

County Re-entry Task Force Program Activity Report: July 2012 - September 2012

Data provided by County Re-entry Task Forces to Division of Criminal Justice Service, Office of Justice Research and Performance as of November 29, 2012

For the Following Counties:

Albany, Bronx*, Broome, Dutchess, Erie, Kings, Monroe, Nassau, Niagara, Oneida, Onondaga, Orange, Rensselaer, Rockland, Schenectady, Suffolk, Ulster, New York, and Westchester

This report includes information on CRTF activity during July 2012-September 2012, the first quarter that new contract requirements were in place. Based on these new requirements, this report includes information only on Track I clients, the higher risk prison releases served by the CRTFs. Future quarterly reports will include data from the beginning of the contract year (July 2012) through the end of the quarter of the reporting period.

*Data from Bronx County is not presented in this report due to the fact that the CRTF in that county was in the startup phase of program development.


CRTF Track I (T1) Admissions, July 2012 – September 2012

There were 801 Track I clients admitted to the CRTFs from July through September of 2012. Overall, the CRTFs met 81% of their Track I intake goal for the quarter. Individual county Track I admission goal attainment varied considerably from 29% to 186%.


County	Monthly T1 Intake Goal	Quarterly T1 Intake Goal	July		August		September		July-Sept	
			# of T1 Intakes	% Goal Met	# of T1 Intakes	% Goal Met	# of T1 Intakes	% Goal Met	# of T1 Intakes	% Goal Met
Albany	20	61	24	118%	26	127%	26	127%	76	124%
Broome	11	32	17	161%	16	151%	26	246%	59	186%
Dutchess	10	31	6	58%	11	106%	N/A	N/A	17	54%
Erie	38	115	18	47%	8	21%	7	18%	33	29%
Kings	21	63	18	86%	27	130%	24	115%	69	110%
Monroe	37	111	10	27%	27	73%	12	33%	49	44%
Nassau	17	52	31	180%	20	116%	15	87%	66	128%
Niagara	10	31	7	67%	5	48%	11	106%	23	74%
Oneida	12	36	12	101%	17	143%	18	151%	47	131%
Onondaga	28	85	19	67%	18	64%	18	64%	55	65%
Orange	15	44	34	232%	28	191%	12	82%	74	168%
Rensselaer	8	25	14	168%	7	84%	12	144%	33	132%
Rockland	5	15	9	180%	7	140%	8	160%	24	160%
Schenectady	12	37	12	97%	14	114%	14	114%	40	108%
Suffolk	38	114	15	40%	-	0%	-	0%	15	13%
Ulster	10	31	7	67%	10	96%	4	38%	21	67%
New York	21	63	16	77%	7	34%	5	24%	28	45%
Westchester	15	44	17	117%	15	103%	15	103%	47	107%
Total	329	988	286	87%	263	80%	227	69%	776	79%

This project was supported by Grant No. 2011-BJ-CX-K042 awarded by the Bureau of Justice Statistics, Office of Justice Programs, U.S. Department of Justice. Points of view in this document are those of the author and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the U.S. Department of Justice.

CRTF Track I Admissions*, July 2012 – September 2012 (cont'd)




- 22% of Track I clients admitted to the CRTFs were under 25 years old. For county specific Track I client age information, see page 9.




- A small proportion (6%) of Track I clients were female. For county specific Track I client sex information, see page 9.

CRTF Track I Admissions, July 2012 – September 2012 (cont'd)




- Nearly all (97%) Track I clients were moderate to high risk offenders (89%) or sex offenders (8%). For county level Track I population information, see page 10.




- Nearly all (98%) of Track I clients were on parole when admitted to the CRTFs. County level information can be found on page 12.
- Of Track I admissions on parole, case conferences were held for 644 (85%). County level information can be found on page 12.

CRTF Track I Admissions, July 2012 – September 2012 (cont'd)




- The needs that were most commonly identified by the CRTFs for Track I clients were employment programming (86%), social services assistance (80%), and chemical dependency treatment (76%). See pages 13-14 for additional county specific information.

CRTF Track I Discharges, July 2012 – September 2012




- Of the 565 clients discharged between July 2012 and September 2012, 347 (62%) clients successfully completed the CRTFs. 126 (22%) clients were discharged due to a new arrest or parole violation (as reported by the CRTFs). County level data can be found on page 15.

CRTF Track I Discharges, July 2012 – September 2012 (cont'd)



- 472 (83%) clients spent at least 45 days in the CRTFs, with 346 (61%) enrolled more than 90 days. See page 22 for county specific information.




- 209 (37%) of clients discharged from the CRTFs were employed while in the CRTFs, with an additional 50 (9%) reported to be unemployable. County level employment data is available on page 21.

Program Participation among Track I Discharges*, July 2012 – September 2012

County specific data regarding program participation is located on pages 16-19.


- 392 (85%) clients with a need for social services assistance assessed at intake had obtained social services assistance prior to discharge.
- 287 (65%) clients with a need for housing assistance assessed at intake were in a private residence at discharge.
- The following chart shows the proportion of clients who either successfully completed or were still engaged in a program at discharge for the remainder of the needs areas:



*For clients who were discharged due to a new arrest or parole violation, program participation status reported by the CRTF is prior to the client's arrest/violation.

