




Andrew M. Cuomo
Governor

Sean M. Byrne
Acting Commissioner



OPERATION IMPACT

Annual Report - 2010

New York State Division of Criminal Justice Services
4 Tower Place
Albany, New York 12203-3764
www.criminaljustice.state.ny.us

Table of Contents

I.	Introduction	1
II.	Operation IMPACT Funding	4
	A. Award Process	4
	B. Personnel.....	5
	C. Initiatives	6
	D. Benefits of IMPACT Funding to Local Agencies	8
III.	Partnership Highlights by County.....	8
IV.	State Agency Contributions	21
V.	Statistical Reports	Appendix A

I. INTRODUCTION

Operation IMPACT was implemented in 2004, targeting the 17 New York State counties outside of the five that comprise New York City that account for more than 80 percent of Part 1 index crime in the State.¹ This initiative has provided participating law enforcement agencies with the information, tools, and resources necessary to implement a data-driven approach to policing.

Operation IMPACT is a highly focused (and deliberate) initiative, with a strong emphasis on **law enforcement partnerships, crime analysis, and intelligence development and information sharing**. To ensure Operation IMPACT resources are funneled to the appropriate areas, the jurisdiction that accounts for the highest volume of Part 1 crime within each county is named as the “primary jurisdiction” and is the focus of the IMPACT crime reduction efforts. The table below lists the 17 participating counties and their respective primary jurisdiction police departments.

COUNTY	PRIMARY JURISDICTION POLICE DEPARTMENT
Albany	Albany City Police Department
Broome	Binghamton City Police Department
Chautauqua	Jamestown City Police Department
Dutchess	Poughkeepsie City Police Department
Erie	Buffalo City Police Department
Monroe	Rochester City Police Department
Nassau	Nassau County Police Department
Niagara	Niagara Falls City Police Department
Oneida	Utica City Police Department
Onondaga	Syracuse City Police Department
Orange	Newburgh City Police Department
Rensselaer	Troy City Police Department
Rockland	Spring Valley Village Police Department
Schenectady	Schenectady City Police Department
Suffolk	Suffolk County Police Department
Ulster	Kingston City Police Department
Westchester	Yonkers City Police Department

A. Law Enforcement Partnerships

The crux of Operation IMPACT is having in place strong, solid law enforcement partnerships from which to draw resources, expertise, and assistance. Each of the 17 Operation IMPACT counties has assembled an IMPACT partnership composed of representatives from all levels of law enforcement. The partnership is co-chaired by the primary jurisdiction chief of police and the county district attorney. The other agencies represented are the county sheriff’s office and probation department; New York State

¹ Part 1 crimes are the seven index crimes reported to DCJS by all New York State law enforcement agencies as part of the federal Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) requirements. They are violent crimes of: **murder, rape, robbery, and aggravated assault**; and the property crimes of **burglary, larceny, and motor vehicle theft**.

Police; New York State Division of Parole; various federal law enforcement agencies such as the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI), Alcohol Tobacco & Firearms (ATF), and the Marshal's Service and the U.S. Attorney's Office. Each year, applications submitted for Operation IMPACT funding must include a Memorandum of Understanding signed by authorized individuals from each of these agencies, indicating their willingness to contribute to the crime reduction efforts within those counties and jurisdictions.

"In my 34 years of law enforcement service, Operation IMPACT has been the most effective catalyst for enhancing interagency cooperation.....The growth of personal relationships and sharing of resources has served to unify the mission of law enforcement agencies on all levels"

Troy Police Chief John Tedesco

In addition to the 17 primary police departments – which are among the busiest in the state, five of the partnerships include “secondary” police departments as participating agencies. There are three DCJS-designated secondary jurisdictions – those where the Part 1 crime volume doesn't reach the level of the primary jurisdiction but are nonetheless substantial enough to warrant participation in the program. Those jurisdictions are Hempstead Police Department (Nassau County); Middletown Police Department (Orange County); and Mt. Vernon Police Department (Westchester County). The other secondary jurisdictions were invited to participate by the partnership, usually due to shared crime problems or shared jurisdictional borders and the concern of displacement of crime.

The benefit of law enforcement partnerships is that they afford the ability to harness numerous resources, focusing them on the most persistent crime problems within each IMPACT jurisdiction. Each individual agency offers different perspectives, skill sets, and resources to law enforcement. In addition, partnerships allow resources to be coordinated, avoiding the potential for having two different agencies expend time, money and effort on the same offender or incident.

B. The Analysis of Timely, Accurate Crime Data

The benefit of a crime analysis program is that it brings to light both the timeliness and accuracy of the data collected and reported by the police department. IMPACT law enforcement agencies are contractually required to submit their Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) Part 1 Reports within 30 days of the end of the month, a requirement that is now consistently met. The quality of the crime data being reported by the IMPACT agencies has been both expanded and improved. Finally, IMPACT jurisdictions, in addition to the normally required UCR crime reports, also submit a shooting incident and victim report to DCJS monthly so that this important measure of crime can be tracked.

As the quality and timeliness of the crime data improved, agency command staff began to use the data to make informed decisions about when, where, and what type of resources to deploy in response to the patterns and trends revealed through the analysis of crime. Today, IMPACT can lay claim to the fact that every IMPACT Chief of Police (or designated

command staff member) meets at least weekly with other staff members to review recent crime trends and develop a plan to address those trends. In some of the larger jurisdictions, these tactical meetings occur daily.

C. Intelligence Development and Information Sharing

Another critical outcome of Operation IMPACT is the enhanced ability of the IMPACT law enforcement agencies to cultivate intelligence and share information with other IMPACT partner agencies within their specific county and beyond. One of the first goals of Operation IMPACT was to encourage each participating agency to find ways to share the information at their disposal with their partners. This included information about their most persistent crime problems, the areas within their jurisdiction with the highest volume of crime, current investigations, etc. This concept, although seemingly simple, meant breaking down barriers that existed between law enforcement agencies for decades. The sharing of information began simply, with partners exchanging information around the table at monthly Operation IMPACT meetings. It has now evolved to near-daily sharing of intelligence and information through regular joint operations and details, shared databases, intelligence bulletins, and more.

“Operation IMPACT allows the City of Newburgh Police Department to continue vital crime fighting efforts.....to move past simply reacting to crime, and to move towards proactive enforcement efforts, field intelligence and crime analysis – all of which are critical to maintaining public safety and reducing crime.”

**City of Newburgh Police Chief
Michael D. Ferrara**

In addition, all 17 partnerships utilize the field intelligence concept to facilitate this regular flow of information among agencies. Almost every participating IMPACT law enforcement agency has assigned a field intelligence officer (FIO) who works to obtain as much intelligence as possible through the regular debriefing of arrestees, probationers, parolees, inmates, and other persons of interest. Unlike interrogation, debriefing is an interview method used to obtain actionable intelligence – information that might prove useful in solving other, unrelated crimes and paint a more complete picture of the crime conditions within a given jurisdiction. In nearly

all 17 counties, the various FIOs within a partnership work closely together, in some instances even becoming a stand-alone unit. They coordinate the gathering of intelligence based on the particular crime trends of the previous week or month. This maximizes their effectiveness, as the team is then able to determine the exact topic and population to target when conducting their debriefings. For instance, if crime analysis indicates that a cluster of burglaries has occurred in a particular area, the probation and parole FIOs, in concert with the police department and sheriff’s office FIOs, might debrief all of the people under supervision for a charge of burglary or those that live in the cluster area. The FIOs share all of the information they obtain with patrol, investigative, supervisory and command staff to enhance their ability to conduct day-to-day operations.

II. OPERATION IMPACT FUNDING

A. Award Process

Each spring, the DCJS Office of Public Safety (OPS) releases a Request for Applications (RFA) for funding to support Operation IMPACT crime reduction initiatives. The RFA is very specific, guiding each applicant to provide detailed information about the most problematic Part 1 crime category or categories plaguing their jurisdiction; the strategy they propose to address the crime(s); the role that each partner agency, whether receiving funding or not, will play in implementing the proposed strategy; and the amount and type of funding that they believe will be required to effectively implement their proposed strategy.

Each of the 17 participating partnerships must submit a single, comprehensive application in response to the RFA. This was originally designed to facilitate a deeper level of collaboration between the various law enforcement agencies which had traditionally worked independently of one another and, for the most part, had different missions. The belief was that by working together to analyze the crime, develop the strategy, and submit the applications, the participating agencies would have a common goal for which to strive.

Agencies eligible to apply for and receive funding are the district attorney's office, the primary police department, "secondary" police departments, the county sheriff's office, and the county probation department. In prior years, some partnership co-chairs determined that other municipal government agencies or non-profits organizations played an important enough role in the implementation of their strategy that they included those agencies in their strategy proposal and request for funding. However, in light of the current fiscal constraints and an annual decline in the total amount of funding available to award, the 2010-2011 IMPACT RFA was open only those agencies that received a 2009-2010 Operation IMPACT award.

New York State and federal agencies are not eligible to apply for funding under this initiative, but the strategy proposed through each application must still include the active participation contributions of these non-funded agencies.

Once the applications are received, OPS staff reviews them and makes funding recommendations to the DCJS Commissioner. Recommendations are based on the strength of the proposed crime reduction strategy; prior year compliance with program and

"DCJS has been a critical partner in our successful efforts to reduce violent crime. Whether through funding for purchase of surveillance equipment used to thwart drug traffickers, or the underwriting of programs such as our "Police Youth Academy," designed to give at-risk young people positive insights into the role of police in their communities, IMPACT monies have proven their value to our county."

**Nassau County Police
Commissioner Lawrence Mulvey**

contract requirements; and the volume of crime within the primary jurisdiction and the county.

The 2010-2011 Operation IMPACT awards, as well as the volume of Part 1 crime within each county, are outlined in the chart below.

2010-11 Operation IMPACT Awards and Part 1 Crime - By County

County	Total Part 1 Crime	Award Amount
Suffolk	33,416	\$1,228,794
Erie	33,372	\$1,611,466
Monroe	24,896	\$1,680,460
Nassau	20,896	\$1,108,008
Westchester	16,609	\$1,349,375
Onondaga	13,453	\$1,099,755
Albany	10,572	\$919,059
Orange	9,158	\$773,069
Niagara	7,148	\$588,408
Broome	6,715	\$394,231
Oneida	6,554	\$397,834
Dutchess	6,494	\$377,724
Schenectady	5,635	\$732,880
Rockland	5,019	\$289,681
Rensselaer	4,714	\$491,408
Chautauqua	3,730	\$237,702
Ulster	3,665	\$275,331

The award amounts include all monies provide within the counties to each of the eligible agencies. Note that there is some fluctuation in the crime volume versus award amount due to factors such as the number of agencies in a particular county receiving an award, the volume of Part 1 violent crime² in the county or primary jurisdiction, or even the amount of funding requested. However, to whatever extent possible, award amounts are aligned with the crime volume due to the need that exists within those jurisdictions.

B. Personnel

When IMPACT began in 2004, there was a limited amount of funding available to agencies, so requests to support personnel were rare. As the funding increased, and partner agencies began to see the benefits of the IMPACT “philosophy”, funding requests for personnel dedicated to implementing the various IMPACT components grew.

² The Part 1 Violent crimes are murder, rape, robbery, and aggravated assault.

In 2010, Operation IMPACT funding supported **117 positions** including police officers, police and district attorney investigators, assistant district attorneys, probation officers, and sheriff's deputies. More than half – about 55percent – of those positions are dedicated to the crime analysis and intelligence development and information sharing facets of the program. IMPACT funding supports **22 crime analysts** and **42 field intelligence officers**. This is an excellent illustration of the partnerships' commitment to those two components of the program and how they have become standard operating procedure within participating agencies.

C. Initiatives

Each year, the Operation IMPACT partnerships are required to develop a comprehensive strategy to address Part 1 crime, particularly violent crime, within the primary jurisdiction. Each strategy is comprised of different initiatives, and each initiative is intended to address a particular crime problem or problems.

The following initiatives are the most common to the crime reduction strategies developed by the 17 county partnerships.

- 1. Directed/Saturation Patrols** – These patrols, often supported through Operation IMPACT funding, are planned using the most up-to-date crime data available so that they are conducted at the right time, on the right days of the week, and in the right area of the jurisdiction, thereby having the maximum effect on identified spikes in crime. These patrols are generally led by the primary police department, but more often than not include major contributions of time and staffing by the county sheriff's office, the New York State Police, and, in some instances, secondary police departments.
- 2. Probation/Parole Home Visits** – Probation and parole officers have easier access to the people they supervise than the police, and can conduct random home visits of the people they supervise. Probation and parole conditions often include curfews, drug and/or alcohol abstinence and prohibition on being in bars or other drinking establishments. As such, the police departments in all 17 primary IMPACT jurisdictions generally include probation/parole joint home visits as part of their strategy. Crime analysis is used to hone the focus of these visits. For example, if robbery is spiking, the police and probation/parole officers will visit all those under supervision for a robbery offense, or all probationers or parolees that live in the area where the increase is occurring. These initiatives have been very successful in not only obtaining actionable intelligence, but also in making those still under supervision aware that their officers are teaming up with the police in a focused manner.

There are variations on the home visit initiative. Joint operations between police and probation/parole have been conducted at problem establishments as part of a focused effort to build a case against a particular bar or social club. These operations often include the State Liquor Authority and other city departments

(code, health, fire, etc.). Operation IMPACT funding provides many probation departments in particular with the opportunity to conduct these off-hours details. Parole has conducted what they term as “Meet and Greet” operations, requiring their parolees to report to the police station at a predetermined date and time where they are then drug-tested, searched, and debriefed on their and others activities.

3. **Warrant Sweeps** – Operation IMPACT funding is often used to conduct warrant sweeps, generally to apprehend offenders with more serious warrants or to apprehend offenders who have outstanding warrants and a previous history of violent crime. Sex offenders and individuals with outstanding domestic violence charges are also targeted through these sweeps.
4. **Chronic Offender Initiatives** – There are several different variations of this initiative, but the main concept is a heightened level of accountability for the most serious offenders by identifying and focusing on those who are known to commit a disproportionate percentage of the jurisdiction’s violent crime. The work of crime analysts is critical to these initiatives, as they generally use very specific criteria to develop the list of chronic offenders. Once the list is developed, other initiatives, such as the home visits and warrant sweeps, can be used to hold these offenders accountable if they are currently under supervision or have an outstanding warrant against them. The list is reviewed and updated regularly.
5. **Narcotics and Gang Operations** – While there is little accurate crime data available to illustrate it, most law enforcement officials know from experience that a portion of every jurisdiction’s violent crime is a result of drug and gang activity. In addition to the violent crime associated with these two factors, open-air drug dealing and street-level gang activity can significantly reduce the quality of life within neighborhoods that are plagued by one or both activities. Police officials agree that every violent crime-reduction strategy must include some details that address these illegal activities. Undercover operations, foot patrols, saturation patrols, and programs designed to intervene in the aftermath of gang and gun violence have had some success in addressing both.
6. **Enhanced Prosecution Efforts** – Most IMPACT strategies include some level of enhanced prosecution for violent offenses or firearm-related violent crime. Flagging IMPACT cases for vertical prosecution and creating No-Plea Zones within a jurisdiction are just two examples of how district attorney’s offices are doing their part to vigilantly address violent crime.
7. **Domestic Violence Strategies** – Of the four Part 1 violent crimes, aggravated assault is the most common. In 2009, the 17 primary Operation IMPACT sites reported a total of 16,716 violent crimes, which more than half, 9,110–were aggravated assaults. Of those, an average of 25 percent, nearly 2,300, was domestic

in nature. Since domestic violence aggravated assaults are the largest subset of the largest category of violent crime, almost half of the IMPACT partnerships include a strategy, to target that crime.

D. Benefits of IMPACT Funding to Local Agencies

When partnership officials were asked to provide information for this annual report, many noted that Operation IMPACT provides reinforcement and important funding that allows them to maximize their ability to police effectively during difficult fiscal times. There was a common theme that, without IMPACT funding, vital positions would be lost, the ability to analyze crime would significantly shrink, and funding for additional, strategic operations would dry up, leaving them without the resources to stem crime. For several years now, IMPACT chiefs, commanding officers and other administrators have indicated that their approved compliment of officers has shrunk, sometimes to levels not seen in 20 years, and their overtime budgets have been significantly reduced, leaving them to use their overtime to staff for regular patrol shifts. IMPACT provides the additional financial resources for targeted operations that make a difference.

“Operation IMPACT is a law enforcement executive's best tool at preventing and reducing crime. In Niagara Falls, we certainly have our challenges in attaining those goals, but with funds supplied by Operation IMPACT, the Niagara Falls Police Department is able to conduct projects that would not be allowable under city budgeted monies.”

**Niagara Falls Superintendent of
Police John R. Chella**

All funding requested through IMPACT must reasonably outline why a requested budget item can't be supported in the agency's general budget. Application budgets must also include clear justification as to how each particular item requested is vital to strategy implementation. Partner agencies that are eligible for funding use the support they get through this program in a variety of different ways. Whether the request is for personnel, equipment, or consultant services, each funding area provides the support needed to successfully implement their strategies. Funding requests are carefully analyzed for need and funding awards are closely monitored.

III. PARTNERSHIP HIGHLIGHTS

Each of the 17 IMPACT partnerships use a significant portion of their funding to include some, if not all, of the initiatives outlined above in their strategies to reduce violent crime. Police departments receive little to no funding within their operating budget to develop and conduct targeted anti-crime initiatives beyond their daily operations. IMPACT funding provides this valuable support but with ample oversight from DCJS to ensure strategies are focused and tied into the overall goal to reduce specific Part I crime. Each partnership has

its own unique initiatives. **A sampling of IMPACT-funded positions and/or initiatives for each of the 17 IMPACT partnerships is listed below.**

ALBANY COUNTY

- The **Albany Police Department** hired a full-time intake specialist to assist domestic violence victims with the criminal justice process and provide victim services referral; a youth aide to track juvenile crime and coordinate the provision of education, services, and viable alternatives to the offender and his/her family; and a supervising crime analyst, crime analyst, and geospatial information system mapping technician to provide analytical support to the department and other partner agencies. The supervising crime analyst works full-time out of the Albany Crime Analysis Center.
- The **Albany County District Attorney's Office** has dedicated a gun and gang prosecutor and a "Safe Homes-Safe Streets" prosecutor, both of whom handle cases related to the IMPACT strategy.
- Both the **Albany County Sheriff's Office** and **Probation Department** use a portion of their funding for dedicated field intelligence officers. Both FIOs are assigned to the Albany Crime Analysis Center.

The **Safe Homes-Safe Streets** initiative exemplifies the creation of an effective crime reduction strategy. The main components of the initiative are landlord training, trespass affidavit, and narcotics eviction, but through IMPACT, the program was taken one step further. Operation Reclamation began by consulting with the Albany Police Department crime analysts and the Albany Crime Analysis Center (see section IV) to identify a 77-block area in the city where more than 50 percent of the county's crime occurred. They stepped up Safe Homes-Safe Streets efforts to full force in this area, and the DA's Office, Albany Police, and various city and county departments proactively implement the program in that specific area. Furthermore, a one-block radius within that problem area was identified as the location of multiple homicides, drug houses, street-level drug dealing, and gang activity, giving birth to Code Red. Premise histories and calls for service were analyzed, a point system developed, and the points used to assign threat levels for each property. Efforts were focused on those properties termed 'red properties,' or those with the highest threat level. The property owners were gathered for a forum where information was exchanged on each of the properties. They agreed to register in the Trespass Affidavit Program, which allowed police to remove those individuals that did not live in the houses; and the Narcotics Eviction program was used to evict problem tenants. One year later, all 11 'red' properties are no longer considered high threat. A combination of partnership, information gathering and exchange, and an excellent use of crime analysis proved the perfect combination to make gains in cleaning up a crime-plagued neighborhood.

BROOME COUNTY

- Both the **Binghamton Police Department** and the **Broome County Sheriff's Office** assigned dedicated field intelligence officers with a portion of their IMPACT funding.
- The **Broome County District Attorney's Office** receives funding for a felony assistant district attorney and a crime analyst.

The Broome County partnership has formed an "Intelligence and Crime Analysis Team" (ICAT) through Operation IMPACT. The two field intelligence officers dedicate 100 percent of their time to cultivating and sharing intelligence that will benefit all local police agencies. They focus their efforts on obtaining intelligence on gang activity and members, and other potentially dangerous individuals. Together with the crime analyst, they provide information that assists command staff in making deployment decisions. The crime analyst also assesses the effectiveness of current strategies and uses the information developed to recommend new strategies.

CHAUTAUQUA COUNTY

- The **Jamestown Police Department** receives funding for a crime analyst.
- The **Chautauqua County District Attorney's Office** funds a dedicated assistant district attorney.
- The **Chautauqua County Sheriff's Office** assigns a field intelligence officer.

The Jamestown Police Department has come to rely heavily on the data that their crime analyst provides. The Operations captain meets daily with the analyst to ascertain when and where their resources are needed most. The Jamestown Police Department generates approximately 450 felonies each year, and the addition of an IMPACT-funded assistant district attorney, who handles all IMPACT felonies, has enhanced the partnership between the participating agencies through joint interaction on investigations conducted by Jamestown police detectives, leading to better quality cases and a higher chance of successful prosecution. Harsher penalties have been sought and received for those offenders convicted of violent crimes.

DUTCHESS COUNTY

- The **City of Poughkeepsie Police Department** used a portion of their IMPACT funding for equipment that will enhance their ability to monitor, document and, in some cases,

solve crime; and on software that will provide for internet-based citizen reporting of crime or dangerous individuals.

- The **Dutchess County District Attorney's Office** employs an IMPACT assistant district attorney.
- The **Town of Poughkeepsie Police Department**, a "secondary" jurisdiction, **Dutchess County Sheriff's Office**, and **Probation Department** receive funding that allows them to participate in joint operations.

The strength of the Dutchess County IMPACT program lies in the partnership that has been formed for the purpose of cultivating and sharing intelligence. The Dutchess Field Intelligence Group (DFIG) is coordinated by the IMPACT assistant district attorney and is comprised of dedicated field intelligence officers from the city and town of Poughkeepsie police departments, the Dutchess County Sheriff's Office and the Probation Department. These assigned employees act as a unit within themselves, taking direction from the analysis of crime and the intelligence that they cultivate each day. The participating IMPACT agencies found the work of the DFIG so valuable, they presented their work to all of the other law enforcement agencies within Dutchess County and were able to get police chiefs in a half dozen or so other departments to assign field intelligence officers as part of the DFIG. Through law enforcement partnerships and the value of information sharing, the DFIG has become a productive countywide initiative.

ERIE COUNTY

- The **Buffalo Police Department** funds a crime analyst, a report technician, and a detective for the Violent Felony Task Force. The agency also received funding for equipment that will facilitate the accomplishments of crime reduction goals.
- The **Erie County District Attorney's Office** funds a crime Analyst, a DNA coordinator, a criminal investigator, a legal secretary, and four assistant district attorneys.
- The **Erie County Sheriff's Office** receives funding for two field intelligence officers.
- The **Erie County Probation Department** funds two field intelligence officers.
- **Erie County Central Police Services** funds a senior evidence clerk and an assistant information system specialist.
- All funded partners receive resources that allow them to participate in joint operations.

Operation IMPACT is an integral part of the city of Buffalo's violent crime fighting effort, especially in bringing the area's law enforcement and prosecutorial efforts together to use accurate and real-time data, gathering information and sharing intelligence, which are driven by a rich and diverse group of law enforcement and community partners. Since IMPACT began, there have been close to 9,000 warrants served, and over the last three years, there has been a 36.8 percent increase in warrants served due to the efforts and team work of the Buffalo Police Department as part of the Operation IMPACT partnership.

The Erie County partnership engaged in several different initiatives with an emphasis on reducing violent crime, particularly firearm violence in specific hotspots, and also a focus on domestic violence incidents. The gun strategy was carried out by utilizing aggressive enforcement initiatives through heightened police presence and multi-agency patrols. A Mobile Response Team was created and deployed to areas of high crime as determined by analysis and intelligence and information gathered through the field intelligence officers. The Erie County Probation Department identified between 60 and 80 high-risk probationers in Buffalo and implemented an intensive supervision plan. The District Attorney's Office participated in enhanced prosecution of firearm related offenses by utilizing IMPACT-funded ADAs. The ADAs were assigned these particular cases at arraignment and employed a no-plea policy to cases involving loaded guns.

