 hopefully 72:22 89:10 120:16 **Horn** 2:15 101:4 163:5 164:3,6 184:16 203:9 204:13,18,21 206:19 207:9 210:11,17,20,23 211:13 213:19,24 214:14 214:24 215:20 216:2,21 217:2,10 224:9 225:23 226:3,6 233:16 242:19 246:18 247:7 255:9 267:2 269:17 Horn's 228:15,20 272:9 275:20 **horror** 206:3 hospitals 197:18,19 hour 193:19,24 hours 6:14 65:3 193:14,15 253:22 254:12 267:21,22 house 10:18 32:7 116:24 119:2 199:22 200:4.9.11 200:14 202:15 204:2,6 223:15,22,24 225:3 274:19 277:11 housed 33:3 104:6 116:6 **housekeeping** 13:6 194:10 194:11 195:19 202:16 houses 6:6,15 12:18 203:18 203:20 223:18 224:6 housing 7:6 19:16,18 20:2 115:6 154:24 186:18

189:23 191:11 200:3 242:18,20 244:2,10 269:19 **Hudson** 210:12 huge 16:8 115:9 117:2 118:6,7 171:23 229:10 244:21 249:11 263:18 human 252:22 humane 15:9 16:13 17:5 hundred 5:13,14,16 10:9 15:4,6 17:21,24 40:7,8 68:11,23 69:2,17,19 71:9 71:11,11,12 74:8,16,22 75:13,15 76:13 80:17 86:9.12.15.20 87:2.10 89:17 94:18 115:10,11 116:21 146:7,8 169:6 174:18 195:24 201:14 202:7 228:10,12,13 229:19,23 230:11,12 231:9,15 234:7,9 235:16 235:16 237:7 244:18,19 249:20,22 252:2 262:2,4 272:17 279:23 284:12 hundreds 143:3 **hung** 11:9 Hur 238:17,17 hurdle 178:12 **H.I.V** 16:19,20

I

idea 20:18 21:17 41:19 42:7 44:21 54:11 73:21 136:14 ideas 99:3 259:5 identical 67:8 74:15 153:9 identified 281:2 identifying 239:8,22 246:15 ignore 217:22 ignoring 277:14 ill 81:6 197:13,13 198:5,9 198:13,15,16 280:16 illegally 177:18 **illicit** 85:15 illiterate 247:10 **illness** 16:22 **illogical** 108:2,10 ill-serves 192:8 **Imagine** 196:6,7 immaculate 45:15 immediate 127:21 205:11 252:11 253:2 254:9 258:3 **immediately** 123:23 124:2 129:16 158:14 196:10 immune 234:22.23 **impact** 3:6,11 100:5 233:4 233:8 276:6,16 288:21 **impacts** 118:8 implemented 283:24 285:15 **implications** 3:14 173:23 227:14 implicitly 191:19 **importance** 170:17 245:18 **important** 4:15 18:7,21 23:10,15 27:24 33:20 34:11 36:3 48:18,21 60:5 64:22 77:19 83:14 88:9 99:21 100:7 122:13 139:24 140:4 143:16 148:11 161:8 181:16,18 186:20 197:24 204:24 216:9 227:21 231:22 239:9 244:7,8,14,24 245:3,20,20 247:2,15,19 249:3,9,14 250:10 251:22 252:5.6 254:7 257:7 265:17 267:23 270:21 274:8,9 285:21 287:17,22 288:5 **importantly** 92:23 202:23 **impose** 88:23 132:14 141:5 178:13,22 183:16 205:5 253:9,10 **imposed** 10:21 23:4 53:10 134:12 135:8 140:22 141:10,17 142:8 143:7

		Page 31
174:3,10,20,21 175:13,13	196:3 228:6 248:10,18	indictments 255:23
179:18 180:21 181:24	249:5 258:14,20 261:12	individual 9:12 10:19
185:24 192:19 221:10	incentive 31:14 32:24 33:5	13:12 15:10 18:12 20:3
imposes 78:24 79:22 183:8	42:6 53:11 60:11 215:5	22:4,6,12,16 23:3,19 27:7
195:21	272:4	35:9 49:22 55:12 70:21
imposing 133:11 174:23	incentives 27:24	79:7 80:10 87:24 88:23
182:23 186:8	incidents 68:15	91:16,23 93:14 108:22
imposition 122:14	inclined 162:16	116:22 159:17 170:22
impossible 146:10 198:8	include 236:13 249:18,23	179:5,20 180:14 183:19
198:10	Included 234:13	183:24 184:8 185:7
impressed 45:19 48:4	includes 15:12 163:23	187:13 191:15 193:17
impression 232:9	249:24	194:2 201:9 215:3 240:14
impressive 228:6 270:7	including 25:17 74:5 77:7	241:3,11 246:2,5,11,16
289:12	81:12 163:23 243:19	246:20 247:17,20,22
imprison 169:16	246:20	251:8,9 252:12 253:17,20
imprisonment 9:13,15	inclusive 291:10	257:21 258:2 260:23
15:11,13 17:11 26:19	inconsistent 62:19	270:2 277:10,24
62:5 65:11 78:6 79:2,18	incorporated 211:17	individually 141:12 286:22
167:4,5,6,22 170:3,5	increase 5:20 12:11 75:7	individuals 7:7 8:13,20 9:6
171:3,15,20 172:8,13,15	84:2,8 211:21 248:24	9:16 16:22,23 17:4,10
180:20 181:9,15 187:3	249:2	18:4 37:14 45:19,24 46:9
189:20 192:9 202:17	increased 13:4 75:13,14	48:5 53:12,16 65:19
205:8 218:3,5	201:18 271:10	67:13 70:14,19 74:5 76:6
improve 50:8 196:19	increasing 236:21	79:20 80:3 81:4,6 82:12
201:19	increasingly 237:24 247:5	84:11 90:19 91:2,6 115:8
improved 46:4 191:5 202:4	253:11	116:4 119:10 139:22
improvement 207:19	incredible 45:16 288:17	140:22 144:18 163:4
improves 58:20	incredibly 69:24 289:11 incumbent 240:20 246:11	164:16 176:10,13 188:17
improving 46:6 208:11,20		188:20 195:14 205:21 215:2 231:5 249:20
inappropriate 205:9 incapacitate 199:2 242:14	incurs 171:23 indebted 251:13	250:15 265:2 276:7
incapacitating 231:5	independent 112:11	individual's 25:9 193:2
incapacitation 185:3 231:4	113:23 280:9,23	239:18
incapacitative 197:2	indeterminant 271:12,17	industrial 55:15
incarcerate 201:21	271:20 272:12	ineligible 58:18 61:21 62:6
incarcerated 19:24 27:10	indeterminate 15:11 18:14	66:12 67:2,12 77:7
67:13 81:8 91:13 129:5	26:18,20 182:12,22	inexpensive 285:5
163:3	index 283:13	infliction 66:11
incarceration 12:10 14:3	indicate 5:6	influence 175:6 260:20
19:9 25:17,23 38:18	indicates 23:22 273:14	informal 64:16
40:12 41:23 42:3 46:15	indicia 5:5	information 14:18 22:20
47:6 49:17 54:9 58:19	indicted 22:6 80:13,16	34:3,19 35:7 91:21 120:9
62:15 63:14 163:24	indictment 22:5,8,15	121:7,18 123:14,20 124:7
167:16 172:3 179:15	280:17	124:12 229:6 246:4 279:7
1	İ	Ī

279:13,14,17,22 282:5	187:7	175:10 271:16
283:15,21 285:2	innocent 8:4	interested 41:4,5 45:7 52:4
informed 34:17	innocents 8:5	145:8 187:21 279:5,12
infractions 29:24	inroads 266:22	interesting 64:2 160:7
infrastructure 21:9 230:20	inside 36:21	209:2 250:6 262:10 272:8
initial 18:7,24 228:23	insights 231:16	Interestingly 262:6
229:6 263:11	insofar 181:22,24	interests 34:18 227:13
initially 57:10 116:23	instance 102:10 258:16	intermediate 253:6
initiatives 202:21	282:4	interpret 9:22
injurious 175:22	instances 35:8 128:10	interpretation 9:10
injury 66:12	instantly 29:23	interrelationship 217:21
inmate 15:5 17:13 19:2	institute 132:8 195:8	interrupt 258:7
20:7 21:21 22:21,23	262:11 266:24	intervene 223:7 262:24
23:11,13,17 24:2,5,19	institution 65:2,15,16	277:6
25:5,6,11,16,21 26:10,12	116:6 273:12 274:18	intervening 195:10 264:3
27:4,9,21 28:11,22 30:6	institutions 20:17 21:9	280:15
30:19 31:8,19,20,21	32:18 230:23	intervention 94:22 195:17
34:17 35:11,12 37:3,24	instrument 66:11	258:23 259:5 277:16
40:3 44:7 45:4 46:2,3,5	instruments 43:5 138:13	interventions 151:15
60:22 62:22 63:11 64:18	265:19	277:18
76:7 91:12,19 93:23	insurance 231:9 260:24	interview 280:18
102:6 184:4 187:6,23,24	insurmountable 178:12	interviewed 25:7,18 26:5,6
187:24 188:2 191:21	274:24	intricacies 4:20
192:3,5,7,12,21 193:8	integrated 135:15 136:20	intuitively 160:12
199:15 218:15 219:7	139:12	Int'l 291:11
inmates 5:13,24 8:8 10:6	integration 242:6 275:12	invest 189:19,19 190:6
16:19 17:21,24 19:13,16	integrity 246:19	231:24
19:19,24 28:2,5 31:14	intellectually 211:14	invested 201:21 274:5
32:8,8,9,13,22 33:3 37:7	intelligent 35:10 39:6	investigation 36:2 121:16
38:5,6,13 40:6 42:5 43:24	237:12 261:23	121:17 122:2 123:4,5,17
44:21 46:19 50:18 54:8	intelligently 237:19	124:6 141:22 175:17
58:8,9 61:2 63:15 64:24	intend 188:21	176:2 205:23 244:20
65:5,9 66:18 72:9 75:19	intended 42:21	256:18,21,23 268:5
78:11 86:12 88:14 90:16	intensive 12:14 45:2 79:11	investigations 120:22,23
102:16 103:8 104:5	82:16 89:4 157:17 159:11	121:10 122:10 124:19
112:18 116:15 174:6	204:5 237:14	170:18 244:21
181:17 182:13,16 183:12	intensively 82:4	investment 162:2,3 187:19
184:3,6 186:21 187:2,15	intent 37:14 62:2	187:20 201:20 219:23,24
187:18 190:2,6,7,21,22	intention 178:14,23	220:2,7 274:6
191:4 197:12,16,20 198:8	intentionally 187:10 intentions 123:9	investments 187:17 189:24
207:11 219:16 221:17,20 229:19 269:6		invitation 160:23 invited 99:8 160:23
	interaction 194:13 interdiction 83:13	
inmate's 18:20,24 23:7 26:2,4 27:17,20 29:19	interest 37:8 165:15	inviting 99:16 involved 10:23 46:9 47:23
20.2,4 27.17,20 29.19	Interest 57.0 105.15	III v 01 v Cu 10.23 40.9 47.23
L	l .	I .

		Page 31
62:16 66:9 247:21 262:13	Jamaica 90:24	185:24 186:5 190:11
262:14	Janet 136:16	199:7 245:2,7 251:20
involvement 229:4	Jason 20:19	252:13 257:3 265:9
Iowa 237:21	Jay 112:5	judges 9:20 81:2 88:22
Iowa's 237:23	Jenna's 168:13	121:3,8 122:19 124:4
ironclad 42:12	Jeremy 287:23	125:15 135:8 136:12
Ironically 232:10	Jersey 16:6	140:20 141:2,13 162:6,8
irrelevant 58:19 200:20	jewelry 241:5	174:23 175:11 178:11,13
island 207:8,10 238:18	Jill 195:5	178:22 179:10 205:6
issue 9:21 34:5 36:7 50:19	Joanne 275:2	206:21 245:10 246:2
67:6 85:8,12,17 99:14,20	job 83:9 137:22 138:18,23	252:14,14,17 275:24
107:23 117:10 118:6,7	187:23,24 188:24 189:17	279:10,10,15,16 280:19
124:20 130:14 168:3	189:18 190:9 193:11	281:3 282:5,13,13
169:2 170:21,22 174:2	199:23 200:12 201:6,16	judgment 64:14 184:8,9
181:14 194:6 195:5	201:19 202:3 204:6 212:8	judicial 117:16 136:17
209:24 220:19 243:2,3	221:16 222:6 228:3,3	140:18,19 210:2 244:23
271:18 274:23 277:3,14	229:14,16 236:10 239:7	245:13 250:22,24 252:16
279:5 288:14	239:10 240:2 242:3	281:2
issues 20:19 37:20 38:8	244:10 246:19,21,24	judicially 79:21
64:8,8 85:22 98:13	247:11 250:15 266:21	judiciary 162:5
107:11 119:19 120:5,7,14	267:10,11,11 270:7	Julia 238:16
120:18 133:21 140:2,3	281:11,16 288:18	July 165:23 286:15
146:22 150:9 158:22	jobs 3:16 48:3 65:11,12	June 1:10 287:13
165:6 226:12 286:16	89:10 189:6,8,19 191:11	jurisdiction 288:15
287:21,22 288:5	243:16 246:17 247:4	jurisdictions 109:16
items 284:14	Joe 173:12 186:3	133:18,20 135:20 148:4
ITSMAR 262:11	John 2:11 40:17,22 61:13	233:4,8
it'd 160:6 279:11	112:5 120:2 139:16	justice 1:2 3:6,11,15,18
I.N.S 91:4	170:12	6:19 13:9 15:2 112:4,7
т	joined 163:5	119:24 120:13 127:21
J	joining 164:5	135:13 166:16,17 173:21
Jacobson 255:16 288:4	Joseph 2:6	179:2 191:14 192:13
jail 30:21 49:20 100:5	Jr 2:9	198:2 207:3 226:11,14
114:12 116:22 122:22	Juanita 2:7	228:12 229:15,17 231:23
135:3 155:17 170:7	judge 2:7 6:8 11:3 13:9	232:10,15,18 249:19
173:24 177:19 180:5,24	21:24 22:17 24:22 25:3	263:19 283:3 289:5
208:22 209:9 210:7	27:5 33:17 34:14 42:9,10	juvenile 138:15 196:8
216:16,23 217:7 248:21	78:24 79:22 80:19 123:8	263:19
248:22 249:9 253:22 255:24 261:9 278:14	125:23 126:2 131:2	juveniles 235:16
	136:17,18,19 142:12	j'accuse 177:7,8
jails 100:6,23 115:7 148:14 174:5 186:22 187:9	155:12 157:6,14 161:21	J.O.s 136:22
188:18 210:3 218:9,13	162:11,15 170:13 175:23	K
259:23	177:19 179:8 180:4	Kaye 170:13 251:20
237.23	182:23 183:7 185:11,12	1xayc 170.13 231.20
Ĭ	l .	

keen 231:16
keep 19:24 21:10,10 47:2
56:18 63:22 68:14 93:8
110:5 116:21 127:11,12
131:9 148:13 158:3
160:19 165:11 166:15
168:11 169:17 173:14
182:21 193:10 214:4
218:9 223:9,10 267:11
268:19
keeping 93:17 111:7
189:24 191:11 206:23
218:15
Kelly 207:16
· ·
kept 78:21
key 231:20 242:20 244:7
kick 188:13
kicks 79:6 189:16
kid 136:10,13 241:8
kids 109:6 136:3,8,9,21
138:16,19,20 139:5
Kill 16:5 219:21
killed 8:4,6,15
kind 11:13 35:10 36:13
40:19 45:13 47:21 55:13
55:15 62:23 81:23 84:13
85:3 87:5 94:11 100:7
101:6 103:2 118:22
121:14 123:17 132:18,19
138:23 142:10,23 146:24
149:3 153:14 159:5
160:14 166:5 176:5
258:15,22 263:13 268:18
272:15 273:10 276:15
kinds 263:24
Kings 22:8 250:17
kiosk 229:3
kitchen 190:18
knee 214:12
kneecaps 179:7
knew 42:10 177:23 193:23
220:18
knock 150:17
knocking 241:6

know 5:4 8:21 23:11 28:21 29:19 30:10 32:21 35:2 36:14,20 39:11 40:5,22 43:4 46:9 47:8,17 50:8,11 50:11,13,14 54:23 58:5 60:18,20 62:13 63:10,12 64:10,16 66:22 67:19,22 70:22 71:21 72:8 76:18 76:21,22 77:2,5 78:8 81:13 82:6,24 83:2,13,19 84:9,15 89:23 95:18,20 96:4,17 97:21,22 98:4 99:19 100:21,24 101:23 102:11,17 103:6,14,15,19 103:22 104:13.17 105:13 105:13,16,16,18,20,21,22 106:3,24 107:3,4,7,17 108:8,10,11,12,13,16,17 108:18,19,20,21,22,22 109:3,4,6,8,18,22 110:2,3 110:7,23,23 111:20 112:2 112:3,4,12 113:11,13,17 113:19,19 114:13 115:3,5 115:13,13,14,14,19 116:3 116:6,12,12,15,16,23,24 117:11,12,24 118:5,7,9 118:23 119:5,20 120:2,10 120:12,21,24 121:3,8,13 121:22 122:3,7,11,19,20 122:21,22 123:2,20 124:21,23 125:6 126:5,22 127:2,8,10,13,14,18,18 127:21,22 129:6,10,16,21 129:22.23 130:10 131:19 132:18,19,22 133:2,3,4,6 133:11,16,16,17,19 134:10,18,24 135:11,17 136:17,19,21,22 137:10 137:14,20 138:6,17 139:2 139:4,16,19 140:12,12,15 141:9,16 142:3,5,9,22,24 143:19 144:3,16 145:9,14 145:14,18 146:4,6,10,11 146:15,18,19,20,23 147:5

147:7,11,16,17,18,20 148:4,5,6 149:6 150:6,17 150:17 151:8,8,10,12,13 151:23 152:4,7,9,10,10 152:17 153:15,19,20,22 153:24 154:3,22 156:9,15 156:16,20 157:3,5,6,6,8 158:2,8,14,16,17 159:3,8 159:8,12 160:12,18,18 161:13,16,17,21,21,23 162:12,14,18,19 173:15 177:14,15 178:2,4 182:8 182:9 183:11,17,18 184:7 185:17,19 186:8 188:9 189:11 193:23 195:23 196:16 199:13 201:8 203:10,24 204:11 205:17 207:15 208:4,5 209:20 210:9,22 211:8,11,20 213:24 214:3,6,15,19,20 214:21 215:9,10 217:15 218:6 220:7 223:19,20 224:23,23 225:13,18 227:15,15 230:13 234:8 235:18 238:13 241:19,23 242:9 245:22 247:20 250:7,10 252:6,22,22 253:11,14 257:4,4,5,5,9 258:8,11,14 259:12,16 263:3,12,18,18,20,23 264:21,23,24 265:6,15,21 266:7 268:13,16 269:17 269:18 270:8,14,15,16 272:3,12 273:6,6,7,16 275:4,5 276:15 277:2,5,6 277:12,21,22 278:11 279:3 280:15 281:22 282:3 283:9,9,13 284:3 284:22 285:4 287:23 288:14 289:5 **knowing** 72:14 175:13 176:4 **knowledge** 3:5 112:9 knowledgeable 100:4

legislature 6:6,15 7:11 known 183:16 185:8 195:6 74:21 81:8,17 82:24 262:9 83:10 88:18,20 107:5 11:13 12:19 43:21 44:8 knows 97:21 122:12 123:3 136:2 168:14 66:17 68:16 89:15 96:3 137:12 227:13 232:6 173:10 175:2,4,18,19 166:23 212:15 214:8 287:12 177:8 181:10 185:19 254:5 281:15 289:8 **Korotokin** 2:6 54:12 57:4 196:16 206:7 229:22 **legitimacy** 174:8,12 legitimately 97:10 57:14,21 58:13 59:2,6,10 233:14 239:20 260:18,19 61:6 69:11,14,17 72:12 laws 4:19 6:12 11:17 65:14 **LEHMAN** 62:21 92:17 94:12 95:3 167:7 86:4 94:14,15 100:6 **length** 108:19 109:7,19 184:15 213:17,22 170:4 260:7 263:2 272:14 110:24 171:8 218:11 **kudos** 111:20 lawver 22:2 24:22 **lengthy** 176:16 268:12 lawyers 64:15 116:14 **Lentol** 2:6 15:18 36:10,13 **Kurlander** 40:18 41:10,15 lead 12:14 38:18 58:5 73:7 95:24 96:19 L **leadership** 46:3 100:15 157:16 191:21 203:5 L 2:12 233:3 214:6,17 215:15,24 216:4 lab 222:24 **leading** 83:22 84:3 126:8 216:22 217:3 234:18 lack 29:16 35:23 94:14 153:7 182:24 281:12 **lepers** 238:18 154:24 165:7 173:18,19 leads 133:23 153:4 173:20 lesser 92:22 199:3 277:23 279:14 281:12 learn 141:20 150:8 153:11 **lessons** 166:3 laid 250:24 153:11,14 190:6 221:20 **letter** 172:7 173:11 **Lake** 16:4 **let's** 63:19,22 116:4 194:2 221:21 **Lakeview** 52:24 54:2 56:16 learned 143:10 166:3 197:9 198:21 199:6 200:7 219:2 220:16 221:24,24 230:19 247:3 261:15 language 44:5 120:4 190:5 277:3 lease 200:15 234:2 leave 17:7 65:2,11 75:9 level 32:15 100:9,9 101:23 larceny 24:14 277:23 145:9 151:5 171:10 191:4 103:21 104:19 116:11 large 5:3 19:5,17 21:6 203:21 223:15 247:3 117:3,5 123:22 157:20,20 32:19 52:24 62:16 65:7 273:24 162:7,8 191:3,5 226:19 66:17 148:4 178:11 **leaving** 145:10 231:3 233:9 239:20 249:3 202:13 221:10 229:13 **lectured** 172:24 253:7 265:23 268:15 **largely** 232:13 **lectures** 100:11 lewdness 235:23 236:7 larger 32:17 235:4 243:4 **led** 68:14 **liberty** 89:19 91:7 largest 14:24 16:20 76:17 **left** 8:14 11:23 209:19 libraries 147:10 Larry 40:18 41:2,10,15,17 **legal** 9:9 10:4.11 64:13 license 262:17 Larry's 41:4 175:17 241:2 242:3 **life** 38:2 39:2 84:4 134:19 **Lastly 226:9 legislation** 7:16 11:20 37:6 149:22 190:24 241:3 latches 11:4 40:16,20,21,21 41:6,12 **lifetime** 109:13,15,16,18 late 20:23 43:19 51:12 116:23 110:6,17 Latessa 264:7 273:13 135:23 180:14 230:3 liked 248:23 287:19 248:16 287:15 likelihood 84:8 196:19 **latitude** 253:10 **legislative** 49:4 62:2 73:15 liken 192:15 **laundry** 189:14 287:16 likes 218:3 law 6:3 9:5,11 37:20 43:24 legislator 190:10 **limited** 36:4,5 263:6 48:15 49:12 53:9 67:15 limits 194:7 270:5

legislators 161:24 287:14

line 4:24 63:9 94:16 133:7 **locals** 111:8 50:15 51:5 58:16,21 173:6 220:24 **LOCATION** 1:12 93:22 98:20 112:14 236:3 lines 217:22 259:6 locations 147:2 253:13 262:6 263:4 links 3:19 lock 20:6 97:20 179:3 264:12 265:21 list 51:6 281:20 185:4 208:12,16 216:22 **looking** 12:20 25:11 45:14 **Listen 224:18** locked 208:13 48:12 70:9 72:16 99:4 **listened** 164:14 locking 20:5 108:3,4 114:13 117:6,9 **listening** 163:21 288:16 locus 196:21 123:2 137:8 138:9 139:10 **listing** 13:22 21:17 logistical 37:20 140:16,21 146:24 156:5 literacy 247:8 **logistics** 116:3,7 180:10 181:13 189:4 literature 264:7 **long** 38:20 46:22 60:10 201:23 211:8 213:15 litter-free 243:20 107:13,20 108:23 122:17 237:22 241:15 246:9 little 4:15 26:15 39:11,14 125:8 151:8 163:19 164:8 258:17 261:15 262:15 41:16 53:8,15 76:10 81:9 167:10 170:22 185:4 265:18 272:24 277:15,19 85:2 119:5.18 120:18 197:4 199:4 209:7 225:6 278:9 279:6 282:3 285:23 121:17 124:19 129:18 238:14 244:13 268:14 looks 27:19 61:22 240:13 138:2 140:13 152:7 154:3 longer 21:5 39:2 50:8,9 loose 269:5 165:6,10,17 166:6 168:15 53:19 97:12 108:3 131:22 LOPS 262:16 169:2,12 184:17 186:21 172:10 184:6 185:5 lose 27:4,13 174:7 191:13 195:3 214:3,19 199:10 214:4 268:21 loses 125:9 128:3 189:17 227:12 228:7 231:15 longest 32:9 222:6 242:21 252:4 255:8 longitudinal 151:7 losing 46:7 60:8 Littlejohn 239:12 **long-term** 83:24 loss 24:7 27:16 173:20 live 147:6,14 188:23 189:2 look 19:22 21:5 26:2 29:23 losses 152:17 193:11 201:2 221:5 43:20 47:10 48:14 54:4 **lost** 70:4 232:16 238:11 252:20 58:15 59:9 64:19 72:16 **lot** 4:11 6:12 10:14 11:24 lively 119:12 80:22 98:3,14,17,21 12:9,18,19 13:17 14:18 lives 139:3 140:9 194:17,19 104:7 109:14 111:17 16:9 19:19,20,20 22:2 198:8 244:5 250:15 113:10 116:19 118:20 25:9 37:20 39:5 40:8,16 280:20 120:14 123:20 129:8,8 45:2,3 47:18 48:2,19 living 188:3 200:8 238:12 136:20 140:15 143:9,15 53:10 60:21 68:14,15,15 239:21 152:4 160:7 178:2 188:7 70:4 78:17 82:11,16 loaded 22:14 241:21 89:19,20 90:5 94:9 95:10 201:24 203:12 205:19 local 15:14 17:12 30:21 208:12 212:12 222:13 97:12 101:6 104:3 109:16 100:5,6,9 101:23 104:3 227:4 229:12 233:6 110:3 111:14,14 113:11 104:19 106:24 111:5 237:23 238:5,24 239:3,11 113:21 114:10 115:2 113:9 115:7.17 116:11.14 239:13.16 240:5.11 119:22 120:3,8 121:5,7 117:2,20 118:24 122:22 254:23 255:20 258:5,12 121:11 122:17 125:3,4 135:3 155:17 157:10 259:13 260:7 261:8 263:5 127:20 129:11,11 133:20 169:19 170:7 179:14 269:15 270:17 271:5,12 134:14,14,15 135:6,9 180:5 206:18 236:20 272:6,11 274:6,16 276:11 138:21 140:9,19 141:15 249:8 259:22,23 277:3 278:4,19 281:23 141:19,19 147:2 153:23 localities 34:7 282:4 283:8 285:6 288:10 161:11,12,15 163:23 **locally** 111:8 **looked** 30:16 35:3 43:22 166:9,10 173:17 179:6