Service Gaps, July 2012 – September 2012

- Needs assessment information is reported for 13 needs areas; for each needs area, the CRTFs record whether an assessment is conducted as well as the results. The majority of Track I clients were assessed for all needs areas. Data by county is on pages 13-14.
- The following chart shows the proportion of clients who did not have their need met while engaged in the CRTF because an appropriate program does not exist in that county or the client was placed on a waiting list:



- The needs areas with the largest proportion of Track I clients who did not get their need met due to lack of an appropriate program were mentoring (28% of clients with an assessed need) and family support (15%). See pages 16-19 for county specific information.
- Needs areas with the largest proportion of Track I clients still waiting for a program at discharge were sex offender treatment (24% of clients with an assessed need) and educational/vocational programs (15%). See pages 16-19 for county level data.

July 2012 through September 2012 Track I Intakes: Age and Sex

County	17 years & under		18-24 years		25-34 years		35-49 years		50+ years		Total
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
Albany	0	0%	22	29%	31	41%	20	26%	3	4%	76
Broome	0	0%	10	17%	27	46%	15	25%	7	12%	59
Dutchess	0	0%	3	18%	6	35%	6	35%	2	12%	17
Erie	0	0%	7	21%	11	33%	10	30%	5	15%	33
Kings	0	0%	14	20%	32	46%	21	30%	2	3%	69
Monroe	0	0%	13	27%	19	39%	13	27%	4	8%	49
Nassau	0	0%	13	20%	26	39%	19	29%	8	12%	66
Niagara	0	0%	1	4%	11	48%	7	30%	4	17%	23
Oneida	0	0%	6	13%	25	53%	15	32%	1	2%	47
Onondaga	0	0%	16	29%	25	45%	11	20%	3	5%	55
Orange	0	0%	15	20%	35	47%	16	22%	8	11%	74
Rensselaer	0	0%	8	24%	11	33%	11	33%	3	9%	33
Rockland	0	0%	6	25%	11	46%	5	21%	2	8%	24
Schenectady	0	0%	10	25%	15	38%	9	23%	6	15%	40
Suffolk*	1	7%	5	33%	4	27%	4	27%	1	7%	15
Ulster	0	0%	5	24%	4	19%	9	43%	3	14%	21
New York	0	0%	9	32%	8	29%	8	29%	3	11%	28
Westchester	0	0%	9	19%	25	53%	9	19%	4	9%	47
Total	1	0%	172	22%	326	42%	208	27%	69	9%	776

County	Male		Female		Unknown		Total
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
Albany	74	97%	2	3%	0	0%	76
Broome	52	88%	6	10%	1	2%	59
Dutchess	15	88%	2	12%	0	0%	17
Erie	31	94%	2	6%	0	0%	33
Kings	66	96%	2	3%	1	1%	69
Monroe	47	96%	1	2%	1	2%	49
Nassau	64	97%	2	3%	0	0%	66
Niagara	20	87%	3	13%	0	0%	23
Oneida	46	98%	1	2%	0	0%	47
Onondaga	48	87%	7	13%	0	0%	55
Orange	69	93%	4	5%	1	1%	74
Rensselaer	32	97%	1	3%	0	0%	33
Rockland	24	100%	0	0%	0	0%	24
Schenectady	37	93%	3	8%	0	0%	40
Suffolk*	15	100%	0	0%	0	0%	15
Ulster	16	76%	5	24%	0	0%	21
New York	27	96%	1	4%	0	0%	28
Westchester	45	96%	2	4%	0	0%	47
Total	728	94%	44	6%	4	1%	776

*Data from Suffolk County is only for July 2012.

July 2012 through September 2012 Track I Intakes: Population Composition

County	Moderate to High Risk		Woman with High Need		Max Expiration		Sex Offender		Juvenile		Impairments/severe medical		Total
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
Albany	69	91%	1	1%	0	0%	6	8%	0	0%	0	0%	76
Broome	47	80%	4	7%	0	0%	7	12%	0	0%	1	2%	59
Dutchess	17	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	17
Erie	30	91%	1	3%	0	0%	2	6%	0	0%	0	0%	33
Kings	69	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	69
Monroe	46	94%	0	0%	0	0%	3	6%	0	0%	0	0%	49
Nassau	63	95%	2	3%	0	0%	1	2%	0	0%	0	0%	66
Niagara	17	74%	2	9%	0	0%	4	17%	0	0%	0	0%	23
Oneida	33	70%	0	0%	0	0%	13	28%	0	0%	1	2%	47
Onondaga	50	91%	3	5%	0	0%	2	4%	0	0%	0	0%	55
Orange	69	93%	0	0%	0	0%	5	7%	0	0%	0	0%	74
Rensselaer	23	70%	0	0%	0	0%	10	30%	0	0%	0	0%	33
Rockland	24	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	24
Schenectady	27	68%	3	8%	0	0%	10	25%	0	0%	0	0%	40
Suffolk*	15	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	15
Ulster	18	86%	2	10%	0	0%	1	5%	0	0%	0	0%	21
New York	28	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	28
Westchester	45	96%	2	4%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	47
Total	690	89%	20	3%	0	0%	64	8%	0	0%	2	0%	776

*Data from Suffolk County is only for July 2012.