To improve the response to domestic incidents, funding was provided for detectives to do case enhancement on all arrests for violent domestic incidents and violations of domestic incident-based orders of protection, enhanced investigation of all violent domestic incident felonies and enhanced investigation of any incident involving a high-risk subject. Detectives are summoned to all domestic incidents that fit the criteria to conduct in-depth victim and witness interviews, investigate the presence, use, or threatened use of weapons, conduct videotaped interviews of the suspects, locate and document any physical or other evidence, and make referrals to a crisis services case manager.

MONROE COUNTY

- The **Rochester Police Department** receives funding for equipment and personnel to conduct special police details.
- The **Monroe County District Attorney's Office** funds eight assistant district attorneys.
- The **Monroe County Sheriff's Office** receives funding for one criminal investigator assigned to the Monroe Crime Analysis Center.
- The **Monroe County Probation Department** funds a field intelligence officer assigned to the Monroe Crime Analysis Center.

- All funded partners receive resources that allow them to participate in joint operations.

In Rochester and across Monroe County, Operation IMPACT has transformed how local law enforcement agencies collaborate in combating criminal behavior in targeted neighborhoods, and the way in which they gather and use intelligence data to drive decision-making. Monroe County's IMPACT program is continuing to focus on reducing the number of robberies, particularly involving the use of a firearm, and on intervening earlier in disputes that result in the commission of crimes involving firearm violence. The funding provided by DCJS to implement each of these components is critical to the success of the Monroe County's new approach to policing, and successes in the strategy have been evident, despite challenging times. Funding from Operation IMPACT has enabled the Rochester police to carry out regular directed and joint patrols with the New York State Police and has dramatically changed the way information is gathered, shared and utilized to improve the effectiveness of police resources. In Monroe County, multiple agencies received funding for field intelligence officers. These officers cultivate original intelligence information from jail and field de-briefings that have led, in many instances, to major arrests in burglary rings, robberies, and homicides. Additionally, IMPACT funding supports several crime analysts for the Rochester police, the Monroe District Attorney's Office, and the Monroe County Office of Probation-Community Corrections. These analysts work collaboratively at the Monroe Crime Analysis Center (MCAC) with the field intelligence officers on a daily basis, identifying crime patterns, identifying investigative leads, and producing new intelligence that leads to the more effective direction of patrol and investigative resources.

NASSAU COUNTY

- The **Nassau County Police Department** and the **Nassau County District Attorney's Office** receive funding for equipment as well as for operational initiatives.
- The **City of Hempstead Police Department**, a secondary jurisdiction, receives funding for a crime analyst.

The Nassau County IMPACT Partnership focused their strategy on firearms and firearm-related crime. A 2010 gun buyback program conducted through the Christian Light Missionary Baptist Church netted 133 illegal guns. The Nassau County Lead Development Center, which initially used IMPACT funding to assist in its development, is the focal point of crime analysis in the county and provides data to participating agencies. The use of audio and video equipment and license plate readers (LPRs) purchased with IMPACT funding has provided the technological edge to implement their crime reduction strategy. These technological additions also have been crucial, providing evidence to identifying suspects that is used in their subsequent prosecution.

Computer hardware and software upgrades to NCPD's Criminal Apprehension Provided by Electronic Response (CAPER) alarm system have allowed the CAPER alarms to interface more efficiently with the Intergraph system that Nassau County is implementing. Domestic Violence victims are provided a radio device (panic button) that can be activated in an emergency. The new console and software sends the CAPER alarm directly to a dispatcher for assignment to patrol expediting police response.

The Hempstead Police Department, which is a DCJS-designated secondary jurisdiction, focused its strategy on violent crimes, including robberies and illegal guns, and employed a crime analyst to further analyze the data related to these crimes. The agency also works with Probation and Parole to conduct home visits, and is currently involved in an on-going research project with John Jay College, which focuses on immigrants as crime victims.

NIAGARA COUNTY

- The **Niagara Falls Police Department** receives funding for a crime analyst and a field intelligence officer.
- The **Niagara County District Attorney's Office** funds two assistant district attorneys, both of whom work exclusively on IMPACT-related cases and crimes where a firearm was used.
- The **Niagara County Sheriff's Office** uses a portion of their funding for a dedicated field intelligence officer who works in the jail debriefing inmates and disseminates gang information to partner agencies.
- All funded partners receive resources that allow them to participate in joint operations.

Niagara County focused its strategy on aggravated assaults and firearm-related robbery. The strategy to decrease aggravated assaults was carried out by utilizing the following: The Niagara Regional Gang Task Force; an initiative focused on chronic offenders; Gang Reduction Education and Training (GREAT); the development of a comprehensive gang database; and the Niagara Falls Police Department Roving Anti-Crime (RAC) Unit. Additionally, domestic violence assaults were addressed with the continued use of the Domestic Violence Intervention Team (DVIT). There also were several individual tactics that were used to combat the increase in firearm-related robbery. The Niagara County partnership intensified debriefing procedures, continued zero-tolerance prosecution on state charges for robbery offenders that use a firearm, considered federal charges for robbery offenders that use a firearm, and used a special warrant squad that focused on robbery and firearm-related offenders. They also continue the use of CompStat.

ONEIDA COUNTY

- The **Utica Police Department** receives funding for a crime analyst as well as a field intelligence officer.
- The **Oneida County District Attorney's Office** funds an assistant district attorney dedicated to domestic violence cases and also funds a field intelligence officer.

The Utica Police Department and its partners have focused their efforts on reducing aggravated assaults, specifically involving guns. The crime analysts as well as the field intelligence officers have developed intelligence in partnership with crime mapping to effectively enable police to focus their efforts where crime is concentrated. Additionally, the IMPACT-funded assistant district attorney, who handles all domestic violence cases, has focused on both prosecution and services to victims of domestic violence. Harsher penalties have been sought and received for those offenders convicted of domestic violence incidents.

ONONDAGA COUNTY

- The **City of Syracuse Police Department** receives funding for two field intelligence officers and a field intelligence analyst.
- The **Onondaga District Attorney's Office** receives funding for three assistant district attorneys: two are assigned to the Felony Bureau and one is assigned to the Narcotics Bureau. There also are two field intelligence officers that are assigned to the Drug Enforcement Administration Office.
- The **Onondaga County Sheriff's Office** receives funding for two field intelligence officers; one assigned to the police division and one assigned to the custody division. A crime analyst is assigned to the Onondaga Crime Analysis Center.
- The **Onondaga County Probation Department** receives funding for a field intelligence officer.

The Onondaga partnership has created a highly competent, interagency intelligence capability where none existed before. The ability to rapidly and effectively gather intelligence leading to the identification and prosecution of offenders and the associated networks supporting them has been a benefit to the county. Additionally, intelligence-led policing has influenced the operations of many police agencies in the county.

Analysts and FIOs assigned to the Onondaga Crime Analysis Center provide a wide variety of intelligence products and assistance with ongoing cases in addition to debriefing suspects and arrestees and developing confidential reliable informants (CRIs), and gang intelligence in cooperation with the Gang Violence Task Force. The FIOs also correlate the Onondaga County Crime Lab's National Integrated Ballistic Network reports with shooting incidents to identify multiple use firearms. These reports have linked numerous gang-related shootings and have identified several guns involved in criminal enterprise activity. IMPACT funded FIOs also track criminal possession of a weapon charges and dispositions in coordination with the US Attorney's Office for case adoption in federal court where appropriate and as case specifics dictate. Through the Chronic Offender Recognition and Enforcement (CORE) project, developed under IMPACT, FIOs monitor the activities of targeted individuals for enhanced investigations and prosecutions. The FIO assigned to the Sheriff's Office debriefs inmates and authenticates inmate telephone recordings for investigations and prosecutions. Through these recorded conversations, it has been demonstrated that inmates still manage criminal enterprises while in custody. This intelligence frequently references weapons, narcotics and participation in various crimes.

ORANGE COUNTY

- The **City of Newburgh Police Department** receives funding for a crime analyst and a field intelligence officer.
- The **Orange County District Attorney's Office** receives funding for a criminal investigator.
- The **Town of Newburgh Police Department**, the **City of Middletown Police Department**, and the **Town of New Windsor Police Department** each receive funding for a field intelligence officer.
- **Orange County Sheriff's Office** and **Probation Department** receive funding that allows them to participate in joint operations.

The Orange County IMPACT partnership has put a significant emphasis on developing its crime analysis and intelligence capabilities. The Newburgh City Police Department crime analyst routinely provides crime mapping and analysis to the partner agencies using incident data taken from a common records management system. Additionally, IMPACT funds are used to support field intelligence officers in the partner agencies that coordinate and share information on a daily basis. Data sharing also is accomplished via television monitors located in the City of Newburgh, Town of Newburgh, and Town of New Windsor. These displays have enabled the partner agencies to have access to real-time crime data that assists in solving crimes. The partnership also has been active in addressing crime

issues in the City of Newburgh. The Orange County Sheriff's Office provides deputies for joint patrols; additionally, the New York State Police has provided troopers for joint "blue-gray" patrols in the city. The city of Middletown, which is a DCJS-designated secondary jurisdiction, has focused their strategy on gang-related activity within Middletown.

RENSSELAER COUNTY

- The **Troy Police Department** receives funding for a crime analyst, a field intelligence officer, and a domestic violence investigator.
- The **Rensselaer County District Attorney's Office** funds two full-time investigators; one works on drugs and gangs and the other on chronic offenders.
- The **Rensselaer County Probation Department** uses a bulk of its grant money to fund a full-time field intelligence officer.
- All funded Partners receive resources that allow them to participate in joint operations.

The Troy Police Department's newly formed Community Street Enforcement Team (CSET) integrates the immediate response capability of patrol and the investigative function of detectives. A nexus has been identified that links all categories of Part 1 crimes to the drug trade. To that end, a major focus of CSET has been street-level dealers and buyers. Troy also utilizes lists of violent chronic offenders, non-violent offenders, and chronic domestic violence offenders. On each of these lists flags are added (for example-any gun related offense, probation status, parole status). The list is now matched to Probation and Parole data to help guide strategy development. The Troy Police Department continues the operation of a Domestic Incident Unit (DIU). This unit is staffed by one officer who is responsible for the continuing investigations of all domestic incidents assigned to the Detective Bureau.

ROCKLAND COUNTY

- The **Village of Spring Valley Police Department** receives funding for a crime analysis consultant. The consultant analyzes crime patterns and focuses on spikes and unusual occurrences as they arise. Additionally, a weekly crime report is generated and shared with all IMPACT members.
- The **Rockland County Sheriff's Office** received funding for a video enhancement workstation that is utilized by the Rockland County Intelligence Center.

The Rockland County partnership has focused its strategy on aggravated assaults and robberies that are being committed in the village of Spring Valley. To accomplish this goal,

the Rockland County Narcotics Task Force has conducted debriefing of suspects regarding these incidents and this information has been analyzed and distributed to participating agencies by the Rockland County Intelligence Center. Parole and Probation “meet and greets” have provided the Spring Valley Police with an opportunity to gather information on parolees and probationers who reside locally.

SCHENECTADY COUNTY

- The **Schenectady Police Department** receives funding for a crime analyst and two field intelligence officers.
- The **Schenectady County District Attorney’s Office** funds two assistant district attorneys; one is the grand jury Bureau Chief, the other is the Bureau Chief of intelligence.
- Both the **Schenectady County Sheriff’s Office** and **Probation Department** use a portion of their funding for dedicated field intelligence officers.
- All funded partners receive resources that allow them to participate in joint operations.

Schenectady County targeted its strategy to reduce firearm-related and gang-related violence. The Gun Violence Reduction Strategy (GVRS) is a multi-pronged strategy with a focus on impacting gun possession and gun related crime and violence in both the short and long term. Components of the strategy include: continued collaboration among the Schenectady Police Department and other agencies, identification of intervention areas and high-risk offenders, continued use of the directed patrol unit, utilization of CompStat to drive the Office of Field Intelligence; and multi-agency Gang Reduction Education and Training (GREAT). The G.R.E.A.T. Program is a school-based, law enforcement officer-instructed classroom curriculum. With prevention as its primary objective, the program is designed to prevent against delinquency, youth violence, and gang membership.

SUFFOLK COUNTY

- The **Suffolk Police Department** uses a portion of its IMPACT funding for equipment that enhances the ability to monitor, document and, in some cases, solve crime; and on software that will link Suffolk County with the other IMPACT Crime Analysis Centers. The agency also received funding for overtime to staff a variety of other initiatives.
- The **Suffolk County District Attorney’s Office** partially funds seven assistant district attorneys, both the Major Crimes Bureau and the Special Investigations Bureau.

- Both the **Suffolk County Sheriff's Office** and **Probation Department** received funding that allows them to participate in joint operations

IMPACT funding for crime analysis has been a critical aspect to the Suffolk County Police Department's ability to efficiently focus resources on problem areas. This focus may be on a crime pattern, geographical area or specific persons that can be influenced by directing Suffolk County resources at a defined problem. Additionally, the IMPACT-funded Digital Information Gateway program (DIG) has linked Suffolk County with the other IMPACT Crime Analysis Centers. This enables personnel to electronically query 12 other crime analysis centers around New York State for information related to police incidents and arrests. The Telephone Analysis Program (TAP) funded by IMPACT has been of great assistance in identifying individuals associated with criminal street gangs and investigating the crimes they commit. The TAP program also has lead to improved methods in processing phone subpoena information. Lists of these phone numbers can now be queried against Suffolk County Police databases, drastically reducing the amount of time needed to process each subpoena.

ULSTER COUNTY

- The **City of Kingston Police Department** receives funding for a crime analyst.
- The **Ulster County District Attorney's Office** receives funding for an assistant district attorney.
- The **Ulster County Sheriff's Office** and the **Ulster County Probation Department** each receive funding for a field intelligence officer.

The Ulster County partnership has formed the Ulster Regional Gang Enforcement Narcotics Task Force (URGENT), which is staffed by members of the partnership and focuses their efforts by concentrating not only on drug offenses but robberies and assaults in the City of Kingston. The field intelligence officers provide real-time information to participating agencies regarding current crime trends. By dedicating an assistant district attorney, the focus on repeat and violent offenders has become a practice that has been utilized in bail determinations, plea bargaining, as well as in sentencing.

WESTCHESTER COUNTY

- The **City of Yonkers Police Department** receives funding for two field intelligence officers, a crime analyst, and a truancy officer.
- The **Westchester County District Attorney's Office** receives funding for a deputy bureau chief, two assistant district attorneys, a criminal investigator and a crime analyst.

- The **Westchester County Department of Public Safety** receives funding for a field intelligence officer.
- The **Westchester County Probation Department** receives funding for a crime analyst.
- The **City of Mount Vernon Police Department** is a secondary jurisdiction that receives funding for two field intelligence officers, a crime analyst and a gang/gun intervention officer.

The deputy bureau chief of Westchester County DA' s Office chairs the consortium and coordinates all proactive investigations, including wiretaps, narcotics and gangs. One of the funded assistant district attorneys is responsible for Grand Jury presentations and the second is responsible for Superior Court arraignments on felony cases. They also are responsible for monitoring investigations concerning gang, weapons, and drugs developed by confidential informants derived from FIO briefings and other sources. The criminal investigator is assigned to IMPACT-related gun and narcotic investigations that arise from member jurisdictions. The crime analyst works closely with the criminal investigator and the FIOs in providing case analysis and enhancement.

The Yonkers Police Department focused on firearm and other weapon offenses, illegal narcotics offenses, robberies, assaults, burglaries, gun and gang-related crimes, and the illegal gun trade as well as the increase in crime involving knives in particular street robberies and larceny from autos. The Yonkers Police Department has implemented an aggressive truancy program, partially funded through IMPACT which focuses on juvenile offenders. The IMPACT-funded truancy officer made 645 visits to public schools ensuring student attendance and providing social service referrals as needed.

The Westchester County Intelligence Center, along with the Field Intelligence Network, has become the focal point for crime analysis and data sharing. Crime analysts and FIOs routinely provide information to the center which is then disseminated to law enforcement agencies throughout the county. The Westchester County Probation Office participates in "ride alongs" and home visits as well in the execution of search warrants.

The Mount Vernon Police Department utilizes their resources by continuing Operation Protect, the high visibility law enforcement initiative that focuses on a geographically defined neighborhood. Crime analysis and intelligence garnered from the FIO's and gang/gun intervention officer play a critical role in focusing on the crime issues as well as suspects residing in these designated areas.

IV. STATE AGENCY CONTRIBUTIONS

NEW YORK STATE DIVISION OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE SERVICES

OFFICE OF PUBLIC SAFETY

The New York State Division of Criminal Justice Services (DCJS) Office of Public Safety (OPS) is responsible for the day-to-day oversight and administration of Operation IMPACT. The Division has assigned staff to work closely with the 17 IMPACT partnerships to assist them in implementing their strategies and to provide the IMPACT agencies with a single point of contact for all information and services provided to law enforcement by DCJS and other participating State agencies. IMPACT “ambassadors” attend monthly IMPACT partnership meetings; provide follow-up in areas where a need is determined to exist; make referrals on training and other resources available through DCJS; and coordinate access to other New York State agency resources as needed. In addition, the IMPACT Unit staff and the DCJS Law Enforcement Grants Unit closely monitor various performance measures that IMPACT agencies must meet as part of their participation in the program, such as the timely submission of all required crime reports, proper reporting on sex offenders, timely submission of DNA samples owed by qualifying offenders, and proper designation of arrests that have a Hate Crime component or a Domestic Incident Report on file in conjunction with the arrest.

Since the inception of Operation IMPACT, DCJS staff has coordinated monthly “Crime Trends” meetings which require IMPACT partners to make a formal, comprehensive presentation on the status of their crime reduction efforts to the DCJS commissioner, and other state partner agencies. The presentation is typically led by the police commissioner/chief of the primary jurisdiction with all agency partners presenting on their respective contributions to IMPACT initiatives. The meetings afford state officials the opportunity to ask questions, and provide additional measure of requiring accountability from the IMPACT partnerships.

In 2007, an IMPACT Technical Assistance Team was established, elevating the IMPACT program significantly by increasing the amount and type of technical assistance provided by DCJS to the IMPACT law enforcement agencies to new heights. Each of the original five Technical Assistance Team members had expertise in a particular area of law enforcement, crime analysis, or information technology and each provided one-on-one assistance to IMPACT partner agencies. The technical assistance team members also developed and delivered training to larger groups of IMPACT participants. The training focused on critical areas such as crime analysis, improving investigations of crimes such as robberies and street-level offenses effective report writing, and tactical debriefing methods of field intelligence officers.

As currently constituted, the Technical Assistance Team has two members- the information technology and crime analysis experts – and they continued to provide assistance to the

IMPACT agencies and to the Crime Analysis Centers, which are described below. The training that was developed by the team's original law enforcement members continues to be provided regularly as part of the general training conducted by the DCJS Office of Public Safety.

Crime Analysis Centers

The Crime Analysis Centers are designed to enhance local efforts to combat Part 1 crime in four of the larger IMPACT counties with the most crime. The four centers are located in Albany, Erie, Monroe, and Onondaga counties, and those jurisdictions were selected specifically because of the relatively high volume of firearm and other violent crime in the primary jurisdiction and county wide. Using the data-driven policing model implemented through IMPACT, the centers take that approach to the next level through extensive cross-agency data sharing, sophisticated information dissemination tools, and a professional interagency crime analyst staff.

The first center opened in Monroe in 2008, followed by Erie, Onondaga and Albany. The centers are housed in the headquarters of the Buffalo Police Department, the Rochester Police Department, the Syracuse Police Department, and the Albany Police Department's South Station.

Each center is led by a director, employed by DCJS, who reports directly to the deputy commissioner of the Office of Public Safety. This structure works to ensure that the centers are fulfilling the overarching goals of the State. The centers are staffed by a variety of civilian analysts that are supported with a portion of IMPACT funding as well as the other IMPACT and locally-funded crime analysts within the county IMPACT partnership. The analysts conduct in-depth, regional analysis of all city and county crime data, allowing all law enforcement agencies within each county to benefit from crime analysis as never before. The centers' analysts use software programs that allow them to link crimes, suspects, telephone numbers and other data from the various agencies within the county. This provides law enforcement with a bird's eye view of the local crime scene, enabling authorities to discover potential criminal associations. The centers also employ mapping software that provides a regional picture of where crime is being committed. These tools, combined with data drawn from numerous databases and records management systems from police departments in each of the respective center counties, provide center staff and in turn, local police agencies with a wealth of information to combat crime.

The centers emphasize effective dissemination of information as well as analysis. DCJS has funded digital signage in each center community. The 42" monitors are mounted on the walls in prominent places in police departments, out of view from the public, that broadcast slides generated by the Crime Analysis Centers. The slides include most wanted bulletins, warrants, crime maps, alerts, and officer safety information. Bank robbery photos are often posted within 20 minutes of the robbery. There are 46 monitors distributed in key precincts, parole offices and police headquarters across the state. The program has been

very well received locally, and federal funding will allow for the doubling of the installed signs in 2011.

The centers have begun a Geographic Information Systems project to provide accurate and timely crime maps to the command staff and officers in the field of the local agencies. These online maps highlight crime by any combination of type, date and area, and the incident narrative report. The Monroe Crime Analysis Center is the first to complete the project, making maps available in all city, sheriff and suburban patrol cars.

The commanding officer and the command staff of the Albany Police Department, the Buffalo Police Department, the Rochester Police Department, and the Syracuse Police Department meet regularly with their respective center director to identify crime patterns that occurred in the previous 24 hours or more and to make determinations about the deployment of resources in response to those patterns. This regular interaction allows these four IMPACT jurisdictions to address crime patterns *before* they become crime trends. Every single center can point to cases that would likely still be unsolved were it not for the existence of the center, the technology available through the centers, and the expertise of the analysts staffed at the centers.

OFFICE OF PROBATION AND CORRECTIONAL ALTERNATIVES

The New York State Division of Probation and Correctional Alternatives (DPCA) was an early supporter of Operation IMPACT, and with its merger with DCJS in June of 2010, continues to be an active partner in the IMPACT initiative as the Office of Probation and Correctional Alternatives (OPCA). OPCA has worked closely with Probation Departments within the 17 IMPACT counties and has extended the lessons learned through IMPACT to Probation Departments statewide.

Under direct guidance of OPCA, local probation staff has been assigned as probation field intelligence officers (FIOs) within the IMPACT county probation departments. The role of the Probation FIO is quite similar to the FIO assigned within a police department, but the intelligence that is gathered is obtained from people under probation supervision. The Probation FIOs work hand-in-hand with the FIOs assigned within their respective partnerships to maximize both collaboration and data-sharing among IMPACT law enforcement agencies.

The information that probation departments can provide to law enforcement is unique and valuable, and more of that information is being used by law enforcement to enhance investigations and their intelligence systems. Probation officers conduct joint warrant and curfew enforcement initiatives, bar checks, and "ride-alongs" with police officers and assist in identifying offenders that have a high risk of reoffending. Probation FIOs have also been assigned to all four Crime Analysis Centers.

OCA also encourages its departments in non-IMPACT jurisdictions to embrace these strategies to enhance public safety throughout the state. Additionally, OCA has promoted several initiatives statewide that provide benefits to the IMPACT partnerships. These initiatives include the following:

- Correctional Offender Management Profiling for Alternative Sanctions (COMPAS) and Youth Assessment Screening Instrument (YASI) – COMPAS (for adults) and YASI (for juveniles) are risk/need assessment tools that assist probation departments in identifying probationers with the highest risk and need levels and assessing the “criminogenic needs” of probationers that must be addressed to prevent re-offending. All 57 probation departments outside of New York City utilize the state’s web-based adult COMPAS and juvenile YASI risk and need assessment instruments.
- DNA Collection – Probation departments are required by law to collect DNA samples on certain offenders. OCA tracks the percentage of required DNA collected by probation departments. Since the inception of DNA collection, probation departments have collected more than 111,000 samples of DNA from probationers in New York State, leading to the identification of offenders responsible for 1,090 crimes, including 98 homicides.
- Probation Department Automation – OCA is working with county probation departments and state contract vendor to automate probation departments. This automation will provide uniform data that can be utilized for crime analysis to support IMPACT strategies. Currently, 42 counties are either implementing or in production with the case management system, Caseload Explorer, and 32 counties have implemented the integration of Caseload Explorer with the State-Integrated Probation Registrant System (I-PRS). This information is now being provided directly to Crime Analysis Centers.
- Operation Return – OCA has embarked on a cooperative initiative with the United States Marshals Service, other DCJS staff, local probation departments and the NY/NJ (HIDTA) High Intensity Drug Trafficking Area Center to apprehend Sex Offender Registry Act (SORA) Level 2 and 3 probation absconders. Operation Return provides a package of guidance, resources and funding to facilitate the location and arrest of these offenders; probation absconders from 11 IMPACT counties are included in this initiative. To date, nearly 50 offenders have been located, warrants have been lodged and 33 offenders have been extradited back to New York State for judicial action.
- Domestic Violence – OCA has worked with the New York State Police, the New York State Association of Chiefs of Police and the New York State Sheriffs’ Association in implementing a statewide initiative for law enforcement agencies to share Domestic Incident Reports (DIRs) with supervising probation and parole authorities to hold offenders accountable and reduce the incidence of domestic violence homicides, of which, an overwhelming proportion are women. This procedure was codified into law

with the passage of Chapter 476 of the Laws of 2009. Recently, OPCA has been working with leaders from various tribal nations in New York State to develop and deliver domestic violence training to probation departments in areas with Native American populations regarding sovereignty and jurisdictional issues, governance and structure, tribal criminal justice process, victim services and establishing protocols. Many IMPACT jurisdictions benefited from this training.