184:5 195:23 207:18 211:3.19 214:21 221:12 225:12 230:19 232:14 251:18 257:17 258:23 262:17 266:2,4 269:4,6,6 270:15 274:5 275:8 278:3 278:9 279:13 lots 207:11,12,14 **louder** 39:14 **love** 213:6 **low** 9:4 69:23,24 151:17 157:20 160:10 243:16 lower 93:19 151:18 153:7 239:12 lower-risk 151:20 **lowest** 76:16 low-income 7:7 low-risk 270:11 **lumped** 132:19

M

Maccarone 2:15 101:2 111:18 113:12 161:9 163:6 226:9,23 258:9 259:2,7 263:15 264:4 268:23 269:4 273:11 275:16 276:3,24 279:21 281:24 282:8,20 283:7,19 286:8,20 287:5,8,19 **MacGuffins** 168:10 machines 243:22 **magic** 48:7 **magical** 242:13 magnificent 290:17 magnified 142:11 mail 265:7 maintain 27:24 68:11 194:9 maintained 27:21 major 33:5 67:16 88:12 155:10 269:21 majority 152:8 making 30:11,12 64:19 97:6 102:8 104:14 111:9

136:14 140:17 158:17 162:2,3 169:23 187:19,20 209:3 229:23 286:22 male 19:11 males 56:17.20 man 10:17 219:11 manage 68:13 174:12 179:23 228:10 234:16 235:7,12,14 237:11,19 238:10 262:18 management 236:11 237:16 262:12 270:4 manages 228:16,22 managing 228:4 270:7 mandate 35:15.20 163:23 170:2,10 mandated 134:8 mandates 4:11 mandatory 80:4 104:8 170:6 269:13 **Manhattan** 239:13 275:21 manner 125:2 178:21 marches 45:20 marching 45:12,15 marginal 162:11 171:2 margins 276:23 Maria 239:17 Marie 2:8 **marine** 45:17 market 8:12 marks 222:14,21 marry 65:16 **Martin** 2:15 240:24 Marty 101:4 115:10 207:4 214:17 225:20 281:22 286:13 Marty's 140:13 Maryland 264:11 mass 172:3 massaged 79:24 materials 13:7 67:7 163:20 291:12 math 46:6 matrix 253:15

matter 42:10 65:4 70:20 73:10 74:21 96:6 126:4 144:5 151:17 170:23 179:15 mattered 242:17 matters 287:11 288:11 max 20:14 37:11 102:19 maximum 19:3 31:22,23 74:23 173:15 195:15 maximum-security 19:10 19:11,12 20:2,11 21:2,6 21:15 32:3 33:10 mayor 224:19,21,22 ma'am 95:3 **McDermott** 2:7 60:24 93:22 94:9 99:8 155:9,15 156:13 **McDonald** 243:13 McDonald's 139:3 **McGloine** 291:2,14 mean 9:22 29:4 37:2 38:12 61:24 62:12 80:13 104:14 105:11,14 113:15 114:19 122:3,6,6 125:10 131:17 135:17 137:9 138:22 141:8,11,18 146:9 147:19 147:24 148:18 150:23 151:23 152:3,10 159:2 161:12 189:22 194:7 208:6 213:24 214:14,24 217:6 218:14 221:16 225:4,14 275:23 278:5 279:7 289:11,14 meaning 57:19 74:20 128:4 173:23 190:9 meaningful 124:10 137:4 140:10 146:11 206:13 243:16,16 246:7 287:15 meaningfully 38:16 meaningless 134:13 means 9:3 19:15 27:13 67:13 88:16 119:3 144:17 189:21 201:5 meant 151:11

midtown 277:20 measurable 200:7,18 **missions** 258:12 measure 10:16 24:11 87:6 Mike 60:23 288:4 Mississippi 41:18 254:14,19 miles 116:7 **mistake** 217:11 mechanism 74:18 127:4 milestones 5:18 285:11,11 mistakes 30:11 133:14 milieu 261:14 misunderstand 244:5 mechanisms 90:5 288:21 military 44:24 45:20 mix 11:17 milligrams 80:17 229:23 Medicaid-eligible 201:18 mixed 193:17 219:16 medical 32:15 43:4 64:8 million 11:7 195:24 208:16 mode 52:20,20 81:11 231:9 millions 10:21 11:9 model 81:22 95:17 135:5 medium 19:4,14 20:15 mind 31:10 53:7 98:12 138:12 149:23 150:2 33:8 100:10 166:15 167:11 196:13 211:5,7 261:8 medium-security 12:4 169:18 174:14 262:22 267:3 270:5 271:6 32:11,14,20 mindful 78:10,13 272:9 meet 31:12 34:6 46:21 mindset 133:18 149:4 **modeled** 44:23 64:11 110:7 175:17 151:24 **modeling** 267:10 models 43:20 138:15 meeting 1:4 3:3,8 235:4 minimis 169:11 205:9,10 286:10 287:13,14,16 minimum 20:15 31:22 149:24 273:10 **meets** 24:8 35:15 42:7,19 55:13 87:7 moderate 258:21 member 14:10,11 284:13 87:21 91:20 173:9,9,17 modification 133:9 members 2:2 4:4 17:3 182:14,16 183:3 242:4 **modifications** 126:10,12 45:11 184:4 268:3 **minimums** 32:20 129:17 132:22 memorandum 44:2,6 minimum-security 19:4,15 moment 182:3 197:9 257:8 mental 16:22 32:15 64:8 31:19 money 6:22 7:8,13 116:18 191:18 197:17,19 198:3 minor 212:6,10 235:22 167:4,5,6 171:18 189:5 218:2 252:3 259:8 263:23 264:14 189:13 195:23 196:6,7 200:16 201:13,22 202:20 276:14 mentality 137:17 230:20 231:11,21 248:20 mentally 197:13,13 198:5 minute 193:16 197:21 198:9,13,15,16 280:16 219:17 248:24 249:11 258:24 mentioned 44:14 96:6 **minutes** 22:22 274:6 284:20 286:4 289:9 105:17 120:21 mirror 101:6 104:2 153:14 289:22 misbehaves 192:23 199:15 **Merced's** 210:23 monitor 144:11,12 145:16 merit 14:2 86:6 87:4,13,17 **misbehavior** 27:15 30:17 146:17 255:18 87:21,23,24 90:3,4,11,11 30:20 79:15 **monitoring** 143:18,19 167:14.15 168:9 182:9.9 misconduct 23:22 145:7 merits 69:4 misdemeanor 109:11 **Monroe** 280:4 message 38:7 127:22 176:12 209:6 230:2 248:4 **Monterey** 53:6 95:14 month 17:23 81:13 122:7 219:16 253:24 251:7 260:9 275:22 messages 219:7 276:12,17 277:23 278:13 248:6 268:16 months 5:15 12:5 42:18 met 224:19 278:14 misdemeanors 209:6 **metrics** 244:17 47:14 50:4,16 54:19,21 Michael 2:5,7,11 234:12 248:8 256:2 60:12,18 70:21 82:5,5 **microphone** 39:10,20 86:13,23 87:12 88:20 276:18 278:6,10 microphones 39:20 **missed** 222:7 89:4 91:14 94:21,22 mission 98:16 229:10 **middle** 157:7 102:2 118:12,13 125:12

128:2,18 135:13 142:17 143:8 171:2,4,5,6,6,6,7 171:11,13 173:5 190:24 200:5,9,12,14,17 212:15 212:17 215:11,11,11 222:5 223:3,5 252:21 254:24 255:2 261:11,12 moral 172:10 **morale** 220:2 Morgenthau 251:17 morning 3:20 145:10 156:22 188:14 190:13 mother 238:18 motivations 247:16 move 20:14 25:3 36:9 53:12 55:13 63:22 70:14 70:23 86:3 89:6 115:17 160:4 169:21 225:15 226:8 256:9 269:15 moved 103:13 274:22 276:5 284:11 movement 17:18 19:20,21 32:12 33:12 movements 45:20 moves 26:12 63:7 194:18 movie 192:16 238:17 **movies** 235:2 moving 39:8,8 64:21,21 119:3 127:11,12 196:18 257:5 281:6 multidisciplinary 192:18 199:16 multiply 10:19 murder 66:23.23 239:17 **Murphy** 219:4 **Murray** 273:7 mushrooms 8:6 mutually 105:7 217:12 **myopic** 15:19

name 8:5 100:10 186:6 239:19 narcotics 62:16

narrowly 254:5 Nassau 161:16 Nathaniel 250:16 280:14 **nation** 94:3 231:17 national 241:15 266:24 287:20,24 nationally 152:3 natural 73:13 139:11 naturally 255:13 nature 23:22 32:4 236:16 near 147:10 necessarily 58:15 260:11 266:10 267:16 necessary 133:12 253:10 need 6:23 11:16 23:11.17 24:16 32:17 34:24 71:2 83:23 97:14 98:3 108:15 110:4,5,8 120:8 124:7,24 126:10 135:4,11 136:20 138:14 139:10 140:15,20 140:21 141:16 143:12,13 155:11,11,19,21 159:13 159:20 162:6 181:20,21 183:16,18 194:24 199:3 217:4 221:18 234:8,20 235:24,24 236:24 237:17 237:17 238:9 240:4,5,7 240:11,12,12 246:14 247:13 249:17 258:19.20 259:19,19 264:6 265:18 266:22 267:9,9 281:13,17 285:3 286:18 288:12 needed 7:9 95:23 118:2 needle 222:13.21 needs 24:3 36:6,6 100:8 139:10 193:9 205:3 227:4 267:14 neglect 277:7 negligent 67:2 negligible 189:7,7 negotiate 89:14 negotiated 89:22 121:21 negotiation 7:12 **negotiations** 6:5,14 12:18

neighborhoods 47:24 neighboring 10:23 net 198:14 never 47:12 108:12 143:4 147:6 150:5 180:24 193:20,20,21,22,22 198:14 220:19,22 221:2,7 221:8 254:17 261:6 284:3 nevertheless 249:2 new 1:2,3,12,13 4:22 5:6 7:3,21 11:16 15:18,21,23 16:6 18:2 32:23 36:20,23 37:20 39:4 41:3,20,23 42:14 44:9 46:13 48:9 54:8 57:19.20 65:7 67:6 74:9 93:23 94:2 101:7 102:18 108:13 109:8 114:20,21 115:9 120:5 133:19 135:17 137:10 144:6 150:16,18 163:13 163:14,15,18 164:18 165:5,21 169:7 170:15 174:15,17,20 176:11,24 177:11,13,15,16,22 178:10 179:10 180:16,16 180:21 181:2,7,8,9,12 186:3 188:17 193:13 195:11,13 196:4 197:12 201:4 202:10 204:10,14 205:16,17,17 207:6,12 209:3,23 210:4 212:14,16 213:6,7,20 219:5 220:5 222:12 225:10 226:15 227:3.18.22.24 228:15.21 229:11,13,15,18 231:10 232:7,13,20,22 233:5,6 233:13,19 234:11 238:21 239:9,15,20 243:17,19 245:5,8,9 248:15 249:18 250:8,8 251:12 252:18 253:12 255:6,7 259:9,11 262:3 269:5 272:14 277:18,19 278:3,16 280:4 283:3,4 284:20 288:9

Newgate 21:4 news 4:18,18 117:4 141:19 Newton 2:7 nexus 230:3 nice 145:17 **night** 20:7 65:16 157:7 158:15 219:12 223:23,23 235:2 241:24 266:18 nights 66:2 nine 15:7 75:23 76:13 92:7 93:19 169:6 215:11 nineties 68:9 ninety 79:11 83:20,22,23 200:10,13 228:23 ninetv-day 77:4.22 82:20 **ninety-eight** 174:15,19 ninety-seven 245:4 ninety-six 245:4 **ninth** 117:15 136:17 ninth-month 82:21 nip 223:6 nominally 74:12 **noncompliance** 10:7,17,24 nonviolent 5:23 12:24 66:21,24 75:8 91:11 93:24 199:2,10 239:6 nonwaiver 256:11,22 non-dangerous 199:2 non-jail 83:24 non-New 255:7 normal 37:24 53:24 79:16 normally 79:2 north 116:2 212:8 **note** 41:16 **notice** 265:7 notion 98:21 177:13 195:9 219:15 223:14 252:7 now-Governor 224:19 **number** 6:2 10:19,24 11:9 12:6,11,17 18:4,7 35:3 57:18 66:19 68:13,18,22 69:8 74:15 75:7,21 76:2,5 76:14 86:16 89:16,23 90:15,22 91:10,14,21

92:15,20,22 95:11 98:14 130:11.18 132:14 142:6 147:12 149:24 167:23 208:24 209:19 235:8 238:4 242:14 264:20,21 276:14 287:20 288:4 291:9 **numbers** 8:7,20 9:6 53:15 66:17 84:15 89:24,24 90:7 94:4 98:7 111:7 115:9 122:4 207:5 229:7 249:17 251:23 254:23 255:8 numerous 278:15 nurseries 147:10 **NYSAC** 117:9 **N.I.C** 267:6 N.Y.P.D 232:22

0

object 78:14 objectively 6:16 objectives 200:7,24 obligation 10:4 observation 276:20 obtain 201:6,15,15,16 267:10 **obvious** 48:19 **obviously** 20:3 26:6 37:14 41:3 48:22 56:17 86:17 92:11 95:14.18 98:4 103:12 122:12 129:16 141:11 148:24 153:16,19 160:11 166:16,16 170:23 280:10 289:12 occur 71:23 116:11 125:6 199:7 230:22 262:3 271:4 occurred 129:11 130:5 occurring 125:12 277:13 occurs 233:7 288:16 offender 24:4 31:9 38:8 48:16 58:12,14 62:15 78:2,5 81:17 135:19 140:2 142:12,15 150:15

162:13 168:17 169:21 178:6 182:24 186:16 189:8 194:4 195:4 196:20 197:2,6,7 198:24 199:3,9 199:13,22 200:24 201:3 202:14 212:6 215:22 228:24 229:22 235:14,19 236:11 239:20 251:4 252:3 253:18 254:22 256:14,17 259:9 260:17 260:22 263:11 268:7 269:21 270:4 271:24 285:9 286:3 offenders 5:22 12:7,16 15:12 36:16 37:9 42:22 43:12 47:18,22 50:10 53:11 55:10 62:3 66:15 70:11 73:23 74:23 75:7,8 75:9,20,22 76:3,21 81:5 87:3,10,14,18,19,22 90:23 91:10 92:7,23 93:18 94:13 96:8,14 109:4,8,14,19 118:22 136:22 138:8 141:19 144:16 145:12 146:8,9 147:3 149:19,22 150:3,16 151:20,24 152:8 157:20 160:17 176:14 185:17 189:6 191:9 212:10,11,12 215:19 227:5 228:11,11 228:13,14,17,18 229:2 234:8,15 235:8,9,13,15 235:16 236:2,15 237:2,12 237:19.23 238:3.10.12.15 239:5,6,11 251:17 254:6 256:13 260:15 261:24 262:22.24 264:14.16 266:3,4,6,21 267:15 269:5,6 270:10 271:9 280:18 offender's 150:12 offending 236:3 offends 183:11 offense 8:24 23:20,21

25:12,21 56:23 62:8,9 66:9 141:18 150:19 153:20 170:3,5 172:20 182:19,20 183:9,10,21,24 211:9 229:24 235:21 offenses 43:16 66:19 70:6 75:12 87:6 114:21,21 150:23 175:5 236:6 237:4 256:7 258:16,21 263:23 263:24 271:4 276:14 offer 177:7 office 67:4 238:6 257:14 officer 46:21 97:7,19 117:19 122:8 126:19 127:7 129:10 133:6 146:9 155:4,15 156:24 158:5 159:3 165:22 169:4,6,9 169:10 183:13 188:9 189:7,9 193:13,20 194:12 195:18 197:16 199:23 200:19 201:22,24 202:14 205:2 220:17,18,20 221:22,23 265:9 officers 13:14 117:12 122:5 125:22 126:6,15 127:5 144:7 155:11 158:2,7,12 158:16 169:7 219:10 229:5 253:5 254:7 267:7 269:8 281:16 officer's 179:4 200:21 **offices** 233:11 officials 91:4,8,23 **offset** 30:17 offshoots 53:8 **off-hours** 157:6 **oh** 28:7 71:10 175:2,18 188:22 215:20 218:20 222:11,15 262:7 okay 6:10,11 15:20 30:23 31:12 36:8 39:8,18,21 41:7 52:18,22 59:13 63:18 64:21 71:3,11,13 72:24 73:2,3 79:7 84:20 84:22,23 86:9 88:3 92:19

98:9 102:4 107:6 114:2,4 119:14,17 152:18 177:14 210:14 219:18 269:22 **old** 37:15 135:20 164:8,9 older 58:8,15,16,16,17 **oldest** 20:21 old-fashioned 34:8 once 15:22 29:12 45:16 102:13,18 103:12 123:15 123:15 172:11 224:3 230:24 232:5 266:15 268:16 278:10 ones 52:10 55:5 138:20 217:7 236:14 one's 107:13 234:23 one-hundred-and-eighty... 267:5 one-second 33:19 one-to-three 33:7 one-vear 103:8 105:22 113:6 **ongoing** 290:4 **online** 14:11 on-site 222:3 on-the-job 65:4 open 14:15 21:5 22:17 184:9 185:12,15 188:11 220:7 **opened** 21:3,5 operate 13:12 100:8 174:5 operated 279:24 280:5,7 operates 99:6 250:17,18 operating 10:8 212:24 **operation** 41:19 54:3 173:23 181:9 **operations** 15:7 210:13 **opinion** 168:21 182:17 **opportunities** 188:6 242:5 **opportunity** 18:17 104:18 119:22 130:22 171:23 184:11 185:10 195:11 196:14 240:5,23 242:2,5 243:7,7,12 244:11 250:12 256:17 260:21 261:5

262:20,23 263:7,8 267:13 269:19 272:20 274:20 280:12 281:5 **opposed** 54:9 58:9 153:6 157:19 opposite 60:7 opposition 274:23 **option** 106:24 108:17 **optional** 104:14 options 171:21 172:22 **Orange** 117:17 order 4:9 10:8 27:13 66:8 67:4,6 88:17,23 90:21 91:3,13 96:9,10,14 118:2 142:5 157:12 162:6 194:8 216:14 217:4 248:18 261:19 289:15 **ordered** 264:18 orders 10:2,12,16 66:4 67:5 124:14 134:11 139:20 140:3,6,11,16,21 141:5 ordinance 277:22,22 **Oregon** 253:13 organization 232:24 organizations 174:4 188:5 origin 91:9 original 30:17 125:16,23 126:2 132:22 271:23 **originally** 7:5 15:17 Osbourne 188:7 ought 101:17 216:14 217:8 231:13 243:11 245:14 247:6.12.14 263:4 264:16 269:21 272:11 278:17 outcome 82:17 166:19 169:10 205:3 224:2 252:4 outcomes 251:19,24 252:19 256:24 283:10 285:23.24 outline 13:8 26:14 outpatient 102:13 outraged 182:7 outside 165:15 222:24

233:4,6 245:8 outsiders 30:8 outstanding 228:3,3 overblown 242:22,23 overburdened 118:24 overcrowded 248:22 249:12 **overcrowding** 10:11 117:3 overlap 217:13 overnight 220:14 225:5 **overtime** 249:11 overwhelming 229:7 over-involve 266:2 over-program 266:6 over-supervise 264:13 266:2 owns 260:23 **O'Donnell** 2:3 3:2,21,24 6:7 28:17,20 29:2,7,10,14 30:2,23 33:16 39:9,16,19 50:3,6,20,23 51:4,9,13,16 51:19,22 54:15,18 55:17 55:20,24 56:5,10,13 58:23 59:3,8,12 63:18,21 67:18 68:2 69:7,16,21 71:3,7,10 73:2,5 77:11,14 77:18 81:20 82:23 83:9 84:18,21 85:20,23 88:3,7 93:4,7,11,20 94:24 95:5 98:9 99:13 100:2 104:23 105:3 106:2,4,8,11,14,18 107:2,6,8,10,19 110:18 110:21 114:2,5,7 118:4 118:15.19 119:15 132:2.5 132:11 140:24 141:4 143:17,22 144:2,10,13,20 144:24 146:13 148:10.16 151:19 152:23 154:6,10 154:13 156:7,10,14 157:13 159:22 160:3.21 161:6,14 162:22,24 164:4 164:7 165:9 203:3 207:4 210:8,14,18,21 211:2 225:20,24 226:4,7 258:6

258:10 259:4 263:10,16 268:10,24 273:4 275:14 275:17 281:18 282:2,9,14 282:18,21 283:18 286:6,9 286:21 287:6,10 289:13 290:15 O'Donnell's 233:3 O'Rourke 161:21 O.C.A 207:2

P

O.M.H 37:22

P 2:7 package 17:12 41:14,16 139:18 packet 139:15 **Paclima** 40:17 page 202:9 248:8 279:6 291:5 pages 231:16 272:17 291:9 paid 285:12 **Paige** 275:3 paint 190:20 paper 238:5 papers 247:24 paperwork 193:21 256:14 Parchment 41:18 parenthetically 235:23 236:18 parole 3:16 12:12 18:3 24:10 25:18 28:9 30:14 43:10 46:21 55:8,9,11 57:7,9 58:2,3,4 72:21 73:9,12 74:10,17,21 76:6 78:23 79:5,15,23,24 80:5 82:18 83:23 89:12 90:20 91:17 95:11 96:24,24 97:2,4,7,19 103:4,6,15 105:8,9,15 106:12 107:15 107:24 108:9,13 111:5 114:11,14,17 115:16 116:24 117:10,23 118:11 132:7 153:3,6,19,24 155:4,15,22 156:2 163:14

164:17 165:22 166:13 167:16 169:4,9 172:15 173:11,12,14 176:7,11,15 176:16 178:15,17,21,21 178:22 181:5,7 182:6,17 182:20 183:4,8,13,13,18 183:23,24 184:4,13,20,22 187:4,5 188:9 189:7,9 191:24 192:2 193:13,20 194:5,8,9,12 195:2,10,18 196:2,5 197:15 198:11,11 199:23 200:19,21 201:22 201:24 202:10,13,17 206:17 209:15,17 210:13 210:19 220:17.18.20 221:22 222:4 223:9 224:2 237:6,18 245:17 246:6,9 247:14,20 268:13 271:19 271:20 275:7 288:22 289:11 paroled 21:22 181:6 parolee 153:4 178:20 193:4 193:7 194:13 221:4 222:3 273:18,19 275:5 parolees 195:17 221:2,13 262:13 parolee's 193:12 **Parole's** 191:23 parrot 269:17 parroted 25:14 part 7:11,15,16,18 19:5 37:23 38:24 59:7 83:2 91:24 96:5 98:15 111:16 113:16 119:6 121:4 124:17 140:17 141:24 159:9 173:21 174:6 183:5 196:20 216:24 218:23 221:14 228:4 274:9 280:9 281:5 289:14,15 290:2,4 participant 30:8 **participants** 8:10 65:19 68:18 participate 24:3,6,17 31:9 31:15 37:8 38:7,15 44:4,5