July 2012 through September 2012 Track I Intakes: Time between DOCCS Release and CRTF Intake

County	7 days or less		8-30 days		31-60 days		61-90 days		91-365 days		More than 365 days		Total n
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	
Albany	57	75%	13	17%	5	7%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	76
Broome	25	42%	29	49%	2	3%	1	2%	0	0%	2	3%	59
Dutchess	10	59%	3	18%	2	12%	0	0%	1	6%	1	6%	17
Erie	23	70%	5	15%	1	3%	1	3%	3	9%	0	0%	33
Kings	6	9%	31	45%	8	12%	5	7%	13	19%	6	9%	69
Monroe	6	12%	17	35%	8	16%	3	6%	11	22%	4	8%	49
Nassau	50	76%	12	18%	3	5%	0	0%	1	2%	0	0%	66
Niagara	15	65%	0	0%	1	4%	2	9%	2	9%	3	13%	23
Oneida	41	87%	5	11%	1	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	47
Onondaga	41	75%	9	16%	5	9%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	55
Orange	32	43%	14	19%	6	8%	4	5%	13	18%	5	7%	74
Rensselaer	27	82%	6	18%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	33
Rockland	18	75%	5	21%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	4%	24
Schenectady	19	48%	8	20%	1	3%	0	0%	8	20%	4	10%	40
Suffolk*	8	53%	4	27%	1	7%	0	0%	1	7%	1	7%	15
Ulster	5	24%	10	48%	0	0%	0	0%	3	14%	3	14%	21
New York	4	14%	19	68%	3	11%	1	4%	0	0%	1	4%	28
Westchester	16	34%	17	36%	8	17%	2	4%	1	2%	3	6%	47
Total	403	52%	207	27%	55	7%	19	2%	57	7%	35	5%	776

*Data from Suffolk County is only for July 2012.

July 2012 through September 2012 Track I Intakes: Supervision Status and Case Conference Status

County	On Parole		Max Exp		Other		Total
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
Albany	71	93%	5	7%	0	0%	76
Broome	55	93%	4	7%	0	0%	59
Dutchess	17	100%	0	0%	0	0%	17
Erie	33	100%	0	0%	0	0%	33
Kings	69	100%	0	0%	0	0%	69
Monroe	47	96%	2	4%	0	0%	49
Nassau	66	100%	0	0%	0	0%	66
Niagara	23	100%	0	0%	0	0%	23
Oneida	47	100%	0	0%	0	0%	47
Onondaga	54	98%	0	0%	1	2%	55
Orange	71	96%	3	4%	0	0%	74
Rensselaer	33	100%	0	0%	0	0%	33
Rockland	24	100%	0	0%	0	0%	24
Schenectady	37	93%	3	8%	0	0%	40
Suffolk*	15	100%	0	0%	0	0%	15
Ulster	21	100%	0	0%	0	0%	21
New York	28	100%	0	0%	0	0%	28
Westchester	47	100%	0	0%	0	0%	47
Total	758	98%	17	2%	1	0%	776

County	On Parole, Case Conf		On Parole, No Case Conf		Not on Parole, Case Conf		Not on Parole, No Case Conf		Total
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
Albany	71	93%	0	0%	5	7%	0	0%	76
Broome	55	93%	0	0%	2	3%	2	3%	59
Dutchess	11	65%	6	35%	0	0%	0	0%	17
Erie	30	91%	3	9%	0	0%	0	0%	33
Kings	69	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	69
Monroe	0	0%	47	96%	0	0%	2	4%	49
Nassau	62	94%	4	6%	0	0%	0	0%	66
Niagara	23	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	23
Oneida	40	85%	7	15%	0	0%	0	0%	47
Onondaga	54	98%	0	0%	1	2%	0	0%	55
Orange	25	34%	46	62%	0	0%	3	4%	74
Rensselaer	33	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	33
Rockland	24	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	24
Schenectady	37	93%	0	0%	3	8%	0	0%	40
Suffolk*	13	87%	2	13%	0	0%	0	0%	15
Ulster	21	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	21
New York	28	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	28
Westchester	47	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	47
Total	643	83%	115	15%	11	1%	7	1%	776

*Data from Suffolk County is only for July 2012.