OPCA has provided continued support to the IMPACT initiative through direction and guidance provided to county probation directors and through participation and input at both agency Crime Trends Meetings³ and monthly IMPACT state partner meetings.

NEW YORK STATE POLICE

The New York State Police have played a critical role in Operation IMPACT from the beginning. By actively participating in a variety of IMPACT initiatives, they provide critical supplemental resources to enhance the police presence in the IMPACT jurisdictions. Their (C-NET) Community Narcotics Enforcement Team teams work with narcotics investigators in the IMPACT jurisdictions to address the sale and purchase of illegal narcotics, and the Violent Felony Warrant Squads add resources and expertise in coordinating warrant operations.

OPERATION IMPACT CONTRIBUTIONS

The following chart illustrates the number of sworn personnel assigned and the total number of hours logged in a variety of IMPACT details and initiatives in each jurisdiction⁴.

JANUARY 1, 2010 THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 2010

<u>JURISDICTION</u>	<u>STAFF ASSIGNED</u>	<u>TOTAL HOURS WORKED</u>
Albany	25	7,566 hrs
Binghamton	2	22 hrs
Buffalo	46	7,440 hrs
Jamestown	1	12 hrs
Kingston	1	8 hrs
Mt. Vernon	24	658 hrs
Newburgh	19	2,496 hrs
Niagara Falls	9	200 hrs
Poughkeepsie	30	1,912 hrs

³ Crime Trends Meetings are held monthly and hosted by the Commissioner of DCJS. Each month, an IMPACT partnership is invited to provide an overview of their crime statistics, the strategies that they are using to address that crime, and the contributions that the partner agencies are making to the strategy. Executive staff members from State partner agencies attend these meetings in order to determine if there are additional resources that can be made available to the jurisdiction that will further assist them in their crime reduction efforts.

⁴ For the purposes of these charts, the only IMPACT jurisdictions named are those where contributions were made by the NYSPP.

Schenectady	13	1,604 hrs
Spring Valley	7	128 hrs
Syracuse	8	1,038 hrs
Utica	8	1,280 hrs
Yonkers	11	320 hrs
TOTAL	204	24,684 hrs

The information in the following chart is gleaned directly from the NYSP Records Management System and shows the number of arrests (felony, misdemeanor or violation), and calls for service the NYSP made/responded to in certain IMPACT jurisdictions.

JANUARY 1, 2010 THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 2010

JURISDICTION	FELONY	MISD	VIOL	TOTAL	CALLS FOR SERVICE
Buffalo	495	1,080	690	2,265	875
Binghamton	0	0	8	8	660
Syracuse	20	56	40	116	400
Utica	0	28	4	32	972
Rochester	555	1,260	1,655	3,470	3,920
Kingston	0	0	0	0	580
Newburgh	148	400	360	908	764
Albany	0	0	0	0	808
Schenectady	0	8	8	16	1,312
Mount Vernon	0	0	0	0	12
Poughkeepsie	0	8	16	24	20
TOTAL	1,226	2,840	2,773	6,839	10,323

Finally, New York State Police TraCS data shows that in 2010, the agency assisted with traffic enforcement in 12 of the 17 IMPACT counties, responding to 72 traffic accidents and writing 12,929 tickets. While this is countywide data, the majority of this enforcement was conducted in the IMPACT jurisdiction in each county.

NEW YORK STATE OFFICE FOR THE PREVENTION OF DOMESTIC VIOLENCE

During 2008 and 2009, the New York State Office for the Prevention of Domestic Violence (OPDV) was introduced by DCJS to jurisdictions interested in focusing their crime reduction strategies on domestic violence. By the final months of 2009, OPDV staff was communicating directly with designated point people in each of those jurisdictions, such as the chiefs of police, district attorneys, and probation directors.

Thanks to the partnership that exists on the State level, 2010 saw a marked increase in direct outreach from OPDV within each jurisdiction with a domestic violence strategy, including requests for training, assistance, and information. During 2010, OPDV provided a

total of 28 training sessions to 763 law enforcement officials in eight of the 17 primary IMPACT jurisdictions. OPDV also provided technical assistance and training in a variety of different areas including: additions and revisions to Criminal Procedure and Penal laws, such as strangulation offenses; stalking; Domestic Incident Reports (DIRs); mandatory arrest; primary physical aggressor determination; and New York's new expanded access to Family Court laws.

Also in 2010, OPDV staff focused on expanding outreach to the non-profit domestic violence services community within each of the participating IMPACT jurisdictions to encourage partnership with their law enforcement colleagues to ensure that those agencies were appropriately involved in the strategy and process. Rather than offer a one-time conference that would be difficult for struggling non-profits, to attend, OPDV instead provided on-site technical assistance to providers located in IMPACT jurisdictions.

Finally, OPDV, in collaboration with several state-level IMPACT partners (the DCJS, Office of Public Safety and Office of Probation and Correction Alternatives, and the Division of Parole), designed a federally funded grant project around the successes of the IMPACT collaborations. Four IMPACT jurisdictions — Nassau, Orange, Dutchess, and Albany — were selected to serve as pilot sites for the development of enhanced training to the criminal justice and advocacy community on key topics in domestic violence. The grantee agencies chose IMPACT sites because they knew that those sites already benefited from coordinated, dedicated partnerships based on the IMPACT principles and requirements, making the grant project more likely to succeed. The grant deliverables will be completed in early 2012, and will consist of a series of web-based training tools for police, probation, and parole officers that will be made available statewide.

NEW YORK STATE DIVISION OF PAROLE

The New York State Division of Parole has been an important partner in the Operation IMPACT initiative since its inception in 2004. Parole officers work on a daily basis with law enforcement agencies throughout the state as they supervise parolees released into their communities. Parole brings a unique contribution of support and information to the 17 Operation IMPACT partnerships.

Parole officers join with law enforcement for joint warrant sweeps, curfew enforcement and bar checks, de-briefing of parolees and ride-alongs with other agencies. During 2010, Parole Officers participated in 368 joint operations with local IMPACT partners to address specific crime problems in those jurisdictions.

The Division of Parole recognizes the critical importance of intelligence development and information sharing. The Area Offices have always provided information to other law enforcement agencies about parolees, but that has been enhanced, particularly in the jurisdictions with Crime Analysis Centers. Some examples of intelligence sharing are:

- In Albany and Schenectady, information on parolees is provided through the (LLEDI) Local Law Enforcement Data Information program. In exchange, those departments provide information on crime trends mapping and specific crimes, which are then reviewed by Parole officers. There is an exchange of “wanted” cases, which have significantly improved apprehensions. Daily arrest reports and field intelligence reports are shared, resulting in quicker, more efficient responses and better intelligence gained through debriefing. Intelligence sharing has risen to a new level with the creation of the Albany Crime Analysis Center. A monitor has been installed in the Albany Area Parole Office allowing staff to receive information previously shared via e-mail or discussion, in real time.
- In Syracuse, the exchange of information about crime trends and/or crime hotspots enables Parole officers to focus efforts on specific parolees and areas. This past year, the IMPACT focus was on known or suspected gang members, as well as parolees with a history of burglary. This targeted response was based on increasing gang activity and burglaries in the city of Syracuse.
- In Rochester, weekly meetings conducted by Rochester police are attended by Parole officers to exchange information of crime patterns and specific information about parolees. A Parole analyst assigned to the Monroe Crime Analysis Center forwards daily Parole-related intelligence such as arrests, traffic stops, and developing patterns. A digital display monitor was installed in the Rochester Area Parole Office, providing staff with real time information from the center.
- The Suffolk County police receive information about all parolees and, provide details of any contacts (not only arrests) their officers have with parolees. Those parolees are then debriefed for any information about pending investigations, drug or gang activity.
- The Nassau County police provide details and photos of any known gang member arrested. This is then reviewed by Parole officers for any associations/information to assist police.

In all 17 jurisdictions, Parole officers meet regularly with the partner agencies to discuss trends and develop plans/operations to address public safety concerns.

APPENDIX A

Index Crime Report 2009 vs. 2010

This section includes the December monthly report which also includes full year 2010 data. This report shows the monthly and year-to-date Index crime trends for each of the 17 primary jurisdictions. These reports are prepared each month and distributed electronically to IMPACT partners, including the IMPACT Police Chiefs, IMPACT County District Attorneys, IMPACT County Probation Directors, and other stakeholders.



Reported Crime Primary IMPACT Jurisdictions

Prepared by Division of Criminal Justice Services

January - December 2010 vs. 2009

As of 2/15/2011

	Current Month - December			Year-to-Date		
	2009	2010	% Change	2009	2010	% Change
IMPACT TOTAL						
Total Index Crimes	8,972	8,335	-7.1%	113,229	113,538	0.3%
<u>Violent Crime</u>	1,277	1,078	-15.6%	16,722	16,242	-2.9%
Murder	14	12	-14.3%	217	227	4.6%
Rape	49	54	10.2%	680	723	6.3%
Robbery	593	468	-21.1%	6,711	6,146	-8.4%
Aggravated Assault	621	544	-12.4%	9,114	9,146	0.4%
<u>Property Crime</u>	7,695	7,257	-5.7%	96,507	97,296	0.8%
Burglary	1,825	1,806	-1.0%	20,123	21,983	9.2%
Larceny	5,328	4,958	-6.9%	69,489	69,247	-0.3%
Motor Vehicle Theft	542	493	-9.0%	6,895	6,066	-12.0%

Notes: all data is preliminary and subject to change. IBR data has been converted to UCR categories. Percent change is not calculated when counts are less than 10.

Includes the 17 primary IMPACT Jurisdictions: Albany, Binghamton, Buffalo, Jamestown, Kingston, Nassau, Newburgh, Niagara Falls, Poughkeepsie, Rochester, Schenectady, Spring Valley, Suffolk, Syracuse, Troy, Utica, and Yonkers.

	Current Month - December			Year-to-Date		
	2009	2010	% Change	2009	2010	% Change
ALBANY CITY PD						
Total Index Crimes	441	369	-16.3%	5,258	5,618	6.8%
<u>Violent Crime</u>	91	66	-27.5%	1,006	978	-2.8%
Murder	0	0		9	2	
Rape	5	5		46	44	-4.3%
Robbery	26	24	-7.7%	328	312	-4.9%
Aggravated Assault	60	37	-38.3%	623	620	-0.5%
<u>Property Crime</u>	350	303	-13.4%	4,252	4,640	9.1%
Burglary	82	72	-12.2%	877	925	5.5%
Larceny	249	211	-15.3%	3,142	3,484	10.9%
Motor Vehicle Theft	19	20	5.3%	233	231	-0.9%
BINGHAMTON CITY PD						
Total Index Crimes	147	149	1.4%	2,291	2,346	2.4%
<u>Violent Crime</u>	9	13		216	266	23.1%
Murder	0	0		15	4	
Rape	1	4		11	18	63.6%
Robbery	3	1		56	70	25.0%
Aggravated Assault	5	8		134	174	29.9%
<u>Property Crime</u>	138	136	-1.4%	2,075	2,080	0.2%
Burglary	19	37	94.7%	286	419	46.5%
Larceny	116	99	-14.7%	1,746	1,643	-5.9%
Motor Vehicle Theft	3	0		43	18	-58.1%
BUFFALO CITY PD						
Total Index Crimes	1,393	1,264	-9.3%	18,414	18,342	-0.4%
<u>Violent Crime</u>	311	230	-26.0%	3,923	3,599	-8.3%
Murder	4	1		60	55	-8.3%
Rape	9	5		143	157	9.8%
Robbery	155	111	-28.4%	1,637	1,466	-10.4%
Aggravated Assault	143	113	-21.0%	2,083	1,921	-7.8%
<u>Property Crime</u>	1,082	1,034	-4.4%	14,491	14,743	1.7%
Burglary	318	287	-9.7%	3,957	4,296	8.6%
Larceny	628	627	-0.2%	8,951	9,027	0.8%
Motor Vehicle Theft	136	120	-11.8%	1,583	1,420	-10.3%
JAMESTOWN CITY PD						
Total Index Crimes	102	112	9.8%	1,254	1,459	16.3%
<u>Violent Crime</u>	16	12	-25.0%	152	179	17.8%
Murder	0	0		1	0	
Rape	2	1		20	24	20.0%
Robbery	6	2		26	35	34.6%
Aggravated Assault	8	9		105	120	14.3%
<u>Property Crime</u>	86	100	16.3%	1,102	1,280	16.2%
Burglary	26	24	-7.7%	266	342	28.6%
Larceny	58	72	24.1%	816	894	9.6%
Motor Vehicle Theft	2	4		20	44	120.0%

	Current Month - December			Year-to-Date		
	2009	2010	% Change	2009	2010	% Change
KINGSTON CITY PD						
Total Index Crimes	33	52	57.6%	759	692	-8.8%
<u>Violent Crime</u>	3	4		97	81	-16.5%
Murder	0	0		0	1	
Rape	0	0		2	5	
Robbery	2	4		69	29	-58.0%
Aggravated Assault	1	0		26	46	76.9%
<u>Property Crime</u>	30	48	60.0%	662	611	-7.7%
Burglary	4	12		122	142	16.4%
Larceny	24	35	45.8%	510	451	-11.6%
Motor Vehicle Theft	2	1		30	18	-40.0%
NASSAU COUNTY PD						
Total Index Crimes	1,446	1,200	-17.0%	16,825	15,375	-8.6%
<u>Violent Crime</u>	132	109	-17.4%	1,640	1,496	-8.8%
Murder	1	0		18	15	-16.7%
Rape	4	1		74	70	-5.4%
Robbery	70	60	-14.3%	754	672	-10.9%
Aggravated Assault	57	48	-15.8%	794	739	-6.9%
<u>Property Crime</u>	1,314	1,091	-17.0%	15,185	13,879	-8.6%
Burglary	184	190	3.3%	1,998	1,925	-3.7%
Larceny	1,039	823	-20.8%	12,066	11,117	-7.9%
Motor Vehicle Theft	91	78	-14.3%	1,121	837	-25.3%
NEWBURGH CITY PD						
Total Index Crimes	111	146	31.5%	1,530	1,651	7.9%
<u>Violent Crime</u>	34	33	-2.9%	465	522	12.3%
Murder	0	2		4	10	
Rape	0	0		8	7	
Robbery	14	12	-14.3%	187	195	4.3%
Aggravated Assault	20	19	-5.0%	266	310	16.5%
<u>Property Crime</u>	77	113	46.8%	1,065	1,129	6.0%
Burglary	21	26	23.8%	316	341	7.9%
Larceny	49	81	65.3%	661	722	9.2%
Motor Vehicle Theft	7	6		88	66	-25.0%
NIAGARA FALLS CITY PD						
Total Index Crimes	288	319	10.8%	3,422	3,534	3.3%
<u>Violent Crime</u>	47	40	-14.9%	609	611	0.3%
Murder	0	0		6	5	
Rape	4	1		29	23	-20.7%
Robbery	15	22	46.7%	167	185	10.8%
Aggravated Assault	28	17	-39.3%	407	398	-2.2%
<u>Property Crime</u>	241	279	15.8%	2,813	2,923	3.9%
Burglary	73	98	34.2%	806	955	18.5%
Larceny	158	168	6.3%	1,879	1,834	-2.4%
Motor Vehicle Theft	10	13	30.0%	128	134	4.7%

	Current Month - December			Year-to-Date		
	2009	2010	% Change	2009	2010	% Change
POUGHKEEPSIE CITY PD						
Total Index Crimes	94	104	10.6%	1,434	1,452	1.3%
<u>Violent Crime</u>	26	25	-3.8%	410	398	-2.9%
Murder	0	0		3	7	
Rape	1	2		11	21	90.9%
Robbery	14	13	-7.1%	200	142	-29.0%
Aggravated Assault	11	10	-9.1%	196	228	16.3%
<u>Property Crime</u>	68	79	16.2%	1,024	1,054	2.9%
Burglary	13	25	92.3%	274	273	-0.4%
Larceny	49	48	-2.0%	685	729	6.4%
Motor Vehicle Theft	6	6		65	52	-20.0%
ROCHESTER CITY PD						
Total Index Crimes	1,002	994	-0.8%	13,033	14,049	7.8%
<u>Violent Crime</u>	140	148	5.7%	2,042	2,229	9.2%
Murder	3	1		28	41	46.4%
Rape	3	11		97	99	2.1%
Robbery	69	69	0.0%	846	816	-3.5%
Aggravated Assault	65	67	3.1%	1,071	1,273	18.9%
<u>Property Crime</u>	862	846	-1.9%	10,991	11,820	7.5%
Burglary	310	294	-5.2%	2,899	3,448	18.9%
Larceny	478	500	4.6%	7,130	7,620	6.9%
Motor Vehicle Theft	74	52	-29.7%	962	752	-21.8%
SCHENECTADY CITY PD						
Total Index Crimes	326	288	-11.7%	3,928	4,066	3.5%
<u>Violent Crime</u>	48	38	-20.8%	592	679	14.7%
Murder	1	0		7	8	
Rape	3	2		31	53	71.0%
Robbery	16	13	-18.8%	243	256	5.3%
Aggravated Assault	28	23	-17.9%	311	362	16.4%
<u>Property Crime</u>	278	250	-10.1%	3,336	3,387	1.5%
Burglary	66	55	-16.7%	822	856	4.1%
Larceny	184	182	-1.1%	2,299	2,347	2.1%
Motor Vehicle Theft	28	13	-53.6%	215	184	-14.4%
SPRING VALLEY VILLAGE PD						
Total Index Crimes	58	58	0.0%	633	762	20.4%
<u>Violent Crime</u>	13	10	-23.1%	169	139	-17.8%
Murder	0	0		0	1	
Rape	1	1		5	4	
Robbery	7	3	-57.1%	57	43	-24.6%
Aggravated Assault	5	6	20.0%	107	91	-15.0%
<u>Property Crime</u>	45	48	6.7%	464	623	34.3%
Burglary	5	4	-20.0%	80	106	32.5%
Larceny	34	43	26.5%	362	491	35.6%
Motor Vehicle Theft	6	1	-83.3%	22	26	18.2%

	Current Month - December			Year-to-Date		
	2009	2010	% Change	2009	2010	% Change
SUFFOLK COUNTY PD						
Total Index Crimes	2,127	2,142	0.7%	27,466	27,546	0.3%
<u>Violent Crime</u>	186	150	-19.4%	2,267	2,031	-10.4%
Murder	2	8		32	50	56.3%
Rape	4	4		62	55	-11.3%
Robbery	90	60	-33.3%	960	814	-15.2%
Aggravated Assault	90	78	-13.3%	1,213	1,112	-8.3%
<u>Property Crime</u>	1,941	1,992	2.6%	25,199	25,515	1.3%
Burglary	329	386	17.3%	3,740	4,031	7.8%
Larceny	1,516	1,489	-1.8%	19,952	20,038	0.4%
Motor Vehicle Theft	96	117	21.9%	1,507	1,446	-4.0%

SYRACUSE CITY PD						
Total Index Crimes	611	480	-21.4%	7,122	6,999	-1.7%
<u>Violent Crime</u>	92	97	5.4%	1,343	1,291	-3.9%
Murder	2	0		18	15	-16.7%
Rape	6	9		70	68	-2.9%
Robbery	38	30	-21.1%	403	377	-6.5%
Aggravated Assault	46	58	26.1%	852	831	-2.5%
<u>Property Crime</u>	519	383	-26.2%	5,779	5,708	-1.2%
Burglary	228	152	-33.3%	1,946	2,174	11.7%
Larceny	261	198	-24.1%	3,495	3,167	-9.4%
Motor Vehicle Theft	30	33	10.0%	338	367	8.6%

TROY CITY PD						
Total Index Crimes	212	157	-25.9%	2,689	2,796	4.0%
<u>Violent Crime</u>	28	21	-25.0%	349	444	27.2%
Murder	0	0		3	2	
Rape	1	1		17	22	29.4%
Robbery	14	6		154	137	-11.0%
Aggravated Assault	13	14	7.7%	175	283	61.7%
<u>Property Crime</u>	184	136	-26.1%	2,340	2,352	0.5%
Burglary	46	45	-2.2%	608	656	7.9%
Larceny	131	84	-35.9%	1,612	1,551	-3.8%
Motor Vehicle Theft	7	7		120	145	20.8%

UTICA CITY PD						
Total Index Crimes	266	231	-13.2%	3,061	3,107	1.5%
<u>Violent Crime</u>	49	35	-28.6%	477	406	-14.9%
Murder	0	0		5	2	
Rape	1	4		18	24	33.3%
Robbery	21	13	-38.1%	149	142	-4.7%
Aggravated Assault	27	18	-33.3%	305	238	-22.0%
<u>Property Crime</u>	217	196	-9.7%	2,584	2,701	4.5%
Burglary	42	58	38.1%	506	573	13.2%
Larceny	173	131	-24.3%	2,001	2,046	2.2%
Motor Vehicle Theft	2	7		77	82	6.5%

	Current Month - December			Year-to-Date		
	2009	2010	% Change	2009	2010	% Change
YONKERS CITY PD						
Total Index Crimes	315	270	-14.3%	4,110	3,744	-8.9%
<u>Violent Crime</u>	52	47	-9.6%	965	893	-7.5%
Murder	1	0		8	9	
Rape	4	3		36	29	-19.4%
Robbery	33	25	-24.2%	475	455	-4.2%
Aggravated Assault	14	19	35.7%	446	400	-10.3%
<u>Property Crime</u>	263	223	-15.2%	3,145	2,851	-9.3%
Burglary	59	41	-30.5%	620	521	-16.0%
Larceny	181	167	-7.7%	2,182	2,086	-4.4%
Motor Vehicle Theft	23	15	-34.8%	343	244	-28.9%

Index Crime Trend Tables

Index Crimes

As of 2/15/2011
Primary IMPACT Total

	Jan 10	Feb 10	Mar 10	Apr 10	May 10	Jun 10	Jul 10	Aug 10	Sep 10	Oct 10	Nov 10	Dec 10
Total Index Crimes	8,665	6,692	8,712	8,972	9,796	10,427	11,001	11,304	10,396	10,097	9,141	8,335
Violent Crimes	1,278	932	1,227	1,350	1,509	1,505	1,626	1,568	1,487	1,414	1,268	1,078
Murder	19	19	17	16	16	30	20	30	19	16	13	12
Rape	58	47	48	60	72	56	73	75	75	58	47	54
Robbery	534	333	433	477	527	520	554	590	565	593	552	468
Aggravated Assault	667	533	729	797	894	899	979	873	828	747	656	544
Property Crimes	7,387	5,760	7,485	7,622	8,287	8,922	9,375	9,736	8,909	8,683	7,873	7,257
Burglary	1,622	1,198	1,508	1,512	1,856	1,920	2,083	2,282	2,167	1,998	2,031	1,806
Larceny	5,186	4,144	5,473	5,648	5,961	6,497	6,760	6,862	6,213	6,169	5,376	4,958
Motor Vehicle Theft	579	418	504	462	470	505	532	592	529	516	466	493

	<u>2001</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>% Change 2009-10</u>
Total Index Crimes	128,212	125,678	125,126	121,169	118,656	119,511	112,695	116,022	113,229	113,538	0.3%
Violent Crimes	16,561	16,714	16,479	16,076	17,562	18,247	16,487	16,786	16,722	16,242	-2.9%
Murder	204	200	231	196	226	225	205	213	217	227	4.6%
Rape	905	845	831	840	795	773	797	759	680	723	6.3%
Robbery	6,800	6,839	6,895	6,333	7,332	7,642	6,602	6,812	6,711	6,146	-8.4%
Aggravated Assault	8,652	8,830	8,522	8,707	9,209	9,607	8,883	9,002	9,114	9,146	0.4%
Property Crimes	111,651	108,964	108,647	105,093	101,094	101,264	96,208	99,236	96,507	97,296	0.8%
Burglary	21,592	20,960	21,604	19,955	20,460	20,966	19,676	20,833	20,123	21,983	9.2%
Larceny	76,499	74,015	72,769	71,702	69,352	69,657	67,001	70,347	69,489	69,247	-0.3%
Motor Vehicle Theft	13,560	13,989	14,274	13,436	11,282	10,641	9,531	8,056	6,895	6,066	-12.0%

*IBR data has been converted to UCR categories.