44:22 54:5 79:14 158:24 participated 24:12 **participating** 66:18 71:20 **participation** 53:22 90:10 99:12 particular 12:2 27:6,7 32:22 55:12 64:11 100:4 107:23 110:16 133:2 142:14 143:13 151:14 157:2 158:15 159:17 179:16 279:10 particularly 3:16 27:8,8 47:23 82:18 91:10 287:21 parties 81:16 182:2 184:11 parts 81:15 pass 146:21 287:14 passed 68:16 116:24 136:2 237:24 248:16 passing 146:18 236:20 passionate 164:16 **patched** 272:13 path 108:3 patients 197:17,19 **Patti 2:13 Paul** 2:6 54:10 57:3,20 58:10 61:5 69:12 72:8 84:15 92:14,14 94:10 167:7 184:19 213:15 238:24 260:4 pawn 11:14 pay 10:21 78:18 134:23 178:24 179:9 187:11 200:16 201:7,16,18 230:16 260:13 264:18 265:6 266:16 268:17 272:18 paying 65:12 179:3,5 195:24 204:4 243:16 264:19 **payment** 265:10 payments 261:2 pays 260:24,24 peaked 40:6 peculiar 94:2

peers 240:18 **penal** 175:2,3,18,19 185:19 236:19 penalty 27:16 134:24 169:20 213:20 pending 135:23 157:4 180:14 **Pennsylvania** 16:5 203:12 203:14,17 204:2,15 224:5 224:11,13,14,15 **pension** 187:22 people 12:12,23 17:2 22:8 38:2 39:13 52:4 57:7,8 58:17,17 60:8 62:13 63:4 69:3 71:19 77:3 83:18 89:18 93:8 94:19 95:8 98:11 99:2 101:23 105:8 105:10,12 108:5,7 110:3 110:5 111:6,23 112:9,12 112:15 113:5 114:11,20 118:21 121:11 123:6 125:10 129:13 130:9 131:19 134:11,15 140:10 141:23 142:24 143:2.14 145:8,15 146:5,17 147:6 147:18 148:6,12,13,23 149:2 152:5 159:6,14 163:6 164:22,23 165:3 166:2 167:9,9,23 168:2,7 169:15 171:10 172:22,23 176:8 177:15 188:15 190:14 198:9,9 203:16,21 205:13,16 206:5 208:2,13 208:17 209:7.8.17 212:3 216:15,20,23 217:6,9,16 218:10,12 219:2,6 225:12 225:16 230:7.10.11 235:20 236:6 240:5,6,23 242:6,9,11,11 243:13,24 244:19 245:16,17 247:6 247:10 251:2,18 257:18 259:16 263:20,20 264:17 268:8,14,15,20 269:2,16 273:6,24 274:6,12,20

276:22 281:10 288:19 290:3.6 people's 145:19 **perceive** 174:7 181:17 percent 10:9 57:5,7,10,15 57:17,21,22 61:10,11 74:9,10,16 75:5,12,16,17 75:19,24 76:15 90:17 92:6,21 142:3 150:24 152:5 174:16,19 184:14 184:16,19 186:21 194:23 196:4 197:11,12,15 203:16 204:3 205:16 206:9,11,12,12 209:16,20 209:21.22 224:6 225:17 225:18 233:7,24 234:3,6 234:9,10,11,12,12,14 235:10,12 236:12,15 237:4,7 239:4,4,6 245:4 255:2,4,10 256:3,4 262:9 265:22,23 284:18 285:16 percentage 16:18 54:8 61:2 76:17 93:17,18 113:5 207:24 percentages 76:12 perception 174:2,6 **perform** 117:13 286:5 **performance** 29:19 67:10 254:15,20 performance-based 284:12 285:14,21 performed 227:23 performs 278:2 **perimeters** 19:24 20:10 237:24 **period** 9:19 10:6 12:5 17:18 25:17 37:16 50:8 65:2 75:24 97:12 102:23 103:3,8 104:8,12 105:23 108:14,16,23 109:23 113:6 133:5 149:20 200:4 202:16 225:5 256:15 261:10 268:21 275:11 periodically 103:14

periods 107:12 231:6	phonetic 26:16 40:17,18	played 50:7 244:4 248:12
permissible 170:8	210:24 239:18 284:14,15	plays 100:7 146:16 157:21
permissive 269:13	photograph 25:21	157:23
permit 81:8	physical 20:19 22:18 66:11	plea 22:22 80:12,14 121:20
permitted 64:24 65:11	91:8	152:12 173:8,10 175:12
permutations 164:23	physically 44:19	176:2,18 179:20 180:3
perpetuate 191:19	Ph.D 2:12	183:2 212:24 213:3,8,9
person 11:8 18:5 24:15	pick 147:18	215:4,6,13,23 245:5
39:21 42:15 47:15 78:22	picture 4:7 18:18 72:19	286:13,22
80:20 123:19,22 124:9,11	73:21 75:11 229:13	plead 180:3 235:21 265:10
124:12 129:24 142:2,4,8	231:19 255:7	pleads 22:16
145:20 156:22 157:2,9	piece 248:16	pleas 174:16,21
158:18 159:18 162:12,17	pieces 230:3	please 22:22 244:5
168:22 171:4,11 173:3	pin 165:3	pleased 230:18
175:20,23 180:23 181:5	PINS 136:2,5,7,11	pleasure 164:7,7 226:24
185:3 191:17 192:9	pivotal 81:22	pled 260:8
201:12 205:7 239:15	place 6:5,20 8:3 18:16	plenty 67:22
243:4,5,5 244:9,12	23:23 30:20 54:24 96:15	plot 145:22
245:21 251:5 253:7	105:15 121:19 126:10	plotted 147:13
254:10 260:14 261:6	136:24 147:13 193:11	plotting 147:4
266:15	205:7 253:19 291:4	plus 280:17
personal 276:16	placed 6:21 7:10 76:7	pocket 251:6
personnel 228:22	84:12 89:15 142:2 175:20	pockets 173:17
persons 262:9	179:22 180:15 205:22	point 4:17 15:7 17:15
person's 142:4 145:22	248:7 264:18 265:2	25:17,24 26:17 42:8 43:6
190:24	placement 33:21	43:18 46:16 48:12 49:11
perspective 47:11 68:7	places 32:7 175:23 191:19	49:14,16 62:21 66:5 67:5
77:15 119:4 264:5 276:11	218:19 229:2 230:9	71:5 75:16,17 78:4 84:6
persuade 163:7	placing 238:16	87:12 109:21 111:19,19
pertinent 34:6 121:18	plan 14:9 102:5 103:10	112:5,16,24 115:19 116:2
287:11	108:8,9 188:22 248:20,20	118:21 120:12 133:24
petit 277:23	planful 234:20 261:22	141:17 142:14 143:8
petition 136:11	270:24	149:14 152:16,19 168:18
petitions 136:7	planned 275:12	175:2,18 179:4,6 182:4
phase 89:2,7,11 199:12	planning 13:22 101:22	195:18 200:22 230:2
202:15	166:7,11,12 168:21	239:22 241:9 242:13
phases 50:17	plans 101:22 104:10 249:6	258:15 260:10 269:16
phenomenon 133:19	plant 20:19	281:19
Philip 239:14	plate 262:17	pointing 207:6
philosophical 153:2	platoon 54:24 55:2	points 21:16 26:8 100:21
philosophies 6:19	platoons 45:12	101:12 147:4 166:5
philosophy 286:4	play 30:13 60:21 90:13	police 157:3 209:2 232:22
phone 147:19 158:17	96:2 157:24 231:23	233:10
224:20,21	233:17	policies 98:21

policing 207:17 208:11,12 possibility 84:3 116:17 28:13 237:12 208:21 136:23 196:19 practitioner 13:9 policy 83:17 105:4 107:11 possible 34:20 35:7 38:14 practitioners 24:21 118:21 132:6 169:16 44:22 47:3 81:18 108:19 preceding 209:4 270:5 273:11 256:10 262:23 precise 99:14 policy-wise 118:7 possibly 48:24 52:3 119:8 precision 45:16 126:15 224:7 245:24 political 230:21 precluding 271:22 politically 212:23 278:24 257:19.20 precursor 37:5 279:3 Post 202:10 predatory 208:14 politician 278:22 postponing 129:22 **predicate** 9:2 78:16 **politics** 73:10 211:19 posts 20:11 predicates 76:24 polygraph 142:18,23 146:3 post-Baby 208:5 preeminent 226:10 286:23 146:6 159:9 236:5 237:16 post-release 189:21 202:15 **preface** 229:11 **pool** 17:22 post-sentencing 172:22 prefer 158:5 212:18 pool-wise 53:15 potential 24:7 34:21 38:20 pregnancy 81:14 196:7 49:7 169:20 171:8,14 **preliminary** 286:17,17 poorly 172:21 202:12,19 234:19 270:20 **preparation** 26:7 175:16 populated 146:15 **population** 5:11,17 13:4 271:3 278:4 245:15 246:17 15:5 37:4 39:24 40:4 potentially 19:4 20:14,15 prepare 13:8 17:12 121:4 68:11 69:3,10 82:9 90:6 37:18 38:18 48:12 49:11 244:19 245:24 247:6 90:16 92:6 93:23 151:18 49:24 60:20 171:24 prepared 35:17 103:11 153:10 169:14 207:7 power 80:14 99:11 178:15 112:12 119:24 122:5 208:23 211:22 212:5 213:3,4,4,5,8,9 214:20,22 246:7 291:10 228:5 230:6 234:13,19 prepares 13:23 25:8 252:8 253:6,9 235:10,12 236:4,13 powerful 92:5 preparing 104:11 248:13 251:16 255:12 powers 251:11 253:5 **prescribed** 9:19 10:6 260:5 262:18,21 264:12 **Pozzi** 2:16 100:10,19 105:2 presence 291:4 265:12,20 270:8 271:4 105:11 106:3,6,10,13,16 present 232:15 257:2 279:3 273:18,20 274:10 275:6,7 106:22 107:3,7,9,17,21 **presentation** 84:22 288:2 275:9 278:13 289:22 110:20,22 111:11,15 presentations 289:12 populations 68:5,21 263:9 114:4,6,9 119:14,17 presentence 23:9,15,21 270:22 271:8 289:2 125:17,20,24 126:4,18,22 24:20,24 25:10,14 26:4,8 127:2,12,17 128:15 129:7 porous 89:21 presenter 84:24 **Port** 241:17 131:10.13.17 132:4.10.17 presently 5:13 13:3 15:3 **portion** 199:11 141:3,7 143:21,24 144:4 34:22 48:14 80:18 180:13 position 99:10 134:20,22 144:12,15,22 145:3 press 172:21 181:19 137:3 159:17 162:20 146:23 148:15,18 149:12 199:12 **positioning** 144:16 145:6 149:18,23 150:13 151:4 pressuring 11:3 presumably 93:16 positions 163:12 226:13 151:22 153:13 154:8,12 positive 5:8 16:19 27:22,24 154:15,17,21 155:7,14,18 **presumptive** 74:18 167:15 30:7 65:21 72:7,11,19 155:20,24 156:4,9,12,16 pretend 190:8 82:17 97:6 157:14.23 160:16 161:5 pretrial 249:16,24 **possession** 80:17 177:18 161:11,15 236:8 **pretty** 10:22 25:13 77:19 **practical** 3:4 4:24 12:22 81:21 83:19 88:9 94:4 possibilities 51:3 150:6

154:19 155:6,7 175:11 211:23 212:2 225:8 229:16 241:3,6 259:14 **prevent** 159:21 191:24 192:2 195:13 223:7 **preventing** 175:4 276:8 **prevention** 150:2 196:7,9 previous 62:5 previously 8:16 49:2 53:18 77:6 86:14,23 pre-plea 121:4,10,12,16,17 124:18 **pre-sentence** 33:21 34:2,9 34:16 35:7,12,16 120:22 120:23 121:13 122:2 123:4,4,16 124:6 141:21 141:24 170:17 175:16,24 205:23 244:20 245:16,18 256:11,18,21,23 pre-sentencing 85:8 pre-trial 280:3 **pride** 190:15,16,17,19 246:22,24 primarily 145:12 177:3 principally 92:8 principle 68:10 printouts 14:17 prior 80:22 88:20 129:11 149:13 183:17 230:17 236:6 240:14,15 260:11 priorities 231:13 **prison** 5:10,17,20,23 8:21 9:3,7,18 12:24 13:3 19:14 22:23 23:14.18 24:2 27:2 28:2,12,23 29:4 30:22 36:16,18 37:10,16 38:12 39:24 49:4 70:19 75:8 76:22,23 78:3,12 80:11 87:16 97:3,11 98:2 108:3 135:3 148:12,14 167:9 168:2,23 169:14 170:23 171:4,5,10 173:24 176:11 176:14,15 179:22 180:17 181:6,16 183:12 184:20

186:14 187:6 188:8,21 190:7,9,9,20,21 191:4 194:23 197:16 198:6,22 199:4,9,16 201:9 203:23 209:9 211:21 212:4 217:9 218:21,21,22,23 219:8,19 219:21 221:14,18,19 227:3 229:19 230:5,6,12 230:20 232:2 237:8 239:5 240:6,7,9,22 241:7,9 244:10 246:4 247:10 248:13 250:11 251:5.15 251:19 259:9,10,13 260:6 261:7 272:4 274:16,17 275:10 289:2.9 prisoners 56:22 189:24 224:15 prisons 6:23,24 7:3,9,10,14 11:16 12:2,4,13,23 20:2 89:14 167:23 168:4 174:5 186:22,23 187:8 196:5 197:14 216:12 218:7 220:11 221:16 256:5 259:17 prison-savvy 49:5 **privacy** 189:13 private 200:3 privately 224:10 privilege 4:5 privileges 132:13 **probably** 4:17 19:9 32:3 40:10 55:12 58:18 62:9 92:22 101:2,14 113:12 115:10 119:9 120:15 132:6 148:21 151:21 153:23 154:3 166:23 174:18 195:24 208:14 220:6 221:13 239:2 243:8 260:6 261:2 263:5 **probation** 34:23 35:22 78:17 85:14 100:7,8,23 101:20 105:9,10 106:15 106:16,19 109:7,13,18 110:6,17 112:8,11 113:16

119:18,20 120:5,7 121:3 121:24 122:8,18 123:6,11 123:16 124:4,5,9,13,24 125:5 127:23,24 128:20 128:21 129:10 131:7.16 132:7,21 133:10,24 134:3 134:6,9,14,15,20 136:3 137:2,17 138:20 140:5 141:14 142:2 143:6 144:7 146:9 147:8 150:19 151:3 151:5,5,18,20 152:3,6,9 152:13,18 153:3,6 155:11 156:18,24 158:2,9,10,11 158:12,16 159:3 161:2 162:3.4.15.17 163:2.18 164:18 166:13 169:5,6,9 170:8,15,17,21 172:16 173:24 175:21,24 176:5,8 176:12,18,21,22,24,24 177:7,11,15,20,21 178:7 178:10,12,13,16 179:4,8 179:9,12,13,23,24,24 180:2,4,15,18,19,24 181:10 193:13 194:4,8,9 195:2 198:12 204:17,20 204:20,22,23 205:2,5,8 205:12,13,17,18,20,22 206:6,6,9,13,13,14,21 214:12 221:22 223:8 226:11,15 227:12,16,22 227:22 228:2,9,16 229:5 229:10 231:22 233:18,21 233:22 234:4,6,13 237:2 237:5.18 244:16.23 245:12,23 247:21 248:7 248:14 252:8,17,21 253:5 254:7,15,16,21 255:11,20 255:23,24 256:4,5,8,15 256:22 257:9,16,21 258:19 259:23 260:10,12 260:15 261:7,13,20 262:8 262:10 264:5,15,19,22 265:2,9,14,20 267:6 268:4,12 269:7,8,8,11,16

		1 11/24 22
270:8,19 273:9 280:2,6,8	13:22 16:16 19:21 24:3,4	project 98:24 250:11
280:9,22 281:10,10,15	24:6,18 28:12,14,16 30:8	prominently 244:4
288:22 289:11	31:9 38:16 40:12 42:16	promise 41:20
probationary 35:18 107:16	44:16,20 46:14,17 48:8,9	promoted 198:4
probationer 26:7 110:16	49:6,12 50:2,4,10 52:7	promotion 175:7
130:19 153:3 265:23	53:3,20 54:3,5,14,22	pronounced 9:13 13:13
275:6	55:15 56:19,20 58:19	propensities 17:2
probationers 234:10,14	60:9 62:14,20 63:13	propensity 149:22
248:3,6	64:23 65:18,22 66:12,19	properly 134:10,10 139:22
Probation's 129:24 256:12	67:12,16 69:4 70:10	property 22:11
problem 7:22,24 117:3,3,5	72:10 73:15 77:2,5,22	proponent 253:12
121:21 147:15 148:3	79:14 84:2,7,11,14 88:12	proportion 76:15 192:19
186:22 205:14 214:9	88:13,15,22 89:2,6 90:19	proportionately 113:4
218:20 220:3 223:17	94:23 95:2,16,22 96:10	proposal 41:2,10 288:23
232:10,11 236:2 243:4	99:17 102:11 129:14	proposals 290:9
269:12 289:10	139:6,12 150:15 158:8	propose 3:13
problems 34:6 35:22 81:12	159:7 184:23 186:4 200:2	proposed 3:13 41:12
83:18,19,21 121:23	216:12,18 219:20 221:18	prosecute 48:20
138:21 148:6 154:24	225:19 241:12 243:24	prosecution 177:4
263:19 277:13	249:4 250:16,17 261:12	prosecutor 128:9 185:11
procedure 9:11 64:3	280:14	186:2 245:6 257:4 259:12
procedures 115:22,22	programming 100:14	prosecutorial 80:9,21
proceed 220:24	163:3 197:5	83:10
proceedings 11:11 91:5	programs 6:2 13:11,18	prosecutors 275:24
process 7:11,12 21:19 22:3	14:2 18:16 23:17 24:13	protect 109:6 197:3 236:24
26:11,12 27:14 63:4 79:9	28:4 31:16 36:18 37:9	240:9
103:20 121:5 133:13	40:9 64:22 73:8,17 74:2	protected 271:2
172:21 173:22 184:2	77:19 88:5 90:12 92:9,10	protection 38:3 175:11
186:11 192:24 220:23	93:2 98:17 101:6,9,18	protective 38:3
226:8 253:21 254:12 273:22 276:21	102:14,15 104:20 112:7 112:17,20 113:2 135:6	proteges 164:10 proud 40:11 48:9 165:24
processed 276:2	139:17 145:5 146:6	166:2 232:16 236:9
processes 36:17	148:24 150:8 161:7,12	prove 179:23 277:8,20
produce 51:14	196:9 217:5,8 220:8	provide 12:14 16:13 17:5
product 92:8	*	1 ♣
productive 175:8 185:21	1 224.9 225.7 8 9 228.7	1 36·5 64·7 69·5 71·7 137·3
	224:9 225:7,8,9 228:7 246:15 248:9 20 249:15	36:5 64:7 69:5 71:2 137:3 158:3 23 160:14 166:20
1 -	246:15 248:9,20 249:15	158:3,23 160:14 166:20
190:4 202:22	246:15 248:9,20 249:15 249:16,24 250:2,4,5,5,7,8	158:3,23 160:14 166:20 172:13 176:5 231:8
190:4 202:22 professional 226:21 276:9	246:15 248:9,20 249:15 249:16,24 250:2,4,5,5,7,8 250:10,14,19,23 258:21	158:3,23 160:14 166:20 172:13 176:5 231:8 283:21 284:5,6 289:4
190:4 202:22 professional 226:21 276:9 professionals 220:5	246:15 248:9,20 249:15 249:16,24 250:2,4,5,5,7,8 250:10,14,19,23 258:21 263:11,12,12,17 264:3	158:3,23 160:14 166:20 172:13 176:5 231:8 283:21 284:5,6 289:4 provided 193:10 249:13
190:4 202:22 professional 226:21 276:9	246:15 248:9,20 249:15 249:16,24 250:2,4,5,5,7,8 250:10,14,19,23 258:21	158:3,23 160:14 166:20 172:13 176:5 231:8 283:21 284:5,6 289:4
190:4 202:22 professional 226:21 276:9 professionals 220:5 professions 220:4	246:15 248:9,20 249:15 249:16,24 250:2,4,5,5,7,8 250:10,14,19,23 258:21 263:11,12,12,17 264:3 279:24 280:3,7,8,11,12	158:3,23 160:14 166:20 172:13 176:5 231:8 283:21 284:5,6 289:4 provided 193:10 249:13 255:6,14 285:14 291:12
190:4 202:22 professional 226:21 276:9 professionals 220:5 professions 220:4 professor 112:5	246:15 248:9,20 249:15 249:16,24 250:2,4,5,5,7,8 250:10,14,19,23 258:21 263:11,12,12,17 264:3 279:24 280:3,7,8,11,12 281:21 282:7 283:20	158:3,23 160:14 166:20 172:13 176:5 231:8 283:21 284:5,6 289:4 provided 193:10 249:13 255:6,14 285:14 291:12 provider 155:3
190:4 202:22 professional 226:21 276:9 professionals 220:5 professions 220:4 professor 112:5 profound 4:9	246:15 248:9,20 249:15 249:16,24 250:2,4,5,5,7,8 250:10,14,19,23 258:21 263:11,12,12,17 264:3 279:24 280:3,7,8,11,12 281:21 282:7 283:20 284:17 285:6,7,24 288:22	158:3,23 160:14 166:20 172:13 176:5 231:8 283:21 284:5,6 289:4 provided 193:10 249:13 255:6,14 285:14 291:12 provider 155:3 providers 158:22

providing 35:18 254:19 288:14 **p.m** 1:11 284:24 **P.P.C.A** 156:16 raised 38:8 78:17 **provision** 180:22 236:19 **P.S.I** 245:7 272:24 raises 218:2 provisions 7:15 ramp 233:8 0 provocative 165:10 ramped 229:20 **Ouaker** 224:13 proximity 19:6 random 285:16 **Quakers** 224:10,17 prude 186:19 range 38:20 208:3 215:8 qualifies 35:11 psychological 61:8 215:10 qualify 87:21 psychosexual 141:22 rap 23:5 quality 34:15 35:15 36:5 **public** 9:14 14:10,11 rape 212:16 213:16,20 122:2 130:18 165:20 166:17,20 168:18 169:10 214:5 266:14 169:16 171:12,16,17,19 rapes 239:15 quantities 83:4 172:13,20 173:21 175:10 rapidly 63:8 quarter 255:15 284:7,8 175:23 176:19,19 181:18 rapist 212:14 quarterly 283:24 184:10 185:6 188:3 197:3 rapists 212:13 quest 254:4 199:12 202:22 205:12 rare 270:11 question 28:18 33:15 34:5 231:12 235:22 236:7 rarely 180:2 34:11,14 35:17 36:14 239:24 240:9 271:2 285:3 ratcheting 213:13 50:21 58:6 59:15 63:19 **public's** 175:4 257:23 rate 47:5 56:22 58:7,14,20 73:6 77:8 83:16,16 85:5 pull 25:11 113:4 150:14,24 153:7 94:18 97:13 109:22 111:3 **pulse** 159:16 157:22,22 184:13 204:8 128:7 149:11 153:15 pump 230:19 209:12,21,22 159:23 160:2 169:16 **punish** 191:17 rates 5:19 32:2 47:8 58:12 203:7 208:12 209:15 punishment 192:11 61:7 258:14 277:9 210:9 216:5,5,13 218:2 reach 49:9 91:22 260:14 purchase 171:16,17,17,19 236:22 238:23 242:12,15 285:11 290:13 212:7 246:6 276:20 purpose 65:5 90:20 196:24 reached 5:11 43:3 74:23 questioned 142:22 **purposes** 65:3 175:3 86:18 241:16 questions 3:5 55:18 70:7 196:18 reaching 208:7 85:24 102:4 203:4 275:16 **pursuant** 199:16 react 158:16 **auick** 40:14 55:18 111:3 **pursue** 257:13 reaction 131:18 133:23 quicker 122:17 127:20 pursued 241:11,13 read 13:19 21:3 24:23 128:9 269:16 **pushing** 115:13 165:15 76:10,11 120:10 163:20 quickly 48:11 54:19 63:7 **put** 10:4 12:6 13:24 14:8 190:23 204:12 210:22.23 127:19 210:3 256:9 42:5 68:6 70:13 76:5 231:13 238:14 255:15 quilt 167:18 272:13 77:15 85:14 89:22 95:13 **readers** 262:17 quite 137:14 167:21 176:20 96:9 99:15 100:3.20 reading 46:5 197:23 205:23 224:17 102:5 103:20 107:4 113:2 ready 9:23 17:14 69:13 275:6 119:18 139:18,20 156:17 159:18 243:17 **quote** 62:8 157:10 201:13 216:23 real 21:8 97:13 127:17 222:13 223:23 225:2 159:16 234:19 239:22 R 253:19 243:3 244:11 245:9 **radical** 278:21 puts 49:3 123:17 246:17 278:22 raise 7:8 43:6 245:14 **putting** 231:21 realities 230:22

reality 4:24 169:11 178:9	248:11 271:22 289:23	130:6 177:20 178:5
178:10 193:7 223:19	recapture 46:10	183:17 185:15 199:3
229:13	receive 34:16 90:20 177:19	205:10 236:8 291:10
realize 16:6 42:2	199:22	records 25:8 37:21 64:19
really 3:9,14 18:17 36:14	received 176:12 180:23	205:19 235:17
39:4,6 40:22 46:9 50:15	receives 15:10,12	recovery 220:23,23
52:11 54:16 63:23 81:4	receiving 34:10 204:5	recruiting 220:4
83:12,18 98:15 101:5	reception 17:9,11,17 18:2	redefinition 230:4
102:21 103:18,23 104:2	18:6,22 21:19 23:6 25:6	redirect 139:6
104:15,19 105:19 106:7	26:11,12 30:19 43:9	reduce 169:20 209:7 212:6
108:12,17,20,21 109:15	49:13 53:24 54:20 79:9	248:12 249:8 258:13
110:5 112:10 113:7,20	recidivate 266:5	289:2,21
114:13 115:18,19 116:17	recidivating 269:22	reduced 5:11,19 68:6
117:6,22 118:20 120:7,11	recidivism 47:5,7 50:9	171:13 212:9 233:22
121:15,24 122:9,9 124:22	56:22 57:12 58:7,11,14	234:4 248:21 268:18
125:9 131:5 132:23	58:20 71:19 72:9 82:2,8	reducing 73:14 254:21,22
133:15 134:4,16,17	150:14,24 151:14 153:7	reduction 53:14 76:2 87:8
136:15,20 137:14 138:22	157:22 204:8 237:17	87:14 195:20 196:11
139:10 140:7,15,20	254:14,15,17,20,20,23	207:6
141:13 142:9 145:24	255:12 285:2,15	reemphasize 159:7
146:11 147:4,13,14	recognitions 45:24	reentry 101:6,18 119:4,10
148:19 157:24 159:10	recognizance 249:22	163:24 166:7 175:8 187:2
160:24 162:23 163:20	recognize 161:9 198:22	187:4,21 190:5 195:4
172:14 187:21 193:6	238:9 239:10	199:12 216:8 226:11
203:6 216:5,7 219:15,18	recognized 100:12	227:14 273:20 283:17
225:7,21 230:6 232:23,24	recommend 34:8 45:6	287:21 288:2
241:10 242:11 247:6,9	104:7 124:3,16 126:14	refer 79:22
250:6 263:12 268:19	139:21 142:5	referral 229:6,7 280:22
271:6 272:2 273:5,9	recommendation 102:21	referred 8:6
276:6,21 278:21 281:13	103:17 107:11 109:20	reflect 247:16
281:19 284:5 286:2,12,16	116:10 117:8 121:2	reflects 248:3
286:18,22,24 287:20	166:24 257:3 268:11	reform 1:3 2:1 3:1 4:1 5:1
288:7,15 289:19 290:3	recommendations 102:8	6:1 7:1,15 8:1 9:1 10:1
real-time 144:17	105:5 121:6 136:13	11:1 12:1 13:1 14:1 15:1
rearrested 114:20 150:18	245:12 259:21 272:23	16:1 17:1 18:1 19:1 20:1
206:2	289:16	21:1 22:1 23:1 24:1 25:1
reason 32:10 179:2 232:13	recommended 27:16	26:1 27:1 28:1 29:1 30:1
235:11 245:15 248:18	117:14 135:12 207:3	31:1 32:1 33:1 34:1 35:1
264:19 281:7	267:17	36:1 37:1 38:1 39:1 40:1
reasonable 159:11 175:21	recommending 133:8	41:1 42:1 43:1 44:1 45:1
reasoning 34:4	141:15 211:11	46:1 47:1 48:1 49:1 50:1
reasons 48:19 61:9,9 68:7	reconsider 266:10 267:15	51:1 52:1 53:1 54:1 55:1
152:9 172:7 179:12	record 4:2 23:8 27:13,20	56:1 57:1 58:1 59:1 60:1
207:15 230:22 232:21	27:22 29:16,22 30:5,13	61:1 62:1 63:1 64:1 65:1