July 2012 through September 2012 Track I Intakes: Service Needs

		County																		Total	
		Albany	Broome	Dutch- ess	Erie	Kings	Monroe	Nassau	Niagara	Oneida	Onon- daga	Orange	Renss- elaer	Rock- land	Schen- ectady	Suffolk*	Ulster	New York	West- chester	n	%
Housing	Need	30	32	8	22	3	33	36	21	25	31	34	14	3	12	8	14	7	19	352	45%
	No need	46	27	9	11	66	16	30	2	22	22	40	19	21	28	7	7	21	28	422	54%
	Not Assessed	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0%
Employ	Need	73	48	17	17	60	32	66	18	42	44	71	23	18	36	13	18	25	44	665	86%
	No need	3	11	0	16	9	17	0	5	5	9	0	10	2	4	2	3	3	3	102	13%
	Not Assessed	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	0	4	0	0	0	0	9	1%
Ed/ Voc	Need	24	25	5	15	69	20	66	17	17	23	19	9	4	17	14	16	19	23	402	52%
	No need	52	34	12	17	0	29	0	6	30	29	53	24	18	23	1	5	8	24	365	47%
	Not Assessed	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	2	0	2	0	0	1	0	9	1%
Soc Serv Assist	Need	69	44	15	30	26	39	66	23	41	45	69	16	21	29	14	18	13	46	624	80%
	No need	7	15	2	2	43	10	0	0	6	8	4	17	3	11	1	3	15	1	148	19%
	Not Assessed	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	1%
Chem Dep Tx	Need	37	45	15	30	68	19	66	18	23	39	63	23	23	23	15	15	22	44	588	76%
	No need	39	14	2	1	1	30	0	5	24	16	9	10	1	17	0	6	6	3	184	24%
	Not Assessed	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	1%
Sex Off Tx	Need	9	6	1	2	0	5	3	4	9	5	6	10	1	10	0	2	0	0	73	9%
	No need	67	24	16	31	69	44	63	19	38	50	68	23	22	30	15	19	28	47	673	87%
	Not Assessed	0	29	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	30	4%
Off Acct Prog	Need	10	18	1	10	1	3	66	20	8	15	12	1	5	13	9	12	1	7	212	27%
	No need	66	41	16	21	68	46	0	3	39	39	59	32	19	27	6	9	27	40	558	72%
	Not Assessed	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	1%
Total		76	59	17	33	69	49	66	23	47	55	74	33	24	40	15	21	28	47	776	

*Data from Suffolk County is only from July 2012.

July 2012 through September 2012 Track I Intakes: Service Needs (cont'd)

		County																		Total	
		Albany	Broome	Dutch- ess	Erie	Kings	Monroe	Nassau	Niagara	Oneida	Onon- daga	Orange	Renss- elaer	Rock- land	Schen- ectady	Suffolk*	Ulster	New York	West- chester	n	%
Cog Beh Int	Need	52	36	17	10	68	16	66	23	3	9	51	20	3	29	11	15	20	47	496	64%
	No need	24	23	0	21	1	33	0	0	43	40	20	13	1	11	4	6	8	0	248	32%
	Not Assessed	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	3	0	20	0	0	0	0	32	4%
Mentor	Need	28	29	0	3	7	2	66	21	1	2	5	27	2	26	5	14	1	47	286	37%
	No need	48	30	17	29	62	47	0	2	46	51	66	6	14	14	10	7	27	0	476	61%
	Not Assessed	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	14	2%
Anger Mgt	Need	14	25	13	13	9	23	20	4	1	15	57	12	13	4	9	10	14	36	292	38%
	No need	62	34	4	18	60	26	46	19	46	40	14	21	11	36	6	11	13	11	478	62%
	Not Assessed	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	6	1%
Mental Health Tx	Need	4	12	5	12	3	4	8	9	12	10	13	10	3	14	2	15	3	13	152	20%
	No need	72	47	12	20	66	45	58	14	35	40	58	23	21	26	13	5	25	34	614	79%
	Not Assessed	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	5	3	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	10	1%
Fam Supp	Need	23	36	0	7	0	5	66	16	0	35	9	9	1	21	2	13	12	23	278	36%
	No need	53	23	17	24	69	44	0	7	47	20	63	24	20	19	13	8	16	24	491	63%
	Not Assessed	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	7	1%
Vets Prog	Need	2	3	0	2	0	0	1	0	2	2	2	1	0	4	0	1	1	0	21	3%
	No need	74	3	17	30	69	49	65	23	45	53	71	32	24	30	15	20	27	47	694	89%
	Not Assessed	0	53	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	61	8%
Total		76	59	17	33	69	49	66	23	47	55	74	33	24	40	15	21	28	47	776	

*Data from Suffolk County is only for July 2012.

July 2012 through September 2012 Track I Discharges: Discharge Reasons

County	Successful Discharge		Voluntarily Discontinued		Reached 120 days		Reached 180 days		New Arrest		Violation		Deceased		Transferred to Other CRTF Area		Moved to non-CRTF Area		Total
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
Albany	46	75%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	2%	12	20%	0	0%	2	3%	0	0%	61
Broome	3	6%	0	0%	19	36%	19	36%	0	0%	12	23%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	53
Dutchess	9	69%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4	31%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	13
Erie	16	29%	10	18%	0	0%	0	0%	2	4%	12	21%	0	0%	4	7%	12	21%	56
Kings	11	20%	38	68%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	5	9%	0	0%	0	0%	2	4%	56
Monroe	3	14%	6	29%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	11	52%	0	0%	1	5%	0	0%	21
Nassau	0	0%	0	0%	11	73%	0	0%	0	0%	4	27%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	15
Niagara	2	50%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	25%	0	0%	1	25%	0	0%	4
Oneida	0	0%	1	2%	28	65%	3	7%	8	19%	3	7%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	43
Onondaga	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Orange	25	60%	3	7%	1	2%	0	0%	0	0%	13	31%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	42
Rensselaer	16	64%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	4%	6	24%	0	0%	2	8%	0	0%	25
Rockland	1	7%	0	0%	10	67%	0	0%	1	7%	3	20%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	15
Schenectady	27	79%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	6%	3	9%	0	0%	2	6%	0	0%	34
Suffolk*	14	56%	2	8%	1	4%	0	0%	1	4%	7	28%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	25
Ulster	5	50%	1	10%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4	40%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	10
New York	48	80%	1	2%	4	7%	1	2%	2	3%	1	2%	0	0%	3	5%	0	0%	60
Westchester	24	75%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	6	19%	1	3%	0	0%	0	0%	1	3%	32
Total	249	44%	62	11%	74	13%	23	4%	24	4%	98	18%	0	0%	15	3%	15	3%	565

*Data from Suffolk County is only for July and August 2012.