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Note: There were 13 homicides reported in Binghamton in April 2009, which reflect a single incident where 13 victims were killed by one gunman during a mass shooting on April 3, 2009.

Index Crimes

As of 1/25/2011

Albany City Police Department (IBR)

	Jan 10	Feb 10	Mar 10	Apr 10	May 10	Jun 10	Jul 10	Aug 10	Sep 10	Oct 10	Nov 10	Dec 10
Total Index Crimes	410	281	418	456	493	595	536	522	492	601	445	369
Violent Crimes	65	49	80	74	90	97	114	90	88	96	69	66
Murder	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rape	1	2	6	4	3	2	6	4	4	4	3	5
Robbery	17	12	26	22	30	28	39	35	21	38	20	24
Aggravated Assault	47	35	48	48	57	65	69	51	63	54	46	37
Property Crimes	345	232	338	382	403	498	422	432	404	505	376	303
Burglary	71	56	52	62	75	98	78	92	90	94	85	72
Larceny	259	162	267	307	309	371	312	324	297	392	273	211
Motor Vehicle Theft	15	14	19	13	19	29	32	16	17	19	18	20

	<u>2001</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>% Change 2009-10</u>
Total Index Crimes	7,303	6,774	6,150	6,717	6,158	6,057	5,376	5,439	5,258	5,618	6.8%
Violent Crimes	1,319	1,403	1,160	1,131	1,275	1,227	1,128	1,035	1,006	978	-2.8%
Murder	6	8	9	10	8	5	3	10	9	2	
Rape	58	64	33	53	68	50	44	49	46	44	-4.3%
Robbery	434	481	383	394	439	389	376	361	328	312	-4.9%
Aggravated Assault	821	850	735	674	760	783	705	615	623	620	-0.5%
Property Crimes	5,984	5,371	4,990	5,586	4,883	4,830	4,248	4,404	4,252	4,640	9.1%
Burglary	1,567	1,397	1,302	1,294	1,328	1,061	964	1,027	877	925	5.5%
Larceny	3,875	3,401	3,274	3,825	3,186	3,528	2,998	3,153	3,142	3,484	10.9%
Motor Vehicle Theft	542	573	414	467	369	241	286	224	233	231	-0.9%

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Note: Albany Police Department's procedural and classification errors from 2000 to 2002 resulted in over-counts in all index categories except murder.

Index Crimes

As of 1/28/2011

Binghamton City Police Department (IBR)

	Jan 10	Feb 10	Mar 10	Apr 10	May 10	Jun 10	Jul 10	Aug 10	Sep 10	Oct 10	Nov 10	Dec 10
Total Index Crimes	157	112	164	202	211	196	230	265	252	216	192	149
Violent Crimes	23	16	13	16	27	24	23	28	29	33	21	13
Murder	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0
Rape	1	0	0	2	2	1	2	1	1	3	1	4
Robbery	5	1	1	3	9	5	6	11	11	12	5	1
Aggravated Assault	17	14	12	11	16	17	14	16	17	17	15	8
Property Crimes	134	96	151	186	184	172	207	237	223	183	171	136
Burglary	33	12	20	42	33	34	45	44	47	36	36	37
Larceny	100	83	127	142	151	134	161	192	174	146	134	99
Motor Vehicle Theft	1	1	4	2	0	4	1	1	2	1	1	0

	<u>2001</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>% Change 2009-10</u>
Total Index Crimes	2,335	2,366	2,129	2,122	2,079	2,559	2,314	2,665	2,291	2,346	2.4%
Violent Crimes	188	205	182	155	177	206	214	276	216	266	23.1%
Murder	1	6	3	1	3	2	3	1	15	4	
Rape	30	21	10	22	19	9	19	11	11	18	63.6%
Robbery	59	80	77	57	61	63	67	84	56	70	25.0%
Aggravated Assault	98	98	92	75	94	132	125	180	134	174	29.9%
Property Crimes	2,147	2,161	1,947	1,967	1,902	2,353	2,100	2,389	2,075	2,080	0.2%
Burglary	258	260	213	247	236	288	254	342	286	419	46.5%
Larceny	1,827	1,856	1,683	1,686	1,646	2,016	1,809	2,000	1,746	1,643	-5.9%
Motor Vehicle Theft	62	45	51	34	20	49	37	47	43	18	-58.1%

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Note 1: Recent increases in aggravated assault is due in part to recent improvements in the completeness of monthly crime reports.

Note 2: 13 homicides reported in April 2009 reflect a single incident where 13 victims were killed by one gunman during a mass shooting on April 3rd, 2009.

Index Crimes

As of 1/27/2011

Buffalo City Police Department (UCR)

	Jan 10	Feb 10	Mar 10	Apr 10	May 10	Jun 10	Jul 10	Aug 10	Sep 10	Oct 10	Nov 10	Dec 10
Total Index Crimes	1,326	1,063	1,333	1,451	1,601	1,828	1,881	1,858	1,696	1,636	1,405	1,264
Violent Crimes	287	201	275	317	351	338	383	331	284	331	271	230
Murder	5	3	0	8	4	6	5	10	5	4	4	1
Rape	12	7	12	8	20	12	19	22	17	16	7	5
Robbery	135	85	91	137	125	123	131	119	129	150	130	111
Aggravated Assault	135	106	172	164	202	197	228	180	133	161	130	113
Property Crimes	1,039	862	1,058	1,134	1,250	1,490	1,498	1,527	1,412	1,305	1,134	1,034
Burglary	305	242	263	309	379	374	421	512	489	367	348	287
Larceny	611	512	670	721	782	997	952	890	785	805	675	627
Motor Vehicle Theft	123	108	125	104	89	119	125	125	138	133	111	120

	<u>2001</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>% Change 2009-10</u>
Total Index Crimes	19,894	19,017	20,434	20,056	20,668	19,392	19,620	19,176	18,414	18,342	-0.4%
Violent Crimes	3,709	3,757	3,924	3,804	3,938	3,956	3,490	3,713	3,923	3,599	-8.3%
Murder	64	43	65	51	56	74	54	37	60	55	-8.3%
Rape	229	185	206	212	184	173	164	173	143	157	9.8%
Robbery	1,600	1,627	1,654	1,485	1,667	1,708	1,533	1,537	1,637	1,466	-10.4%
Aggravated Assault	1,816	1,902	1,999	2,056	2,031	2,001	1,739	1,966	2,083	1,921	-7.8%
Property Crimes	16,185	15,260	16,510	16,252	16,730	15,436	16,130	15,463	14,491	14,743	1.7%
Burglary	3,965	3,857	4,131	3,914	4,240	4,447	4,389	4,107	3,957	4,296	8.6%
Larceny	9,669	9,115	9,851	9,929	10,089	8,864	9,477	9,500	8,951	9,027	0.8%
Motor Vehicle Theft	2,551	2,288	2,528	2,409	2,401	2,125	2,264	1,856	1,583	1,420	-10.3%

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Index Crimes

As of 2/11/2011

Jamestown City Police Department (IBR)

	Jan 10	Feb 10	Mar 10	Apr 10	May 10	Jun 10	Jul 10	Aug 10	Sep 10	Oct 10	Nov 10	Dec 10
Total Index Crimes	105	91	94	126	112	130	150	149	134	134	122	112
Violent Crimes	12	12	11	12	13	17	15	20	16	19	20	12
Murder	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rape	3	5	2	1	2	1	2	1	1	3	2	1
Robbery	2	2	3	2	0	4	1	3	2	7	7	2
Aggravated Assault	7	5	6	9	11	12	12	16	13	9	11	9
Property Crimes	93	79	83	114	99	113	135	129	118	115	102	100
Burglary	27	15	21	27	24	30	43	33	35	28	35	24
Larceny	64	60	58	86	68	81	89	92	77	84	63	72
Motor Vehicle Theft	2	4	4	1	7	2	3	4	6	3	4	4

	<u>2001</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>% Change 2009-10</u>
Total Index Crimes	1,174	1,256	1,396	1,218	1,345	1,377	1,322	1,366	1,254	1,459	16.3%
Violent Crimes	124	162	164	168	213	170	168	185	152	179	17.8%
Murder	2	1	0	0	1	0	1	3	1	0	
Rape	23	11	18	19	23	21	20	20	20	24	20.0%
Robbery	20	40	41	48	46	35	30	40	26	35	34.6%
Aggravated Assault	79	110	105	101	143	114	117	122	105	120	14.3%
Property Crimes	1,050	1,094	1,232	1,050	1,132	1,207	1,154	1,181	1,102	1,280	16.2%
Burglary	251	269	325	304	364	366	300	344	266	342	28.6%
Larceny	754	761	822	703	728	795	817	811	816	894	9.6%
Motor Vehicle Theft	45	64	85	43	40	46	37	26	20	44	120.0%

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Index Crimes

As of 2/7/2011

Kingston City Police Department (UCR)

	Jan 10	Feb 10	Mar 10	Apr 10	May 10	Jun 10	Jul 10	Aug 10	Sep 10	Oct 10	Nov 10	Dec 10
Total Index Crimes	37	36	38	51	70	76	88	52	60	64	68	52
Violent Crimes	3	6	5	11	8	9	7	7	8	6	7	4
Murder	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rape	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	0
Robbery	0	3	2	4	1	3	4	3	1	1	3	4
Aggravated Assault	3	2	3	6	7	5	2	4	6	4	4	0
Property Crimes	34	30	33	40	62	67	81	45	52	58	61	48
Burglary	11	9	14	7	15	17	11	16	7	8	15	12
Larceny	20	19	18	32	44	48	69	29	44	47	46	35
Motor Vehicle Theft	3	2	1	1	3	2	1	0	1	3	0	1

	<u>2001</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>% Change 2009-10</u>
Total Index Crimes	772	1,228	1,242	1,022	1,208	887	766	714	759	692	-8.8%
Violent Crimes	65	93	118	92	117	89	67	69	97	81	-16.5%
Murder	2	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	
Rape	7	5	7	5	11	11	5	5	2	5	
Robbery	22	55	62	58	72	43	38	48	69	29	-58.0%
Aggravated Assault	34	33	48	28	34	34	23	16	26	46	76.9%
Property Crimes	707	1,135	1,124	930	1,091	798	699	645	662	611	-7.7%
Burglary	92	203	176	135	114	147	122	115	122	142	16.4%
Larceny	563	864	873	762	928	625	551	510	510	451	-11.6%
Motor Vehicle Theft	52	68	75	33	49	26	26	20	30	18	-40.0%

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Index Crimes

As of 1/18/2011

Nassau County Police Department (UCR)

	Jan 10	Feb 10	Mar 10	Apr 10	May 10	Jun 10	Jul 10	Aug 10	Sep 10	Oct 10	Nov 10	Dec 10
Total Index Crimes	1,334	1,047	1,305	1,227	1,279	1,278	1,473	1,480	1,279	1,270	1,203	1,200
Violent Crimes	111	101	99	107	140	140	152	159	135	106	137	109
Murder	0	2	1	1	2	7	0	1	1	0	0	0
Rape	1	8	6	6	7	5	9	12	4	4	7	1
Robbery	62	33	51	33	55	60	60	70	59	59	70	60
Aggravated Assault	48	58	41	67	76	68	83	76	71	43	60	48
Property Crimes	1,223	946	1,206	1,120	1,139	1,138	1,321	1,321	1,144	1,164	1,066	1,091
Burglary	183	161	201	127	144	100	149	134	157	176	203	190
Larceny	965	744	935	921	937	973	1098	1081	912	925	803	823
Motor Vehicle Theft	75	41	70	72	58	65	74	106	75	63	60	78

	<u>2001</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>% Change 2009-10</u>
Total Index Crimes	17,637	17,586	17,837	17,526	16,214	16,526	15,525	16,449	16,825	15,375	-8.6%
Violent Crimes	1,755	1,783	1,791	1,763	1,793	1,813	1,639	1,602	1,640	1,496	-8.8%
Murder	13	16	14	13	16	14	14	14	18	15	-16.7%
Rape	93	92	86	77	76	74	71	58	74	70	-5.4%
Robbery	753	749	775	724	833	866	748	748	754	672	-10.9%
Aggravated Assault	896	926	916	949	868	859	806	782	794	739	-6.9%
Property Crimes	15,882	15,803	16,046	15,763	14,421	14,713	13,886	14,847	15,185	13,879	-8.6%
Burglary	2,459	2,242	2,567	2,255	2,153	2,170	1,807	1,929	1,998	1,925	-3.7%
Larceny	11,402	11,632	11,702	11,814	10,836	11,372	10,928	11,900	12,066	11,117	-7.9%
Motor Vehicle Theft	2,021	1,929	1,777	1,694	1,432	1,171	1,151	1,018	1,121	837	-25.3%

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Index Crimes

As of 1/31/2011

Newburgh City Police Department (UCR)

	Jan 10	Feb 10	Mar 10	Apr 10	May 10	Jun 10	Jul 10	Aug 10	Sep 10	Oct 10	Nov 10	Dec 10
Total Index Crimes	149	175	163	119	110	106	135	134	124	172	118	146
Violent Crimes	37	38	53	42	35	41	46	51	41	65	40	33
Murder	1	0	4	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	2
Rape	1	3	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
Robbery	12	14	17	10	10	12	18	17	16	36	21	12
Aggravated Assault	23	21	32	32	24	29	28	31	25	29	17	19
Property Crimes	112	137	110	77	75	65	89	83	83	107	78	113
Burglary	29	17	38	21	27	16	38	26	27	39	37	26
Larceny	79	113	67	52	43	43	46	49	48	64	37	81
Motor Vehicle Theft	4	7	5	4	5	6	5	8	8	4	4	6

	<u>2001</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>% Change 2009-10</u>
Total Index Crimes	1,999	1,889	1,577	1,551	1,509	1,466	1,612	1,539	1,530	1,651	7.9%
Violent Crimes	459	587	358	371	432	386	436	476	465	522	12.3%
Murder	7	7	2	3	3	1	2	7	4	10	
Rape	23	23	26	15	12	16	14	13	8	7	
Robbery	136	179	67	94	174	134	131	162	187	195	4.3%
Aggravated Assault	293	378	263	259	243	235	289	294	266	310	16.5%
Property Crimes	1,540	1,302	1,219	1,180	1,077	1,080	1,176	1,063	1,065	1,129	6.0%
Burglary	428	349	344	258	294	264	316	333	316	341	7.9%
Larceny	1,041	860	767	793	707	750	791	640	661	722	9.2%
Motor Vehicle Theft	71	93	108	129	76	66	69	90	88	66	-25.0%

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Index Crimes

As of 1/11/2011

Niagara Falls City Police Department (IBR)

	Jan 10	Feb 10	Mar 10	Apr 10	May 10	Jun 10	Jul 10	Aug 10	Sep 10	Oct 10	Nov 10	Dec 10
Total Index Crimes	253	221	251	284	294	357	332	297	296	318	312	319
Violent Crimes	44	45	41	52	53	67	46	55	54	70	44	40
Murder	1	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Rape	2	3	0	1	4	3	0	1	2	2	4	1
Robbery	11	10	8	15	18	17	15	18	16	17	18	22
Aggravated Assault	30	32	31	36	30	47	31	36	36	51	21	17
Property Crimes	209	176	210	232	241	290	286	242	242	248	268	279
Burglary	79	51	69	69	88	99	91	72	63	85	91	98
Larceny	110	118	133	153	146	183	189	153	170	148	163	168
Motor Vehicle Theft	20	7	8	10	7	8	6	17	9	15	14	13

	<u>2001</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>% Change 2009-10</u>
Total Index Crimes	3,604	3,848	3,880	3,607	3,372	3,432	3,179	3,314	3,422	3,534	3.3%
Violent Crimes	494	558	603	636	685	644	503	563	609	611	0.3%
Murder	4	3	7	5	4	4	3	3	6	5	
Rape	26	30	39	28	24	35	38	24	29	23	-20.7%
Robbery	170	166	199	188	242	201	134	171	167	185	10.8%
Aggravated Assault	294	359	358	415	415	404	328	365	407	398	-2.2%
Property Crimes	3,110	3,290	3,277	2,971	2,687	2,788	2,676	2,751	2,813	2,923	3.9%
Burglary	795	827	898	732	703	791	668	828	806	955	18.5%
Larceny	1,948	2,080	2,072	1,942	1,726	1,802	1,796	1,762	1,879	1,834	-2.4%
Motor Vehicle Theft	367	383	307	297	258	195	212	161	128	134	4.7%

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Index Crimes

As of 1/19/2010

Poughkeepsie City Police Department (IBR)

	Jan 10	Feb 10	Mar 10	Apr 10	May 10	Jun 10	Jul 10	Aug 10	Sep 10	Oct 10	Nov 10	Dec 10
Total Index Crimes	101	84	114	98	137	152	113	133	123	171	122	104
Violent Crimes	34	24	38	36	42	44	28	34	31	36	26	25
Murder	2	1	1	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0
Rape	3	2	1	2	1	1	1	3	3	0	2	2
Robbery	15	5	12	17	12	16	7	8	9	14	14	13
Aggravated Assault	14	16	24	17	29	26	20	21	19	22	10	10
Property Crimes	67	60	76	62	95	108	85	99	92	135	96	79
Burglary	15	7	20	8	20	31	30	29	25	37	26	25
Larceny	46	51	53	50	73	71	52	65	62	93	65	48
Motor Vehicle Theft	6	2	3	4	2	6	3	5	5	5	5	6

	<u>2001</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>% Change 2009-10</u>
Total Index Crimes	1,293	1,347	1,538	1,561	1,480	1,539	1,451	1,516	1,434	1,452	1.3%
Violent Crimes	196	298	354	357	372	417	387	394	410	398	-2.9%
Murder	4	3	2	2	2	4	5	5	3	7	
Rape	17	16	25	23	16	13	15	23	11	21	90.9%
Robbery	103	131	133	131	151	173	163	162	200	142	-29.0%
Aggravated Assault	72	148	194	201	203	227	204	204	196	228	16.3%
Property Crimes	1,097	1,049	1,184	1,204	1,108	1,122	1,064	1,122	1,024	1,054	2.9%
Burglary	237	205	258	183	234	242	253	261	274	273	-0.4%
Larceny	773	792	843	918	787	792	704	784	685	729	6.4%
Motor Vehicle Theft	87	52	83	103	87	88	107	77	65	52	-20.0%

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Index Crimes

As of 2/15/2011

Rochester City Police Department (UCR)

	Jan 10	Feb 10	Mar 10	Apr 10	May 10	Jun 10	Jul 10	Aug 10	Sep 10	Oct 10	Nov 10	Dec 10
Total Index Crimes	983	702	960	1,132	1,297	1,353	1,323	1,371	1,341	1,400	1,193	994
Violent Crimes	192	106	157	209	218	208	244	211	179	184	173	148
Murder	4	2	1	3	5	6	3	9	4	3	0	1
Rape	14	5	4	10	3	12	10	11	10	7	2	11
Robbery	78	35	57	68	72	70	73	75	56	78	85	69
Aggravated Assault	96	64	95	128	138	120	158	116	109	96	86	67
Property Crimes	791	596	803	923	1,079	1,145	1,079	1,160	1,162	1,216	1,020	846
Burglary	226	166	189	264	304	340	299	333	321	363	349	294
Larceny	488	365	562	602	697	742	715	767	775	786	621	500
Motor Vehicle Theft	77	65	52	57	78	63	65	60	66	67	50	52

	<u>2001</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>% Change 2009-10</u>
Total Index Crimes	16,114	16,911	17,740	17,240	16,017	15,665	13,627	13,433	13,033	14,049	7.8%
Violent Crimes	1,642	1,786	2,032	1,782	2,189	2,666	2,350	2,302	2,042	2,229	9.2%
Murder	40	42	56	36	53	49	50	43	28	41	46.4%
Rape	87	107	86	91	100	92	121	98	97	99	2.1%
Robbery	905	972	1,166	932	1,026	1,332	1,032	1,059	846	816	-3.5%
Aggravated Assault	610	665	724	723	1,010	1,193	1,147	1,102	1,071	1,273	18.9%
Property Crimes	14,472	15,125	15,708	15,458	13,828	12,999	11,277	11,131	10,991	11,820	7.5%
Burglary	2,442	2,467	2,497	2,722	2,758	2,673	2,582	2,809	2,899	3,448	18.9%
Larceny	9,716	9,853	9,773	9,550	8,826	7,913	7,044	7,060	7,130	7,620	6.9%
Motor Vehicle Theft	2,314	2,805	3,438	3,186	2,244	2,413	1,651	1,262	962	752	-21.8%

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Index Crimes

As of 1/25/2011

Schenectady City Police Department (UCR)

	Jan 10	Feb 10	Mar 10	Apr 10	May 10	Jun 10	Jul 10	Aug 10	Sep 10	Oct 10	Nov 10	Dec 10
Total Index Crimes	316	210	306	307	362	340	405	412	456	360	304	288
Violent Crimes	55	38	68	55	60	54	57	74	74	65	41	38
Murder	0	1	2	1	0	1	2	0	1	0	0	0
Rape	3	4	6	4	10	4	5	3	7	3	2	2
Robbery	17	7	19	29	21	17	17	30	33	37	16	13
Aggravated Assault	35	26	41	21	29	32	33	41	33	25	23	23
Property Crimes	261	172	238	252	302	286	348	338	382	295	263	250
Burglary	69	52	55	50	61	66	88	103	115	85	57	55
Larceny	173	108	174	187	222	195	249	218	251	197	191	182
Motor Vehicle Theft	19	12	9	15	19	25	11	17	16	13	15	13

	<u>2001</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>% Change 2009-10</u>
Total Index Crimes	3,556	3,197	3,080	3,416	3,687	4,161	3,553	3,708	3,928	4,066	3.5%
Violent Crimes	522	493	483	510	628	712	606	654	592	679	14.7%
Murder	5	8	11	7	8	6	5	9	7	8	
Rape	54	45	43	38	43	52	34	35	31	53	71.0%
Robbery	208	174	171	173	252	309	265	288	243	256	5.3%
Aggravated Assault	255	266	258	292	325	345	302	322	311	362	16.4%
Property Crimes	3,034	2,704	2,597	2,906	3,059	3,449	2,947	3,054	3,336	3,387	1.5%
Burglary	871	738	736	718	800	1,119	806	925	822	856	4.1%
Larceny	1,902	1,652	1,616	1,935	1,968	1,994	1,851	1,905	2,299	2,347	2.1%
Motor Vehicle Theft	261	314	245	253	291	336	290	224	215	184	-14.4%

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Index Crimes

As of 1/31/2011

Spring Valley Village Police Department (IBR)

	Jan 10	Feb 10	Mar 10	Apr 10	May 10	Jun 10	Jul 10	Aug 10	Sep 10	Oct 10	Nov 10	Dec 10
Total Index Crimes	44	32	41	75	59	74	66	84	71	105	53	58
Violent Crimes	4	10	3	20	5	13	9	15	21	19	10	10
Murder	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rape	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1
Robbery	1	1	2	7	2	5	4	4	6	6	2	3
Aggravated Assault	3	8	1	13	3	8	4	10	15	13	7	6
Property Crimes	40	22	38	55	54	61	57	69	50	86	43	48
Burglary	10	8	10	11	9	9	11	9	8	10	7	4
Larceny	30	13	26	42	44	47	44	54	39	75	34	43
Motor Vehicle Theft	0	1	2	2	1	5	2	6	3	1	2	1