		<u> </u>
66:1 67:1 68:1 69:1 70:1	248:1 249:1 250:1 251:1	relationship 186:14 195:3
71:1 72:1 73:1 74:1 75:1	252:1 253:1 254:1 255:1	195:20
76:1 77:1 78:1 79:1 80:1	256:1 257:1 258:1 259:1	relationships 281:3 282:13
81:1 82:1 83:1 84:1 85:1	260:1 261:1 262:1 263:1	relatively 118:20
86:1 87:1 88:1 89:1 90:1	264:1 265:1 266:1 267:1	release 6:2 8:8,10 18:12,15
91:1 92:1 93:1 94:1 95:1	268:1 269:1 270:1 271:1	18:20 19:6,8 20:13 24:9
96:1 97:1 98:1 99:1,17	272:1 273:1 274:1 275:1	26:20 27:11 37:17 40:9
100:1 101:1 102:1 103:1	276:1 277:1 278:1 279:1	43:11,24 49:10,15 55:9
104:1 105:1 106:1 107:1	280:1 281:1 282:1 283:1	55:15 60:17 64:23,23,24
108:1 109:1 110:1 111:1	284:1 285:1 286:1 287:1	65:17,20 66:7,13 67:12
112:1 113:1 114:1 115:1	288:1 289:1 290:1 291:1	67:15,21,23 68:5,12,19
116:1 117:1 118:1 119:1	reformed 94:15	68:22 70:12,16,20 71:20
120:1 121:1 122:1 123:1	refuse 24:5	72:10,20 73:9,12 74:11
124:1 125:1 126:1 127:1	refused 31:8	74:13,18,19,20 75:8
128:1 129:1 130:1 131:1	regard 157:19 174:24	86:14,18,24 88:16,20
132:1 133:1 134:1 135:1	regarding 96:7	89:7,24 90:3 92:24 103:4
136:1 137:1 138:1 139:1	regardless 16:11 272:6	103:10,11,13,20 104:4,13
140:1 141:1 142:1 143:1	286:5	104:18 105:6 108:9 111:5
144:1 145:1 146:1 147:1	regards 31:3	111:21,22 112:13,18
148:1 149:1 150:1 151:1	regimen 95:17 159:9	113:4,17 114:23 162:8,16
152:1 153:1 154:1 155:1	regional 117:10	167:19,20 168:23 172:23
156:1 157:1 158:1 159:1	regions 32:23	182:17 184:22 185:14,14
160:1 161:1 162:1 163:1	registry 235:14	187:7,18 188:20 190:3
164:1 165:1 166:1 167:1	regs 11:15	191:10 193:2,7,9 200:6
168:1 169:1 170:1 171:1	regular 56:2 79:19 82:21	200:23 203:19 209:21,22
172:1 173:1 174:1 175:1	229:3	225:12,19 249:21,21
176:1 177:1 178:1 179:1	regularity 11:19	271:23 274:12,13
180:1 181:1 182:1 183:1	regularly 241:6	released 5:24 12:12 18:5
184:1 185:1 186:1 187:1	regulation 79:24 80:2	21:22 39:3 42:17 55:7
188:1 189:1 190:1 191:1	233:21 248:14	70:11 72:9 74:14,17 86:6
192:1 193:1 194:1 195:1	regulations 64:7	86:12,21 87:23 88:2
196:1 197:1 198:1 199:1	rehabilitation 175:7 242:9	89:11 91:6 101:24 102:20
200:1 201:1 202:1 203:1	242:10	102:22 104:9 139:22
204:1 205:1 206:1 207:1	reimburse 206:8,11	153:18,21 162:13 164:24
208:1 209:1 210:1 211:1	reimbursed 116:20 206:10	186:16 188:18 191:9,21
212:1 213:1 214:1 215:1	reimbursement 233:24	195:15 199:14 203:16,17
216:1 217:1 218:1 219:1	reintegration 84:9 175:9	209:18
220:1 221:1 222:1 223:1	185:21 186:13	releases 12:15 28:9 74:19
224:1 225:1 226:1 227:1	reinvest 232:4	105:21 111:9 113:2,21
228:1 229:1 230:1 231:1	reinvested 202:21 289:5	204:3
232:1 233:1 234:1 235:1	reiterate 26:16	releasing 209:16
236:1 237:1 238:1 239:1	rejected 6:24	relevant 36:15
240:1 241:1 242:1 243:1	relapsed 150:2	reliance 203:19 248:21
244:1 245:1 246:1 247:1	related 160:13 262:5	relief 114:13 249:13

relieve 123:11 121:4 122:4,19 123:12 205:4 210:2 218:17 relieving 20:8 141:23 188:9 222:7 262:7 232:19 251:16 253:14 reluctance 55:11 representatives 70:17 255:19 271:11 272:19 **reluctant** 112:15 227:16 267:4 respectfully 216:10 Republic 90:24 rely 172:8 245:10 259:19 respects 3:12 270:18 289:8 request 105:20 128:11,12 **respite** 253:20 remain 27:10 49:24 67:13 128:24 129:4 269:8 respond 94:17 97:23 110:9 187:16 190:2,3 requesting 14:12 112:19 105:20 240:8 232:6 **responding** 232:24 284:22 remained 37:10 require 35:15 80:9 91:19 response 171:22 172:5 252:24 258:2 remaining 181:11 266:17 91:22 101:16 132:13 152:20 197:2 responsibilities 4:10 16:9 280:11 remains 93:18 required 10:12 79:2 80:18 98:16 remarkable 4:23 75:23 87:15 122:5 175:10 230:5 responsibility 18:21 78:22 241:3 248:16.19 requirements 110:8 102:17 186:5 191:15.20 remember 8:7 10:22 66:15 174:24 175:18,19 193:3,12 196:21 107:21 111:4,6 requires 16:14 179:21 responsible 15:9 191:16,17 remembers 8:2 185:20,22 194:14 218:16 192:4 229:21 233:19 removed 211:8 254:10 219:23,24 249:21 removing 118:23 **requiring** 38:9 96:8 responsibly 3:13 Rendell 224:19,19,21 requisite 37:21 200:24 responsivity 252:9,17 render 185:11 research 13:23,24 51:2 rest 31:13,13 94:3 139:3 rent 200:17 98:13,24 99:2 237:13 198:19 repeat 48:14,15 77:3 78:20 262:12 264:9 266:3 273:6 restitution 178:24 179:3,5 118:22 229:15 273:8,14 288:3 290:5 179:9 264:18,20 265:3 repeatedly 100:10 researcher 195:6 272:23 repercussions 259:22 reserving 276:17 restore 27:23 31:5 residence 137:22,24 report 14:4 23:9,15,21 restricted 66:6 147:2 24:20,24 25:4,10,15 26:4 residences 65:20 restriction 49:2 66:14,20 26:8 29:18 33:21 34:9,11 residential 89:8 285:7 68:17 34:16 35:7,12,16 36:2 residents 159:14 **restrictions** 67:8 70:3 59:10 97:24 119:23 120:4 resistant 111:8 restructured 107:5 restructuring 274:17 120:8,10,11,15 121:13,13 resolution 276:15 122:16.16 123:23 124:23 **resort** 243:8 result 6:4.13 9:8 12:18.22 137:8 193:22 211:3 resource 229:9 267:3 40:9 46:14 86:4,7 174:16 245:13,16,19,24 246:7 resources 34:24 35:5,19,24 174:21 176:2 194:22 247:15 256:11 286:17 78:19 101:16 105:18 207:24 212:2 273:24 reported 142:15 143:4 106:20 121:23 132:8 290:10 153:5,8 161:7 231:2,7,8 results 179:14 265:8 reporter 182:6 291:14 Reporters 291:11 232:2,2,3 233:10 237:18 resuming 37:15 **reporting** 97:5 114:23 242:24 265:11 266:8 resurrected 136:15 229:3 284:2 267:22 281:13,15,17 retroactive 87:5 reports 13:24 14:8 34:2,3 respect 86:19 98:6 172:12 return 46:20 57:5,8,10 74:12,13 185:18 186:2,3 35:9 51:6,8 112:12 121:2 177:10 181:15 204:22

	-	1 age 33
272:15	127:15 128:17 130:2,8	263:2
returned 18:3 41:17 79:12	131:23,24 135:21 137:6	Rockland 117:17
82:13	138:18 140:24 146:2	Roger 163:5,5
returning 57:9 275:9	147:14 150:10,14,20,21	role 100:7 101:20 204:24
returns 74:11 194:23	151:6,13,21 153:16 155:8	267:9
reunify 138:16 139:5	156:5,11,12,23 158:4,9	roll 222:12
revenues 231:2,3	158:17,18,19 159:5 161:5	room 1:12 137:12 159:4
review 18:9 87:5 116:16	161:14 168:3 171:3	183:4 246:21
133:13 285:18	177:24 180:7 185:6	rooms 19:17
reviewed 37:22 76:20	192:17 194:16 196:24	Rosa 2:16 154:16,19,23
133:6 249:6	201:11 203:8,22 205:13	155:19,21 156:2
revocation 117:10	206:2,5,7 207:14 211:19	route 43:8
revoke 79:15 178:7,10,11	211:24 212:3,4,20 215:24	row 76:8
178:22 179:8,9,11	216:2,21 219:8,13 220:12	rubber 175:11
revoked 128:23 179:14	220:24 221:11,13 222:16	rubric 70:12
180:2,19,19 181:10	222:21,22,23 223:18,20	rule 42:8,15 78:16 124:17
revolving 83:7	239:24 256:11 259:2	194:14 257:15 266:11,12
reward 192:4,20	264:17 266:11 277:2	rules 43:8 64:6 194:10,11
re-arrest 157:22 254:24	281:12,16	194:22,24 195:20 199:16
re-arrested 151:11	rightfully 141:12	202:16 220:18 221:6
re-impose 75:14	rights 38:10 254:13 257:16	rulings 9:21
re-incarceration 85:11	rigid 64:9	run 139:17 158:11,11
196:2	Rikers 207:7,10 280:16,17	224:10
re-offend 262:2 278:10,11	ringing 47:9	running 10:15 55:3 77:20
re-offending 151:12	rings 224:20	100:5 117:12,19 124:8
re-paroled 176:15	rising 167:22	235:3
re-released 60:20	risk 138:14 153:23 217:23	runs 160:24 280:14
re-sentence 183:19	240:12,12,13 246:14	R.F.P 284:16,21
re-sentenced 86:10,16,21	247:13 264:6 265:18,22	S
87:3,19	267:14 271:6	s 53:2 99:16,17,19
re-sentencing 86:5 90:12	risky 153:10	safe 5:6 15:9 16:13 17:5
182:21	risk-taking 262:14	45:3,5 174:13 185:6
rgtm/tek/paj 291:16	rivals 8:15	safely 39:3
Rich 26:16	road 70:22 102:3 143:9,9	safest 5:2,3 63:14
rid 138:19	147:20	safety 5:19 166:17,20
right 6:13,17 18:13 20:22	robbed 235:5	169:11 171:12,16,17,19
35:22 37:19 44:2,4 49:12	robberies 241:5	172:13 175:4,23 176:19
56:4 60:19 68:17 70:9	robbery 22:7,9,19	198:14 202:22 205:12
72:4 75:3 82:3 89:16 95:9	robe 252:9	237:11 239:8 262:11
95:10 101:9 103:12	Robert 2:15	salaries 220:11
105:14 109:23 114:6	Rocco 2:16 100:10,11,16	sale 8:22
116:17 117:5 118:18	143:17 160:23	Salo 2:17 84:16
119:19 120:12 122:3	Rockefeller 53:9 86:4 88:18 99:17 170:4 214:21	sample 285:16
123:3 125:20 126:9	00.10 99.1/ 1/0.4 214.21	200.10

		1 age 33
sanction 253:15	schools 138:18 146:21	seek 38:2 129:17 215:22
sanctioned 79:21	147:10	270:9 277:6
sanctions 132:8,15 133:2	Schwarzenegger 232:6	seen 5:22 80:24 248:23
133:12 134:21 205:5	science 43:4 240:10	254:17
253:6 276:8,19	scope 288:15	select 42:16
SASTO 284:15	score 46:5,6 64:10,11	selected 54:5
sat 112:3 245:6	scratch 205:19	selective 72:6 231:3
satisfaction 235:21	screen 14:20 25:12 39:23	selectively 231:5 242:14
satisfied 34:10	54:2,24	self-medicate 198:20
Saturday 235:2	screeners 280:23	self-selection 72:19
save 248:2 258:23 289:22	screening 61:22 62:10 63:4	sell 8:23 85:13 278:22
saved 249:11	63:4	279:19
savings 46:13 117:24 171:8	screens 14:17 73:20 249:19	selling 83:3
171:14 202:12,19 274:21	se 118:8	seminal 246:9
289:3,4,18 290:10	sealed 235:17	senate 123:10
saw 41:18 209:17 213:15	search 201:6,16 204:6	Senator 2:8 73:19 214:6
saying 9:21 34:7 41:17	second 9:2 12:16 23:5	send 41:14 96:14 219:6
111:6 150:12 155:3	76:20,22 128:13 168:19	222:24 268:17 277:11
160:12 173:11 219:18	178:6 181:3,12 216:4,17	sending 272:21 276:14
221:10 229:12 244:6	248:8 260:8,13 284:10	sends 127:22 255:16
245:24 266:20	286:10	senior 156:2
says 9:12 22:8,17 23:3 28:6	secondly 56:7 177:10	sense 70:23 81:5 97:18
28:11 40:19,21 42:9	230:23	108:11 183:11 184:2,7
48:15 49:13 61:20 64:10	second-felony 78:2,4 81:17	214:13
79:4,7 85:9 91:16 173:13	215:18,22 229:22 251:4	sensible 83:16
175:20 177:16 189:11	secretary 41:14 203:13	sensitive 34:21
222:9 224:22 237:14	sector 200:3	sent 41:2,10 80:10 241:7
243:23 264:7 266:3	secure 19:23 20:10,17	267:3 268:2 283:16
Scandinavia 277:9	security 19:2 20:15 23:16	285:17
scarlet 172:7	33:11 55:13 158:23	sentence 9:4,12,15,17
scattered 15:16	see 6:13 11:20 15:15 22:20	13:13 15:11,13 18:8,20
scenario 55:16	23:7 25:24 39:23 41:5	19:9 23:4 26:14,15,22,23
schedule 17:18 98:11	45:10 51:3 54:3 60:6	27:18 28:10 33:7 42:7,9
101:11 286:14	63:16 64:18 68:22 72:20	42:19 49:19 53:14,19
scheduled 130:12 158:14	76:8 82:11 106:2 119:11	55:6 56:6 60:10,14 62:5
schedules 257:6	129:23 130:6 144:18	74:24 78:5,24 79:6,16,18
scheme 42:13	151:7 164:8 173:6 184:4	79:23 80:20 81:18 85:10
Schneiderman 2:8 63:20	194:15,15 201:23,24	87:8,21 91:20 102:2
63:23 71:14,18,24 159:24	218:12 224:3 249:2 254:8	110:15 115:20 118:10
160:5 214:6 279:4 282:11	254:23 255:23 256:6	122:14 132:23 135:3
282:16,23 288:13	263:6 268:3,4	152:6,18 168:14 169:23
school 138:17,21,24 139:2	seeing 6:4 22:2 34:4 53:14	170:7,8 173:3,9 174:3,9
139:5 146:19 191:2	92:21 266:15 268:16	174:24 175:15 177:2,2,2
277:10	288:10	179:14,18,21 180:9,20

		1 age 33
181:3,10,11,12,23,24	107:1 108:1 109:1 110:1	250:1 251:1 252:1 253:1
182:13,22,23 183:7,16	111:1 112:1 113:1 114:1	254:1 255:1 256:1,8
185:23,24 186:8 192:19	115:1 116:1 117:1 118:1	257:1,2,11 258:1,4 259:1
195:16 196:23 197:3,3	118:8 119:1 120:1 121:1	260:1 261:1 262:1 263:1
199:5,8,11,19 201:13	121:19 122:1 123:1 124:1	264:1 265:1,16 266:1
211:17 214:5 238:12	125:1 126:1 127:1 128:1	267:1 268:1 269:1 270:1
271:18,20 272:6 274:17	129:1 130:1 131:1 132:1	270:16 271:1,14 272:1,14
274:17 278:16	133:1 134:1,3,13 135:1	273:1 274:1 275:1 276:1
sentenced 17:10 78:23	136:1 137:1 138:1 139:1	277:1 278:1 279:1 280:1
80:15 105:13 152:5,6	139:14 140:1,14 141:1,24	281:1 282:1 283:1 284:1
164:23 176:13 180:17	142:1,11 143:1 144:1	285:1 286:1 287:1 288:1
181:8 237:8 256:15	145:1 146:1 147:1 148:1	289:1 290:1 291:1
257:21 264:15	149:1,8 150:1 151:1	sentiment 35:4
sentences 18:15 26:19 32:9	152:1,4 153:1 154:1	separate 35:2 52:11 56:14
43:12,13 53:10,17 65:10	155:1 156:1 157:1 158:1	56:19 97:4 118:5 280:24
81:3,14 108:4 109:7	159:1 160:1 161:1 162:1	September 37:6 267:8
121:5,6 135:8 140:17	163:1 164:1 165:1,4,8	series 251:21 252:3
174:15,20 175:6,12,13	166:1,9,9,22 167:1,2,3,10	serious 7:22,24 16:22
180:5 181:20 211:22	167:15,18 168:1,12,16	50:10 66:11 130:5 153:20
255:17,20,24 271:7,9	169:1,13,18 170:1,16	178:21 189:23 190:4
sentence-light 34:3	171:1 172:1,21 173:1	193:5 253:11 255:11
sentencing 1:3 2:1 3:1,6,10	174:1 175:1 176:1 177:1	259:14,22 277:23 278:7
4:1,19 5:1 6:1,12 7:1 8:1	178:1 179:1 180:1 181:1	seriously 98:22 112:14
9:1 10:1 11:1,17 12:1	182:1 183:1,17 184:1	178:19 282:3
13:1 14:1 15:1 16:1 17:1	185:1,2,9 186:1 187:1	seriousness 25:20 182:18
18:1,9 19:1 20:1 21:1	188:1 189:1 190:1 191:1	182:19 183:10
22:1 23:1 24:1,23 25:1	192:1 193:1 194:1 195:1	servant 9:14
26:1 27:1 28:1 29:1 30:1	196:1,22 197:1,10,22	serve 55:22 61:11,12 87:15
31:1 32:1 33:1 34:1 35:1	198:1,2 199:1 200:1	87:16 91:14,19 118:11
36:1 37:1 38:1 39:1 40:1	201:1 202:1 203:1 204:1	163:8 209:8 271:15
41:1 42:1,13 43:1 44:1	204:10 205:1 206:1 207:1	served 86:22 128:23,24
45:1 46:1 47:1 48:1 49:1	208:1 209:1 210:1,15	163:13 185:13 199:8
50:1 51:1 52:1 53:1 54:1	211:1,5,5,7,7,15,18 212:1	211:24 212:11,13 213:14
55:1 56:1 57:1 58:1 59:1	212:9 213:1,8 214:1	226:14,16 275:10
60:1 61:1 62:1 63:1 64:1	215:1,8,17 216:1 217:1	serves 31:13 102:19 163:16
65:1 66:1 67:1 68:1 69:1	218:1 219:1 220:1 221:1	171:11 212:7 269:24
70:1 71:1 72:1 73:1 74:1	222:1 223:1 224:1 225:1	service 147:19 201:11,12
75:1 76:1 77:1 78:1 79:1	226:1 227:1,14 228:1	202:6 230:16 249:5,16
80:1 81:1 82:1 83:1 84:1	229:1 230:1 231:1 232:1	250:2,3 278:2 280:3,7
85:1 86:1 87:1 88:1 89:1	233:1 234:1 235:1 236:1	services 1:2 4:8 10:5 13:16
90:1 91:1 92:1 93:1 94:1	237:1,22 238:1,15 239:1	14:21,24 15:24 70:24
95:1 96:1 97:1 98:1 99:1	240:1,3 241:1 242:1	155:5 246:15
100:1,6 101:1 102:1	243:1 244:1,16 245:1,2	serving 34:12 65:10 75:12
103:1 104:1 105:1 106:1	246:1 247:1 248:1 249:1	79:18 212:14