July 2012 through September 2012 Track I Discharges: Program Participation Status at CRTF Discharge**

		County																	Total		
		Albany	Broome	Dutch- ess	Erie	Kings	Monroe	Nassau	Nia- gara	Oneida	Onon- daga	Orange	Renss- elaer	Rock- land	Schen- ectady	Suf- folk*	Ulster	New York	West- chester	n	%
Housing	No Need	1	0	0	19	56	0	0	0	2	N/A	0	2	0	3	0	1	18	22	124	22%
	Halfway House	4	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	N/A	1	0	0	0	3	0	1	1	11	2%
	Residential Treatment Program	8	5	0	1	0	0	0	1	2	N/A	0	0	1	5	4	0	6	1	34	6%
	Parole Resid Stabilization Prog	5	0	2	1	0	0	1	0	0	N/A	4	1	0	4	0	0	2	0	20	4%
	Parole Community Based Resid Prog	1	1	0	24	0	7	3	0	0	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	38	7%
	Shelter- Housing Only	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	N/A	1	1	0	2	1	1	0	0	10	2%
	Shelter that includes ancillary services	1	0	0	10	0	0	2	0	1	N/A	1	3	1	0	1	0	0	5	25	4%
	Hotel/motel	4	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	1	4	0	0	0	2	1	0	16	3%
	Private Residence	36	43	10	1	0	13	9	3	36	N/A	34	14	13	20	16	6	32	1	287	51%
Emp Prog	Not Referred, No Need Identified	5	26	9	30	5	13	1	1	8	N/A	1	4	2	11	4	2	17	5	144	25%
	Not Referred, No Appropriate Program	1	3	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	2	0	0	0	2	0	5	1	18	3%
	Referred, On Waiting List	0	1	0	2	0	0	8	0	0	N/A	1	10	0	0	1	1	5	0	29	5%
	Referred, Client Declined Services	1	3	0	10	2	2	1	0	3	N/A	3	4	0	16	3	1	7	5	61	11%
	Referred, Client Deemed Ineligible	7	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	N/A	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	14	2%
	Referred, Other Non-Admission Reason	10	1	0	1	0	2	0	1	1	N/A	0	0	2	0	4	0	5	7	34	6%
	Referred, Currently Engaged	3	11	0	8	1	1	1	2	12	N/A	29	6	7	6	7	0	17	14	125	22%
	Referred, Discharged, Did Not Complete	9	3	2	1	37	3	4	0	5	N/A	2	0	2	0	3	4	3	0	78	14%
	Referred, Discharged, Successful Completion	25	4	2	0	11	0	0	0	10	N/A	4	0	1	1	1	2	1	0	62	11%
Ed/Voc Prog	Not Referred, No Need Identified	50	21	8	33	4	17	1	2	18	N/A	24	20	11	27	6	2	23	13	280	50%
	Not Referred, No Appropriate Program	3	2	2	1	0	0	0	2	0	N/A	3	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	16	3%
	Referred, On Waiting List	0	4	0	4	0	0	9	0	6	N/A	0	4	0	0	5	1	8	2	43	8%
	Referred, Client Declined Services	2	11	0	10	17	0	0	0	6	N/A	5	1	0	6	3	2	8	7	78	14%
	Referred, Client Deemed Ineligible	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	3	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	1%
	Referred, Other Non-Admission Reason	1	0	0	3	0	1	0	0	3	N/A	0	0	0	0	1	0	9	6	24	4%
	Referred, Currently Engaged	4	11	2	4	3	0	1	0	5	N/A	8	0	1	1	7	3	9	4	63	11%
	Referred, Discharged, Did Not Complete	0	1	1	0	32	3	4	0	1	N/A	0	0	3	0	2	2	1	0	50	9%
	Referred, Discharged, Successful Completion	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	N/A	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	1%
Total		61	53	13	56	56	21	15	4	43	N/A	42	25	15	34	25	10	60	32	565	

*Data from Suffolk County is only for July and August 2012.