	<u>2001</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>% Change 2009-10</u>
Total Index Crimes	1,046	n/a	n/a	684	643	596	656	718	633	762	20.4%
Violent Crimes	263	n/a	n/a	190	180	182	204	202	169	139	-17.8%
Murder	0	n/a	n/a	2	4	1	0	1	0	1	
Rape	9	n/a	n/a	8	7	6	12	7	5	4	
Robbery	102	n/a	n/a	73	49	70	71	63	57	43	-24.6%
Aggravated Assault	152	n/a	n/a	107	120	105	121	131	107	91	-15.0%
Property Crimes	783	n/a	n/a	494	463	414	452	516	464	623	34.3%
Burglary	195	n/a	n/a	107	83	93	89	85	80	106	32.5%
Larceny	504	n/a	n/a	335	337	285	326	407	362	491	35.6%
Motor Vehicle Theft	84	n/a	n/a	52	43	36	37	24	22	26	18.2%

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Index Crimes

As of 1/25/2011

Suffolk County Police Department (UCR)

	Jan 10	Feb 10	Mar 10	Apr 10	May 10	Jun 10	Jul 10	Aug 10	Sep 10	Oct 10	Nov 10	Dec 10
Total Index Crimes	2,246	1,735	2,231	2,137	2,253	2,435	2,690	2,759	2,519	2,172	2,227	2,142
Violent Crimes	185	145	146	127	174	198	218	187	221	140	140	150
Murder	2	7	4	0	3	0	5	6	7	5	3	8
Rape	6	2	4	1	6	5	7	2	10	4	4	4
Robbery	81	71	60	48	65	67	78	67	106	48	63	60
Aggravated Assault	96	65	78	78	100	126	128	112	98	83	70	78
Property Crimes	2,061	1,590	2,085	2,010	2,079	2,237	2,472	2,572	2,298	2,032	2,087	1,992
Burglary	294	205	293	260	311	350	391	424	415	340	362	386
Larceny	1614	1271	1653	1645	1644	1784	1955	2019	1769	1583	1612	1489
Motor Vehicle Theft	153	114	139	105	124	103	126	129	114	109	113	117

	<u>2001</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>% Change 2009-10</u>
Total Index Crimes	31,621	30,127	29,277	26,687	26,542	27,292	26,541	28,400	27,466	27,546	0.3%
Violent Crimes	2,741	2,497	2,394	2,268	2,446	2,481	2,204	2,165	2,267	2,031	-10.4%
Murder	28	18	24	28	28	37	28	38	32	50	56.3%
Rape	140	143	112	109	82	86	87	91	62	55	-11.3%
Robbery	960	907	958	845	1,037	1,027	871	890	960	814	-15.2%
Aggravated Assault	1,613	1,429	1,300	1,286	1,299	1,331	1,218	1,146	1,213	1,112	-8.3%
Property Crimes	28,880	27,630	26,883	24,419	24,096	24,811	24,337	26,235	25,199	25,515	1.3%
Burglary	4,284	4,159	4,091	3,537	3,509	3,373	3,365	3,805	3,740	4,031	7.8%
Larceny	21,612	20,587	19,959	18,323	18,372	19,110	18,880	20,490	19,952	20,038	0.4%
Motor Vehicle Theft	2,984	2,884	2,833	2,559	2,215	2,328	2,092	1,940	1,507	1,446	-4.0%

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Index Crimes

As of 1/31/2011

Syracuse City Police Department (UCR)

	Jan 10	Feb 10	Mar 10	Apr 10	May 10	Jun 10	Jul 10	Aug 10	Sep 10	Oct 10	Nov 10	Dec 10
Total Index Crimes	493	381	553	604	626	661	608	726	621	634	612	480
Violent Crimes	97	58	103	110	119	109	113	140	110	113	122	97
Murder	1	0	2	1	0	3	1	1	1	3	2	0
Rape	7	1	6	12	8	4	4	5	4	5	3	9
Robbery	39	19	34	21	29	27	31	54	28	33	32	30
Aggravated Assault	50	38	61	76	82	75	77	80	77	72	85	58
Property Crimes	396	323	450	494	507	552	495	586	511	521	490	383
Burglary	159	109	143	141	201	202	181	260	230	177	219	152
Larceny	202	198	281	318	281	319	284	292	250	305	239	198
Motor Vehicle Theft	35	16	26	35	25	31	30	34	31	39	32	33

	<u>2001</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>% Change 2009-10</u>
Total Index Crimes	9,466	9,792	9,081	7,983	8,056	8,192	7,399	7,531	7,122	6,999	-1.7%
Violent Crimes	1,561	1,520	1,359	1,322	1,570	1,515	1,435	1,366	1,343	1,291	-3.9%
Murder	15	24	15	16	19	12	19	24	18	15	-16.7%
Rape	42	43	66	70	73	66	67	71	70	68	-2.9%
Robbery	567	551	485	451	554	534	446	419	403	377	-6.5%
Aggravated Assault	937	902	793	785	924	903	903	852	852	831	-2.5%
Property Crimes	7,905	8,272	7,722	6,661	6,486	6,677	5,964	6,165	5,779	5,708	-1.2%
Burglary	1,810	1,930	1,986	1,678	1,867	1,904	1,785	1,938	1,946	2,174	11.7%
Larceny	5,194	5,060	4,519	3,839	3,639	4,037	3,618	3,725	3,495	3,167	-9.4%
Motor Vehicle Theft	901	1,282	1,217	1,144	980	736	561	502	338	367	8.6%

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Index Crimes

As of 1/4/2011

Troy City Police Department (UCR)

	Jan 10	Feb 10	Mar 10	Apr 10	May 10	Jun 10	Jul 10	Aug 10	Sep 10	Oct 10	Nov 10	Dec 10
Total Index Crimes	205	155	206	215	291	284	257	247	281	251	247	157
Violent Crimes	38	26	38	52	47	38	38	32	46	33	35	21
Murder	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rape	3	1	0	4	1	4	0	3	2	0	3	1
Robbery	9	10	7	13	16	11	15	12	18	10	10	6
Aggravated Assault	26	15	31	34	30	22	23	17	26	23	22	14
Property Crimes	167	129	168	163	244	246	219	215	235	218	212	136
Burglary	45	36	47	46	76	65	64	57	49	66	60	45
Larceny	116	86	111	107	160	162	139	133	171	139	143	84
Motor Vehicle Theft	6	7	10	10	8	19	16	25	15	13	9	7
	<u>2001</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>% Change 2009-10</u>	
Total Index Crimes	2,154	2,496	2,432	2,312	2,362	2,652	2,548	2,755	2,689	2,796	4.0%	
Violent Crimes	281	314	293	298	309	373	345	392	349	444	27.2%	
Murder	0	3	2	1	4	1	2	5	3	2		
Rape	23	28	30	30	18	18	21	19	17	22	29.4%	
Robbery	100	118	84	84	78	120	131	152	154	137	-11.0%	
Aggravated Assault	158	165	177	183	209	234	191	216	175	283	61.7%	
Property Crimes	1,873	2,182	2,139	2,014	2,053	2,279	2,203	2,363	2,340	2,352	0.5%	
Burglary	460	521	520	553	517	614	581	600	608	656	7.9%	
Larceny	1,248	1,411	1,438	1,266	1,333	1,482	1,449	1,650	1,612	1,551	-3.8%	
Motor Vehicle Theft	165	250	181	195	203	183	173	113	120	145	20.8%	

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Index Crimes

As of 2/1/2011

Utica City Police Department (IBR)

	Jan 10	Feb 10	Mar 10	Apr 10	May 10	Jun 10	Jul 10	Aug 10	Sep 10	Oct 10	Nov 10	Dec 10
Total Index Crimes	198	153	221	220	282	252	351	356	303	298	242	231
Violent Crimes	27	15	31	32	42	33	38	47	45	26	35	35
Murder	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Rape	0	2	1	1	3	1	3	2	2	3	2	4
Robbery	12	6	11	12	16	11	10	18	13	7	13	13
Aggravated Assault	14	7	19	19	23	21	25	27	30	16	19	18
Property Crimes	171	138	190	188	240	219	313	309	258	272	207	196
Burglary	27	22	34	34	54	48	78	60	35	56	67	58
Larceny	135	114	150	145	181	165	226	237	217	210	135	131
Motor Vehicle Theft	9	2	6	9	5	6	9	12	6	6	5	7

	<u>2001</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>% Change 2009-10</u>
Total Index Crimes	2,825	3,168	2,665	2,793	2,940	3,238	3,316	3,259	3,061	3,107	1.5%
Violent Crimes	307	365	385	287	268	432	433	478	477	406	-14.9%
Murder	7	5	7	5	8	6	5	4	5	2	
Rape	29	21	19	17	18	20	21	20	18	24	33.3%
Robbery	188	190	186	139	133	140	142	181	149	142	-4.7%
Aggravated Assault	83	149	173	126	109	266	265	273	305	238	-22.0%
Property Crimes	2,518	2,803	2,280	2,506	2,672	2,806	2,883	2,781	2,584	2,701	4.5%
Burglary	641	790	744	605	619	763	753	732	506	573	13.2%
Larceny	1,725	1,866	1,392	1,740	1,967	1,892	1,968	1,929	2,001	2,046	2.2%
Motor Vehicle Theft	152	147	144	161	86	151	162	120	77	82	6.5%

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Note: the increase in aggravated assault in 2006 is due in part to improvements in the completeness of monthly crime reports.

Index Crimes

As of 1/25/2011


Yonkers City Police Department (UCR)

	Jan 10	Feb 10	Mar 10	Apr 10	May 10	Jun 10	Jul 10	Aug 10	Sep 10	Oct 10	Nov 10	Dec 10
Total Index Crimes	308	214	314	268	319	310	363	459	348	295	276	270
Violent Crimes	64	42	66	78	85	75	95	87	105	72	77	47
Murder	2	0	0	1	1	2	3	0	0	0	0	0
Rape	1	2	0	3	1	0	3	2	7	3	4	3
Robbery	38	19	32	36	46	44	45	46	41	40	43	25
Aggravated Assault	23	21	34	38	37	29	44	39	57	29	30	19
Property Crimes	244	172	248	190	234	235	268	372	243	223	199	223
Burglary	39	30	39	34	35	41	65	78	54	31	34	41
Larceny	174	127	188	138	179	182	180	267	172	170	142	167
Motor Vehicle Theft	31	15	21	18	20	12	23	27	17	22	23	15
	<u>2001</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>% Change 2009-10</u>	
Total Index Crimes	5,419	4,676	4,668	4,674	4,376	4,480	3,890	4,040	4,110	3,744	-8.9%	
Violent Crimes	935	893	879	942	970	978	878	914	965	893	-7.5%	
Murder	6	13	13	15	9	8	10	9	8	9		
Rape	15	11	25	23	21	31	44	42	36	29	-19.4%	
Robbery	473	419	454	457	518	498	424	447	475	455	-4.2%	
Aggravated Assault	441	450	387	447	422	441	400	416	446	400	-10.3%	
Property Crimes	4,484	3,783	3,789	3,732	3,406	3,502	3,012	3,126	3,145	2,851	-9.3%	
Burglary	837	746	816	713	641	651	642	653	620	521	-16.0%	
Larceny	2,746	2,225	2,185	2,342	2,277	2,400	1,994	2,121	2,182	2,086	-4.4%	
Motor Vehicle Theft	901	812	788	677	488	451	376	352	343	244	-28.9%	

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Violent Crimes Involving a Firearm Report 2009 vs. 2010

This section includes the December monthly report which also includes full year 2010 data. This report shows the monthly and year-to-date violent crime involving a firearm trends for each of the 17 primary jurisdictions. These reports are prepared each month and distributed electronically to IMPACT partners, including the IMPACT Police Chiefs, IMPACT County District Attorneys, IMPACT County Probation Directors, and other stakeholders.



Violent Crime by Firearm Primary IMPACT Jurisdictions

Prepared by Division of Criminal Justice Services

January - December 2010 vs. 2009

As of 2/15/2011

	Current Month - December			Year-to-Date		
	2009	2010	% Change	2009	2010	% Change
IMPACT TOTAL						
Total Violent Crime	1,277	1,078	-15.6%	16,722	16,242	-2.9%
Total Firearm Related	393	291	-26.0%	3,982	3,747	-5.9%
Percent Firearm	30.8%	27.0%		23.8%	23.1%	
Murder	14	12	-14.3%	217	227	4.6%
Firearm Related	10	6		146	155	6.2%
Percent Firearm	71.4%	50.0%		67.3%	68.3%	
Rape	49	54	10.2%	680	723	6.3%
Firearm Related	0	1		16	11	-31.3%
Percent Firearm	0.0%	1.9%		2.4%	1.5%	
Robbery	593	468	-21.1%	6,711	6,146	-8.4%
Firearm Related	268	184	-31.3%	2,171	1,922	-11.5%
Percent Firearm	45.2%	39.3%		32.3%	31.3%	
Aggravated Assault	621	544	-12.4%	9,114	9,146	0.4%
Firearm Related	115	100	-13.0%	1,649	1,659	0.6%
Percent Firearm	18.5%	18.4%		18.1%	18.1%	

Notes: all data is preliminary and subject to change. IBR data has been converted to UCR categories. Percent change is not calculated when counts are less than 10.

Includes the 17 primary IMPACT Jurisdictions: Albany, Binghamton, Buffalo, Jamestown, Kingston, Nassau, Newburgh, Niagara Falls, Poughkeepsie, Rochester, Schenectady, Spring Valley, Suffolk, Syracuse, Troy, Utica, and Yonkers.

	Current Month - December			Year-to-Date		
	2009	2010	% Change	2009	2010	% Change
ALBANY CITY PD						
Total Violent Crime	91	66	-27.5%	1,006	978	-2.8%
Total Firearm Related	19	8		161	131	-18.6%
Percent Firearm	20.9%	12.1%		16.0%	13.4%	
Murder	0	0		9	2	
Firearm Related	0	0		7	1	
Percent Firearm				77.8%	50.0%	
Rape	5	5		46	44	-4.3%
Firearm Related	0	0		0	0	
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%		0.0%	0.0%	
Robbery	26	24	-7.7%	328	312	-4.9%
Firearm Related	14	6		100	67	-33.0%
Percent Firearm	53.8%	25.0%		30.5%	21.5%	
Aggravated Assault	60	37	-38.3%	623	620	-0.5%
Firearm Related	5	2		54	63	16.7%
Percent Firearm	8.3%	5.4%		8.7%	10.2%	
BINGHAMTON CITY PD						
Total Violent Crime	9	13		216	266	23.1%
Total Firearm Related	2	1		34	32	-5.9%
Percent Firearm	22.2%	7.7%		15.7%	12.0%	
Murder	0	0		15	4	
Firearm Related	0	0		14	2	
Percent Firearm				93.3%	50.0%	
Rape	1	4		11	18	63.6%
Firearm Related	0	0		0	0	
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%		0.0%	0.0%	
Robbery	3	1		56	70	25.0%
Firearm Related	2	0		11	11	0.0%
Percent Firearm	66.7%	0.0%		19.6%	15.7%	
Aggravated Assault	5	8		134	174	29.9%
Firearm Related	0	1		9	19	
Percent Firearm	0.0%	12.5%		6.7%	10.9%	
BUFFALO CITY PD						
Total Violent Crime	311	230	-26.0%	3,923	3,599	-8.3%
Total Firearm Related	123	79	-35.8%	1,172	1,026	-12.5%
Percent Firearm	39.5%	34.3%		29.9%	28.5%	
Murder	4	1		60	55	-8.3%
Firearm Related	3	1		50	43	-14.0%
Percent Firearm	75.0%	100.0%		83.3%	78.2%	
Rape	9	5		143	157	9.8%
Firearm Related	0	0		7	5	
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%		4.9%	3.2%	
Robbery	155	111	-28.4%	1,637	1,466	-10.4%
Firearm Related	77	47	-39.0%	587	510	-13.1%
Percent Firearm	49.7%	42.3%		35.9%	34.8%	
Aggravated Assault	143	113	-21.0%	2,083	1,921	-7.8%
Firearm Related	43	31	-27.9%	528	468	-11.4%
Percent Firearm	30.1%	27.4%		25.3%	24.4%	

	Current Month - December			Year-to-Date		
	2009	2010	% Change	2009	2010	% Change
JAMESTOWN CITY PD						
Total Violent Crime	16	12	-25.0%	152	179	17.8%
Total Firearm Related	0	1		8	21	
Percent Firearm	0.0%	8.3%		5.3%	11.7%	
Murder	0	0		1	0	
Firearm Related	0	0		0	0	
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%		0.0%	0.0%	
Rape	2	1		20	24	20.0%
Firearm Related	0	0		1	0	
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%		5.0%	0.0%	
Robbery	6	2		26	35	34.6%
Firearm Related	0	1		0	10	
Percent Firearm	0.0%	50.0%		0.0%	28.6%	
Aggravated Assault	8	9		105	120	14.3%
Firearm Related	0	0		7	11	
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%		6.7%	9.2%	
KINGSTON CITY PD						
Total Violent Crime	3	4		97	81	-16.5%
Total Firearm Related	0	0		18	13	-27.8%
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%		18.6%	16.0%	
Murder	0	0		0	1	
Firearm Related	0	0		0	1	
Percent Firearm				0.0%	100.0%	
Rape	0	0		2	5	
Firearm Related	0	0		0	0	
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%		0.0%	0.0%	
Robbery	2	4		69	29	-58.0%
Firearm Related	0	0		10	3	
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%		14.5%	10.3%	
Aggravated Assault	1	0		26	46	76.9%
Firearm Related	0	0		8	9	
Percent Firearm	0.0%			30.8%	19.6%	
NASSAU COUNTY PD						
Total Violent Crime	132	109	-17.4%	1,640	1,496	-8.8%
Total Firearm Related	29	22	-24.1%	285	219	-23.2%
Percent Firearm	22.0%	20.2%		17.4%	14.6%	
Murder	1	0		18	15	-16.7%
Firearm Related	0	0		3	12	
Percent Firearm	0.0%			16.7%	80.0%	
Rape	4	1		74	70	-5.4%
Firearm Related	0	0		0	0	
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%		0.0%	0.0%	
Robbery	70	60	-14.3%	754	672	-10.9%
Firearm Related	29	20	-31.0%	224	171	-23.7%
Percent Firearm	41.4%	33.3%		29.7%	25.4%	
Aggravated Assault	57	48	-15.8%	794	739	-6.9%
Firearm Related	0	2		58	36	-37.9%
Percent Firearm	0.0%	4.2%		7.3%	4.9%	

	Current Month - December			Year-to-Date		
	2009	2010	% Change	2009	2010	% Change
NEWBURGH CITY PD						
Total Violent Crime	34	33	-2.9%	465	522	12.3%
Total Firearm Related	7	10		85	109	28.2%
Percent Firearm	20.6%	30.3%		18.3%	20.9%	
Murder	0	2		4	10	
Firearm Related	0	2		2	7	
Percent Firearm		100.0%		50.0%	70.0%	
Rape	0	0		8	7	
Firearm Related	0	0		1	0	
Percent Firearm				12.5%	0.0%	
Robbery	14	12	-14.3%	187	195	4.3%
Firearm Related	4	5		50	42	-16.0%
Percent Firearm	28.6%	41.7%		26.7%	21.5%	
Aggravated Assault	20	19	-5.0%	266	310	16.5%
Firearm Related	3	3		32	60	87.5%
Percent Firearm	15.0%	15.8%		12.0%	19.4%	
NIAGARA FALLS CITY PD						
Total Violent Crime	47	40	-14.9%	609	611	0.3%
Total Firearm Related	11	9		104	103	-1.0%
Percent Firearm	23.4%	22.5%		17.1%	16.9%	
Murder	0	0		6	5	
Firearm Related	0	0		4	3	
Percent Firearm				66.7%	60.0%	
Rape	4	1		29	23	-20.7%
Firearm Related	0	0		0	0	
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%		0.0%	0.0%	
Robbery	15	22	46.7%	167	185	10.8%
Firearm Related	7	7		47	49	4.3%
Percent Firearm	46.7%	31.8%		28.1%	26.5%	
Aggravated Assault	28	17	-39.3%	407	398	-2.2%
Firearm Related	4	2		53	51	-3.8%
Percent Firearm	14.3%	11.8%		13.0%	12.8%	
POUGHKEEPSIE CITY PD						
Total Violent Crime	26	25	-3.8%	410	398	-2.9%
Total Firearm Related	3	7		89	73	-18.0%
Percent Firearm	11.5%	28.0%		21.7%	18.3%	
Murder	0	0		3	7	
Firearm Related	0	0		2	3	
Percent Firearm				66.7%	42.9%	
Rape	1	2		11	21	90.9%
Firearm Related	0	0		0	0	
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%		0.0%	0.0%	
Robbery	14	13	-7.1%	200	142	-29.0%
Firearm Related	2	3		51	35	-31.4%
Percent Firearm	14.3%	23.1%		25.5%	24.6%	
Aggravated Assault	11	10	-9.1%	196	228	16.3%
Firearm Related	1	4		36	35	-2.8%
Percent Firearm	9.1%	40.0%		18.4%	15.4%	

	Current Month - December			Year-to-Date		
	2009	2010	% Change	2009	2010	% Change
ROCHESTER CITY PD						
Total Violent Crime	140	148	5.7%	2,042	2,229	9.2%
Total Firearm Related	52	52	0.0%	692	705	1.9%
Percent Firearm	37.1%	35.1%		33.9%	31.6%	
Murder	3	1		28	41	46.4%
Firearm Related	3	1		23	29	26.1%
Percent Firearm	100.0%	100.0%		82.1%	70.7%	
Rape	3	11		97	99	2.1%
Firearm Related	0	1		3	4	
Percent Firearm	0.0%	9.1%		3.1%	4.0%	
Robbery	69	69	0.0%	846	816	-3.5%
Firearm Related	33	33	0.0%	385	374	-2.9%
Percent Firearm	47.8%	47.8%		45.5%	45.8%	
Aggravated Assault	65	67	3.1%	1,071	1,273	18.9%
Firearm Related	16	17	6.3%	281	298	6.0%
Percent Firearm	24.6%	25.4%		26.2%	23.4%	
SCHENECTADY CITY PD						
Total Violent Crime	48	38	-20.8%	592	679	14.7%
Total Firearm Related	6	9		125	138	10.4%
Percent Firearm	12.5%	23.7%		21.1%	20.3%	
Murder	1	0		7	8	
Firearm Related	1	0		5	5	
Percent Firearm	100.0%			71.4%	62.5%	
Rape	3	2		31	53	71.0%
Firearm Related	0	0		1	0	
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%		3.2%	0.0%	
Robbery	16	13	-18.8%	243	256	5.3%
Firearm Related	4	6		65	57	-12.3%
Percent Firearm	25.0%	46.2%		26.7%	22.3%	
Aggravated Assault	28	23	-17.9%	311	362	16.4%
Firearm Related	1	3		54	76	40.7%
Percent Firearm	3.6%	13.0%		17.4%	21.0%	
SPRING VALLEY VILLAGE PD						
Total Violent Crime	13	10	-23.1%	169	139	-17.8%
Total Firearm Related	6	2		29	21	-27.6%
Percent Firearm	46.2%	20.0%		17.2%	15.1%	
Murder	0	0		0	1	
Firearm Related	0	0		0	0	
Percent Firearm				0.0%	0.0%	
Rape	1	1		5	4	
Firearm Related	0	0		0	0	
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%		0.0%	0.0%	
Robbery	7	3		57	43	-24.6%
Firearm Related	5	2		18	9	
Percent Firearm	71.4%	66.7%		31.6%	20.9%	
Aggravated Assault	5	6		107	91	-15.0%
Firearm Related	1	0		11	12	9.1%
Percent Firearm	20.0%	0.0%		10.3%	13.2%	