	T	1 age 33.
session 252:15 254:8	share 166:4 170:13 206:3	significance 157:21
287:16 288:8 290:2	289:24	significant 5:17 8:7,20 9:6
set 52:3 96:7 157:9 182:24	sharp 208:4	16:18 40:11 42:18 76:2,2
183:6 191:3	Sharpe 243:10	83:3 87:13 90:15,22 98:6
sets 176:21	sheet 23:5 69:10	131:8 157:17,24
setting 21:13 191:7 268:13	shelter 188:3,11 274:3	significantly 13:4,5 24:7
settlement 89:22	sheriff's 233:11	68:6 90:2 158:2 289:22
seven 68:23 69:15,17,19	shift 170:9 180:8 219:11	signs 43:24 44:7
71:12 74:22 76:5 79:4	240:6 265:12	similar 95:16 153:16 169:5
231:15	shifting 169:24 259:16	176:7 252:19 254:3
seventeen 11:7 66:5 67:4,6	shock 12:10 14:3 40:12,21	Similarly 24:14 280:6
135:20 136:4 138:10	41:22 42:14 43:14,22	simple 34:15 135:16
206:12 233:4,7	44:6,16 45:7 46:23 47:20	simply 167:21 179:11,11
seventeen-year-old 138:3	48:6,13,15,17 49:12,21	187:4 194:24 195:12
seventh 26:23	50:2,4,9 52:3,7,9,11,14	258:18
seventy 61:10,11 152:4	52:17,21,24 53:3,5,13,16	simultaneously 5:20
168:7 230:7 279:24	53:20 54:9,14,14,22,23	166:18
seventy-five 208:13 230:13	56:8 58:8,11,17,18,18	sincerely 62:10
268:8	59:20 60:3,4,19 61:3,18	Sing 117:17,17
seventy-one 5:12 40:6	62:17 76:24 77:2,5 90:4	single 23:10 33:20
74:16	90:10 95:14,20 167:16	siphoned 90:8 91:9
seventy-two 69:15,18	172:23 173:4	sister 188:24 189:3,11,16
71:13 253:22	shocked 45:13	sit 252:15 287:3
seven-hundred-and-fifty	shocking 143:5	site 158:9 267:3
53:4	shoot 179:7	sitting 265:9 266:19
seven-hundred-fifty-bed	shootings 8:3	situation 11:15 13:2 55:14
12:4	shop 243:21	181:5 182:5 258:24
severity 211:9	shoplifting 263:24	284:22
sex 24:4 31:9 36:16,18 37:9	shopping 173:7	six 5:12 15:4 19:7 27:18
38:7 43:15 67:10 109:4,7	short 83:5 164:15	40:7 42:18 47:14 50:4,15
109:13,18 138:7 140:2	shorten 108:4	54:19,21 60:12,18 68:23
141:18,19 142:11,15	shorter 53:9,17 82:19	69:8 82:5,21 86:11 87:12
144:16 145:12 146:8,9	shortest 81:7,18	89:4 94:22 102:2 109:10
147:3 149:19,22 150:3,15	short-term 202:17	115:10,11,11 118:13
150:16,19,22 151:20,24	show 86:2,3 124:13 158:15	143:8 171:11,13 173:4,5
152:8 157:19 160:17	195:9,14 258:18 273:8	188:13 200:5,9,12,14
162:13 235:9,13,14,15,21	274:7 277:10 284:21	225:11,14,15 235:8,12,16
236:2,3,11,15 237:2,4,4	showing 14:18	247:17 261:10,12,14
237:12,19,22 238:3,10,12	shows 14:20 20:20 72:18	sixteen 19:11 54:13 135:19
238:15 239:20 252:3	123:15 226:20 283:9	136:4 137:10 138:9
254:6 256:16 268:7 270:4	SHU 274:2	263:22
270:10	side 6:17 24:21 28:3 35:14	sixteen-year-old 137:13,18
sexual 23:22	83:10 92:18 239:13	138:2,4,23
shame 172:9	sides 11:21,21	sixth 87:7,11

	T	1 484 5 1.
sixty 19:19 142:19	192:22 230:21	288:6,17 290:16
Sixty-eight 94:20	society 119:10 172:4 175:9	speaking 215:18
sixty-five 168:6 175:19	185:21 198:13 219:13	speaks 130:14
184:6 197:20 203:15	242:7 247:5	special 40:9 125:14,21
204:3 205:16 209:16,21	soft 213:11	127:8,8,8 140:7 221:9
224:6 228:20 234:10	sold 279:2	specialized 228:19 278:5
255:10	solely 26:24	284:16
sixty-nine 14:21	solution 131:20	specialty 135:10 139:14,17
sixty-three 5:14,16 15:5	solve 83:20	139:18 241:5 288:7
17:20 40:7 67:11 68:11	somebody 30:7 33:7 39:14	specific 258:16
69:2 71:5,6,11,11 74:9	46:7 51:20 73:11,12 99:9	specifically 28:6 215:18
six-month 55:3 94:23	113:20 179:3 188:12	specifics 113:13
size 160:12	209:15	specify 199:7
sizes 228:19 268:4	somebody's 97:15,19 99:11	speeches 45:23
skills 201:19 243:5	someplace 116:5	speedily 125:9 127:5
skill-building 267:9	something's 162:9,18,19	speedy 124:21
skimpy 35:9	somewhat 234:22 258:11	spell 185:12
skip 86:8	288:20	spelled 22:15
slap 134:16	son 45:13 237:9	spelling 26:17 40:17,18
slash 89:8	soon 28:13 38:13	210:24 239:18 284:15
sleep 65:15 188:10	sophisticated 91:24	spells 22:5
sleeping 65:24 188:11	sorry 9:8 21:4 59:14 71:16	spend 49:9,22 167:3,5
189:12	125:17,19	184:5 189:6 193:17
slide 20:20	sort 64:9 83:7 107:13	199:10 201:22 235:9
slightly 5:15 53:18 74:6	192:18 208:8 217:16	236:14 253:22 267:21
small 160:19 248:19	222:2	spending 5:23
251:23	sound 47:9	spends 171:4 195:22
smaller 53:5	sounds 118:17 157:16	spent 10:14 11:10 186:14
smart 208:11,21 229:8	160:9,10 282:11	275:21 289:9
232:14 241:8	south 16:6	spic 45:14
smarter 219:2	Southport 274:2	Spitzer 67:4 226:17
Smitty 222:7,20,22	so-and-so 224:23	Spitzer's 14:13
snapshot 25:15 75:2,11,21	so-called 110:7	split 255:24
76:4	space 19:14 60:4 95:11	splitting 155:2
sneakers 188:13	spaces 59:19 60:3	spoke 191:21 243:12
sober 84:3 187:16,16,18,23	span 45:14	287:19
188:4 189:17,18 190:3,3	Spano 101:19 161:23	spoken 183:12 188:5 236:9
191:11 193:10 202:2,3	speak 25:22 39:13 97:17	spots 69:5
216:20 218:15 221:21	99:10 111:13 129:3	spouses 145:15
269:10 274:21	150:13 154:4 170:12	spread 21:13 105:15 160:8 stable 94:5 137:22 209:12
sobriety 186:18,20 189:19	182:3 218:13 264:7	
189:22 216:9 240:18 242:22 269:19	SPEAKER 59:16 71:16 214:11 283:2 290:12	Stacy 219:4 staff 2:10 23:24 36:5 63:11
social 155:4 172:8 191:23	speakers 2:14 3:8 100:3,4	117:24 130:18 288:18
SUCIAI 133.4 1/2.0 191.23	speakers 2.14 3.6 100.3,4	11/.24 130.10 200.10
	i	

		1 age 54
290:6	167:17,20 168:3,13	stats 71:19,22 72:4
stages 20:20 91:17	169:19 170:3,5 174:15	status 205:6,6 269:9
stagnant 17:22	176:10,14 179:22 180:17	statute 9:11 28:6,8,10
stamping 175:11	184:20 187:19 189:5,23	41:22 42:23 44:3 48:15
stand 212:18	190:10 191:21 193:4,13	61:20 62:6 66:13 67:3
standard 47:5 94:20	194:23 195:21,22 196:5	79:6 80:8 89:16 91:16
257:15 267:19	197:14 201:9 202:11	96:10 177:16 233:23
standards 267:17	203:8,11,19 204:4 206:7	statutes 44:6 68:8 102:18
stands 22:16	206:8,10,14,16,18 212:17	104:7 109:14
Stanford 2:8 128:6,16	216:12,18 218:7,20	statutorily 173:16
130:13 131:11,15	219:20 220:6,11,11	statutory 190:5 206:20
stark 178:17	226:14,15 227:3,22	stay 38:12 82:19 98:10
start 4:18 6:20 7:12 19:3,9	229:11,14,16,18 230:5,12	147:11 151:8 167:10
33:8 55:3 69:2 82:3	231:10 232:12,16,20	187:18,23 189:17,18
101:13 112:22 120:20	233:20 237:8 238:11,15	193:10 200:4 202:3 209:6
124:2,10,18 139:7,10	238:21 239:5,16,20 240:6	221:21 226:18 274:18
143:11 147:4 189:4	240:6,20,22 245:10,14,23	stayed 86:22 87:11
213:10,13 221:2,11,14	246:12 248:16 249:7	staying 37:16 113:9 125:11
started 3:7 37:4,7 72:16	250:8 251:4,12,15,19	128:2 188:4
85:12 102:3,4,14,15	252:2,18 253:13 255:19	stays 170:22
117:9 167:24 203:12	256:5 259:9,10,13,17	steady 200:12
220:16 282:10	261:7 262:4 263:17 267:3	steal 188:12 190:23
starting 36:24 40:4 66:23	267:19 269:5 273:21	stealing 24:16
102:9 105:4 243:15 267:8	275:9 278:16 280:23	stemming 276:6,8
starts 17:9,16 45:22 189:15	281:21 282:6,6,6 286:4	step 120:24 274:12,19
state 1:2,3,12 4:22 5:3,6,7	stated 291:4	285:22
5:10 6:3,22 7:2,21 8:21	statement 96:15 101:14	Stephen 273:12
9:3,7,17,21,23 10:3 11:15	270:14 272:16	steps 145:19 218:4
13:15 15:17,20 16:7	states 4:23 5:2 50:11 56:24	step-down 275:11
17:11 22:23 23:13 27:2	57:6,6,11 94:13,16	stint 82:20
28:11,23 29:4 30:22	109:17 135:18,22 203:9	stole 22:11
32:23 46:14 49:4 56:22	232:11,19 238:13,21	stone 271:15
68:3 69:22 76:22,23	248:14	stone's 16:3
77:24 78:3,5,12,21 80:10	statewide 234:10 255:6	stood 245:6
87:16 93:23 97:3,11 98:2	state's 167:4,23 180:6	stop 6:8 129:19 149:21
100:13 102:18 103:4,6,14	187:21 193:4 197:17,19	178:3 209:12 217:5
103:15 104:21 107:24	198:6 231:3 233:22 238:6	stopped 284:19
108:13 109:9 115:18,20	272:14	stops 177:23
115:21 116:6,15,20 117:3	state-ready 17:15	stores 241:5
117:5,13 118:11 119:2,9	static 240:13	stories 206:4
135:3 137:10,17 140:5	statistic 92:4	stormed 41:24
144:6 152:2 153:18	statistical 14:18	story 40:15 155:5
157:19 160:8 161:4,10	statistics 31:20 85:2 93:24	straight 220:24
163:2,12,13,16 165:5,22	149:15,20 238:24	straighten 129:23

		1 age 34
strata 58:20	180:15	summary 29:18
strategies 124:2 154:2,9	substance 8:23 24:17 67:17	summer 287:7
262:18	88:13 220:5	supervise 105:22 108:5
strategy 237:13	substantial 83:4 171:12	130:24 134:10 138:12
stratification 123:18	187:16 198:14	139:21 200:19 244:19
street 182:8 188:3 189:15	substantially 196:11	supervised 46:24 136:8
streets 17:3	substitute 184:8	185:14
strength 159:7 177:4,6	subway 146:20	supervision 37:18 79:6,13
stressed 106:20	succeed 175:22 186:16	89:12 97:16,23 102:23,24
strict 107:14	187:5 188:21,22,22 193:9	103:3,9 104:8 107:13
striking 143:11	193:12 198:11 202:8	108:14,19,24 109:10
strive 5:7	227:6 244:3 257:23 274:4	110:6,9 113:6 115:3
strong 99:20 152:12	286:2 290:8	123:19,22 124:2 136:3
227:13 233:21 248:13	succeeded 232:21	137:4 142:10,16,21
strongly 103:19 104:6	succeeding 251:9 269:9,11	150:19 154:2 157:18
203:18	285:4	159:12 160:14 166:12,13
structural 266:12	success 191:20 195:4	166:13 176:6 189:21
structure 47:21 113:14	196:20 201:5	195:15 199:17 220:3
168:12 199:4 206:20	successful 47:11 48:2	236:5 237:6,14 256:15,22
215:17	79:12 84:2,8 175:8	257:22 258:19 261:13,20
structured 46:11,22 47:19	185:20 186:12 190:4	266:11,11 268:5,12,18,20
50:17 60:12 84:13 89:2	195:3 241:4 243:15	273:9,10
260:7	271:14 280:15,20	supervisor 136:17
structuring 274:16	successfully 42:17 89:6	supervisory 37:13
struggled 160:22	238:10	supplemental 86:6 87:4
stub 266:16	successor 203:14	90:3,11 167:15 168:9
stubs 268:17	such-and-such 22:10,11	182:9
studies 57:3 58:11 72:9	sudden 147:21 222:6 sue 9:20 255:15	support 24:16 34:24 121:20 130:18 131:16
94:11 151:6,7 195:8 273:8		135:7,7 139:17 162:5
study 41:20 51:5 59:4	sufficiently 184:8 263:18 Suffolk 161:17 216:19	281:14 287:2
72:13 74:3 188:17	261:8,9 262:21	supported 113:24
stuff 86:8	suggest 43:18 120:9 131:3	supports 103:19
style 44:24	164:9 166:7,21 171:15,20	suppose 215:15
subcommittee 14:5 290:5	172:18 193:6 196:13	supposed 83:18 128:19
subcommittees 70:7 163:9	253:3 257:11 258:4 265:4	145:10 147:9,9
286:15	289:4	suppressed 177:24
subject 37:18 65:13 88:16	suggested 278:17	supreme 214:22
118:5 126:8,9 192:17,23	suggesting 132:18 277:15	sure 8:2 28:19 39:15 51:23
195:17	suggestion 105:6	52:13 55:4,19 59:2 67:24
submit 169:8 182:22	suggestions 98:12 163:21	77:13 85:6,24 88:6 103:9
245:19	suggests 184:12	104:10 105:16,16 108:7
subsequent 200:6	suit 251:14,18	114:10 133:7 134:11
subsequently 179:23	sum 201:13	145:14 162:3 167:7
	1	1

		1 1184 5 15
196:16,16 212:2 215:20	tackle 286:16	257:14 260:16
216:15 218:5 224:18	tacks 89:21	talks 120:4 248:4,5
225:4 226:6 230:18	tailing 257:8	tall 148:5
261:22 275:6 281:24	take 8:14 17:4 24:23 25:15	TANF 284:14
283:5 286:20 287:12	31:4 59:9 72:16 75:11	tangential 7:23 53:2
289:24	76:4 101:19 120:24	149:10
Surely 287:8	121:19 126:10 128:6	tapering 203:10
surgeons 190:8	132:12,12 134:22 136:23	Tappan 15:22
surprises 182:4 199:14	140:18 143:15 146:20	targeted 38:4
survey 267:24	155:12,16 157:11 170:2,5	targeting 62:2
swift 252:24 257:10	176:18 178:19 186:7	task 4:13 18:17 120:2,13
switched 111:5	190:15,16,17,19 217:20	170:14 174:5 190:9 207:3
sworn 291:7	238:8,8 241:20 243:11	244:23 245:13 250:5
symptomatic 243:4	246:22 248:2 254:9 258:5	280:8 281:2,4
symptoms 198:18	260:2 263:5 272:5 278:18	taught 187:15 202:2 219:6
system 3:7,11,15 5:10,20	281:23 284:20	220:17
9:7 12:8 15:2 16:8,9,10	taken 8:15 84:6 96:10	tax 244:22 272:15
17:4,7,8,19,21 18:5,13	112:16 115:5 134:24	taxation 167:2 169:15
19:7 20:13 22:23 23:14	185:2 291:3	Taxman 264:9 273:13
32:11 33:6 37:3 49:9 63:8	takes 53:11 102:16 153:3,3	taxpayers 47:16
69:22 73:24 75:3 76:3	179:20 185:5 188:8	teach 190:7,21,22
93:2 118:12 119:6 120:12	193:20 280:16	teaching 190:2
132:7 134:2,4 145:21	talk 38:9 63:11,15 73:24	team 267:4 277:11 280:15
152:22 165:8 173:18,21	74:2 77:12 78:11 101:5	288:3
176:20 181:17,19 187:8	101:18 105:18 119:19,23	tech 39:21
191:14,17,19 194:9 195:2	120:18 135:5,12 140:12	technical 39:13 79:23
202:24 209:9 210:7 213:2	140:13 143:18 159:14	96:12 114:18,19 115:11
213:3 226:14 228:12,24	166:6,11,12 168:14,24	118:11,22 150:21 184:20
229:15,17,19 230:12	169:11 176:23 186:24	195:10,13 196:5 247:5
232:3 240:7 241:9 245:22	187:2,3 191:13,14,15	Technically 91:15
246:4 247:10 252:20	218:7 227:12 228:7	technologies 149:6
259:9,11 261:7 263:19,21	234:18 247:7,23 248:9,10	technology 147:15,16,23
263:21 270:19 271:13,16	251:22 256:10 286:19	148:9,17 149:9
272:4 276:7 278:15	288:6 290:13	Ted 210:23
289:19	talked 101:21 122:19	teen 196:6
systematizing 279:17	191:22 220:20,22 234:7	teetotaler 186:19
systems 144:14 248:22	273:22	teleconferencing 116:12
249:9	talking 40:23 100:23	telegraph 17:14
S.H. U 203:22 204:2	105:12 109:2,6 114:11,19	tell 36:19 38:19 41:11 48:4
	120:6 122:15 134:2	82:8 129:24 130:4 177:12
T 2:8 291:2,14	160:15 166:14 167:14	194:17,18 197:23 203:6
tab 11:6	168:9 176:6,22 217:14	207:17 214:7 233:19
table 109:12 110:11	219:10,12 221:2,14,19	256:19 267:17
(abic 109.12 110.11	223:19 225:17 246:16	tells 23:10 76:11 184:19

temporarily 95:13				
temporary 43:24 64:23				
65:17 67:12 74:13 88:16				
ten 9:22 26:3 86:21 88:2				
101:18 109:11 142:3				
168:2 175:19 182:7 184:3				
196:10 197:16 198:2				
212:19 239:3 246:6				
247:18 250:5 256:16				
265:22				
ten-day 17:18				
ten-year 109:23				
term 107:15,16 177:19				
179:21 180:18,21 181:8				
192:9,22 269:24				
terminated 180:20 269:9				
termination 270:10				
terms 7:13 26:9 30:15 37:2				
46:14 81:22 82:2 83:12				
88:17 99:5,6 107:20				
119:9 141:5 161:6 187:4				
207:6 244:17 245:21				
268:12 274:7 276:6 281:8				
284:18				
Terrence 2:17				
terrific 72:4 180:7				
terrorism 67:9				
Terry 2:17 84:15 164:12				
167:14 194:19 203:23				
207:5,14				
test 222:24				
testified 245:18				
testify 152:16 291:7				
testimony 291:6				
testing 97:6 107:13 221:12				
229:4 241:9 243:22				
tests 222:3				
Texas 230:9,12 248:15				
thank 3:23 59:17 93:20				
99:22,24 100:19 114:7				
162:22 164:2,3 203:2				
225:23 226:8,23,23				
254:17 255:16 266:12,18				
275:14,17 287:8 290:16				
270.11,17 207.0 270.10				
•				

```
290:16
thankfully 108:12
Thanks 3:19
theoretically 10:13
theory 138:4 139:4
therapeutic 89:5 219:22
therapists 159:2
therapy 142:21
thereabout 40:4
they'd 9:19,20 128:17
thing 13:21 23:5 26:24
 27:20 64:9 73:3 87:17
 90:14 124:15 137:5
 138:15 139:13 145:17
 153:16 168:13 201:2
 202:18 205:11 212:23
 213:12 216:17 217:16
 221:15 224:12 244:7
 247:14 252:9,16 261:23
 267:23 272:13 284:10
things 12:17 18:7 21:23
 23:2 27:11 30:10 35:3,24
 39:5 43:17 48:13,22
 49:21 68:10 71:2 78:8,9
 80:7,24 83:11 93:13 96:3
 98:3,15 101:21 102:9
 103:24 114:12,24 117:24
 119:22 122:14,24 125:4
 127:5 128:19 129:11,19
 131:19 132:12 133:7
 134:7 145:16 147:11
 148:22 149:3 162:5
 163:22,23 164:19 165:16
 166:14,15 167:7,8 168:8
 186:17 189:22 193:24
 196:17 204:11,23 209:8
 217:15 218:6.14.24
 229:13,21 231:7 232:14
 238:22 240:4,11,19
 244:16,17 253:3 256:8
 257:11 259:12 265:15
 266:9 267:18 272:24
 273:17 278:23 283:16,23
think 3:7,18 4:14,17,22
```

5:21 6:15 8:11 11:7,8 17:22 20:21,23 28:8 36:6 37:6 38:19,21,22 39:24 41:19 44:7,18,19,22 50:15 51:24 52:8 57:2 59:4 62:19 63:14 68:19 68:22 69:8 71:22 73:7,18 77:19 78:9 80:3 81:21,21 82:3,24 83:13 84:5,6,7,10 85:2,16 88:9 90:16 95:6 95:24 96:6,18 98:14,24 99:6,21 104:19 106:19 108:14,18,20 109:16,19 111:18 115:9 116:10 117:4,4 118:9,10,14 123:2,8 127:3,21,22 130:17,21 133:13 134:15 135:10,11,17 137:12 139:9,11 140:20 141:13 144:6 145:20 149:6,7 151:23 153:22 154:5 157:21 159:18 162:10 164:13 165:5,15 166:14 168:12,15,20 169:3 172:7 178:16 181:16,20 182:12 184:24 185:24 186:2,5,15 192:14 193:15 196:23 197:4,20,22,22,23,23 198:4,12 200:21 203:6,11 203:21 204:7,21,22 205:13,14 206:19,22,24 207:13,18,19,20 208:9 214:17 216:8,23 217:4,11 217:11,22,24 218:6,18,18 218:19,20,23 220:15 222:11 223:13 227:21 228:2.20 229:21 231:16 232:13,21,23 233:15,17 234:2,19,22 235:6 236:8 238:11,14,17,20,24,24 239:9 240:10,20,22 242:22,22,24 244:7,13 245:10,19,20 246:22 247:12,14 248:11,18

250:6,23 252:18 253:4,18 253:23 254:7 256:19 257:6 260:4 261:5,10 262:22,23 263:2,4,8 265:8,17 270:22,23 271:3 271:5,12,13,14,16 272:3 272:10,11,12,15,20 273:13,13,15,16 274:11 274:15,24 275:2,13,19 276:24 277:14,17,18 278:4,8,18,21,24,24 281:11 283:2 287:17 288:20 289:6,10,17 **thinking** 38:20 41:9 49:4 101:17 107:24 240:17 247:16 250:22 258:15 273:15 278:20 third 8:22 23:8 26:21 74:19 87:8,20 128:13 184:18 212:19,21 260:9 260:17 262:5 thirds 61:7,10 279:23 thirteen 72:13 76:13 255:2 262:8 thirty 42:24 58:21,21,22 88:19 142:6 158:7 230:10 244:20 265:23 284:7 thirty-eight 75:4 165:22,23 172:19 thirty-five 43:2 58:22 74:8 74:10 184:19 194:23 196:4 209:19,22 thirty-five-year-old 137:19.20 thirty-nine 57:4,14,15,17 75:24 92:5,21 184:15 thirty-one 15:3 thirty-seven 57:13 193:14 193:15 267:20 thirty-six 142:6 171:5,6 190:24 256:3 thirty-three 87:22 285:16 **thirty-two** 228:16 thirty-year-old 137:19

Thomas 231:13 thought 15:20 28:21 36:16 85:10 92:5 107:12 110:23 126:6 185:23 241:24 267:14 270:15 thoughtful 211:3 thoughts 211:10 227:5 thousand 5:12,14,16 15:4,6 17:21,24 40:5,6,8 54:13 71:5 74:4,5,6,8,15,22 76:13,18 87:9,9 89:17 90:17,18 168:2,5,6,8 171:9 177:21 184:3,6 197:17,19,20 207:10 208:13 225:12,14,15,16 228:10,12,13,17,18 229:2 229:18 230:7,10,11,13 231:9 232:7 234:8,9,17 235:7,9,13,13,15,20 236:14,22,22 238:19 244:18,20 248:6 249:20 249:23 265:21 285:8 thousands 197:16 thousand-foot 236:19 threat 205:11 threatened 66:10 threats 239:8,23 three 5:16 23:2 42:11 43:10 47:14 49:10,15,23 57:5,8,23 65:23 70:21 74:15 75:16 79:4 82:5,13 86:15 87:2 89:11 94:21 109:10 111:23 128:17 135:18,22 166:5,5 171:2 184:14 186:17 189:22 200:16,17 202:20 223:3,5 235:9.11 236:12.22 237:9 239:15,20 248:6 249:19 255:3 280:3 283:22 three-and-a-half 48:16 three-month 95:16 three-phase 89:2 three-to-nine 42:9 three-week 267:5

three-year-out 57:3 threw 110:11 throw 16:3 257:18 thrown 138:24 **Thursday** 46:19 55:4 **ticket** 265:6 ticketed 79:7 tide 276:7,8 tied 111:24 112:10 230:8 tighten 129:18 132:24 till 78:4 time 1:11 4:11 5:23 9:2.19 10:7,15,22 12:6,20 14:2 17:16 24:8,8,23 25:3,19 25:24 26:9 27:4.14.16.19 27:23 28:22 29:7 30:15 31:3,4,4,5,11,13 32:13 36:17 37:21 40:17 41:9 42:7,8,19,21 45:17 46:16 49:4,9,12,14,16,20 50:8 53:5 60:10,14 66:16 68:12,24 73:24 75:14 76:22 77:23 78:4 79:3 81:8 83:5 84:10 86:6 87:4 87:14,17,21,23,24 89:13 90:3,4,11,11 91:14,17 97:12 102:19 104:12 108:5 114:16 117:24 122:10,17 128:4,23,23 129:9,9,20,23 130:4,24 131:19 133:5 136:4 137:21 142:11,21 144:19 145:9,11 151:7 164:15 165:12 167:14.15 168:9 171:8 176:3,12 178:6 181:4,11,23 182:9,10,18 183:17,20 184:5 185:2,9 185:13,23 186:14 187:12 188:4 189:2 192:18 193:16 199:17,19,20 200:5,24 202:13 203:15 206:10 207:10,11 209:7 211:17,24 212:5,6,7,10 212:11,12,13 213:13