**Status for clients discharged due to a new arrest/violation is prior to arrest/violation.

July 2012 through September 2012 Track I Discharges: Program Participation Status at CRTF Discharge** (cont'd)

		County																		Total	
		Albany	Broome	Dutch- ess	Erie	Kings	Monroe	Nassau	Nia- gara	Oneida	Onon- daga	Orange	Renss- elaer	Rock- land	Schen- ectady	Suf- folk*	Ulster	New York	West- chester	n	%
Soc Serv Asst	No Need	7	7	1	4	19	1	1	0	2	N/A	2	18	3	8	5	7	20	0	105	19%
	Referred	0	0	1	5	1	0	0	0	1	N/A	0	3	1	1	0	0	3	3	19	3%
	Applied- Pending	0	0	1	9	3	0	0	0	3	N/A	8	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	27	5%
	Denied - Not Eligible	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	N/A	1	1	2	1	1	0	0	0	8	1%
	Denied - DSS Sanction	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	11	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	14	2%
	Receiving Services	53	46	10	36	33	20	14	4	25	N/A	31	3	9	24	19	3	33	29	392	69%
Chem Dep Prog	Not Referred, No Need Identified	18	22	1	6	0	10	0	0	31	N/A	0	5	0	7	3	3	8	1	115	20%
	Not Referred, No Appropriate Program	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	2	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	7	1%
	Referred, On Waiting List	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	N/A	0	9	0	0	1	1	1	0	16	3%
	Referred, Client Declined Services	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	0	4	0	5	0	1	1	1	14	2%
	Referred, Client Deemed Ineligible	0	7	1	3	0	2	0	0	0	N/A	0	1	2	1	0	0	3	8	28	5%
	Referred, Other Non-Admission Reason	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	0	0	1	0	1	0	4	2	10	2%
	Referred, Currently Engaged	31	10	7	36	0	2	11	3	9	N/A	17	5	8	21	15	2	40	11	228	40%
	Referred, Discharged, Did Not Complete	9	1	1	2	44	6	4	0	2	N/A	9	0	3	0	3	3	2	2	91	16%
Referred, Discharged, Successful Completion	3	12	1	2	12	1	0	1	0	N/A	14	1	1	0	1	0	0	7	56	10%	
Sex Off Tx	Not Referred, No Need Identified	54	52	13	51	56	20	15	3	36	N/A	42	23	15	29	25	10	60	32	536	95%
	Not Referred, No Appropriate Program	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0%
	Referred, On Waiting List	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	N/A	0	2	0	4	0	0	0	0	7	1%
	Referred, Client Declined Services	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
	Referred, Client Deemed Ineligible	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0%
	Referred, Other Non-Admission Reason	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0%
	Referred, Currently Engaged	5	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	5	N/A	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	14	2%
	Referred, Discharged, Did Not Complete	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	1%
	Referred, Discharged, Successful Completion	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Total	61	53	13	56	56	21	15	4	43	N/A	42	25	15	34	25	10	60	32	565		

*Data from Suffolk County is only for July and August 2012.

**Status for clients discharged due to a new arrest/violation is prior to arrest/violation.

July 2012 through September 2012 Track I Discharges: Program Participation Status at CRTF Discharge (cont'd)**

		County																		Total		
		Albany	Broome	Dutch- ess	Erie	Kings	Monroe	Nassau	Nia- gara	Oneida	Onon- daga	Orange	Renss- elaer	Rock- land	Schen- ectady	Suf- folk*	Ulster	New York	West- chester	n	%	
Off Acct Prog	Not Referred, No Need Identified	58	23	13	38	55	17	0	0	32	N/A	37	25	11	28	13	2	48	28	428	76%	
	Not Referred, No Appropriate Program	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	1	0	0	6	0	0	0	1	11	2%	
	Referred, On Waiting List	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	0	10	2%	
	Referred, Client Declined Services	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	N/A	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	7	1%	
	Referred, Client Deemed Ineligible	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
	Referred, Other Non-Admission Reason	0	0	0	1	0	3	2	1	1	N/A	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	2	13	2%	
	Referred, Currently Engaged	2	3	0	11	0	0	9	0	7	N/A	1	0	3	0	9	0	5	1	51	9%	
	Referred, Discharged, Did Not Complete	0	1	0	2	1	1	4	2	1	N/A	1	0	1	0	2	3	0	0	19	3%	
	Referred, Discharged, Successful Completion	0	19	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	N/A	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	26	5%	
Cog Beh Int	Not Referred, No Need Identified	13	12	0	51	0	17	0	0	35	N/A	0	18	2	19	7	0	29	0	203	36%	
	Not Referred, No Appropriate Program	5	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	2	0	0	3	0	0	4	0	16	3%	
	Referred, On Waiting List	2	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	4	N/A	0	2	0	0	0	1	15	0	28	5%	
	Referred, Client Declined Services	9	1	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	N/A	0	4	0	0	0	1	1	4	23	4%	
	Referred, Client Deemed Ineligible	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	1%	
	Referred, Other Non-Admission Reason	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	3	20	4%	
	Referred, Currently Engaged	6	8	3	2	0	0	11	1	3	N/A	19	0	8	11	13	2	4	18	109	19%	
	Referred, Discharged, Did Not Complete	2	1	8	0	44	4	4	1	0	N/A	8	0	4	0	3	4	2	2	87	15%	
	Referred, Discharged, Successful Completion	11	27	0	0	12	0	0	0	0	N/A	13	0	1	1	2	2	1	5	75	13%	
Mentoring	Not Referred, No Need Identified	42	24	13	50	53	21	0	0	42	N/A	37	2	14	19	24	4	60	0	405	72%	
	Not Referred, No Appropriate Program	1	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	N/A	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	32	44	8%	
	Referred, On Waiting List	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0%	
	Referred, Client Declined Services	2	5	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	N/A	0	7	0	7	0	4	0	0	28	5%	
	Referred, Client Deemed Ineligible	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
	Referred, Other Non-Admission Reason	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	1%	
	Referred, Currently Engaged	12	5	0	3	0	0	11	0	0	N/A	2	13	0	3	0	0	0	0	49	9%	
	Referred, Discharged, Did Not Complete	0	1	0	0	2	0	4	1	0	N/A	1	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	12	2%	
	Referred, Discharged, Successful Completion	0	13	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	N/A	0	3	0	3	1	0	0	0	21	4%	
Total	61	53	13	56	56	21	15	4	43	N/A	42	25	15	34	25	10	60	32	565			