	Current Month - December			Year-to-Date		
	2009	2010	% Change	2009	2010	% Change
SUFFOLK COUNTY PD						
Total Violent Crime	186	150	-19.4%	2,267	2,031	-10.4%
Total Firearm Related	72	43	-40.3%	561	528	-5.9%
Percent Firearm	38.7%	28.7%		24.7%	26.0%	
Murder	2	8		32	50	56.3%
Firearm Related	2	2		16	29	81.3%
Percent Firearm	100.0%	25.0%		50.0%	58.0%	
Rape	4	4		62	55	-11.3%
Firearm Related	0	0		0	1	
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%		0.0%	1.8%	
Robbery	90	60	-33.3%	960	814	-15.2%
Firearm Related	54	27	-50.0%	314	304	-3.2%
Percent Firearm	60.0%	45.0%		32.7%	37.3%	
Aggravated Assault	90	78	-13.3%	1,213	1,112	-8.3%
Firearm Related	16	14	-12.5%	231	194	-16.0%
Percent Firearm	17.8%	17.9%		19.0%	17.4%	
SYRACUSE CITY PD						
Total Violent Crime	92	97	5.4%	1,343	1,291	-3.9%
Total Firearm Related	28	24	-14.3%	270	314	16.3%
Percent Firearm	30.4%	24.7%		20.1%	24.3%	
Murder	2	0		18	15	-16.7%
Firearm Related	1	0		10	12	20.0%
Percent Firearm	50.0%			55.6%	80.0%	
Rape	6	9		70	68	-2.9%
Firearm Related	0	0		2	1	
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%		2.9%	1.5%	
Robbery	38	30	-21.1%	403	377	-6.5%
Firearm Related	15	14	-6.7%	125	121	-3.2%
Percent Firearm	39.5%	46.7%		31.0%	32.1%	
Aggravated Assault	46	58	26.1%	852	831	-2.5%
Firearm Related	12	10	-16.7%	133	180	35.3%
Percent Firearm	26.1%	17.2%		15.6%	21.7%	
TROY CITY PD						
Total Violent Crime	28	21	-25.0%	349	444	27.2%
Total Firearm Related	11	7		84	101	20.2%
Percent Firearm	39.3%	33.3%		24.1%	22.7%	
Murder	0	0		3	2	
Firearm Related	0	0		1	1	
Percent Firearm				33.3%	50.0%	
Rape	1	1		17	22	29.4%
Firearm Related	0	0		0	0	
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%		0.0%	0.0%	
Robbery	14	6		154	137	-11.0%
Firearm Related	6	0		44	45	2.3%
Percent Firearm	42.9%	0.0%		28.6%	32.8%	
Aggravated Assault	13	14	7.7%	175	283	61.7%
Firearm Related	5	7		39	55	41.0%
Percent Firearm	38.5%	50.0%		22.3%	19.4%	

	Current Month - December			Year-to-Date		
	2009	2010	% Change	2009	2010	% Change
UTICA CITY PD						
Total Violent Crime	49	35	-28.6%	477	406	-14.9%
Total Firearm Related	12	6		127	93	-26.8%
Percent Firearm	24.5%	17.1%		26.6%	22.9%	
Murder	0	0		5	2	
Firearm Related	0	0		3	1	
Percent Firearm				60.0%	50.0%	
Rape	1	4		18	24	33.3%
Firearm Related	0	0		0	0	
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%		0.0%	0.0%	
Robbery	21	13	-38.1%	149	142	-4.7%
Firearm Related	7	4		50	35	-30.0%
Percent Firearm	33.3%	30.8%		33.6%	24.6%	
Aggravated Assault	27	18	-33.3%	305	238	-22.0%
Firearm Related	5	2		74	57	-23.0%
Percent Firearm	18.5%	11.1%		24.3%	23.9%	
YONKERS CITY PD						
Total Violent Crime	52	47	-9.6%	965	893	-7.5%
Total Firearm Related	12	11	-8.3%	138	120	-13.0%
Percent Firearm	23.1%	23.4%		14.3%	13.4%	
Murder	1	0		8	9	
Firearm Related	0	0		6	6	
Percent Firearm	0.0%			75.0%	66.7%	
Rape	4	3		36	29	-19.4%
Firearm Related	0	0		1	0	
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%		2.8%	0.0%	
Robbery	33	25	-24.2%	475	455	-4.2%
Firearm Related	9	9		90	79	-12.2%
Percent Firearm	27.3%	36.0%		18.9%	17.4%	
Aggravated Assault	14	19	35.7%	446	400	-10.3%
Firearm Related	3	2		41	35	-14.6%
Percent Firearm	21.4%	10.5%		9.2%	8.8%	

Violent Crimes Involving a Firearm Trend Tables

Violent Crimes by Firearm

As of 2/15/2011
Primary IMPACT Total

	Jan 10	Feb 10	Mar 10	Apr 10	May 10	Jun 10	Jul 10	Aug 10	Sept 10	Oct 10	Nov 10	Dec 10
Violent Crimes	1,278	932	1,227	1,350	1,509	1,505	1,626	1,568	1,487	1,414	1,268	1,078
Firearm Related	381	212	289	261	317	338	336	374	312	311	325	291
Percent Firearm	29.8%	22.7%	23.6%	19.3%	21.0%	22.5%	20.7%	23.9%	21.0%	22.0%	25.6%	27.0%
Murder	19	19	17	16	16	30	20	30	19	16	13	12
Firearm Related	11	11	13	12	7	23	11	23	16	13	9	6
Percent Firearm	57.9%	57.9%	76.5%	75.0%	43.8%	76.7%	55.0%	76.7%	84.2%	81.3%	69.2%	50.0%
Rape	58	47	48	60	72	56	73	75	75	58	47	54
Firearm Related	2	0	2	0	2	1	0	2	1	0	0	1
Percent Firearm	3.4%	0.0%	4.2%	0.0%	2.8%	1.8%	0.0%	2.7%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	1.9%
Robbery	534	333	433	477	527	520	554	590	565	593	552	468
Firearm Related	222	112	127	141	144	137	165	183	173	151	183	184
Percent Firearm	41.6%	33.6%	29.3%	29.6%	27.3%	26.3%	29.8%	31.0%	30.6%	25.5%	33.2%	39.3%
Aggravated Assault	667	533	729	797	894	899	979	873	828	747	656	544
Firearm Related	146	89	147	108	164	177	160	166	122	147	133	100
Percent Firearm	21.9%	16.7%	20.2%	13.6%	18.3%	19.7%	16.3%	19.0%	14.7%	19.7%	20.3%	18.4%
	<u>2001</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>% Change 2009-10</u>	
Violent Crimes	16,561	16,714	16,479	16,076	17,562	18,247	16,487	16,786	16,722	16,242	-2.9%	
Firearm Related	3,643	3,791	4,072	3,594	4,479	4,781	3,930	3,942	3,982	3,747	-5.9%	
Percent Firearm	22.0%	22.7%	24.7%	22.4%	25.5%	26.2%	23.8%	23.5%	23.8%	23.1%		
Murder	204	200	231	196	226	225	205	213	217	227	4.6%	
Firearm Related	120	130	157	107	140	144	138	137	146	155	6.2%	
Percent Firearm	58.8%	65.0%	68.0%	54.6%	61.9%	64.0%	67.3%	64.3%	67.3%	68.3%		
Rape	905	845	831	840	795	773	797	759	680	723	6.3%	
Firearm Related	31	15	25	31	28	25	18	21	16	11	-31.3%	
Percent Firearm	3.4%	1.8%	3.0%	3.7%	3.5%	3.2%	2.3%	2.8%	2.4%	1.5%		
Robbery	6,800	6,839	6,895	6,333	7,332	7,642	6,602	6,812	6,711	6,146	-8.4%	
Firearm Related	2,167	2,154	2,325	2,006	2,554	2,709	2,094	2,159	2,171	1,922	-11.5%	
Percent Firearm	31.9%	31.5%	33.7%	31.7%	34.8%	35.4%	31.7%	31.7%	32.3%	31.3%		
Aggravated Assault	8,652	8,830	8,522	8,707	9,209	9,607	8,883	9,002	9,114	9,146	0.4%	
Firearm Related	1,325	1,492	1,565	1,450	1,757	1,903	1,680	1,625	1,649	1,659	0.6%	
Percent Firearm	15.3%	16.9%	18.4%	16.7%	19.1%	19.8%	18.9%	18.1%	18.1%	18.1%		

*IBR data has been converted to UCR categories.

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Note: There were 13 homicides reported in Binghamton in April 2009, which reflect a single incident where 13 victims were killed by one gunman during a mass shooting on April 3, 2009.

Violent Crimes by Firearm

As of 1/25/2011

Albany City Police Department (IBR)

	Jan 10	Feb 10	Mar 10	Apr 10	May 10	Jun 10	Jul 10	Aug 10	Sept 10	Oct 10	Nov 10	Dec 10
Violent Crimes	65	49	80	74	90	97	114	90	88	96	69	66
Firearm Related	6	15	5	12	7	18	11	15	10	13	11	8
Percent Firearm	9.2%	30.6%	6.3%	16.2%	7.8%	18.6%	9.6%	16.7%	11.4%	13.5%	15.9%	12.1%
Murder	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Firearm						50.0%						
Rape	1	2	6	4	3	2	6	4	4	4	3	5
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	17	12	26	22	30	28	39	35	21	38	20	24
Firearm Related	3	2	3	6	3	6	9	6	6	8	9	6
Percent Firearm	17.6%	16.7%	11.5%	27.3%	10.0%	21.4%	23.1%	17.1%	28.6%	21.1%	45.0%	25.0%
Aggravated Assault	47	35	48	48	57	65	69	51	63	54	46	37
Firearm Related	3	13	2	6	4	11	2	9	4	5	2	2
Percent Firearm	6.4%	37.1%	4.2%	12.5%	7.0%	16.9%	2.9%	17.6%	6.3%	9.3%	4.3%	5.4%

	<u>2001</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>% Change 2009-10</u>
Violent Crimes	1,319	1,403	1,160	1,131	1,275	1,227	1,128	1,035	1,006	978	-2.8%
Firearm Related	225	197	167	166	244	181	192	202	161	131	-18.6%
Percent Firearm	17.1%	14.0%	14.4%	14.7%	19.1%	14.8%	17.0%	19.5%	16.0%	13.4%	
Murder	6	8	9	10	8	5	3	10	9	2	
Firearm Related	5	6	4	3	4	3	3	6	7	1	
Percent Firearm	83.3%	75.0%	44.4%	30.0%	50.0%	60.0%	100.0%	60.0%	77.8%	50.0%	
Rape	58	64	33	53	68	50	44	49	46	44	-4.3%
Firearm Related	3	1	0	2	6	0	0	0	0	0	
Percent Firearm	5.2%	1.6%	0.0%	3.8%	8.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Robbery	434	481	383	394	439	389	376	361	328	312	-4.9%
Firearm Related	109	105	87	86	127	102	91	106	100	67	-33.0%
Percent Firearm	25.1%	21.8%	22.7%	21.8%	28.9%	26.2%	24.2%	29.4%	30.5%	21.5%	
Aggravated Assault	821	850	735	674	760	783	705	615	623	620	-0.5%
Firearm Related	108	85	76	75	107	76	98	90	54	63	16.7%
Percent Firearm	13.2%	10.0%	10.3%	11.1%	14.1%	9.7%	13.9%	14.6%	8.7%	10.2%	

*IBR data has been converted to UCR categories.

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Violent Crimes by Firearm

As of 1/28/2011

Binghamton City Police Department (IBR)

	Jan 10	Feb 10	Mar 10	Apr 10	May 10	Jun 10	Jul 10	Aug 10	Sept 10	Oct 10	Nov 10	Dec 10
Violent Crimes	23	16	13	16	27	24	23	28	29	33	21	13
Firearm Related	5	3	2	0	2	3	0	5	2	8	1	1
Percent Firearm	21.7%	18.8%	15.4%	0.0%	7.4%	12.5%	0.0%	17.9%	6.9%	24.2%	4.8%	7.7%
Murder	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
Percent Firearm		0.0%				100.0%	0.0%			100.0%		
Rape	1	0	0	2	2	1	2	1	1	3	1	4
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Firearm	0.0%			0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	5	1	1	3	9	5	6	11	11	12	5	1
Firearm Related	3	1	0	0	1	1	0	3	0	2	0	0
Percent Firearm	60.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	11.1%	20.0%	0.0%	27.3%	0.0%	16.7%	0.0%	0.0%
Aggravated Assault	17	14	12	11	16	17	14	16	17	17	15	8
Firearm Related	2	2	2	0	1	1	0	2	2	5	1	1
Percent Firearm	11.8%	14.3%	16.7%	0.0%	6.3%	5.9%	0.0%	12.5%	11.8%	29.4%	6.7%	12.5%

	<u>2001</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>% Change 2009-10</u>
Violent Crimes	188	205	182	155	177	206	214	276	216	266	23.1%
Firearm Related	25	21	21	14	10	20	18	21	34	32	-5.9%
Percent Firearm	13.3%	10.2%	11.5%	9.0%	5.6%	9.7%	8.4%	7.6%	15.7%	12.0%	
Murder	1	6	3	1	3	2	3	1	15	4	
Firearm Related	0	4	2	0	1	1	1	1	14	2	
Percent Firearm	0.0%	66.7%	66.7%	0.0%	33.3%	50.0%	33.3%	100.0%	93.3%	50.0%	
Rape	30	21	10	22	19	9	19	11	11	18	63.6%
Firearm Related	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Percent Firearm	0.0%	4.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Robbery	59	80	77	57	61	63	67	84	56	70	25.0%
Firearm Related	18	12	15	12	6	11	10	13	11	11	0.0%
Percent Firearm	30.5%	15.0%	19.5%	21.1%	9.8%	17.5%	14.9%	15.5%	19.6%	15.7%	
Aggravated Assault	98	98	92	75	94	132	125	180	134	174	29.9%
Firearm Related	7	4	4	2	3	8	7	7	9	19	
Percent Firearm	7.1%	4.1%	4.3%	2.7%	3.2%	6.1%	5.6%	3.9%	6.7%	10.9%	

*IBR data has been converted to UCR categories.

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Note: 13 homicides reported in April 2009 reflect a single incident where 13 victims were killed by one gunman during a mass shooting on April 3rd, 2009.

Violent Crimes by Firearm

As of 1/27/2011

Buffalo City Police Department (UCR)

	Jan 10	Feb 10	Mar 10	Apr 10	May 10	Jun 10	Jul 10	Aug 10	Sept 10	Oct 10	Nov 10	Dec 10
Violent Crimes	287	201	275	317	351	338	383	331	284	331	271	230
Firearm Related	111	45	79	95	75	108	98	81	79	93	83	79
Percent Firearm	38.7%	22.4%	28.7%	30.0%	21.4%	32.0%	25.6%	24.5%	27.8%	28.1%	30.6%	34.3%
Murder	5	3	0	8	4	6	5	10	5	4	4	1
Firearm Related	2	2	0	6	2	6	3	10	5	3	3	1
Percent Firearm	40.0%	66.7%		75.0%	50.0%	100.0%	60.0%	100.0%	100.0%	75.0%	75.0%	100.0%
Rape	12	7	12	8	20	12	19	22	17	16	7	5
Firearm Related	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	2	0	0	0	0
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%	8.3%	0.0%	5.0%	8.3%	0.0%	9.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	135	85	91	137	125	123	131	119	129	150	130	111
Firearm Related	62	24	30	53	30	47	43	31	43	49	51	47
Percent Firearm	45.9%	28.2%	33.0%	38.7%	24.0%	38.2%	32.8%	26.1%	33.3%	32.7%	39.2%	42.3%
Aggravated Assault	135	106	172	164	202	197	228	180	133	161	130	113
Firearm Related	47	19	48	36	42	54	52	38	31	41	29	31
Percent Firearm	34.8%	17.9%	27.9%	22.0%	20.8%	27.4%	22.8%	21.1%	23.3%	25.5%	22.3%	27.4%
	<u>2001</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>		<u>% Change 2009-10</u>
Violent Crimes	3,709	3,757	3,924	3,804	3,938	3,956	3,490	3,713	3,923	3,599		-8.3%
Firearm Related	935	999	1,077	964	1,203	1,316	1,118	1,171	1,172	1,026		-12.5%
Percent Firearm	25.2%	26.6%	27.4%	25.3%	30.5%	33.3%	32.0%	31.5%	29.9%	28.5%		
Murder	64	43	65	51	56	74	54	37	60	55		-8.3%
Firearm Related	38	31	39	35	41	53	42	30	50	43		-14.0%
Percent Firearm	59.4%	72.1%	60.0%	68.6%	73.2%	71.6%	77.8%	81.1%	83.3%	78.2%		
Rape	229	185	206	212	184	173	164	173	143	157		9.8%
Firearm Related	8	0	7	11	4	10	7	4	7	5		
Percent Firearm	3.5%	0.0%	3.4%	5.2%	2.2%	5.8%	4.3%	2.3%	4.9%	3.2%		
Robbery	1,600	1,627	1,654	1,485	1,667	1,708	1,533	1,537	1,637	1,466		-10.4%
Firearm Related	537	574	536	498	625	683	620	648	587	510		-13.1%
Percent Firearm	33.6%	35.3%	32.4%	33.5%	37.5%	40.0%	40.4%	42.2%	35.9%	34.8%		
Aggravated Assault	1,816	1,902	1,999	2,056	2,031	2,001	1,739	1,966	2,083	1,921		-7.8%
Firearm Related	352	394	495	420	533	570	449	489	528	468		-11.4%
Percent Firearm	19.4%	20.7%	24.8%	20.4%	26.2%	28.5%	25.8%	24.9%	25.3%	24.4%		

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Violent Crimes by Firearm

As of 2/11/2011

Jamestown City Police Department (IBR)

	Jan 10	Feb 10	Mar 10	Apr 10	May 10	Jun 10	Jul 10	Aug 10	Sept 10	Oct 10	Nov 10	Dec 10
Violent Crimes	12	12	11	12	13	17	15	20	16	19	20	12
Firearm Related	0	0	1	1	2	1	1	5	3	4	2	1
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%	9.1%	8.3%	15.4%	5.9%	6.7%	25.0%	18.8%	21.1%	10.0%	8.3%
Murder	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Firearm												
Rape	3	5	2	1	2	1	2	1	1	3	2	1
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	2	2	3	2	0	4	1	3	2	7	7	2
Firearm Related	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	3	1	1
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%		25.0%	100.0%	33.3%	50.0%	42.9%	14.3%	50.0%
Aggravated Assault	7	5	6	9	11	12	12	16	13	9	11	9
Firearm Related	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	4	2	1	1	0
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%	16.7%	0.0%	18.2%	0.0%	0.0%	25.0%	15.4%	11.1%	9.1%	0.0%

	<u>2001</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>% Change 2009-10</u>
Violent Crimes	124	162	164	168	213	170	168	185	152	179	17.8%
Firearm Related	6	11	23	19	24	21	28	19	8	21	
Percent Firearm	4.8%	6.8%	14.0%	11.3%	11.3%	12.4%	16.7%	10.3%	5.3%	11.7%	
Murder	2	1	0	0	1	0	1	3	1	0	
Firearm Related	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Percent Firearm	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Rape	23	11	18	19	23	21	20	20	20	24	20.0%
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.0%	0.0%	
Robbery	20	40	41	48	46	35	30	40	26	35	34.6%
Firearm Related	2	7	8	6	7	6	4	6	0	10	
Percent Firearm	10.0%	17.5%	19.5%	12.5%	15.2%	17.1%	13.3%	15.0%	0.0%	28.6%	
Aggravated Assault	79	110	105	101	143	114	117	122	105	120	14.3%
Firearm Related	4	3	15	13	16	15	24	13	7	11	
Percent Firearm	5.1%	2.7%	14.3%	12.9%	11.2%	13.2%	20.5%	10.7%	6.7%	9.2%	

*IBR data has been converted to UCR categories.

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Violent Crimes by Firearm

As of 2/7/2011

Kingston City Police Department (UCR)

	Jan 10	Feb 10	Mar 10	Apr 10	May 10	Jun 10	Jul 10	Aug 10	Sept 10	Oct 10	Nov 10	Dec 10
Violent Crimes	3	6	5	11	8	9	7	7	8	6	7	4
Firearm Related	1	1	0	3	1	1	1	0	2	2	1	0
Percent Firearm	33.3%	16.7%	0.0%	27.3%	12.5%	11.1%	14.3%	0.0%	25.0%	33.3%	14.3%	0.0%
Murder	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Firearm Related	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Firearm		100.0%										
Rape	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	0
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Firearm				0.0%		0.0%	0.0%		0.0%	0.0%		
Robbery	0	3	2	4	1	3	4	3	1	1	3	4
Firearm Related	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Percent Firearm		0.0%	0.0%	25.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%
Aggravated Assault	3	2	3	6	7	5	2	4	6	4	4	0
Firearm Related	1	0	0	2	0	1	1	0	2	2	0	0
Percent Firearm	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%	20.0%	50.0%	0.0%	33.3%	50.0%	0.0%	

	<u>2001</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>% Change 2009-10</u>
Violent Crimes	65	93	118	92	117	89	67	69	97	81	-16.5%
Firearm Related	4	10	19	12	17	8	11	17	18	13	-27.8%
Percent Firearm	6.2%	10.8%	16.1%	13.0%	14.5%	9.0%	16.4%	24.6%	18.6%	16.0%	
Murder	2	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	
Firearm Related	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	
Percent Firearm	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	
Rape	7	5	7	5	11	11	5	5	2	5	
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Robbery	22	55	62	58	72	43	38	48	69	29	-58.0%
Firearm Related	1	9	14	10	13	5	8	15	10	3	
Percent Firearm	4.5%	16.4%	22.6%	17.2%	18.1%	11.6%	21.1%	31.3%	14.5%	10.3%	
Aggravated Assault	34	33	48	28	34	34	23	16	26	46	76.9%
Firearm Related	2	1	5	2	4	3	2	2	8	9	
Percent Firearm	5.9%	3.0%	10.4%	7.1%	11.8%	8.8%	8.7%	12.5%	30.8%	19.6%	

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Violent Crimes by Firearm

As of 1/18/2011

Nassau County Police Department (UCR)

	Jan 10	Feb 10	Mar 10	Apr 10	May 10	Jun 10	Jul 10	Aug 10	Sept 10	Oct 10	Nov 10	Dec 10
Violent Crimes	111	101	99	107	140	140	152	159	135	106	137	109
Firearm Related	25	8	14	8	14	23	23	34	25	13	10	22
Percent Firearm	22.5%	7.9%	14.1%	7.5%	10.0%	16.4%	15.1%	21.4%	18.5%	12.3%	7.3%	20.2%
Murder	0	2	1	1	2	7	0	1	1	0	0	0
Firearm Related	0	1	1	1	0	7	0	1	1	0	0	0
Percent Firearm		50.0%	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%		100.0%	100.0%			
Rape	1	8	6	6	7	5	9	12	4	4	7	1
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	62	33	51	33	55	60	60	70	59	59	70	60
Firearm Related	20	6	11	6	11	13	16	26	21	11	10	20
Percent Firearm	32.3%	18.2%	21.6%	18.2%	20.0%	21.7%	26.7%	37.1%	35.6%	18.6%	14.3%	33.3%
Aggravated Assault	48	58	41	67	76	68	83	76	71	43	60	48
Firearm Related	5	1	2	1	3	3	7	7	3	2	0	2
Percent Firearm	10.4%	1.7%	4.9%	1.5%	3.9%	4.4%	8.4%	9.2%	4.2%	4.7%	0.0%	4.2%

	<u>2001</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>% Change 2009-10</u>
Violent Crimes	1,755	1,783	1,791	1,763	1,793	1,813	1,639	1,602	1,640	1,496	-8.8%
Firearm Related	271	221	278	236	304	305	241	258	285	219	-23.2%
Percent Firearm	15.4%	12.4%	15.5%	13.4%	17.0%	16.8%	14.7%	16.1%	17.4%	14.6%	
Murder	13	16	14	13	16	14	14	14	18	15	-16.7%
Firearm Related	5	12	10	3	9	9	12	4	3	12	
Percent Firearm	38.5%	75.0%	71.4%	23.1%	56.3%	64.3%	85.7%	28.6%	16.7%	80.0%	
Rape	93	92	86	77	76	74	71	58	74	70	-5.4%
Firearm Related	1	2	3	2	1	4	0	1	0	0	
Percent Firearm	1.1%	2.2%	3.5%	2.6%	1.3%	5.4%	0.0%	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	
Robbery	753	749	775	724	833	866	748	748	754	672	-10.9%
Firearm Related	248	187	239	207	265	269	197	218	224	171	-23.7%
Percent Firearm	32.9%	25.0%	30.8%	28.6%	31.8%	31.1%	26.3%	29.1%	29.7%	25.4%	
Aggravated Assault	896	926	916	949	868	859	806	782	794	739	-6.9%
Firearm Related	17	20	26	24	29	23	32	35	58	36	-37.9%
Percent Firearm	1.9%	2.2%	2.8%	2.5%	3.3%	2.7%	4.0%	4.5%	7.3%	4.9%	