		1 age 34
215:12,13 218:8 222:22	87:2,8 89:23	45:2 67:17 77:16,22
222:24 223:4 224:18	touch 3:7 13:18 88:4 207:5	79:12 82:16 83:24 84:13
230:5 231:6 235:24	touched 98:15	88:14 89:4,8,9 94:22
236:15 248:2,23 249:12	tough 47:20,24 60:12	95:22 102:11,13 114:24
251:20 258:11 260:14	68:13,13 138:18 214:14	142:17 149:14,14 150:7
261:11 268:21 269:16	276:19	151:16 155:2 158:8,10,13
272:9 275:11,21 280:20	tour 45:7	158:15,21,22,24 159:8,9
284:3,24 291:3	tourniquet 129:18 132:24	160:15 179:10 200:2
timeframes 185:13	tower 20:10	201:6,17 204:5 219:8
timeline 91:18	trace 145:19 212:21	221:11 237:15,15,16
timely 125:2	track 114:3	243:23 244:6 251:10,21
times 10:19 42:23 54:13	tracking 148:6 284:24	251:23 252:2,7,10,11
82:11,13,13 151:9 260:19	Tracy 2:17	253:8 261:14 263:8
278:15	trade 8:12 215:21	266:17,17
time-barred 11:5	tradition 233:21	treatments 201:16
Tina 2:8 130:4 131:24	traditional 54:9 145:6	tremendous 8:17 217:13
title 126:21,23	traditionally 58:14	217:20 219:23,24 220:2
today 4:6 14:7 100:20	traffic 262:11 265:5	250:18
101:15 168:6 172:14	train 267:6	trend 60:7 73:13 75:6
187:15 197:18 206:11	training 55:15 65:4 102:14	trends 5:21
209:20 221:11,12 227:2	139:6 193:23 201:7	trial 122:13 210:6
230:11,15 236:9 245:19	219:24 220:7 252:16	trials 125:4 174:17,19
252:2 288:12	267:5	tried 100:3 168:2 290:12
toilet 20:9	transcription 291:9	truancy 196:8 277:3,4
token 5:9 7:14 34:20 47:10	transfer 9:17 32:12 33:2	true 61:15 96:5 131:17
62:14	33:10 54:2 199:21	152:2 177:12 194:4
told 84:17 183:2 221:2	transferred 49:17,24 63:3	195:12 233:23 271:20
284:19	65:6 103:4	273:16 290:8 291:10
Tom 28:8	transferring 49:11	truly 4:22
tomorrow 227:8	transitional 70:12,24	trust 257:23
tonight 188:10	101:22 104:10	truth 236:24 265:24 291:7
Tony 3:18,19 6:7 28:17	transparency 165:8 173:19	291:7,8
36:10 39:9,14 40:19 50:3	196:22	Truthfully 269:4
56:21 58:5 61:14 62:21	transparent 14:14 168:19	try 8:14 17:6 53:22 82:4
67:18 71:14 73:8 77:11	181:21,24 182:11 202:24	96:2 98:10 101:10 116:10
81:20 88:4 92:4 98:15	traumatic 152:15	119:11 123:11 128:16
105:17 111:2 118:9	travel 16:2 116:4	129:17,17 130:7 139:4,6
120:21 122:19 154:2	traveled 15:21,21	150:7,8 160:19 165:11
164:11	Travis 287:23	191:22 227:19 256:9
Tony's 3:4	treat 137:18,18 141:11	275:7,8
tool 146:2,4	150:3,3 217:9 244:8	trying 6:16 18:11 34:5
tools 146:3 193:9	treated 174:9,11 183:15	37:21,23 75:6 115:16
topics 100:11,18 101:3	treatment 12:14 14:23	122:15 124:11 129:22
total 19:11 68:22 86:15,20	24:18 28:13,15 36:18	140:10 156:7,18 214:12

undermines 176:19 192:13 224:24 225:2 233:3,8 150:17 163:6 166:14,15 265:10 167:6,8 173:4 174:18 understaffed 284:4 **tub** 209:9 177:21 189:3,10 194:21 understand 3:14,19 4:11 tuberculosis 21:11 202:8,20 208:16 209:8 38:15 40:16 44:3 52:14 **tuition** 272:19 219:9 222:20 230:3.11.17 115:24 125:2 135:21 turn 14:19 122:16 157:2 230:22 235:14 236:13,21 141:14 148:3 158:21 164:2 249:6 284:20 162:2 172:21,22,23 173:3 237:8 238:19 243:22 turned 39:2,10 60:5 251:24 266:9,9 279:23 173:6 182:10 221:15,17 **Tuttle 238:16** 280:3 283:3 284:11,11 224:23 227:7,21 247:2 twelve 40:5 57:9,20,21,22 286:23 259:3 271:17.18 understanding 3:5 4:13 Twos 86:19 72:13 86:23 90:17 184:3 215:11 225:16 229:18 two-hundred-million-do... 36:2 88:10 220:21 227:18 230:9 234:13 246:8 230:16 understated 3:10 249:10 251:5 254:24 type 7:16 19:16 42:2 63:14 **understood** 38:11 182:2 274:3 65:17 70:10 71:2 102:23 **under-custody** 15:5 68:21 twenty 122:6 142:3 146:21 113:21 118:2 122:10 unfair 174:7 187:4 197:11 212:19 228:10,12 unfairly 38:4 174:11 127:3,8 139:5 152:9 234:4,7,9 244:18 255:3 153:20,23 183:15 285:8 types 7:15 18:15 20:16 unfortunately 60:7 115:2 twenty-eight 76:14 87:2 21:20 70:23 79:20 80:2 133:17 274:13 114:16 231:2,2 246:15 158:5 160:9 228:13 **unfunded** 170:2,9 twenty-five 10:9 137:16 249:15 **unhappy** 11:23 146:8 158:6,6 168:5 typewritten 291:8 **UNIDENTIFIED 59:16** 171:9 197:11 201:14 typical 20:2 161:3 71:16 214:11 283:2 202:7 212:19,22 234:16 typically 10:17 11:22 19:7 290:12 235:7 255:3 65:6 **uniform** 257:15 twenty-four 42:22 171:9 uniformity 140:15 U 229:2 254:11 255:2 uninsured 231:10 **uh-huh** 30:2 59:13 93:21 twenty-four-seven 144:8 unique 227:4 250:8 270:11 107:2 110:21 128:15 twenty-one 75:4 unit 13:23 18:9 138:7 152:23 157:13 217:2 139:4,11 144:6 **twenty-seven** 57:7 74:6 268:23 276:3 283:18 twenty-six 42:24 74:4,15 units 139:19 ultimate 132:15 134:23 234:17 235:7 **University** 112:6 264:8,11 **ultimately** 13:15 14:7,9 twenty-three 207:10 unproductive 83:11 33:2 89:10 166:23 194:21 twenty-two 75:19 76:15 unrelated 53:2 **unable** 197:8 twist 42:15 unsafe 198:9,10 uncomfortable 198:20 **two** 6:5,14 11:18 15:7 **unusual** 159:2 unconscionable 190:23 17:24 21:14 27:11 54:12 **update** 121:16 197:7 55:18 61:7,10 62:7 66:2 **upset** 66:17 undergo 79:11 66:23 67:11 70:21 72:23 **upstate** 15:21,23 21:16 undergraduate 241:13,18 74:5,22 75:14 79:20 80:3 245:8 250:9 271:2 underlying 60:10 78:24 82:13 86:9,11 87:2,6,9,9 **upward** 126:9,11 129:17 79:18 179:17 180:18 88:21 89:7,17 114:16 133:8 181:10 199:19 116:21 128:17 136:11 **upwards** 229:2 236:6

268:7 285:8 **urban** 7:4 65:7 195:7 urge 172:15 use 57:6 66:9,10 67:10 81:19 85:9,9,13,15 116:12 133:15 135:5 138:13,15 141:8 143:20 144:4,5,16 146:3 149:24 159:8,9 170:16 171:18 172:3 181:15 183:6 187:11 198:20 202:17 205:8 212:7 219:14 236:4 237:16 240:12 251:10 279:10,11 286:24 useful 214:18 usually 17:17 19:18 20:10 180:2 240:13 **utility** 171:2 utilization 121:2 228:22 utilize 145:4 149:8 151:16 **utilized** 125:22 utilizing 121:3 149:5

V

vacation 193:20 valid 163:21 value 83:13 122:20,21 183:9 184:21 200:22 Vance 2:9 56:21 57:13,15 57:24 58:4 92:4 93:3,16 152:24 203:7 204:9 275:19 276:4 variations 50:7 varieties 164:22 variety 171:21 242:19 various 9:20 10:3 14:17 18:15 20:5,20 45:12,24 90:12 91:22 98:20 164:21 164:23 290:7 vary 50:15 152:10 vehicle 263:3 **VERA** 288:4 **verify** 239:2 verse 176:10

versus 31:21,22 58:16,16 58:17 76:19 vest 241:22 veto 80:14 99:11 vials 251:5 vice 91:3 victim 8:11 152:21 185:11 192:8 199:12 218:5 234:24 victimization 159:21 victims 142:14,20 143:3 152:14 181:18 234:23 victim's 27:5 179:6 videoconferencing 116:13 view 15:19 107:16 118:21 168:18 179:4,6 181:19 184:10 185:16 269:3 viewpoint 99:20 views 99:18 183:8 violate 38:9 80:5 97:4 127:24 129:12 191:9 253:17 269:2 violated 79:17 98:5 114:22 115:20 176:14,16 178:20 179:24 199:15 254:13 violates 79:14 129:10 **violating** 97:15 113:7 130:9,20 131:2 147:7 257:24 violation 114:19 115:22 116:8 124:21,21,24 125:5 125:7,9,12 127:14 128:3 129:21 130:4,11 133:15 152:20 180:4 214:13 252:21 253:21 257:16 260:8 violations 57:9 58:3,4 114:18 125:8,11,15 126:7 126:16 127:9 129:15 131:20 132:16 150:21 195:10,13 257:9 violative 252:12 253:16 254:9 violator 74:11 79:23 82:18

97:10 98:4,5,5 violators 18:3 95:12 96:12 96:24 97:2 114:11,14,17 115:12,16 116:24 118:11 177:21 184:21 196:3,5 202:18 violence 7:23 8:17 138:8 140:3 145:13 160:18 239:4 254:6 violent 5:22 17:2 27:8 43:15 61:16,17,20,21 62:4,8 66:9,21 70:5,10 75:5,7,12 90:9 93:24 173:7 197:2 199:9 230:4 234:14,23 235:5 239:4 271:9 virtually 280:2 virtue 70:5 191:18 198:18 **vision** 100:14 visit 33:4 52:3 129:13,14 194:17 238:18 241:21 visited 243:9,11 261:11 visits 132:14 275:7 vitality 172:2 **vitiate** 192:12 vitiates 192:8 vocational 102:14 139:6 **volatile** 162:14 **voluntary** 53:20 141:2 volunteer 53:12 61:4,7 62:23 **vote** 212:16 voter 241:15 **voters** 6:22 voucher 202:6

\mathbf{W}

wage 242:4 wait 219:17 252:20 waiting 76:8 waived 123:5 waiver 123:3 walk 13:10 29:12 203:22 206:17

walls 168:10 watch 45:11 176:14,15 211:4 220:10 want 4:15 14:14 27:6 30:10 water 17:23 209:10,11 238:17 30:11 33:3,18 35:6 38:13 water's 209:11 way 6:17 7:2 12:22,22 38:22,22 41:5 43:8 44:21 46:22,24 48:10,22 49:5 15:17 16:5 29:15,17 51:24 52:3,10 59:5 60:18 37:24 42:5 66:13,24 70:8 62:13,14 63:22 75:9 76:6 78:23 84:2 96:7,18 77:10 87:19 98:13,13 103:24 106:21 111:7 102:12 104:15 106:23 113:14 137:18 149:7 150:3,10 166:4 167:21 107:3 108:7 111:17 112:21 113:20 130:7 170:11 171:16 174:13 135:12 137:23,24 138:5 175:14 176:3 177:14 140:18 141:5,8,11 143:6 183:8,10 184:7 193:6 239:14 280:4 158:22 163:10 164:9 202:23 203:20,21 204:4 165:6.13 166:5.21 168:24 206:20 212:8 213:11 217:17 234:21,21 238:14 170:11,24 172:18 182:21 188:21 198:24 201:3,4 249:4 259:5,10 260:7 206:17 211:21 215:7 261:23 264:2 270:24 283:19 288:9 216:10 221:8,11 223:21 271:21 272:10 275:2,12 225:3 227:11 228:7 231:7 276:11 285:20 289:3 232:15 234:18 237:11 290:9 238:8,8,11 244:15 247:23 ways 7:6 12:19 30:3,4 248:2,2,8,10 252:7 37:15 70:9,17 134:14 256:10 258:7 267:21,22 164:22 167:18,19 171:18 270:3,5 278:18 281:16,17 weapon 22:14 66:10 283:7 284:5,21 286:12 weapons 177:21 287:12 288:13 website 14:8 285:3 wanted 15:20 37:11,12 week 8:9 66:2 76:20 189:3 61:15 81:7 189:10 193:14,15,19,24 **wanting** 81:16 222:17,19,24 224:3 wants 134:19 215:3 246:23 240:24 252:15 261:14 259:17 266:15 267:21,24 287:14 warden 183:12 210:12 weekend 65:21 97:20 warm-up 100:23 227:9 weeks 189:10 222:4.20 warrant 97:21 155:12,22 273:2 155:22 159:20 194:16,20 weigh 27:6 220:19 weighed 30:21 warrantless 156:24 weight 46:8 warrants 115:4 weighted 193:18 warranty 201:9,10 welcome 104:17 105:23 **Washington** 203:11 273:11 159:3 **welfare** 235:22 wasn't 111:9,11 126:7 wasted 219:11,12 went 8:13 41:15 103:23 109:10 111:7 113:4 wastepaper 246:20

weren't 81:4 103:24 112:10 183:4 230:18 Westchester 101:8 103:21 103:22 109:5 111:10,19 112:2 113:22 114:15 116:2,22 117:15,16 127:13 131:23 135:5 138:6 142:13 144:17 146:16,22 148:2 150:14 157:18 226:13 236:3 we'll 14:6 51:23 59:4 70:13 70:13 85:24 95:19 114:3 119:11,11 152:18 158:17 253:18 264:23 273:2 we're 3:21 11:13,13 13:2 14:8,12,15,15,16 36:24 37:19,20,23,24 38:2 42:4 43:18 48:12 53:14 60:8 71:4 72:4,6,14 77:20 78:15 90:2 92:21 94:15 98:10,17 99:16 100:15 101:7 102:8 105:12 114:19 115:6 121:15,23 123:13 125:4 126:8 127:18,18 130:9,9 133:7 133:8 134:22 135:13,24 137:5,15 138:5,8,11,13 138:14,16 145:7 148:11 148:19,22 149:2 151:6 156:5 160:3 162:19 163:5 163:20 166:13 173:11 183:5 186:24 192:7 204:14,15 205:15 208:6 214:11 218:21 219:13,14 221:10 231:4,4 233:3,8 234:22 239:7,10 240:10 241:22 247:5 253:17 257:13,23,24 260:16 261:16 262:13,15 264:2 264:22 265:15,17 266:15

269:20 272:24 274:4,5 287:15 98:18,23 124:10 137:24 275:6 279:8 281:11 wiser 149:8 139:2 190:18 203:11 283:12,20 284:4,21 wish 26:18 172:6 252:16 241:2 262:10 284:23 286:14,14,14 287:22 290:5,7 274:7 workload 158:7 174:2 288:2,3,5,19,20 289:2,7 wishes 14:4,5 289:10,16,17 290:5 wit 22:14 256:6 we've 4:21 24:6,6 31:16 withdraw 97:21 works 50:16 78:23 81:23 42:23 50:12 68:5 75:13 **WITNESS** 59:23 127:17 193:13 273:14,15 109:8 114:12 115:12 witness(es) 291:6 273:16 279:14 119:24 122:15 123:19 woman 195:7 work-release 20:16 65:6,9 126:10 135:6 138:3.3 women 56:7 81:12 86:11 66:2 World 231:14 141:20 143:10 151:16 86:21 160:18 161:17,19 189:18 Women's 250:11 worse 258:24 189:19 197:21 202:2,2,5 wonder 205:20 worst 261:23 210:4 231:21 232:13 **wonderful** 43:5 169:3 worth 37:16 180:10 181:13 234:4 238:14,22 242:24 232:23 250:15 worthwhile 46:17 261:21 262:6 265:13,21 wondering 129:2 worthy 278:9 266:22,23 270:23,24 **wood** 150:17 wouldn't 84:2 106:6 274:5 283:22 285:13,14 word 9:10 128:22 152:20 269:23 285:24 words 105:8 145:19 **Wow** 203:3 wheelchair 16:16 work 8:8,10 14:6 15:3,23 wrap 225:21 wherewithal 11:16,24 28:12,14 39:17 50:18 wrist 134:16 **white 238:5** 60:17,19 64:23,24 65:19 write 190:23 193:22 **wholly** 180:5 66:7,12 67:21,23 68:5,12 writes 173:11 who've 188:17 68:18,22 70:4,7,15,18 **writing** 273:3 widely 290:11 71:20 72:10,20 73:9,12 written 12:21 64:2 271:15 Wildcat 188:7 89:7,24 90:3 96:11 98:8 272:22 Willard 12:15 14:22 77:2,4 104:3 124:11 127:16 wrong 6:18 11:8 103:24 77:8,12,21,21 79:8,10,21 130:3,3 138:5 144:7 155:11 176:8 196:17 80:4,6,15,20,23 81:3,9,11 154:12 158:8 163:9 252:24 254:2 256:19 81:14,19,21,24 82:13,15 164:17 165:19,20,20,24 wrote 287:24 82:20 83:17 84:11,12 166:2 169:4,5,7 172:23 **Wyoming** 241:13 90:4 94:19,20,21 95:15 185:14 188:14 189:4,5,20 X 95:18 96:4,7,13,14 97:2,5 189:20 190:7,13,13,16,16 X 147:12 97:9,13 98:22 99:5,12 190:18,19,20,21 203:19 Willards 95:13 215:9 219:3 220:10 221:5 Y Willard's 95:11 221:23 225:12 252:10 **Yankees** 266:18 Willard-type 95:22 254:18 273:2,9,10 274:12 veah 21:2 29:5 68:4 69:9 willing 127:6 131:6 161:24 274:13 279:15 282:15,19 73:18 77:17 83:8 84:5 243:17 286:13 287:3 290:4 94:8 106:17 110:20 111:2 willingly 53:12,19 worked 43:20 44:11 56:20 118:14 128:5 144:4.22 willingness 112:21 103:21 120:2 156:17 145:24 154:15,17 156:13 win 177:9 164:11 165:23 210:4 188:22 203:5 207:9 211:2 wind 135:2 151:24 152:3,8 working 41:8 72:15 81:24