*Data from Suffolk County is only for July and August 2012.

**Status for clients discharged due to a new arrest/violation is prior to arrest/violation.

July 2012 through September 2012 Track I Discharges: Program Participation Status at CRTF Discharge (cont'd)**

		County																		Total	
		Albany	Broome	Dutch- ess	Erie	Kings	Monroe	Nassau	Nia- gara	Oneida	Onon- daga	Orange	Renss- elaer	Rock- land	Schen- ectady	Suf- folk*	Ulster	New York	West- chester	n	%
Anger Mgt	Not Referred, No Need Identified	53	25	2	25	49	8	11	3	42	N/A	2	24	10	29	21	4	32	11	351	62%
	Not Referred, No Appropriate Program	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	2	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	8	1%
	Referred, On Waiting List	0	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	N/A	0	0	0	0	1	3	6	0	16	3%
	Referred, Client Declined Services	2	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	10	2%
	Referred, Client Deemed Ineligible	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0%
	Referred, Other Non-Admission Reason	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	0	0	0	0	1	0	5	1	10	2%
	Referred, Currently Engaged	3	2	0	13	0	0	2	0	0	N/A	16	1	2	3	1	1	14	14	72	13%
	Referred, Discharged, Did Not Complete	1	0	5	4	6	8	2	1	0	N/A	9	0	2	0	0	0	1	2	41	7%
	Referred, Discharged, Successful Completion	0	22	4	7	1	5	0	0	0	N/A	13	0	1	0	1	0	0	2	56	10%
Mental Health Prog	Not Referred, No Need Identified	49	43	9	36	53	14	15	0	30	N/A	38	23	12	26	23	3	55	25	454	80%
	Not Referred, No Appropriate Program	0	3	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	N/A	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	8	1%
	Referred, On Waiting List	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	1%
	Referred, Client Declined Services	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	0	1	N/A	0	1	0	2	0	3	2	1	14	2%
	Referred, Client Deemed Ineligible	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0%
	Referred, Other Non-Admission Reason	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	0	0	1	0	1	0	2	0	4	1%
	Referred, Currently Engaged	10	3	3	15	0	3	0	3	9	N/A	1	0	1	5	1	1	1	5	61	11%
	Referred, Discharged, Did Not Complete	0	0	1	1	3	2	0	0	3	N/A	0	0	1	0	0	3	0	1	15	3%
	Referred, Discharged, Successful Completion	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	1%
Fam Supp Prog	Not Referred, No Need Identified	48	26	12	48	51	21	0	1	43	N/A	39	23	15	24	20	7	50	11	439	78%
	Not Referred, No Appropriate Program	0	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	4%
	Referred, On Waiting List	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	1%
	Referred, Client Declined Services	2	2	0	4	0	0	0	2	0	N/A	0	0	0	9	0	1	0	15	35	6%
	Referred, Client Deemed Ineligible	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
	Referred, Other Non-Admission Reason	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	7	1%
	Referred, Currently Engaged	10	4	0	3	0	0	10	1	0	N/A	0	0	0	1	0	1	8	3	41	7%
	Referred, Discharged, Did Not Complete	0	0	0	0	3	0	4	0	0	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	8	1%
	Referred, Discharged, Successful Completion	0	4	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	N/A	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	12	2%
Total	61	53	13	56	56	21	15	4	43	N/A	42	25	15	34	25	10	60	32	565		

*Data from Suffolk County is only for July and August 2012.

**Status for clients discharged due to a new arrest/violation is prior to arrest/violation.

July 2012 through September 2012 Track I Discharges: Program Participation Status at CRTF Discharge: All CRTFs