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Violent Crimes by Firearm

As of 1/31/2011

Newburgh City Police Department (UCR)

	Jan 10	Feb 10	Mar 10	Apr 10	May 10	Jun 10	Jul 10	Aug 10	Sept 10	Oct 10	Nov 10	Dec 10
Violent Crimes	37	38	53	42	35	41	46	51	41	65	40	33
Firearm Related	11	10	13	3	6	10	9	17	7	7	6	10
Percent Firearm	29.7%	26.3%	24.5%	7.1%	17.1%	24.4%	19.6%	33.3%	17.1%	10.8%	15.0%	30.3%
Murder	1	0	4	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	2
Firearm Related	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2
Percent Firearm	0.0%		75.0%					0.0%			100.0%	100.0%
Rape	1	3	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%			0.0%			0.0%				
Robbery	12	14	17	10	10	12	18	17	16	36	21	12
Firearm Related	6	7	5	0	1	1	2	7	4	2	2	5
Percent Firearm	50.0%	50.0%	29.4%	0.0%	10.0%	8.3%	11.1%	41.2%	25.0%	5.6%	9.5%	41.7%
Aggravated Assault	23	21	32	32	24	29	28	31	25	29	17	19
Firearm Related	5	3	5	3	5	9	7	10	3	5	2	3
Percent Firearm	21.7%	14.3%	15.6%	9.4%	20.8%	31.0%	25.0%	32.3%	12.0%	17.2%	11.8%	15.8%

	<u>2001</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>% Change 2009-10</u>
Violent Crimes	459	587	358	371	432	386	436	476	465	522	12.3%
Firearm Related	65	96	29	37	83	34	54	54	85	109	28.2%
Percent Firearm	14.2%	16.4%	8.1%	10.0%	19.2%	8.8%	12.4%	11.3%	18.3%	20.9%	
Murder	7	7	2	3	3	1	2	7	4	10	
Firearm Related	4	6	2	3	3	1	0	5	2	7	
Percent Firearm	57.1%	85.7%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	71.4%	50.0%	70.0%	
Rape	23	23	26	15	12	16	14	13	8	7	
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	12.5%	0.0%	
Robbery	136	179	67	94	174	134	131	162	187	195	4.3%
Firearm Related	34	40	8	16	49	22	21	29	50	42	-16.0%
Percent Firearm	25.0%	22.3%	11.9%	17.0%	28.2%	16.4%	16.0%	17.9%	26.7%	21.5%	
Aggravated Assault	293	378	263	259	243	235	289	294	266	310	16.5%
Firearm Related	27	50	19	18	31	11	33	20	32	60	87.5%
Percent Firearm	9.2%	13.2%	7.2%	6.9%	12.8%	4.7%	11.4%	6.8%	12.0%	19.4%	

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Violent Crimes by Firearm

As of 1/11/2011

Niagara Falls City Police Department (IBR)

	Jan 10	Feb 10	Mar 10	Apr 10	May 10	Jun 10	Jul 10	Aug 10	Sept 10	Oct 10	Nov 10	Dec 10
Violent Crimes	44	45	41	52	53	67	46	55	54	70	44	40
Firearm Related	11	13	8	6	7	13	7	7	5	14	3	9
Percent Firearm	25.0%	28.9%	19.5%	11.5%	13.2%	19.4%	15.2%	12.7%	9.3%	20.0%	6.8%	22.5%
Murder	1	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Firearm Related	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Firearm	100.0%		50.0%		100.0%						0.0%	
Rape	2	3	0	1	4	3	0	1	2	2	4	1
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	11	10	8	15	18	17	15	18	16	17	18	22
Firearm Related	6	4	2	1	5	4	4	5	4	6	1	7
Percent Firearm	54.5%	40.0%	25.0%	6.7%	27.8%	23.5%	26.7%	27.8%	25.0%	35.3%	5.6%	31.8%
Aggravated Assault	30	32	31	36	30	47	31	36	36	51	21	17
Firearm Related	4	9	5	5	1	9	3	2	1	8	2	2
Percent Firearm	13.3%	28.1%	16.1%	13.9%	3.3%	19.1%	9.7%	5.6%	2.8%	15.7%	9.5%	11.8%

	<u>2001</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>% Change 2009-10</u>
Violent Crimes	494	558	603	636	685	644	503	563	609	611	0.3%
Firearm Related	86	87	94	108	167	145	76	84	104	103	-1.0%
Percent Firearm	17.4%	15.6%	15.6%	17.0%	24.4%	22.5%	15.1%	14.9%	17.1%	16.9%	
Murder	4	3	7	5	4	4	3	3	6	5	
Firearm Related	2	0	4	1	1	3	3	3	4	3	
Percent Firearm	50.0%	0.0%	57.1%	20.0%	25.0%	75.0%	100.0%	100.0%	66.7%	60.0%	
Rape	26	30	39	28	24	35	38	24	29	23	-20.7%
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Robbery	170	166	199	188	242	201	134	171	167	185	10.8%
Firearm Related	49	45	39	48	96	66	29	28	47	49	4.3%
Percent Firearm	28.8%	27.1%	19.6%	25.5%	39.7%	32.8%	21.6%	16.4%	28.1%	26.5%	
Aggravated Assault	294	359	358	415	415	404	328	365	407	398	-2.2%
Firearm Related	35	42	51	59	70	76	44	53	53	51	-3.8%
Percent Firearm	11.9%	11.7%	14.2%	14.2%	16.9%	18.8%	13.4%	14.5%	13.0%	12.8%	

*IBR data has been converted to UCR categories.

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Violent Crimes by Firearm

As of 1/19/2011

Poughkeepsie City Police Department (IBR)

	Jan 10	Feb 10	Mar 10	Apr 10	May 10	Jun 10	Jul 10	Aug 10	Sept 10	Oct 10	Nov 10	Dec 10
Violent Crimes	34	24	38	36	42	44	28	34	31	36	26	25
Firearm Related	5	6	6	8	8	2	4	5	5	8	9	7
Percent Firearm	14.7%	25.0%	15.8%	22.2%	19.0%	4.5%	14.3%	14.7%	16.1%	22.2%	34.6%	28.0%
Murder	2	1	1	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0
Firearm Related	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Percent Firearm	50.0%	100.0%	0.0%			0.0%		50.0%				
Rape	3	2	1	2	1	1	1	3	3	0	2	2
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	15	5	12	17	12	16	7	8	9	14	14	13
Firearm Related	3	2	3	6	4	1	2	3	1	3	4	3
Percent Firearm	20.0%	40.0%	25.0%	35.3%	33.3%	6.3%	28.6%	37.5%	11.1%	21.4%	28.6%	23.1%
Aggravated Assault	14	16	24	17	29	26	20	21	19	22	10	10
Firearm Related	1	3	3	2	4	1	2	1	4	5	5	4
Percent Firearm	7.1%	18.8%	12.5%	11.8%	13.8%	3.8%	10.0%	4.8%	21.1%	22.7%	50.0%	40.0%

	<u>2001</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>% Change 2009-10</u>
Violent Crimes	196	298	354	357	372	417	387	394	410	398	-2.9%
Firearm Related	46	84	67	68	73	110	67	80	89	73	-18.0%
Percent Firearm	23.5%	28.2%	18.9%	19.0%	19.6%	26.4%	17.3%	20.3%	21.7%	18.3%	
Murder	4	3	2	2	2	4	5	5	3	7	
Firearm Related	3	3	2	0	2	3	2	3	2	3	
Percent Firearm	75.0%	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	75.0%	40.0%	60.0%	66.7%	42.9%	
Rape	17	16	25	23	16	13	15	23	11	21	90.9%
Firearm Related	5	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Percent Firearm	29.4%	0.0%	8.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Robbery	103	131	133	131	151	173	163	162	200	142	-29.0%
Firearm Related	26	44	34	30	37	48	33	38	51	35	-31.4%
Percent Firearm	25.2%	33.6%	25.6%	22.9%	24.5%	27.7%	20.2%	23.5%	25.5%	24.6%	
Aggravated Assault	72	148	194	201	203	227	204	204	196	228	16.3%
Firearm Related	12	37	29	38	34	59	32	39	36	35	-2.8%
Percent Firearm	16.7%	25.0%	14.9%	18.9%	16.7%	26.0%	15.7%	19.1%	18.4%	15.4%	

*IBR data has been converted to UCR categories.

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Violent Crimes by Firearm

As of 2/15/2011

Rochester City Police Department (UCR)

	Jan 10	Feb 10	Mar 10	Apr 10	May 10	Jun 10	Jul 10	Aug 10	Sept 10	Oct 10	Nov 10	Dec 10
Violent Crimes	192	106	157	209	218	208	244	211	179	184	173	148
Firearm Related	76	29	53	47	77	65	72	75	33	56	70	52
Percent Firearm	39.6%	27.4%	33.8%	22.5%	35.3%	31.3%	29.5%	35.5%	18.4%	30.4%	40.5%	35.1%
Murder	4	2	1	3	5	6	3	9	4	3	0	1
Firearm Related	3	1	1	2	3	4	2	7	3	2	0	1
Percent Firearm	75.0%	50.0%	100.0%	66.7%	60.0%	66.7%	66.7%	77.8%	75.0%	66.7%		100.0%
Rape	14	5	4	10	3	12	10	11	10	7	2	11
Firearm Related	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Percent Firearm	14.3%	0.0%	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	9.1%
Robbery	78	35	57	68	72	70	73	75	56	78	85	69
Firearm Related	44	18	25	28	34	24	36	35	20	30	47	33
Percent Firearm	56.4%	51.4%	43.9%	41.2%	47.2%	34.3%	49.3%	46.7%	35.7%	38.5%	55.3%	47.8%
Aggravated Assault	96	64	95	128	138	120	158	116	109	96	86	67
Firearm Related	27	10	26	17	40	37	34	33	10	24	23	17
Percent Firearm	28.1%	15.6%	27.4%	13.3%	29.0%	30.8%	21.5%	28.4%	9.2%	25.0%	26.7%	25.4%

	<u>2001</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>% Change 2009-10</u>
Violent Crimes	1,642	1,786	2,032	1,782	2,189	2,666	2,350	2,302	2,042	2,229	9.2%
Firearm Related	727	815	1,011	862	943	1,239	861	759	692	705	1.9%
Percent Firearm	44.3%	45.6%	49.8%	48.4%	43.1%	46.5%	36.6%	33.0%	33.9%	31.6%	
Murder	40	42	56	36	53	49	50	43	28	41	46.4%
Firearm Related	28	26	47	26	39	34	39	32	23	29	26.1%
Percent Firearm	70.0%	61.9%	83.9%	72.2%	73.6%	69.4%	78.0%	74.4%	82.1%	70.7%	
Rape	87	107	86	91	100	92	121	98	97	99	2.1%
Firearm Related	3	4	3	7	6	5	4	4	3	4	
Percent Firearm	3.4%	3.7%	3.5%	7.7%	6.0%	5.4%	3.3%	4.1%	3.1%	4.0%	
Robbery	905	972	1,166	932	1,026	1,332	1,032	1,059	846	816	-3.5%
Firearm Related	434	487	590	478	563	738	464	445	385	374	-2.9%
Percent Firearm	48.0%	50.1%	50.6%	51.3%	54.9%	55.4%	45.0%	42.0%	45.5%	45.8%	
Aggravated Assault	610	665	724	723	1,010	1,193	1,147	1,102	1071	1273	18.9%
Firearm Related	262	298	371	351	335	462	354	278	281	298	6.0%
Percent Firearm	43.0%	44.8%	51.2%	48.5%	33.2%	38.7%	30.9%	25.2%	26.2%	23.4%	

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Violent Crimes by Firearm

As of 1/25/2011

Schenectady City Police Department (UCR)

	Jan 10	Feb 10	Mar 10	Apr 10	May 10	Jun 10	Jul 10	Aug 10	Sept 10	Oct 10	Nov 10	Dec 10
Violent Crimes	55	38	68	55	60	54	57	74	74	65	41	38
Firearm Related	16	6	18	8	8	10	10	18	16	10	9	9
Percent Firearm	29.1%	15.8%	26.5%	14.5%	13.3%	18.5%	17.5%	24.3%	21.6%	15.4%	22.0%	23.7%
Murder	0	1	2	1	0	1	2	0	1	0	0	0
Firearm Related	0	1	2	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
Percent Firearm		100.0%	100.0%	0.0%		100.0%	0.0%		100.0%			
Rape	3	4	6	4	10	4	5	3	7	3	2	2
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	17	7	19	29	21	17	17	30	33	37	16	13
Firearm Related	6	0	6	4	3	2	4	11	5	5	5	6
Percent Firearm	35.3%	0.0%	31.6%	13.8%	14.3%	11.8%	23.5%	36.7%	15.2%	13.5%	31.3%	46.2%
Aggravated Assault	35	26	41	21	29	32	33	41	33	25	23	23
Firearm Related	10	5	10	4	5	7	6	7	10	5	4	3
Percent Firearm	28.6%	19.2%	24.4%	19.0%	17.2%	21.9%	18.2%	17.1%	30.3%	20.0%	17.4%	13.0%

	<u>2001</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>% Change 2009-10</u>
Violent Crimes	522	493	483	510	628	712	606	654	592	679	14.7%
Firearm Related	100	100	105	103	148	152	143	163	125	138	10.4%
Percent Firearm	19.2%	20.3%	21.7%	20.2%	23.6%	21.3%	23.6%	24.9%	21.1%	20.3%	
Murder	5	8	11	7	8	6	5	9	7	8	
Firearm Related	5	5	8	4	4	2	4	7	5	5	
Percent Firearm	100.0%	62.5%	72.7%	57.1%	50.0%	33.3%	80.0%	77.8%	71.4%	62.5%	
Rape	54	45	43	38	43	52	34	35	31	53	71.0%
Firearm Related	1	1	3	0	1	3	2	2	1	0	
Percent Firearm	1.9%	2.2%	7.0%	0.0%	2.3%	5.8%	5.9%	5.7%	3.2%	0.0%	
Robbery	208	174	171	173	252	309	265	288	243	256	5.3%
Firearm Related	47	30	49	50	69	77	64	78	65	57	-12.3%
Percent Firearm	22.6%	17.2%	28.7%	28.9%	27.4%	24.9%	24.2%	27.1%	26.7%	22.3%	
Aggravated Assault	255	266	258	292	325	345	302	322	311	362	16.4%
Firearm Related	47	64	45	49	74	70	73	76	54	76	40.7%
Percent Firearm	18.4%	24.1%	17.4%	16.8%	22.8%	20.3%	24.2%	23.6%	17.4%	21.0%	

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Violent Crimes by Firearm

As of 1/31/2011

Spring Valley Village Police Department (IBR)

	Jan 10	Feb 10	Mar 10	Apr 10	May 10	Jun 10	Jul 10	Aug 10	Sept 10	Oct 10	Nov 10	Dec 10
Violent Crimes	4	10	3	20	5	13	9	15	21	19	10	10
Firearm Related	1	0	0	2	0	0	1	1	2	7	5	2
Percent Firearm	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%	10.0%	0.0%	0.0%	11.1%	6.7%	9.5%	36.8%	50.0%	20.0%
Murder	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Firearm		0.0%										
Rape	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Firearm							0.0%	0.0%			0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	1	1	2	7	2	5	4	4	6	6	2	3
Firearm Related	1	0	0	2	0	0	1	1	0	2	0	2
Percent Firearm	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	28.6%	0.0%	0.0%	25.0%	25.0%	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%	66.7%
Aggravated Assault	3	8	1	13	3	8	4	10	15	13	7	6
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	5	5	0
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	13.3%	38.5%	71.4%	0.0%

	<u>2001</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>% Change 2009-10</u>
Violent Crimes	263	n/a	n/a	190	180	182	204	202	169	139	-17.8%
Firearm Related	33	n/a	n/a	23	19	11	18	9	29	21	-27.6%
Percent Firearm	12.5%			12.1%	10.6%	6.0%	8.8%	4.5%	17.2%	15.1%	
Murder	0	n/a	n/a	2	4	1	0	1	0	1	
Firearm Related	0	n/a	n/a	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	
Percent Firearm	0.0%			100.0%	25.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Rape	9	n/a	n/a	8	7	6	12	7	5	4	
Firearm Related	0	n/a	n/a	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Percent Firearm	0.0%			0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Robbery	102	n/a	n/a	73	49	70	71	63	57	43	-24.6%
Firearm Related	15	n/a	n/a	14	9	5	14	4	18	9	
Percent Firearm	14.7%			19.2%	18.4%	7.1%	19.7%	6.3%	31.6%	20.9%	
Aggravated Assault	152	n/a	n/a	107	120	105	121	131	107	91	-15.0%
Firearm Related	18	n/a	n/a	7	9	5	4	5	11	12	9.1%
Percent Firearm	11.8%			6.5%	7.5%	4.8%	3.3%	3.8%	10.3%	13.2%	

*IBR data has been converted to UCR categories.

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Violent Crimes by Firearm

As of 1/25/2011

Suffolk County Police Department (UCR)

	Jan 10	Feb 10	Mar 10	Apr 10	May 10	Jun 10	Jul 10	Aug 10	Sept 10	Oct 10	Nov 10	Dec 10
Violent Crimes	185	145	146	127	174	198	218	187	221	140	140	150
Firearm Related	62	56	43	28	34	35	42	58	56	31	40	43
Percent Firearm	33.5%	38.6%	29.5%	22.0%	19.5%	17.7%	19.3%	31.0%	25.3%	22.1%	28.6%	28.7%
Murder	2	7	4	0	3	0	5	6	7	5	3	8
Firearm Related	2	4	3	0	1	0	2	3	6	4	2	2
Percent Firearm	100.0%	57.1%	75.0%		33.3%		40.0%	50.0%	85.7%	80.0%	66.7%	25.0%
Rape	6	2	4	1	6	5	7	2	10	4	4	4
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	10.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	81	71	60	48	65	67	78	67	106	48	63	60
Firearm Related	40	35	25	15	20	16	25	31	33	12	25	27
Percent Firearm	49.4%	49.3%	41.7%	31.3%	30.8%	23.9%	32.1%	46.3%	31.1%	25.0%	39.7%	45.0%
Aggravated Assault	96	65	78	78	100	126	128	112	98	83	70	78
Firearm Related	20	17	15	13	13	19	15	24	16	15	13	14
Percent Firearm	20.8%	26.2%	19.2%	16.7%	13.0%	15.1%	11.7%	21.4%	16.3%	18.1%	18.6%	17.9%

	<u>2001</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>% Change 2009-10</u>
Violent Crimes	2,741	2,497	2,394	2,268	2,446	2,481	2,204	2,165	2,267	2,031	-10.4%
Firearm Related	640	577	602	493	662	605	523	544	561	528	-5.9%
Percent Firearm	23.3%	23.1%	25.1%	21.7%	27.1%	24.4%	23.7%	25.1%	24.7%	26.0%	
Murder	28	18	24	28	28	37	28	38	32	50	56.3%
Firearm Related	18	12	12	13	12	19	14	22	16	29	81.3%
Percent Firearm	64.3%	66.7%	50.0%	46.4%	42.9%	51.4%	50.0%	57.9%	50.0%	58.0%	
Rape	140	143	112	109	82	86	87	91	62	55	-11.3%
Firearm Related	6	6	3	1	3	3	3	4	0	1	
Percent Firearm	4.3%	4.2%	2.7%	0.9%	3.7%	3.5%	3.4%	4.4%	0.0%	1.8%	
Robbery	960	907	958	845	1,037	1,027	871	890	960	814	-15.2%
Firearm Related	325	294	371	286	383	351	281	285	314	304	-3.2%
Percent Firearm	33.9%	32.4%	38.7%	33.8%	36.9%	34.2%	32.3%	32.0%	32.7%	37.3%	
Aggravated Assault	1,613	1,429	1,300	1,286	1,299	1,331	1,218	1,146	1,213	1,112	-8.3%
Firearm Related	291	265	216	193	264	232	225	233	231	194	-16.0%
Percent Firearm	18.0%	18.5%	16.6%	15.0%	20.3%	17.4%	18.5%	20.3%	19.0%	17.4%	

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Violent Crimes by Firearm

As of 1/31/2011

Syracuse City Police Department (UCR)

	Jan 10	Feb 10	Mar 10	Apr 10	May 10	Jun 10	Jul 10	Aug 10	Sept 10	Oct 10	Nov 10	Dec 10
Violent Crimes	97	58	103	110	119	109	113	140	110	113	122	97
Firearm Related	28	8	27	17	38	23	20	32	29	24	44	24
Percent Firearm	28.9%	13.8%	26.2%	15.5%	31.9%	21.1%	17.7%	22.9%	26.4%	21.2%	36.1%	24.7%
Murder	1	0	2	1	0	3	1	1	1	3	2	0
Firearm Related	1	0	2	1	0	1	1	1	0	3	2	0
Percent Firearm	100.0%		100.0%	100.0%		33.3%	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Rape	7	1	6	12	8	4	4	5	4	5	3	9
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	12.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	39	19	34	21	29	27	31	54	28	33	32	30
Firearm Related	17	4	10	8	13	8	4	12	13	7	11	14
Percent Firearm	43.6%	21.1%	29.4%	38.1%	44.8%	29.6%	12.9%	22.2%	46.4%	21.2%	34.4%	46.7%
Aggravated Assault	50	38	61	76	82	75	77	80	77	72	85	58
Firearm Related	10	4	15	8	24	14	15	19	16	14	31	10
Percent Firearm	20.0%	10.5%	24.6%	10.5%	29.3%	18.7%	19.5%	23.8%	20.8%	19.4%	36.5%	17.2%

	<u>2001</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>% Change 2009-10</u>
Violent Crimes	1,561	1,520	1,359	1,322	1,570	1,515	1,435	1,366	1,343	1,291	-3.9%
Firearm Related	225	278	274	270	365	343	317	258	270	314	16.3%
Percent Firearm	14.4%	18.3%	20.2%	20.4%	23.2%	22.6%	22.1%	18.9%	20.1%	24.3%	
Murder	15	24	15	16	19	12	19	24	18	15	-16.7%
Firearm Related	5	15	12	5	10	8	9	14	10	12	20.0%
Percent Firearm	33.3%	62.5%	80.0%	31.3%	52.6%	66.7%	47.4%	58.3%	55.6%	80.0%	
Rape	42	43	66	70	73	66	67	71	70	68	-2.9%
Firearm Related	1	0	2	4	4	0	1	5	2	1	
Percent Firearm	2.4%	0.0%	3.0%	5.7%	5.5%	0.0%	1.5%	7.0%	2.9%	1.5%	
Robbery	567	551	485	451	554	534	446	419	403	377	-6.5%
Firearm Related	141	119	128	123	178	184	139	94	125	121	-3.2%
Percent Firearm	24.9%	21.6%	26.4%	27.3%	32.1%	34.5%	31.2%	22.4%	31.0%	32.1%	
Aggravated Assault	937	902	793	785	924	903	903	852	852	831	-2.5%
Firearm Related	78	144	132	138	173	151	168	145	133	180	35.3%
Percent Firearm	8.3%	16.0%	16.6%	17.6%	18.7%	16.7%	18.6%	17.0%	15.6%	21.7%	

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Violent Crimes by Firearm

As of 1/4/2011

Troy City Police Department (UCR)

	Jan 10	Feb 10	Mar 10	Apr 10	May 10	Jun 10	Jul 10	Aug 10	Sept 10	Oct 10	Nov 10	Dec 10
Violent Crimes	38	26	38	52	47	38	38	32	46	33	35	21
Firearm Related	8	4	7	6	15	6	9	9	13	11	6	7
Percent Firearm	21.1%	15.4%	18.4%	11.5%	31.9%	15.8%	23.7%	28.1%	28.3%	33.3%	17.1%	33.3%
Murder	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Firearm Related	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Firearm				100.0%		0.0%						
Rape	3	1	0	4	1	4	0	3	2	0	3	1
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		0.0%	0.0%		0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	9	10	7	13	16	11	15	12	18	10	10	6
Firearm Related	3	3	3	3	3	5	7	4	6	5	3	0
Percent Firearm	33.3%	30.0%	42.9%	23.1%	18.8%	45.5%	46.7%	33.3%	33.3%	50.0%	30.0%	0.0%
Aggravated Assault	26	15	31	34	30	22	23	17	26	23	22	14
Firearm Related	5	1	4	2	12	1	2	5	7	6	3	7
Percent Firearm	19.2%	6.7%	12.9%	5.9%	40.0%	4.5%	8.7%	29.4%	26.9%	26.1%	13.6%	50.0%