211:3 215:20 222:11 258:9 263:15 279:21 282:8,20,23,24 286:8 289:13 year 29:19 40:2 48:16 49:22 54:13 60:15 75:17 102:2 105:13 120:16,17 143:9 170:14 171:10 174:18 175:3 179:22 180:58, 199:8 209:3,4 225:16 249:20 251:6,7 252:14 253:23 257:13 262:4, 8 270:4 284:17 285:15 yearly 29:18 years 20:24 19:8 26:2,3 27:18,19 33:24 38:6,6 42:11,13 43:10 47:14 49:10,15,23 57:5,8,23 72:13,23 75:23 88:2,21 90:7 92:7 93:19 95:4 101:18 103:3,5 107:15 109:9,10,10,11,12,20,24 110:3,4 126:5 135:20 150:18 165:22,23 172:19 183:14 249:21,14 196:10 197:7 198:3 201:10,21 202:8,20 208:8 213:18,19 213:21 214:5 225:5 230:10 234:4 238:20 239:3 242:14 246:7,8 247:18 248:24 253:13 254:16 255:3 256:16,16 261:13 266:9 272:6 273:14 274:2 283:3 284:11 yesterday 69:14 109:3 York 1:2,3,1,2,1,3 4:22 5:6 7:21 15:18,21,23 33:23 41:3,20,23 46:14 48:9 65: 793:23 94:2 101:7 102:18 108:13 109:9 115:9 120:5 133:19 135:17 137:10 144:6			Page 33
258:9 263:15 279:21 282:8,20,23,24 286:8 289:13 year 29:19 40:2 48:16 49:22 54:13 60:15 75:17 102:2 105:13 120:16,17 102:2 105:13 120:16,17 124:9 170:14 171:10 174:18 175:3 179:22 180:5,8 199:8 209:3,4 225:16 249:20 251:6,7 252:14 253:23 257:13 262:4,8 270:4 284:17 285:15 yearly 29:18 227:12,24 228:15,21 229:11,14,15,18 231:10 232:13,20,22 233:5,6,13 233:20 234:17,20 245:55,89 248:15 249:18 250:18 25:66,7,7 259:9 270:14 277:18,19 278:3 270:12 20:28,20 208:8 213:18,19 101:18 103:3,5 107:15 102:18 165:22,23 172:19 186:11 12:12 20:23 32:21 20000 238:14 2000 238:14 2001 228:20 2000 238:14 2001 228:20 2000 238:14 2001 72:17 2005 74:7 226:16 2000 238:14 2001 72:17 2005 74:7 226:16 2000 238:14 2001 228:20 2000 238:14 2001 72:17 2005 74:7 226:16 2000 238:14 2001 72:17 2005 74:7 256:13 2000 238:14 2001 72:17 2005 74:7 256:13 2000 238:14 2001 228:20 2000 238:14 2001 72:17 2005 74:7 256:13 2000 238:14 2001 72:17 2005 74:7 256:15 21 13:1 14:1 15:1 16:1 17:1 18:1 19:1 10:1 11:1 17:1 18:1 19:1 10:1 11:1 12:1 13:1 14:1 15:1 16:1 17:1 18:1 19:1 20:1 21:1 22:1 23:1 24:1 25:1 26:1 22:1 23:1 24:1 25:1 26:1 22:1 23:1 24:1 25:1 26:1 22:1 23:1 24:1 25:1 26:1 22:1 23:1 24:1 25:1 26:1 22:1 23:1 24:1 25:1 26:1 22:1 23:1 24:1 25:1 26:1 22:1 23:1 24:1 25:1 26:1 22:1 23:1 24:1 25:1 26:1 22:1 23:1 24:1 25:1 26:1 22:1 23:1 24:1 25:1 26:1 22:1 23:1 24:1 25:1 56:1 22:1 23:1 24:1 25:1 56:1 22:1 23:1 24:1 25:1 56:1 22:1 23:1 24:1 25:1 56:1 22:1	211:3 215:20 222:11	163:13.15.16.18 164:18	1817 21:5
282:8,20,23,24 286:8 289:13 year 29:19 40:2 48:16 49:22 54:13 60:15 75:17 102:2 105:13 120:16,17 143:9 170:14 171:10 174:18 175:3 179:22 180:5,8 199:8 209:3,4 225:16 249:20 251:6,7 252:14 253:23 257:13 262:4,8 270:4 284:17 285:15 yearly 29:18 years 10:24 19:8 26:2,3 27:18,19 33:24 38:6,6 42:11,13 43:10 47:14 49:10,15,23 57:5,8,23 27:18,19 33:24 38:6,6 42:11,13 43:10 47:14 49:10,15,23 57:5,8,23 109:9,10,10,11,12,20,24 110:3,4 126:5 135:20 150:18 165:22,23 172:19 184:14 192:10,14 196:10 197:7 198:3 201:10,21 202:8,20 208:8 213:18,19 213:21 214:5 225:5 230:10 234:2 238:20 239:3 242:14 246:7,8 247:18 248:24 253:13 254:16 255:3 256:16,16 261:13 266:9 272:6 273:14 274:2 283:3 284:11 yesterday 69:14 109:3 York 1:2,3,12,13 4:22 5:6 7:21 15:18,21,23 32:23 41:3,20,23 46:14 48:9 65:7 93:23 94:2 101:7 102:18 108:13 109:9 115:9 120:5 133:19 115:19 120:5 133:19 115:19 120:5 133:19 115:19 120:5 133:19 115:19 120:5 133:19 174:15,17,20 176:24 177:11,13,15,16,22 178:10 179:11 186:3 179:13 13 197:12 188:17 193:13 197:12 190:210:04:14 205:16,17 200:104:14 205:16,17 200:104:14 205:16,17 205:17 207:6,12 209:3,23 21 113:0 219:5 220:6 225:10 220:6,5 227:3,18 227:12 2,4 228:15,21 229:11,14,15,18 231:10 232:13,20,22 233:5,6,13 239:12 255:6,77 259:9 225:10 220:3 23-12 255 270:2 24 228:15,21 239:9,15,20 243+17,20 1997 75:15 76:13 1997 75:15 76:13 1999 75:12 2000 238:14 2000 238:14 2001 72:17 20:18 20:10,0,1 20:10 23:21 25:21 20:10 23:21 25:21 20:10 23:21 25:18 2000 238:14 2001 72:17 2000 238:14 2001 72:17 2000 238:14 20			1969 197:15
289:13 year 29:19 40:2 48:16 49:22 54:13 60:15 75:17 102:2 105:13 120:16;17 143:9 170:14 171:10 174:18 175:3 179:22 180:5,8 199:8 209:3,4 225:16 249:20 251:6,7 252:14 253:23 257:13 262:4,8 270:4 284:17 285:15 yearly 29:18 years 10:24 19:8 26:2,3 27:18,19 33:24 38:6,6 49:11,13 43:10 47:14 49:10,15;23 57:5,8,23 72:13,23 75:23 88:2,21 90:7 92:7 93:19 95:4 101:18 103:3,5 107:15 109:9,10,10,11,12,20,24 110:3,4 126:5 135:20 150:18 165:22,23 172:19 184:14 192:10,14 196:10 197:7 198:3 201:10,21 202:8,20 208:8 213:18,19 213:21 214:5 225:5 230:10 234:4 238:20 239:3 242:14 246:7,8 247:18 248:24 253:13 254:16 255:3 256:16,16 62:1:13 266:9 272:6 273:14 274:2 283:3 284:11 yeare 10:24 19:0:3 York 1:2,3,12,13 4:22 5:6 7:21 15:18,21,23 32:23 41:3,20,23 46:14 48:9 10:18 108:13 109:9 115:9 120:5 133:19 115:15 120:5 130:19 115:15 120:5 130:19 115:15 120:5 130:19 115:15 120:5 130:19 115:15 120:5 130:19 115:15 120:5 130:19 115:15 120:5 130:19 177:11,13,15,16,22 178:10 179:11 186:3 188:17 193:13 197:12 1202:10 204:14 205:16,17 205:17 207:6,12 209:3,23 210:4 212:14,17 213:6,7 205:17 207:6,12 209:3,23 210:4 212:14,17 213:6,7 213:20 219:5 220:6 220:10 224:15 227:3,18 227:12,24 228:15,21 1993 74:7 1994 72:17 1997 75:15 76:13 1999 74:7 1997 71:15 76:13 1999 74:7 1997 71:15 76:13 1999 74:7 1997 71:15 76:13 1999 74:7 1997 71:15 76:13 1999 74:7 1997 71:15 76:13 1991 16:22 1982 14:8 248:15 1982 214:8 248:15 1982 214:8 248:15 1982 214			1970 40:2.4
year 29:19 40:2 48:16 49:22 54:13 60:15 75:17 102:2 105:13 120:16,17 143:9 170:14 171:10 174:18 175:3 179:22 180:5,8 199.8 209:3,4 225:16 249:20 251:6,7 252:14 253:23 257:13 262:4,8 270:4 284:17 285:15 yearly 29:18 years 10:24 19:8 26:2,3 27:18,19 33:24 38:6,6 42:11,13 43:10 47:14 49:10,15,23 57:5,8,23 27:18,19 33:24 38:2,21 90:7 92:7 93:19 95:4 101:18 103:3,5 107:15 109:9,10,10,11,12,20,24 110:3,4 126:5 135:20 150:18 165:22,23 172:19 184:14 192:10,14 196:10 197: 7 198:3 201:10,21 202:8,20 208:8 213:18,19 213:21 214:5 225:5 230:10 234:4 238:20 239:3 242:14 246:7,8 247:18 248:24 253:13 254:16 255:3 256:16,16 261:13 266:9 272:6 273:14 274:2 283:3 284:11 yesterday 69:14 109:3 York 1:2,3,12,13 4:22 5:6 7:21 15:18,21,23 32:23 41:3,20,23 46:14 48:9 102:18 108:13 109:9 115:9 120:5 133:19 15:11 20:13 109:19 15:15 120:13 109:19 15:15 120:13 109:19 15:15 120:13 109:19 15:15 120:13 109:2 11:10 13:10 178:10 179:11 186:3 188:17 193:13 197:12 202:10 204:14 205:16,17 202:10 204:14 205:16,17 202:10 204:14 205:16,17 202:10 204:14 205:16,17 202:19 209:3,23 210:4 212:14,17 213:6,7 227:22,24 228:15,21 229:11,14,15,18 231:10 232:23 234:15 249:18 233:20 224:11 238:21 229:11,14,15,18 231:10 232:23 234:15 249:18 233:20 224:17 28:16 229:13,14 27:2 28:13 229:11 225:18 230:10 234:17 206:16 225:10 226:15 227:3,18 239:9,15,20 243:17,20 2000 238:14 2000 79:17 2000 79:10 2000 79:17 2000 79:17 2000 79:17 2000 79:17 2000 79:17 2000 79:17 2000 79:17 2000 79:17 2000 79:17 2000 79:17 2000 79:17 2000 79:17 2000 79:17 2000 79:17 2000 79:17 2000 79:10 2000 79:17 2000 79:17 2000 79:17 2000 79:17 2000 79:17 2000 79:17 2000 79:17 2000 79:17 2000 79:17 2000 79:17 2000			,
49:22 54:13 60:15 75:17 102:2 105:13 120:16,17 143:9 170:14 171:10 174:18 175:3 179:22 180:5,8 199:8 209:3,4 225:16 249:20 251:6,7 252:14 253:23 257:13 262:4,8 270:4 284:17 285:15 yearly 29:18 years 10:24 19:8 26:2,3 27:18,19 33:24 38:6,6 42:11,13 43:10 47:14 49:10,15,23 57:5,8,23 72:13,23 75:23 88:2,21 90:7 92:7 93:19 95:4 101:18 103:3,5 107:15 109:9,10,10,11,12,20,24 110:3,4 126:5 135:20 190:9,10,10,11,12,20,24 110:3,4 126:5 135:20 190:10,21 202:8,20 208:8 213:18,19 213:21 214:5 225:5 230:10 234:4 238:20 239:3 242:14 246:7,8 247:18 248:24 253:13 252:16 229:15,24,21 199:2 young 42:21 47:18 62:2 139:12 202:8,20 208:8 213:18,19 213:21 214:5 225:5 230:10 234:4 238:20 239:3 242:14 246:7,8 247:18 248:24 253:13 252:16,21 139:12 220:2,20 238:33 284:11 yesterday 69:14 109:3 York 1:2,3,12,13 4:22 5:6 7:21 15:18,21,23 32:23 41:3,20,23 46:14 48:9 05:37:6 710:18 108:13 109:9 115:9 120:5 133:19 1291:5,9 135:10 1700s 20:24 1797 21:3	vear 29:19 40:2 48:16	, , , ,	
102:2 105:13 120:16,17 143:9 170:14 171:10 174:18 175:3 179:22 180:5,8 199:8 209:3,4 225:16 249:20 251:6,7 252:14 253:23 257:13 262:4,8 270:4 284:17 285:15 yearly 29:18 years 10:24 19:8 26:2,3 27:18,19 33:24 38:6,6 42:11,13 43:10 47:14 49:10,15,23 57:5,8,23 72:13,23 75:23 88:2,21 90:7 92:7 93:19 95:4 101:18 103:3,5 107:15 1099,10,10,11,12,20,24 110:3,4 126:5 135:20 150:18 165:22,23 172:19 184:14 192:10,14 196:10 197:7 198:3 201:10,21 202:8,20 208:8 213:18,19 213:21 214:5 225:5 230:10 234:4 238:20 239:3 242:14 246:7,8 247:18 248:15 1984 210:10,11 248:15 1985 210:10,11 248:15 1987 40:13 42:20 76:16 1993 74:7 1994 72:17 1994 72:17 1994 72:17 1999 5:12 2000 238:14 2000 238:14 2001 72:17 2000 238:14 2001 72:17 2000 238:14 2001 72:17 2005 74:7 226:16 2006 76:13 2000 172:17 2006 76:13 2000 238:14 2001 72:17 2005 74:7 226:16 2006 76:13 2007 1:10 2010 283:20 27th 287:13 290 291:9 152:14,21 199:2 2000 238:14 2001 72:17 2002 38:14 2001 72:17 2002 38:14 2001 72:17 2005 74:7 226:16 2006 76:13 2007 1:10 2010 283:20 27th 287:13 290 291:9 152:14,21 199:2 2006 76:13 2007 1:10 2010 283:20 27th 287:13 290 291:9 152:14,21 199:2 2006 76:13 2007 1:10 2010 283:20 27th 287:13 290 291:9 152:14,21 199:2 2006 76:13 2007 1:10 2010 283:20 27th 287:13 290 291:9 152:14,21 199:2 2006 76:13 2007 1:10 2011 28:10:14,15:11 2011 28:15 2011 24:12:14; 25:12 2000 238:14 2001 72:17 2005 74:7 226:16 2006 76:13 2007 1:10 2010 283:20 27th 287:13 290 291:9 21:21 23:13:13:13 21:13:14:15:11:16:1 22:1 23:13:14:15:1 22:1 23:13:14:15:1 22:1 23:13:13:13:13:10 17:1 18:1 19:1 20:1 21:1 22:1 23:1 24:1 25:1 26:1 22:1 23:1 24:1 25:1 26:1 22:1 23:1 24:1 25:1 26:1 22:1 23:1 24:1 25:1 26:1 2006 76:13 2007 1:10 2010 283:20 27th 287:13 290 291:9 21:22 2:1 23:1 24:1 25:1 26:1 22:1 23:1 24:1 25:1 26:1 22:1 23:1 24:1 25:1 26:1 22:1 23:1 24:1 25:1 26:1 22:1 23:1 24:1 25:1 26:1 22:1 23:1 24:1 25:1 26:1 22:1 23:1 24:1 25:1 26:1 22:1 23:1 24:1 25:1 26:1 22:1 23:1 24:1 25:1 26:1 22:1 23:1 24:1 25:1 26:1 22:1 23:1 24:1 25:1 26:1 22:1 23:1 24:1 25:1 26:1 22:1 23:1 24:1 25:1			
143:9 170:14 171:10 174:18 175:3 179:22 180:5,8 199:8 209:3,4 225:16 249:20 251:6,7 252:14 253:23 257:13 262:4,8 270:4 284:17 285:15 yearly 29:18 yearly 29:18 yearly 29:18 yearly 29:18 yearly 29:18 49:10,15,23 57:5,8,23 27:18,19 33:24 38:6,6 42:11,13 43:10 47:14 49:10,15,23 57:5,8,23 109:9,10,10,11,12,20,24 110:18 103:3,5 107:15 109:9,10,10,11,12,20,24 110:3,4 126:5 135:20 150:18 165:22,23 172:19 184:14 192:10,14 196:10 197:7 198:3 201:10,21 202:8,20 208:8 213:18,19 213:21 214:5 225:5 230:10 234:4 238:20 239:3 242:14 246:7,8 247:18 248:24 253:13 254:16 255:3 256:16,16 261:13 266:9 272:6 273:14 274:2 283:3 284:11 yesterday 69:14 109:3 York 1:2,3,12,13 4:22 5:6 7:21 15:18,21,23 32:23 41:3,20,23 46:14 489; 055 37:6 721 15:18,21,23 32:23 41:3,20,23 46:14 489; 105:19 120:5 133:19 115:9 120:5 133:19 115:9 120:5 133:19 115:9 120:5 133:19 115:9 120:5 133:19 115:9 120:5 133:19 125:14,21 199:2 115:9 120:5 133:19 115:9 120:5 133:19 115:9 120:5 133:19 115:9 120:5 133:19 120:8 20:10 20:4 14:5 16:11 205:17 207:6,12 209:3,23 210:4 212:14,17 213:6,7 213:20 219:5 220:6 225:10 226:15 227:3,18 229:11,14,15,18 231:10 232:13,20,22 233:5,6,13 233:20 234:11 238:21 239:9,15,20 243:17,20 245:5,8,9 248:15 249:18 253:12 255:6,77, 259:9 259:11 262:3 269:5 272:14 277:18,19 278:3 272:24 228:15,21 2900 238:14 2000 238:14 2000 72:17 2005 74:7 226:16 2006 76:13 2007 1:10 2008 74:7 226:16 2006 76:13 2007 1:10 2010 283:20 27th 287:13 290 291:9 2010 283:14 2001 72:17 2005 74:7 226:16 2016 76:13 2007 1:10 2010 283:20 27th 287:13 290 291:9 211:13:11 14:11:1:11:11 22:11 13:11 14:11:15:11 6:1 22:1 23:1 14:1 5:11 6:1 22:1 23:1 14:1 5:11 6:1 22:1 23:1 34:1 5:1 25:1 33:1 34:1 35:1 36:1 37:1 38:1 39:1 40:1 45:1 42:1 43:1 44:1 45:1 46:1 47:1 48:1 49:1 50:1 5:1			
174:18 175:3 179:22 180:5,8 199:8 209:3,4 225:16 249:20 251:6,7 252:14 253:23 257:13 262:4,8 270:4 284:17 285:15 yearly 29:18 years 10:24 19:8 26:2,3 27:18,19 33:24 38:6,6 42:11,13 43:10 47:14 49:10,15,23 57:5,8,23 72:13,23 75:23 88:2,21 90:7 92:7 93:19 95:4 101:18 103:3,5 107:15 109:9,10,10,11,12,20,24 110:3,4 126:5 135:20 150:18 165:22,23 172:19 184:14 192:10,14 196:10 197:7 198:3 201:10,21 202:8,20 208:8 213:18,19 213:21 214:5 225:5 230:10 234:4 238:20 239:9,15,20 243:17,20 245:5,8,9 248:15 249:18 250:8,9 251:12 252:18 253:12 255:6,7,7 259:9 259:11 262:3 269:5 272:14 277:18,19 278:3 278:16 280:5 283:3,4 288:9 young 42:21 47:18 62:2 150:18 165:22,23 172:19 184:14 192:10,14 196:10 2197:7 198:3 201:10,21 202:8,20 208:8 213:18,19 213:21 214:5 225:5 230:10 234:4 238:20 239:3 242:14 246:7,8 247:18 248:24 253:13 254:16 255:3 256:16,16 261:13 266:9 272:6 273:14 274:2 283:3 284:11 yesterday 69:14 109:3 York 1:2,3,12,13 4:22 5:6 7:21 15:18,21,23 32:23 41:3,20,23 46:14 48:9 65:7 93:23 94:2 101:7 102:18 108:13 109:9 115:9 120:5 133:19 1700s 20:24 1707 21:3	,		
180:5,8 199:8 209:3,4 225:16 249:20 251:6,7 252:14 253:23 257:13 262:4,8 270:4 284:17 285:15 yearly 29:18 years 10:24 19:8 26:2,3 27:18,19 33:24 38:6,6 42:11,13 43:10 47:14 49:10,15,23 57:5,8,23 72:13,23 75:23 88:2,21 90:7 92:7 93:19 95:4 101:18 103:3,5 107:15 109:9,10,10,11,12,20,24 110:3,4 126:5 135:20 150:18 165:22,23 172:19 184:14 192:10,14 196:10 197:7 198:3 201:10,21 202:8,20 208:8 213:18,19 213:21 214:5 225:5 239:13 242:14 246:7,8 247:18 248:24 253:13 254:16 255:3 256:16,16 261:13 266:9 272:6 273:14 274:2 283:3 284:11 yesterday 69:14 109:3 York 1:2,3,12,13 4:22 5:6 7:21 15:18,21,23 32:23 41:3,20,23 46:14 48:9 65:7 93:23 94:2 101:7 102:18 108:13 109:9 115:9 120:5 133:19 120:14,27 103 120:14,27 103 120:14,27 103 120:18 108:13 109:9 115:9 120:5 133:19 120:14,27 103 120:14,27 103 120:14,27 103 120:14,27 103 120:14,27 103 120:14,27 103 120:14,27 103 120:14,27 103 120:14,27 103 120:14,27 103 120:15,20 243:17,20 245:5,8,9 248:15 249:18 253:12 255:6,7,7 259:9 259:11 262:3 269:5 272:14 277:18,19 278:3 278:16 280:5 283:3,4 278:16 280:5 283:3,4 288:9 279:12 427:22,24 228:15,21 229:11,14,15,18 231:10 232:13,20,22 33:5,6,13 233:20 234:11 238:21 239:9,15,20 243:17,20 245:5,8,9 248:15 249:18 250:8,9 251:12 252:18 2000 238:14 2000 7:17 2005 74:7 226:16 2006 76:13 2007 1:10 2006 76:13 2007 1:10 2010 238:20 27th 287:10 2006 76:13 2007 1:10 2010 238:20 27th 287:10 290 291:9 2009 291:9 2000 79:7 2005 74:7 226:16 2006 76:13 2007 1:10 2010 238:20 27th 287:10 21 13:14:15:1 16:1 22 2000 238:14 24001 72:17 2005 74:7 226:16 2006 76:13 2007 1:10 2010 238:20 27th 287:10 21 33:13 21 214:15:1 22 2000 238:14 230:10 238:20 27th 287:10 22 2000 238:14 2			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
225:16 249:20 251:6,7 252:14 253:23 257:13 262:4,8 270:4 284:17 285:15 yearly 29:18 years 10:24 19:8 26:2,3 27:18,19 33:24 38:6,6 42:11,13 43:10 47:14 49:10,15,23 57:5,8,23 72:13,23 75:23 88:2,21 90:7 92:7 93:19 95:4 101:18 103:3,5 107:15 109:9,10,11,12,20,24 110:3,4 126:5 135:20 150:18 165:22,23 172:19 184:14 192:10,14 196:10 197:7 198:3 201:10,21 202:8,20 208:8 213:18,19 213:21 214:5 225:5 239:9,15,20 243:17,20 245:5,8,9 248:15 249:18 250:8,9 251:12 252:18 259:11 262:3 269:5 272:14 277:18,19 278:3 278:16 280:5 283:3,4 288:9 young 42:21 47:18 62:2 152:14,21 199:2 young 42:21 47:18 62:2 152:14,21 199:2 young 42:21 47:18 62:2 152:14,21 199:2 youth 30:9 135:15 136:21 139:12 youth 30:9 135:15 136:21 139:12 youth 115:12 176:13 254:16 255:3 256:16,16 261:13 266:9 272:6 273:14 274:2 283:3 284:11 yesterday 69:14 109:3 York 1:2,3,12,13 4:22 5:6 7:21 15:18,21,23 32:3 41:3,20,23 46:14 48:9 65:7 93:23 94:2 101:7 102:18 108:13 109:9 115:9 120:5 133:19 1700s 20:24 1797 21:3			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
252:14 253:23 257:13 262:48 270:4 284:17 285:15 yearly 29:18 years 10:24 19:8 26:2,3 27:18,19 33:24 38:6,6 42:11,13 43:10 47:14 49:10,15,23 57:5,8,23 72:13,23 75:23 88:2,21 90:7 92:7 93:19 95:4 101:18 103:3,5 107:15 109:9,10,10,11,11,2,0,24 110:3,4 126:5 135:20 150:18 165:22,23 172:19 184:14 192:10,14 196:10 197:7 198:3 201:10,21 202:8,20 208:8 213:18,19 213:21 214:5 225:5 230:10 234:4 238:20 239:3 242:14 246:7,8 247:18 248:24 253:13 254:16 255:3 256:16,16 261:13 266:9 272:6 273:14 274:2 283:3 284:11 yesterday 69:14 109:3 Vork 1:2,3,12,13 4:22 5:6 7:21 15:18,21,23 32:23 41:3,20,23 46:14 48:9 65:7 93:23 94:2 101:7 102:18 108:13 109:9 115:9 120:5 133:19			
262:4,8 270:4 284:17 285:15 yearty 29:18 years 10:24 19:8 26:2,3 27:18,19 33:24 38:6,6 42:11,13 43:10 47:14 49:10,15,23 57:5,8,23 72:13,23 75:23 88:2,21 90:7 92:7 93:19 95:4 101:18 103:3,5 107:15 109:9,10,10,11,12,20,24 110:3,4 126:5 135:20 150:18 165:22,23 172:19 184:14 192:10,14 196:10 197:7 198:3 201:10,21 202:8,20 208:8 213:18,19 213:21 214:5 225:5 230:10 234:4 238:20 239:3 242:14 246:7,8 247:18 248:24 253:13 254:16 255:3 256:16,16 261:13 266:9 272:6 273:14 274:2 283:3 284:11 yesterday 69:14 109:3 York 1:2,3,12,13 4:22 5:6 7:21 15:18,21,23 32:23 41:3,20,23 46:14 48:9 65:7 93:23 94:2 101:7 102:18 108:13 109:9 115:9 120:5 133:19 229:11,14,15,18 231:10 232:13,20,22 233:5,6,13 233:20 234:11,238:21 239:9,15,20 243:17,20 245:5,8,9 248:15 249:18 250:8,9 251:12 252:18 259:11 262:3 269:5 272:14 277:18,19 278:3 278:16 280:5 283:3,4 290 291:9 2006 76:13 2007 1:10 2010 283:20 27th 287:13 290 291:9 2007 1:10 2010 283:20 27th 287:13 290 291:9 3 rd 165:23 31st 75:20 76:4 86:9 27th 287:13 24:15 13:14:1 5:1 66 6-13-2007 2:1 3:1 4:1 5:1 61:17:1 18:1 19:1 20:1 21:1 12:1 13:1 14:1 15:1 16:1 17:1 18:1 19:1 20:1 21:1 22:1 23:1 24:1 25:1 26:1 20:13 2:1 3:1 3:1 3:1 3:1 3:1:10 1700s 20:24 1797 21:3		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
285:15 yearly 29:18 years 10:24 19:8 26:2,3 27:18,19 33:24 38:6,6 42:11,13 43:10 47:14 49:10,15,23 57:5,8,23 72:13,23 75:23 88:2,21 90:7 92:7 93:19 95:4 101:18 103:3,5 107:15 109:9,10,10,11,12,20,24 110:3,4 126:5 135:20 150:18 165:22,23 172:19 184:14 192:10,14 196:10 197:7 198:3 201:10,21 202:8,20 208:8 213:18,19 213:21 214:5 225:5 230:10 234:4 238:20 239:3 242:14 246:7,8 247:18 248:24 253:13 254:16 255:3 256:16,16 261:13 266:9 272:6 273:14 274:2 283:3 284:11 yesterday 69:14 109:3 York 1:2,3,12,13 4:22 5:6 7:21 15:18,21,23 32:23 41:3,20,23 46:14 48:9 65:7 93:23 94:2 101:7 102:18 108:13 109:9 115:9 120:5 133:19 232:13,20,22 233:5,6,13 233:20 234:11 238:21 239:9,15,20 243:17,20 245:5,8,9 248:15 249:18 250:8,9 251:12 252:18 259:11 262:3 269:5 272:14 277:18,19 278:3 278:16 280:5 283:3,4 288:9 young 42:21 47:18 62:2 152:14,21 199:2 youth 30:9 135:15 136:21 139:12 youthful 15:12 176:13 233:20 234:14 238:20 2700 238:14 2001 72:17 2005 74:7 226:16 2006 76:13 2007 1:10 2010 283:20 27th 287:13 290 291:9 3 3rd 165:23 31st 75:20 76:4 86:9 27			
yearly 29:18 years 10:24 19:8 26:2,3 27:18,19 33:24 38:6,6 42:11,13 43:10 47:14 49:10,15,23 57:5,8,23 72:13,23 75:23 88:2,21 90:7 92:7 93:19 95:4 101:18 103:3,5 107:15 109:9,10,10,11,12,20,24 110:3,4 126:5 135:20 150:18 165:22,23 172:19 184:14 192:10,14 196:10 197:7 198:3 201:10,21 202:8,20 208:8 213:18,19 213:21 214:5 225:5 230:10 234:4 238:20 239:3 242:14 246:7,8 247:18 248:24 253:13 254:16 255:3 256:16,16 261:13 266:9 272:6 273:14 277:28:13 222 2000 238:14 2001 72:17 2005 74:7 226:16 2007 1:10 2007 1:10 2010 283:20 27th 287:13 290 291:9 231:21 214:5 225:5 20uth 30:9 135:15 136:21 139:12 202:8,20 208:8 213:18,19 235:16,19 235:16,19 231:21 214:5 225:6 26-13-2007 2:1 3:1 4:1 5:1 6:1 7:1 8:1 9:1 10:1 11:1 12:1 13:1 14:1 15:1 16:1 17:1 18:1 19:1 20:1 21:1 17:1			
years 10:24 19:8 26:2,3 239:9,15,20 243:17,20 245:5,8,9 248:15 249:18 2000 238:14 27:18,19 33:24 38:6,6 42:11,13 43:10 47:14 49:10,15,23 57:5,8,23 255:12 255:6,7, 259:9 2000 238:14 49:10,15,23 57:5,8,23 72:13,23 75:23 88:2,21 259:11 262:3 269:5 2000 574:7 226:16 90:7 92:7 93:19 95:4 259:11 262:3 269:5 2005 74:7 226:16 101:18 103:3,5 107:15 109:9,10,10,11,12,20,24 288:9 100:3,4 126:5 135:20 150:18 165:22,23 172:19 184:14 192:10,14 196:10 197:7 198:3 201:10,21 197:7 198:3 201:10,21 2008 28:21 47:18 62:2 152:14,21 199:2 290 291:9 230:10 234:4 238:20 239:3 242:14 246:7,8 235:16,19 3 247:18 248:24 253:13 255:16,19 257:12 15:18,21,23 32:23 4 273:14 274:2 283:3 284:11 2005 74:7 226:16 6 272:14 277:18,19 278:3 290 291:9 3 3rd 165:23 31st 75:20 76:4 86:9 27th 287:13 290:19:9 3 27th 287:13 290 291:9 26-13-2007 2:1 3:1 4:1 5:1 6 6-13-2007 2:1 3:1 4:1 5:1 6:1 7:1 8:1 9:1 10:1 11:1			
27:18,19 33:24 38:6,6 42:11,13 43:10 47:14 49:10,15,23 57:5,8,23 72:13,23 75:23 88:2,21 90:7 92:7 93:19 95:4 101:18 103:3,5 107:15 109:9,10,10,11,12,20,24 110:3,4 126:5 135:20 150:18 165:22,23 172:19 184:14 192:10,14 196:10 197:7 198:3 201:10,21 202:8,20 208:8 213:18,19 213:21 214:5 225:5 230:10 234:4 238:20 239:3 242:14 246:7,8 247:18 248:24 253:13 254:16 255:3 256:16,16 261:13 266:9 272:6 273:14 274:2 283:3 284:11 yesterday 69:14 109:3 York 1:2,3,12,13 4:22 5:6 7:21 15:18,21,23 32:23 41:3,20,23 46:14 48:9 65:7 93:23 94:2 101:7 102:18 108:13 109:9 115:9 120:5 133:19 245:5,8,9 248:15 249:18 250:12 255:6,7,7 259:9 259:11 262:3 269:5 272:14 277:18,19 278:3 278:16 280:5 283:3,4 278:16 280:5 283:3,4 288:9 young 42:21 47:18 62:2 152:14,21 199:2 youthful 15:12 176:13 235:16,19 Z Z Zee 15:22 zone 147:21 zones 238:2 1 1291:5,9 13 1:10 1700s 20:24 1797 21:3 2000 238:14 2001 72:17 2005 74:7 226:16 2006 76:13 2006 76:13 2009 291:9 2010 283:20 27th 287:13 290 291:9 3 3rd 165:23 31st 75:20 76:4 86:9 266 6-13-2007 2:1 3:1 4:1 5:1 6:1 7:1 8:1 9:1 10:1 11:1 12:1 13:1 14:1 15:1 16:1 17:1 18:1 19:1 20:1 21:1 12:1 3:1 14:1 15:1 16:1 17:1 18:1 19:1 20:1 21:1 17:1 18:1 19:1 20:1 21:1 17:1 18:1 19:1 20:1 21:1 22:1 23:1 24:1 25:1 26:1 27:1 28:1 29:1 30:1 31:1 27:1 28	, ,		2
42:11,13 43:10 47:14 49:10,15,23 57:5,8,23 72:13,23 75:23 88:2,21 90:7 92:7 93:19 95:4 101:18 103:3,5 107:15 109:9,10,10,11,12,20,24 110:3,4 126:5 135:20 150:18 165:22,23 172:19 184:14 192:10,14 196:10 197:7 198:3 201:10,21 202:8,20 208:8 213:18,19 213:21 214:5 225:5 230:10 234:4 238:20 239:3 242:14 246:7,8 247:18 248:24 253:13 254:16 255:3 256:16,16 261:13 266:9 272:6 273:14 274:2 283:3 284:11 yesterday 69:14 109:3 York 1:2,3,12,13 4:22 5:6 7:21 15:18,21,23 32:23 41:3,20,23 46:14 48:9 65:7 93:23 94:2 101:7 102:18 108:13 109:9 115:9 120:5 133:19 1250:18,21 105:18 25:16,21 250:8,9 251:12 252:18 253:12 255:6,7,7 259:9 259:11 262:3 269:5 272:14 277:18,19 278:3 278:16 280:5 283:3,4 288:9 290 291:9 290 291:9 23 3rd 165:23 3rd 165:23 31st 75:20 76:4 86:9 250:18 12 25:18 2006 76:13 2007 1:10 2010 283:20 27th 287:13 290 291:9 3 3rd 165:23 31st 75:20 76:4 86:9 250:18 12 25:18 2007 7:10 2010 283:20 27th 287:13 290 291:9 3 3rd 165:23 31st 75:20 76:4 86:9 250:18 13:20 27th 287:13 290 291:9 3 3rd 165:23 31st 75:20 76:4 86:9 250:18 13:20 27th 287:13 290 291:9 3 3rd 165:23 31st 75:20 76:4 86:9 250:18 13:20 27th 287:13 290 291:9 3 3rd 165:23 31st 75:20 76:4 86:9 250:18 13:20 27th 287:13 290 291:9 31st 75:20 76:4 86:9 4:50 1:11 22:1 2:1 13:1 14:1 15:1 12:1 13:1 14:1			2000 238:14
49:10,15,23 57:5,8,23 72:13,23 75:23 88:2,21 90:7 92:7 93:19 95:4 101:18 103:3,5 107:15 109:9,10,10,11,12,20,24 110:3,4 126:5 135:20 150:18 165:22,23 172:19 184:14 192:10,14 196:10 197:7 198:3 201:10,21 202:8,20 208:8 213:18,19 213:21 214:5 225:5 230:10 234:4 238:20 239:3 242:14 246:7,8 247:18 248:24 253:13 254:16 255:3 256:16,16 261:13 266:9 272:6 273:14 274:2 283:3 284:11 yesterday 69:14 109:3 York 1:2,3,12,13 4:22 5:6 7:21 15:18,21,23 32:23 41:3,20,23 46:14 48:9 65:7 93:23 94:2 101:7 102:18 108:13 109:9 115:9 120:5 133:19 1253:12 255:6,7,7 259:9 259:11 262:3 269:5 272:14 277:18,19 278:3 278:16 280:5 283:3,4 288:9 young 42:21 47:18 62:2 152:14,21 199:2 young 42:21 47:18 62:2 152:14,21 199:2 youth 30:9 135:15 136:21 139:12 youthful 15:12 176:13 235:16,19 Z Zee 15:22 zone 147:21 zones 238:2		, ,	2001 72:17
72:13,23 75:23 88:2,21 90:7 92:7 93:19 95:4 101:18 103:3,5 107:15 109:9,10,10,11,12,20,24 110:3,4 126:5 135:20 150:18 165:22,23 172:19 184:14 192:10,14 196:10 197:7 198:3 201:10,21 202:8,20 208:8 213:18,19 213:21 214:5 225:5 230:10 234:4 238:20 239:3 242:14 246:7,8 247:18 248:24 253:13 254:16 255:3 256:16,16 261:13 266:9 272:6 273:14 274:2 283:3 284:11 yesterday 69:14 109:3 York 1:2,3,12,13 4:22 5:6 7:21 15:18,21,23 32:23 41:3,20,23 46:14 48:9 65:7 93:23 94:2 101:7 102:18 108:13 109:9 115:9 120:5 133:19 125:11 262:3 269:5 272:14 277:18,19 278:3 278:16 280:5 283:3,4 288:9 young 42:21 47:18 62:2 152:14,21 199:2 younger 58:9,13,16 136:22 youth 30:9 135:15 136:21 139:12 Youthful 15:12 176:13 23 3rd 165:23 31st 75:20 76:4 86:9 27:1 28:1 29:1 3:1 4:1 5:1 6:1 7:1 8:1 9:1 10:1 11:1 12:1 13:1 14:1 15:1 16:1 17:1 18:1 19:1 20:1 21:1 22:1 23:1 24:1 25:1 26:1 27:1 28:1 29:1 30:1 31:1 32:1 33:1 34:1 35:1 36:1 37:1 38:1 39:1 40:1 41:1 42:1 43:1 44:1 45:1 46:1 47:1 48:1 49:1 50:1 51:1 52:1 52:1 53:1 54:1 55:1 56:1			2005 74:7 226:16
90:7 92:7 93:19 95:4 101:18 103:3,5 107:15 109:9,10,10,11,12,20,24 110:3,4 126:5 135:20 150:18 165:22,23 172:19 184:14 192:10,14 196:10 197:7 198:3 201:10,21 202:8,20 208:8 213:18,19 213:21 214:5 225:5 230:10 234:4 238:20 239:3 242:14 246:7,8 247:18 248:24 253:13 254:16 255:3 256:16,16 261:13 266:9 272:6 273:14 274:2 283:3 284:11 yesterday 69:14 109:3 York 1:2,3,12,13 4:22 5:6 7:21 15:18,21,23 32:23 41:3,20,23 46:14 48:9 65:7 93:23 94:2 101:7 102:18 108:13 109:9 115:9 120:5 133:19 1272:14 277:18,19 278:3 278:16 280:5 283:3,4 288:9 young 42:21 47:18 62:2 152:14,21 199:2 youth 30:9 135:15 136:21 139:12 youthful 15:12 176:13 235:16,19 Z Zee 15:22 zone 147:21 zones 238:2 1 12:1 13:1 14:1 5:1 6:1 7:1 8:1 9:1 10:1 11:1 12:1 13:1 14:1 15:1 16:1 17:1 18:1 19:1 20:1 21:1 22:1 23:1 24:1 25:1 26:1 27:1 28:1 29:1 30:1 31:1 32:1 33:1 34:1 35:1 36:1 37:1 38:1 39:1 40:1 41:1 42:1 43:1 44:1 45:1 46:1 47:1 48:1 49:1 50:1 51:1 52:1 52:1 53:1 54:1 55:1 56:1		7 7	2006 76:13
101:18 103:3,5 107:15 109:9,10,10,11,12,20,24 110:3,4 126:5 135:20 150:18 165:22,23 172:19 184:14 192:10,14 196:10 197:7 198:3 201:10,21 202:8,20 208:8 213:18,19 213:21 214:5 225:5 230:10 234:4 238:20 239:3 242:14 246:7,8 247:18 248:24 253:13 254:16 255:3 256:16,16 261:13 266:9 272:6 273:14 274:2 283:3 284:11 yesterday 69:14 109:3 York 1:2,3,12,13 4:22 5:6 7:21 15:18,21,23 32:23 41:3,20,23 46:14 48:9 65:7 93:23 94:2 101:7 102:18 108:13 109:9 115:9 120:5 133:19 278:16 280:5 283:3,4 288:9 young 42:21 47:18 62:2 152:14,21 199:2 youth 30:9 135:15 136:21 139:12 youthful 15:12 176:13 235:16,19 Z Zee 15:22 zone 147:21 zones 238:2 1 22:1 23:1 24:1 25:1 26:1 22:1 23:1 24:1 25:1 26:1 22:1 23:1 24:1 25:1 26:1 22:1 23:1 32:1 33:1 34:1 35:1 36:1 37:1 38:1 39:1 40:1 41:1 42:1 43:1 44:1 45:1 46:1 47:1 48:1 49:1 50:1 51:1 52:1 53:1 54:1 55:1 56:1			2007 1:10
288:9 109:9,10,10,11,12,20,24 110:3,4 126:5 135:20 150:18 165:22,23 172:19 184:14 192:10,14 196:10 197:7 198:3 201:10,21 202:8,20 208:8 213:18,19 213:21 214:5 225:5 230:10 234:4 238:20 239:3 242:14 246:7,8 247:18 248:24 253:13 254:16 255:3 256:16,16 261:13 266:9 272:6 273:14 274:2 283:3 284:11 yesterday 69:14 109:3 York 1:2,3,12,13 4:22 5:6 7:21 15:18,21,23 32:23 41:3,20,23 46:14 48:9 65:7 93:23 94:2 101:7 102:18 108:13 109:9 115:9 120:5 133:19 288:9 young 42:21 47:18 62:2 152:14,21 199:2 younger 58:9,13,16 136:22 youth 30:9 135:15 136:21 139:12 235:16,19 Z Zee 15:22 zone 147:21 zones 238:2		*	2010 283:20
110:3,4 126:5 135:20 150:18 165:22,23 172:19 184:14 192:10,14 196:10 197:7 198:3 201:10,21 202:8,20 208:8 213:18,19 213:21 214:5 225:5 230:10 234:4 238:20 239:3 242:14 246:7,8 247:18 248:24 253:13 254:16 255:3 256:16,16 261:13 266:9 272:6 273:14 274:2 283:3 284:11	,	-	27th 287:13
150:18 165:22,23 172:19 184:14 192:10,14 196:10 197:7 198:3 201:10,21 202:8,20 208:8 213:18,19 213:21 214:5 225:5 230:10 234:4 238:20 239:3 242:14 246:7,8 247:18 248:24 253:13 254:16 255:3 256:16,16 261:13 266:9 272:6 273:14 274:2 283:3 284:11 284:11 284:11 284:11 294:24 253:6 7:21 15:18,21,23 32:23 41:3,20,23 46:14 48:9 65:7 93:23 94:2 101:7 102:18 108:13 109:9 135:15 136:21 152:14,21 199:2 29outh 30:9 135:15 136:21 139:12 29outhul 15:12 176:13 235:16,19			290 291:9
184:14 192:10,14 196:10 197:7 198:3 201:10,21 202:8,20 208:8 213:18,19 213:21 214:5 225:5 230:10 234:4 238:20 239:3 242:14 246:7,8 247:18 248:24 253:13 254:16 255:3 256:16,16 261:13 266:9 272:6 273:14 274:2 283:3 284:11 284:24 253:3 284:11 284:24 253:3 284:11 284:24 253:3 284:11 284:24 253:3 284:11 284:24 253:3 284:11 284:24 253:3 284:11 284:24 253:3 284:11 285:24 253:3 284:11 285:24 253:3 284:11 285:24 253:3 284:11 285:24 253:3 284:11 285:24 253:3 284:11 285:24 253:3 284:11 285:24 253:3 285:2		·	
197:7 198:3 201:10,21 202:8,20 208:8 213:18,19 213:21 214:5 225:5 230:10 234:4 238:20 239:3 242:14 246:7,8 247:18 248:24 253:13 254:16 255:3 256:16,16 261:13 266:9 272:6 273:14 274:2 283:3 284:11 2		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
139:12 139:12 139:12 139:12 139:12 139:12 139:12 139:13 139:12 139:13 1		•	
Vouthful 15:12 176:13 230:10 234:4 238:20 235:16,19	T	· ·	31st 75:20 76:4 86:9
230:10 234:4 238:20 239:3 242:14 246:7,8 247:18 248:24 253:13 254:16 255:3 256:16,16 261:13 266:9 272:6 273:14 274:2 283:3 284:11 yesterday 69:14 109:3 York 1:2,3,12,13 4:22 5:6 7:21 15:18,21,23 32:23 41:3,20,23 46:14 48:9 65:7 93:23 94:2 101:7 102:18 108:13 109:9 115:9 120:5 133:19 235:16,19 Z Zee 15:22 zone 147:21 zones 238:2 0 05 37:6 0 05 37:6 1 1291:5,9 13 1:10 1700s 20:24 1797 21:3 235:16,19 4:50 1:11 4:50 1:11 4:50 1:11 4:50 1:11 4:50 1:11 50 6-13-2007 2:1 3:1 4:1 5:1 6:1 7:1 8:1 9:1 10:1 11:1 12:1 13:1 14:1 15:1 16:1 17:1 18:1 19:1 20:1 21:1 22:1 23:1 24:1 25:1 26:1 27:1 28:1 29:1 30:1 31:1 42:1 43:1 44:1 45:1 46:1 47:1 48:1 49:1 50:1 51:1 52:1 53:1 54:1 55:1 56:1	,		<u> </u>
239:3 242:14 246:7,8 247:18 248:24 253:13 254:16 255:3 256:16,16 261:13 266:9 272:6 273:14 274:2 283:3 284:11 yesterday 69:14 109:3 York 1:2,3,12,13 4:22 5:6 7:21 15:18,21,23 32:23 41:3,20,23 46:14 48:9 65:7 93:23 94:2 101:7 102:18 108:13 109:9 115:9 120:5 133:19 220 Tee 15:22 zone 147:21 zones 238:2 0 0 05 37:6 1291:5,9 13 1:10 1700s 20:24 1797 21:3 143.0 1.11 6 6-13-2007 2:1 3:1 4:1 5:1 6:1 7:1 8:1 9:1 10:1 11:1 17:1 18:1 19:1 20:1 21:1 22:1 23:1 24:1 25:1 26:1 27:1 28:1 29:1 30:1 31:1 32:1 33:1 34:1 35:1 36:1 42:1 43:1 44:1 45:1 46:1 47:1 48:1 49:1 50:1 51:1 52:1 53:1 54:1 55:1 56:1		•	
247:18 248:24 253:13 Z 254:16 255:3 256:16,16 Zee 15:22 261:13 266:9 272:6 zone 147:21 273:14 274:2 283:3 zones 238:2 284:11 0 yesterday 69:14 109:3 0 York 1:2,3,12,13 4:22 5:6 25:37:6 7:21 15:18,21,23 32:23 1 41:3,20,23 46:14 48:9 1291:5,9 65:7 93:23 94:2 101:7 13 1:10 102:18 108:13 109:9 1700s 20:24 115:9 120:5 133:19 1797 21:3			4.30 1.11
Zee 15:22 zone 147:21 zones 238:2 Zee 15:22 zone 147:21 zones 238:2 Zones 238:2 O O O Significant of the properties of the propertie	,	Z	6
261:13 266:9 272:6 zone 147:21 273:14 274:2 283:3 12:1 13:1 14:1 15:1 16:1 284:11 0 yesterday 69:14 109:3 0 York 1:2,3,12,13 4:22 5:6 0 7:21 15:18,21,23 32:23 1 41:3,20,23 46:14 48:9 1291:5,9 65:7 93:23 94:2 101:7 13 1:10 102:18 108:13 109:9 1700s 20:24 115:9 120:5 133:19 1797 21:3		Zee 15:22	6-13-2007 2:1 3:1 4:1 5:1
273:14 274:2 283:3 zones 238:2 12:1 13:1 14:1 15:1 16:1 284:11 0 22:1 23:1 24:1 25:1 26:1 284:11 22:1 23:1 24:1 25:1 26:1 22:1 23:1 24:1 25:1 26:1 284:11 22:1 23:1 24:1 25:1 26:1 22:1 23:1 24:1 25:1 26:1 284:11 22:1 23:1 24:1 25:1 26:1 22:1 23:1 24:1 25:1 26:1 284:11 22:1 23:1 24:1 25:1 26:1 22:1 23:1 24:1 25:1 26:1 284:11 22:1 23:1 24:1 25:1 26:1 22:1 23:1 24:1 25:1 26:1 284:11 22:1 23:1 24:1 25:1 26:1 22:1 23:1 33:1 34:1 35:1 36:1 284:11 32:1 33:1 34:1 35:1 36:1 32:1 33:1 34:1 35:1 36:1 284:11 32:1 24:1 25:1 26:1 22:1 23:1 24:1 25:1 26:1 284:11 22:1 23:1 24:1 25:1 26:1 27:1 28:1 29:1 30:1 31:1 32:1 33:1 34:1 35:1 36:1 37:1 38:1 39:1 40:1 41:1 42:1 43:1 44:1 45:1 46:1 47:1 48:1 49:1 50:1 51:1 47:1 48:1 49:1 50:1 51:1 52:1 53:1 54:1 55:1 56:1 12:1 13:1 14:1 15:1 16:1 17:1 18:1 19:1 20:1 21:1 11:1 12:1 13:1 14:1 15:1 16:1 17:1 18:1 19:1 20:1 21:1 22:1 23:1 23:1 33:1 34:1 35:1 36:1 12:1 13:1 14:1 15:1 16:1 13:1 10 32:1 33:1 34:1 35:1 36:1 32:1 33:1 34:1 35:1 36:1	_	zone 147:21	6:1 7:1 8:1 9:1 10:1 11:1
yesterday 69:14 109:3 York 1:2,3,12,13 4:22 5:6 05 37:6 22:1 23:1 24:1 25:1 26:1 27:1 28:1 29:1 30:1 31:1 32:1 33:1 34:1 35:1 36:1 32:1 33:1 34:1 35:1 36:1 32:1 33:1 34:1 35:1 36:1 37:1 38:1 39:1 40:1 41:1 42:1 43:1 44:1 45:1 46:1 42:1 43:1 44:1 45:1 46:1 47:1 48:1 49:1 50:1 51:1 52:1 53:1 54:1 55:1 56:1 47:1 48:1 49:1 50:1 51:1 52:1 53:1 54:1 55:1 56:1	273:14 274:2 283:3	zones 238:2	12:1 13:1 14:1 15:1 16:1
York 1:2,3,12,13 4:22 5:6 05 37:6 22:1 23:1 24:1 25:1 26:1 7:21 15:18,21,23 32:23 32:1 33:1 34:1 35:1 36:1 41:3,20,23 46:14 48:9 37:1 38:1 39:1 40:1 41:1 65:7 93:23 94:2 101:7 13 1:10 102:18 108:13 109:9 1700s 20:24 115:9 120:5 133:19 1797 21:3	284:11		17:1 18:1 19:1 20:1 21:1
York 1:2,3,12,13 4:22 5:6 27:1 28:1 29:1 30:1 31:1 7:21 15:18,21,23 32:23 32:1 33:1 34:1 35:1 36:1 41:3,20,23 46:14 48:9 37:1 38:1 39:1 40:1 41:1 65:7 93:23 94:2 101:7 31:10 102:18 108:13 109:9 47:1 48:1 49:1 50:1 51:1 115:9 120:5 133:19 52:1 53:1 54:1 55:1 56:1 1291:5,9 52:1 53:1 54:1 55:1 56:1	yesterday 69:14 109:3		22:1 23:1 24:1 25:1 26:1
41:3,20,23 46:14 48:9 1291:5,9 65:7 93:23 94:2 101:7 13 1:10 102:18 108:13 109:9 1700s 20:24 115:9 120:5 133:19 1797 21:3 37:1 38:1 39:1 40:1 41:1 42:1 43:1 44:1 45:1 46:1 52:1 53:1 54:1 55:1 56:1		05 3 / .6	27:1 28:1 29:1 30:1 31:1
65:7 93:23 94:2 101:7 102:18 108:13 109:9 115:9 120:5 133:19 125:17:17:17:10 144:6 17:10 144:1 45:1 46:1 17:10 144:1 46:1 17:10	7:21 15:18,21,23 32:23	1	32:1 33:1 34:1 35:1 36:1
65:7 93:23 94:2 101:7 102:18 108:13 109:9 115:9 120:5 133:19 125:17 127:10 144:6 1797 21:3 13 1:10 42:1 43:1 44:1 45:1 46:1 47:1 48:1 49:1 50:1 51:1 52:1 53:1 54:1 55:1 56:1	41:3,20,23 46:14 48:9		37:1 38:1 39:1 40:1 41:1
102:18 108:13 109:9 115:9 120:5 133:19 1797 21:3 1700s 20:24 1797 21:3 47:1 48:1 49:1 50:1 51:1 52:1 53:1 54:1 55:1 56:1	65:7 93:23 94:2 101:7		42:1 43:1 44:1 45:1 46:1
115:9 120:5 133:19	102:18 108:13 109:9		47:1 48:1 49:1 50:1 51:1
125 17 127 10 144 6	115:9 120:5 133:19		52:1 53:1 54:1 55:1 56:1
	135:17 137:10 144:6		57:1 58:1 59:1 60:1 61:1

		Page 352
62:1 63:1 64:1 65:1 66:1 67:1 68:1 69:1 70:1 71:1 72:1 73:1 74:1 75:1 76:1 77:1 78:1 79:1 80:1 81:1 82:1 83:1 84:1 85:1 86:1 87:1 88:1 89:1 90:1 91:1 92:1 93:1 94:1 95:1 96:1 97:1 98:1 99:1 100:1 101:1 102:1 103:1 104:1 105:1 106:1 107:1 108:1 109:1 110:1 111:1 112:1 113:1 114:1 115:1 116:1 117:1 118:1 119:1 120:1 121:1 122:1 123:1 124:1 125:1 126:1 127:1 128:1 129:1 130:1 131:1 132:1 133:1 134:1 135:1 136:1 137:1 138:1 139:1 140:1 141:1 142:1 143:1 144:1 145:1 146:1 147:1 148:1 149:1 150:1 151:1 152:1 153:1 154:1 155:1 156:1 157:1 158:1 159:1 160:1 161:1 162:1 163:1 164:1 165:1 166:1 167:1 168:1 169:1 170:1 171:1 172:1 173:1 174:1 175:1 176:1 177:1 178:1 179:1 180:1 181:1 182:1 183:1 184:1 185:1 186:1 187:1 188:1 189:1 190:1 191:1 192:1 193:1 194:1 195:1 196:1 197:1 198:1 199:1 200:1 201:1 202:1 203:1 204:1	245:1 246:1 247:1 248:1 249:1 250:1 251:1 252:1 253:1 254:1 255:1 256:1 257:1 258:1 259:1 260:1 261:1 262:1 263:1 264:1 265:1 266:1 267:1 268:1 269:1 270:1 271:1 272:1 273:1 274:1 275:1 276:1 277:1 278:1 279:1 280:1 281:1 282:1 283:1 284:1 285:1 286:1 287:1 288:1 289:1 290:1 291:1 7 7th 87:9 8 80s 6:21 7:4,19 83-84 212:24 87 28:8 9 9:00 1:11 90s 7:19 8:9 225:11	Page 352
161:1 162:1 163:1 164:1 165:1 166:1 167:1 168:1 169:1 170:1 171:1 172:1 173:1 174:1 175:1 176:1 177:1 178:1 179:1 180:1 181:1 182:1 183:1 184:1 185:1 186:1 187:1 188:1 189:1 190:1 191:1 192:1 193:1 194:1 195:1 196:1 197:1 198:1 199:1 200:1 201:1 202:1 203:1 204:1 205:1 206:1 207:1 208:1 209:1 210:1 211:1 212:1 213:1 214:1 215:1 216:1 217:1 218:1 219:1 220:1 221:1 222:1 223:1 224:1 225:1 226:1 227:1 228:1 229:1 230:1 231:1 232:1 233:1 234:1 235:1 236:1	90s 7:19 8:9 225:11	
237:1 238:1 239:1 240:1 241:1 242:1 243:1 244:1		