	<u>2001</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>% Change 2009-10</u>
Violent Crimes	281	314	293	298	309	373	345	392	349	444	27.2%
Firearm Related	46	64	40	39	52	69	72	80	84	101	20.2%
Percent Firearm	16.4%	20.4%	13.7%	13.1%	16.8%	18.5%	20.9%	20.4%	24.1%	22.7%	
Murder	0	3	2	1	4	1	2	5	3	2	
Firearm Related	0	1	1	1	2	0	0	2	1	1	
Percent Firearm	0.0%	33.3%	50.0%	100.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	40.0%	33.3%	50.0%	
Rape	23	28	30	30	18	18	21	19	17	22	29.4%
Firearm Related	0	0	1	4	0	0	0	1	0	0	
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%	3.3%	13.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.3%	0.0%	0.0%	
Robbery	100	118	84	84	78	120	131	152	154	137	-11.0%
Firearm Related	25	30	21	15	17	35	40	49	44	45	2.3%
Percent Firearm	25.0%	25.4%	25.0%	17.9%	21.8%	29.2%	30.5%	32.2%	28.6%	32.8%	
Aggravated Assault	158	165	177	183	209	234	191	216	175	283	61.7%
Firearm Related	21	33	17	19	33	34	32	28	39	55	41.0%
Percent Firearm	13.3%	20.0%	9.6%	10.4%	15.8%	14.5%	16.8%	13.0%	22.3%	19.4%	

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Violent Crimes by Firearm

As of 2/1/2011

Utica City Police Department (IBR)

	Jan 10	Feb 10	Mar 10	Apr 10	May 10	Jun 10	Jul 10	Aug 10	Sept 10	Oct 10	Nov 10	Dec 10
Violent Crimes	27	15	31	32	42	33	38	47	45	26	35	35
Firearm Related	7	5	6	7	12	11	9	7	12	2	9	6
Percent Firearm	25.9%	33.3%	19.4%	21.9%	28.6%	33.3%	23.7%	14.9%	26.7%	7.7%	25.7%	17.1%
Murder	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Firearm Related	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Firearm	100.0%										0.0%	
Rape	0	2	1	1	3	1	3	2	2	3	2	4
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Firearm		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	12	6	11	12	16	11	10	18	13	7	13	13
Firearm Related	2	4	1	2	5	5	4	2	5	0	1	4
Percent Firearm	16.7%	66.7%	9.1%	16.7%	31.3%	45.5%	40.0%	11.1%	38.5%	0.0%	7.7%	30.8%
Aggravated Assault	14	7	19	19	23	21	25	27	30	16	19	18
Firearm Related	4	1	5	5	7	6	5	5	7	2	8	2
Percent Firearm	28.6%	14.3%	26.3%	26.3%	30.4%	28.6%	20.0%	18.5%	23.3%	12.5%	42.1%	11.1%

	<u>2001</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>% Change 2009-10</u>
Violent Crimes	307	365	385	287	268	432	433	478	477	406	-14.9%
Firearm Related	81	85	106	58	42	95	112	138	127	93	-26.8%
Percent Firearm	26.4%	23.3%	27.5%	20.2%	15.7%	22.0%	25.9%	28.9%	26.6%	22.9%	
Murder	7	5	7	5	8	6	5	4	5	2	
Firearm Related	4	2	6	3	5	3	3	3	3	1	
Percent Firearm	57.1%	40.0%	85.7%	60.0%	62.5%	50.0%	60.0%	75.0%	60.0%	50.0%	
Rape	29	21	19	17	18	20	21	20	18	24	33.3%
Firearm Related	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	
Percent Firearm	3.4%	0.0%	5.3%	0.0%	5.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Robbery	188	190	186	139	133	140	142	181	149	142	-4.7%
Firearm Related	55	52	65	37	22	27	35	53	50	35	-30.0%
Percent Firearm	29.3%	27.4%	34.9%	26.6%	16.5%	19.3%	24.6%	29.3%	33.6%	24.6%	
Aggravated Assault	83	149	173	126	109	266	265	273	305	238	-22.0%
Firearm Related	21	31	34	18	14	65	74	82	74	57	-23.0%
Percent Firearm	25.3%	20.8%	19.7%	14.3%	12.8%	24.4%	27.9%	30.0%	24.3%	23.9%	

*IBR data has been converted to UCR categories.

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Violent Crimes by Firearm

As of 1/25/2011

Yonkers City Police Department (UCR)

	Jan 10	Feb 10	Mar 10	Apr 10	May 10	Jun 10	Jul 10	Aug 10	Sept 10	Oct 10	Nov 10	Dec 10
Violent Crimes	64	42	66	78	85	75	95	87	105	72	77	47
Firearm Related	8	3	7	10	11	9	19	5	13	8	16	11
Percent Firearm	12.5%	7.1%	10.6%	12.8%	12.9%	12.0%	20.0%	5.7%	12.4%	11.1%	20.8%	23.4%
Murder	2	0	0	1	1	2	3	0	0	0	0	0
Firearm Related	0	0	0	1	0	2	3	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Firearm	0.0%			100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%					
Rape	1	2	0	3	1	0	3	2	7	3	4	3
Firearm Related	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Percent Firearm	0.0%	0.0%		0.0%	0.0%		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Robbery	38	19	32	36	46	44	45	46	41	40	43	25
Firearm Related	6	2	3	5	10	3	7	5	11	6	12	9
Percent Firearm	15.8%	10.5%	9.4%	13.9%	21.7%	6.8%	15.6%	10.9%	26.8%	15.0%	27.9%	36.0%
Aggravated Assault	23	21	34	38	37	29	44	39	57	29	30	19
Firearm Related	2	1	4	4	1	4	9	0	2	2	4	2
Percent Firearm	8.7%	4.8%	11.8%	10.5%	2.7%	13.8%	20.5%	0.0%	3.5%	6.9%	13.3%	10.5%


	<u>2001</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>% Change 2009-10</u>
Violent Crimes	935	893	879	942	970	978	878	914	965	893	-7.5%
Firearm Related	128	146	159	122	123	127	79	85	138	120	-13.0%
Percent Firearm	13.7%	16.3%	18.1%	13.0%	12.7%	13.0%	9.0%	9.3%	14.3%	13.4%	
Murder	6	13	13	15	9	8	10	9	8	9	
Firearm Related	2	6	8	8	6	4	5	5	6	6	
Percent Firearm	33.3%	46.2%	61.5%	53.3%	66.7%	50.0%	50.0%	55.6%	75.0%	66.7%	
Rape	15	11	25	23	21	31	44	42	36	29	-19.4%
Firearm Related	2	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	
Percent Firearm	13.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.8%	0.0%	2.3%	0.0%	2.8%	0.0%	
Robbery	473	419	454	457	518	498	424	447	475	455	-4.2%
Firearm Related	101	119	121	90	88	80	44	50	90	79	-12.2%
Percent Firearm	21.4%	28.4%	26.7%	19.7%	17.0%	16.1%	10.4%	11.2%	18.9%	17.4%	
Aggravated Assault	441	450	387	447	422	441	400	416	446	400	-10.3%
Firearm Related	23	21	30	24	28	43	29	30	41	35	-14.6%
Percent Firearm	5.2%	4.7%	7.8%	5.4%	6.6%	9.8%	7.3%	7.2%	9.2%	8.8%	

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Firearm Activity Report

2009 vs. 2010

This section includes the December monthly report which also includes full year 2010 data. This report shows the monthly and year-to-date firearm activity for each of the 17 primary jurisdictions. These reports are prepared each month and distributed electronically to IMPACT partners, including the IMPACT Police Chiefs, IMPACT County District Attorneys, IMPACT County Probation Directors, and other stakeholders.



Firearm Activity Primary IMPACT Jurisdictions

Prepared by Division of Criminal Justice Services

January - December 2010 vs. 2009

As of 2/15/2011

	Current Month - December			Year-to-Date		
	2009	2010	% Change	2009	2010	% Change
IMPACT TOTAL						
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	65	52	-20.0%	774	838	8.3%
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	70	58	-17.1%	922	975	5.7%
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	10	6		146	155	6.2%
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	208	186	-10.6%	3,292	2,729	-17.1%

Notes: all data is preliminary and subject to change. IBR data has been converted to UCR categories. Percent change is not calculated when counts are less than 10.

Includes the 17 primary IMPACT Jurisdictions: Albany, Binghamton, Buffalo, Jamestown, Kingston, Nassau, Newburgh, Niagara Falls, Poughkeepsie, Rochester, Schenectady, Spring Valley, Suffolk, Syracuse, Troy, Utica, and Yonkers.

	Current Month - December			Year-to-Date		
	2009	2010	% Change	2009	2010	% Change
ALBANY CITY PD						
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	0	3		27	37	37.0%
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	0	4		29	41	41.4%
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	0	0		7	1	
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	4	4		89	159	78.7%

BINGHAMTON CITY PD						
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	0	1		6	11	
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	0	1		24	11	-54.2%
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	0	0		14	2	
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	1	1		22	20	-9.1%

BUFFALO CITY PD						
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	25	13	-48.0%	261	220	-15.7%
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	25	15	-40.0%	306	262	-14.4%
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	3	1		50	43	-14.0%
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	68	92	35.3%	744	705	-5.2%

JAMESTOWN CITY PD						
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	0	0		0	1	
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	0	0		0	1	
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	0	0		0	0	
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	1	1		10	1	

KINGSTON CITY PD						
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	0	0		5	6	
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	0	0		5	8	
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	0	0		0	1	
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	0	0		13	4	

NASSAU COUNTY PD						
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	4	8		61	103	68.9%
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	4	8		81	118	45.7%
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	0	0		3	12	
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	39	19	-51.3%	710	328	-53.8%

	Current Month - December			Year-to-Date		
	2009	2010	% Change	2009	2010	% Change
NEWBURGH CITY PD						
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	3	4		19	30	57.9%
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	3	4		20	31	55.0%
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	0	2		2	7	
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	7	0		55	77	40.0%

	Current Month - December			Year-to-Date		
	2009	2010	% Change	2009	2010	% Change
NIAGARA FALLS CITY PD						
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	0	0		13	18	38.5%
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	0	0		15	18	20.0%
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	0	0		4	3	
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	0	2		59	66	11.9%

	Current Month - December			Year-to-Date		
	2009	2010	% Change	2009	2010	% Change
POUGHKEEPSIE CITY PD						
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	1	0		17	15	-11.8%
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	1	0		19	15	-21.1%
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	0	0		2	3	
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	1	6		33	41	24.2%

	Current Month - December			Year-to-Date		
	2009	2010	% Change	2009	2010	% Change
ROCHESTER CITY PD						
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	10	7		126	155	23.0%
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	13	10	-23.1%	152	172	13.2%
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	3	1		23	29	26.1%
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	43	38	-11.6%	580	622	7.2%

	Current Month - December			Year-to-Date		
	2009	2010	% Change	2009	2010	% Change
SCHENECTADY CITY PD						
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	1	0		15	24	60.0%
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	1	0		16	24	50.0%
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	1	0		5	5	
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	1	4		37	18	-51.4%

	Current Month - December			Year-to-Date		
	2009	2010	% Change	2009	2010	% Change
SPRING VALLEY VILLAGE PD						
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	0	0		2	0	
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	0	0		2	0	
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	0	0		0	0	
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	2	2		7	5	

	Current Month - December			Year-to-Date		
	2009	2010	% Change	2009	2010	% Change
SUFFOLK COUNTY PD						
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	8	6		84	81	-3.6%
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	8	6		91	104	14.3%
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	2	2		16	29	81.3%
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	22	5		422	276	-34.6%

	Current Month - December			Year-to-Date		
	2009	2010	% Change	2009	2010	% Change
SYRACUSE CITY PD						
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	7	6		73	80	9.6%
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	8	6		86	100	16.3%
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	1	0		10	12	20.0%
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	10	4		324	267	-17.6%

	Current Month - December			Year-to-Date		
	2009	2010	% Change	2009	2010	% Change
TROY CITY PD						
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	0	2		9	14	
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	0	2		9	14	
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	0	0		1	1	
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	1	1		23	15	-34.8%

	Current Month - December			Year-to-Date		
	2009	2010	% Change	2009	2010	% Change
UTICA CITY PD						
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	3	0		13	12	-7.7%
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	3	0		13	13	0.0%
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	0	0		3	1	
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	3	2		43	44	2.3%

	Current Month - December			Year-to-Date		
	2009	2010	% Change	2009	2010	% Change
YONKERS CITY PD						
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	3	2		43	31	-27.9%
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	4	2		54	43	-20.4%
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	0	0		6	6	
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	5	5		121	81	-33.1%

Firearm Activity Trend Tables

Firearm Activity

As of 2/15/2011

Primary IMPACT Total

	Jan 10	Feb 10	Mar 10	Apr 10	May 10	Jun 10	Jul 10	Aug 10	Sep 10	Oct 10	Nov 10	Dec 10
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	83	44	71	58	61	93	90	87	73	72	54	52
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	95	46	77	71	66	112	109	99	91	80	71	58
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	11	11	13	12	7	23	11	23	16	13	9	6
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	260	160	302	176	276	329	180	250	227	206	177	186
Totals	2006	2007	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	% Change						
						<u>2009-10</u>						
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	896	766	822	774	838	8.3%						
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	1,007	836	930	922	975	5.7%						
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	144	138	137	146	155	6.2%						
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	2,426	2,606	2,696	3,292	2729	-17.1%						

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Firearm Activity

As of 2/4/2011

Albany City Police Department

	Jan 10	Feb 10	Mar 10	Jan 10	May 10	Jun 10	Jul 10	Aug 10	Sep 10	Oct 10	Nov 10	Dec 10
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	2	6	0	6	3	8	2	4	2	0	1	3
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	3	6	0	7	3	9	2	4	2	0	1	4
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	6	10	72	6	13	10	11	12	6	4	5	4
Totals	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	% Change						
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	35	47	44	27	37	37.0%						
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	46	55	53	29	41	41.4%						
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	3	3	6	7	1							
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	93	104	144	89	159	78.7%						

Firearm Activity

As of 1/10/2011

Binghamton City Police Department

	Jan 10	Feb 10	Mar 10	Jan 10	May 10	Jun 10	Jul 10	Aug 10	Sep 10	Oct 10	Nov 10	Dec 10
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	1	2	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	4	0	1
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	1	2	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	4	0	1
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	4	0	3	2	0	3	3	0	0	2	2	1
Totals	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	% Change						
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	6	2	1	6	11							
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	6	1	1	24	11	-54.2%						
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	1	1	1	14	2							
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	18	21	19	22	20	-9.1%						

Note: In Binghamton, the 13 homicides reported in April 2009 reflect a single incident where 13 victims were killed by one gunman during a mass shooting on April 3rd, 2009. The April 2009 homicides are counted as: 1 incident, 17 shooting victims, 13 killed.

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Firearm Activity

As of 2/7/2011

Buffalo City Police Department

	Jan 10	Feb 10	Mar 10	Jan 10	May 10	Jun 10	Jul 10	Aug 10	Sep 10	Oct 10	Nov 10	Dec 10	
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	20	6	16	17	17	27	24	23	19	20	18	13	
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	24	6	17	23	18	32	29	31	21	23	23	15	
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	2	2	0	6	2	6	3	10	5	3	3	1	
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	77	49	29	36	90	79	34	65	68	49	37	92	
												% Change	
Totals	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>							<u>2009-10</u>	
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	252	180	220	261	220								-15.7%
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	277	196	238	306	262								-14.4%
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	53	42	30	50	43								-14.0%
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	592	791	723	744	705								-5.2%

Firearm Activity

As of 2/11/2011

Jamestown City Police Department

	Jan 10	Feb 10	Mar 10	Jan 10	May 10	Jun 10	Jul 10	Aug 10	Sep 10	Oct 10	Nov 10	Dec 10	
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	
													% Change
Totals	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>								<u>2009-10</u>
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	2	0	1	0	1								
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	7	0	1	0	1								
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	0	0	0	0	0								
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	5	3	12	10	1								

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Firearm Activity

As of 2/4/2011

Kingston City Police Department

	Jan 10	Feb 10	Mar 10	Jan 10	May 10	Jun 10	Jul 10	Aug 10	Sep 10	Oct 10	Nov 10	Dec 10
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	1	1	1	0	0	0	2	0	1	2	0	0
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
Totals	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	% Change						
						<u>2009-10</u>						
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	3	3	4	5	6							
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	3	3	4	5	8							
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	0	1	0	0	1							
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	7	12	11	13	4							

Firearm Activity

As of 1/5/2011

Nassau County Police Department

	Jan 10	Feb 10	Mar 10	Jan 10	May 10	Jun 10	Jul 10	Aug 10	Sep 10	Oct 10	Nov 10	Dec 10
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	11	4	6	8	4	10	15	13	10	9	5	8
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	12	4	7	10	4	17	17	14	11	9	5	8
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	0	1	1	1	0	7	0	1	1	0	0	0
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	24	15	31	19	19	102	9	17	22	29	22	19
Totals	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	% Change						
						<u>2009-10</u>						
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	57	75	79	61	103	68.9%						
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	60	76	97	81	118	45.7%						
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	9	12	4	3	12							
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	307	298	299	710	328	-53.8%						

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Firearm Activity

As of 1/28/2011

Newburgh City Police Department

	Jan 10	Feb 10	Mar 10	Jan 10	May 10	Jun 10	Jul 10	Aug 10	Sep 10	Oct 10	Nov 10	Dec 10
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	4	0	6	0	1	2	3	4	2	2	2	4
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	4	0	6	0	1	2	3	4	2	2	3	4
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	12	6	5	7	1	6	4	15	5	14	2	0
Totals	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>			% Change				
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	4	15	12	19	30			57.9%				
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	4	17	12	20	31			55.0%				
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	1	0	5	2	7							
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	30	33	25	55	77			40.0%				

Firearm Activity

As of 2/2/2011

Niagara Falls City Police Department

	Jan 10	Feb 10	Mar 10	Jan 10	May 10	Jun 10	Jul 10	Aug 10	Sep 10	Oct 10	Nov 10	Dec 10
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	2	3	4	1	1	0	1	0	2	4	0	0
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	2	3	4	1	1	0	1	0	2	4	0	0
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	0	0	16	1	17	8	5	4	3	9	1	2
Totals	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>			% Change				
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	28	19	16	13	18			38.5%				
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	32	21	16	15	18			20.0%				
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	3	3	3	4	3							
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	53	66	90	59	66			11.9%				

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Firearm Activity

As of 1/11/2011

Poughkeepsie City Police Department

	Jan 10	Feb 10	Mar 10	Jan 10	May 10	Jun 10	Jul 10	Aug 10	Sep 10	Oct 10	Nov 10	Dec 10
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	1	3	2	1	0	0	1	1	3	3	0	0
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	1	3	2	1	0	0	1	1	3	3	0	0
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	5	4	2	4	0	5	5	2	4	3	1	6
Totals	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>			% Change				
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	15	7	22	17	15			-11.8%				
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	15	9	24	19	15			-21.1%				
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	3	2	3	2	3							
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	39	22	46	33	41			24.2%				

Firearm Activity

As of 1/20/2011

Rochester City Police Department

	Jan 10	Feb 10	Mar 10	Jan 10	May 10	Jun 10	Jul 10	Aug 10	Sep 10	Oct 10	Nov 10	Dec 10
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	14	7	10	12	19	18	17	23	8	11	9	7
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	16	7	12	14	20	20	19	23	9	12	10	10
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	3	1	1	2	3	4	2	7	3	2	0	1
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	57	31	58	19	79	66	46	68	60	52	48	38
Totals	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>			% Change				
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	249	176	157	126	155			23.0%				
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	276	194	183	152	172			13.2%				
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	34	39	32	23	29			26.1%				
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	390	468	416	580	622			7.2%				

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Firearm Activity

As of 1/27/2011

Schenectady City Police Department

	Jan 10	Feb 10	Mar 10	Jan 10	May 10	Jun 10	Jul 10	Aug 10	Sep 10	Oct 10	Nov 10	Dec 10
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	4	2	4	1	1	4	2	2	4	0	0	0
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	4	2	4	1	1	4	2	2	4	0	0	0
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	0	1	2	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	0	0	0	0	0	11	0	1	0	2	0	4
Totals	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	% Change						
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	20	21	20	15	24	60.0%						
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	27	24	20	16	24	50.0%						
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	2	4	7	5	5							
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	51	57	46	37	18	-51.4%						

Firearm Activity

As of 2/1/2011

Spring Valley Village Police Department

	Jan 10	Feb 10	Mar 10	Jan 10	May 10	Jun 10	Jul 10	Aug 10	Sep 10	Oct 10	Nov 10	Dec 10
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Totals	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	% Change						
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	1	0	0	2	0							
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	2	0	0	2	0							
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	1	0	0	0	0							
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	5	6	9	7	5							

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Firearm Activity

As of 1/31/2011

Suffolk County Police Department

	Jan 10	Feb 10	Mar 10	Jan 10	May 10	Jun 10	Jul 10	Aug 10	Sep 10	Oct 10	Nov 10	Dec 10
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	9	6	9	2	3	9	6	9	11	6	5	6
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	12	8	9	2	3	11	8	11	21	6	7	6
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	2	4	3	0	1	0	2	3	6	4	2	2
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	49	18	41	20	18	16	15	13	33	13	35	5
Totals	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>			% Change				
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	86	74	93	84	81			-3.6%				
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	93	80	93	91	104			14.3%				
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	19	14	22	16	29			81.3%				
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	313	342	336	422	276			-34.6%				

Firearm Activity

As of 1/14/2010

Syracuse City Police Department

	Jan 10	Feb 10	Mar 10	Jan 10	May 10	Jun 10	Jul 10	Aug 10	Sep 10	Oct 10	Nov 10	Dec 10
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	8	3	9	2	8	5	10	4	5	11	9	6
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	9	3	11	2	11	5	10	5	9	14	15	6
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	1	0	2	1	0	1	1	1	0	3	2	0
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	11	17	36	46	24	13	30	44	16	17	9	4
Totals	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>			% Change				
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	79	95	93	73	80			9.6%				
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	91	105	109	86	100			16.3%				
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	8	9	14	10	12			20.0%				
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	332	218	281	324	267			-17.6%				

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Firearm Activity

As of 1/4/2011

Troy City Police Department

	Jan 10	Feb 10	Mar 10	Jan 10	May 10	Jun 10	Jul 10	Aug 10	Sep 10	Oct 10	Nov 10	Dec 10
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	2	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	2
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	2	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	2
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	1	0	0	1	0	1	7	0	1	0	3	1
Totals	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>% Change</u>						
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	2	7	2	9	14							
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	2	8	3	9	14							
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	0	0	2	1	1							
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	26	20	24	23	15	-34.8%						

Firearm Activity

As of 2/1/2011

Utica City Police Department

	Jan 10	Feb 10	Mar 10	Jan 10	May 10	Jun 10	Jul 10	Aug 10	Sep 10	Oct 10	Nov 10	Dec 10
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	2	0	0	1	2	1	1	0	4	0	1	0
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	2	0	0	1	2	1	1	0	4	0	2	0
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	8	3	0	4	7	4	1	2	9	1	3	2
Totals	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>% Change</u>						
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	13	21	19	13	12	-7.7%						
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	14	22	28	13	13	0.0%						
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	3	3	3	3	1							
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	42	46	63	43	44	2.3%						

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.

Firearm Activity

As of 2/9/2011

Yonkers City Police Department

	Jan 10	Feb 10	Mar 10	Jan 10	May 10	Jun 10	Jul 10	Aug 10	Sep 10	Oct 10	Nov 10	Dec 10
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	2	0	3	5	1	7	6	1	1	0	3	2
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	2	0	3	7	1	9	13	1	1	0	4	2
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	0	0	0	1	0	2	3	0	0	0	0	0
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	6	5	8	10	7	5	10	7	0	10	8	5
Totals	<u>2006</u>	<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	% Change						
Shooting Incidents Involving Injury	44	24	39	43	31	-27.9%						
Shooting Victims (Persons Hit)	52	25	48	54	43	-20.4%						
Individuals Killed by Gun Violence	4	5	5	6	6							
Guns Recovered/Traced Through ATF	123	99	152	121	81	-33.1%						

*Percent change is not calculated where counts are less than 10.