	Employment Program		Education/Vocational Program		Chemical Dependency Program		Sex Offender Tx Program		Offender Accountability Program		Cog Behavioral Intervention		Mentoring Program		Anger Management		Mental Health Tx Program		Family Support Program	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Total Discharges	565	100%	565	100%	565	100%	565	100%	565	100%	565	100%	565	100%	565	100%	565	100%	565	100%
Clients with No Need	144	25%	280	50%	115	20%	536	95%	428	76%	203	36%	405	72%	351	62%	454	80%	439	78%
Clients with Need	421	75%	285	50%	450	80%	29	5%	137	24%	362	64%	160	28%	214	38%	111	20%	126	22%
<i>Not Referred, No Appropriate Prog</i>	18	4%	15	5%	7	2%	1	3%	11	8%	16	4%	44	28%	8	4%	8	7%	18	15%
<i>Referred, On Waiting List</i>	29	7%	43	15%	16	4%	7	24%	10	7%	28	8%	2	1%	16	8%	4	4%	3	2%
<i>Referred, Client Declined Services</i>	61	15%	78	27%	14	3%	0	0%	7	5%	23	6%	28	18%	10	5%	14	13%	34	28%
<i>Referred, Client Deemed Ineligible</i>	14	3%	5	2%	28	6%	1	3%	0	0%	4	1%	0	0%	1	0%	2	2%	0	0%
<i>Referred, Other Non-Adm Reason</i>	34	8%	24	8%	10	2%	1	3%	13	9%	20	6%	4	3%	10	5%	4	4%	7	6%
<i>Referred, Currently Engaged</i>	124	30%	63	22%	228	51%	14	48%	51	37%	108	30%	49	31%	72	34%	61	55%	41	33%
<i>Referred, Did Not Complete</i>	78	19%	50	18%	91	20%	5	17%	19	14%	87	24%	12	8%	41	19%	15	14%	8	7%
<i>Referred, Successful Completion</i>	62	15%	6	2%	55	12%	0	0%	26	19%	73	20%	19	12%	54	25%	3	3%	12	10%
Clients With Need Subtotal	420	100%	284	100%	449	100%	29	100%	137	100%	359	100%	158	100%	212	100%	111	100%	123	100%

July 2012 through September 2012 Track I Discharges: Program Participation Status Categories Summary

	Employment Program		Education/Vocational Program		Chemical Dependency Program		Sex Offender Tx Program		Offender Accountability Program		Cog Behavioral Intervention		Mentoring Program		Anger Management		Mental Health Tx Program		Family Support Program	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Total Clients with Need	420	100%	284	100%	449	100%	29	100%	137	100%	359	100%	158	100%	212	100%	111	100%	123	100%
No Appropriate Program	18	4%	15	5%	7	2%	1	3%	11	8%	16	4%	44	28%	8	4%	8	7%	18	15%
Client on Waiting List	29	7%	43	15%	16	4%	7	24%	10	7%	28	8%	2	1%	16	8%	4	4%	3	2%
Client Declined Services	61	15%	78	27%	14	3%	0	0%	7	5%	23	6%	28	18%	10	5%	14	13%	34	28%
Other*	48	11%	29	10%	38	8%	2	7%	13	9%	24	7%	4	3%	11	5%	6	5%	7	6%
Client Was Enrolled*	264	63%	119	42%	374	83%	19	66%	96	70%	268	75%	80	51%	167	79%	79	71%	61	50%
<i>Successfully Completed</i>	62	23%	6	5%	55	15%	0	0%	26	27%	73	27%	19	24%	54	32%	3	4%	12	20%
<i>Currently Engaged</i>	124	47%	63	53%	228	61%	14	74%	51	53%	108	40%	49	61%	72	43%	61	77%	41	67%
<i>Did Not Complete</i>	78	30%	50	42%	91	24%	5	26%	19	20%	87	32%	12	15%	41	25%	15	19%	8	13%
Client Was Enrolled Subtotal	264	100%	119	100%	374	100%	19	100%	96	100%	268	100%	80	100%	167	100%	79	100%	61	100%

*Other includes Client Deemed Ineligible and Other Non-Admission Reason. Client Was Enrolled includes Currently Engaged, Failed to Complete, and Successful Completion.

July 2012 through September 2012 Track I Discharges: Employment Status**

County	Unemployable		Not Employed		Employed P/T		Employed F/T		Total
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
Albany	10	16%	11	18%	24	39%	16	26%	61
Broome	3	6%	18	34%	20	38%	12	23%	53
Dutchess	4	31%	7	54%	0	0%	2	15%	13
Erie	1	2%	41	73%	6	11%	8	14%	56
Kings	1	2%	40	71%	7	13%	8	14%	56
Monroe	1	5%	15	71%	4	19%	1	5%	21
Nassau	0	0%	6	40%	7	47%	2	13%	15
Niagara	1	25%	3	75%	0	0%	0	0%	4
Oneida	7	16%	32	74%	2	5%	2	5%	43
Onondaga	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Orange	0	0%	25	60%	11	26%	6	14%	42
Rensselaer	1	4%	16	64%	0	0%	8	32%	25
Rockland	0	0%	14	93%	0	0%	1	7%	15
Schenectady	9	26%	14	41%	2	6%	9	26%	34
Suffolk*	4	16%	13	52%	4	16%	4	16%	25
Ulster	0	0%	3	30%	5	50%	2	20%	10
New York	2	3%	31	52%	16	27%	11	18%	60
Westchester	6	19%	17	53%	0	0%	9	28%	32
Total	50	9%	306	54%	108	19%	101	18%	565

*Data from Suffolk County is only for July and August 2012.

**Employment Status is the highest level of employment obtained during CRTF enrollment.

July 2012 through September 2012 Track I Discharges: Days in CRTF

County	<45 days		45-89 days		90+ days		Total
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
Albany	0	0%	5	8%	56	92%	61
Broome	0	0%	4	8%	49	92%	53
Dutchess	2	15%	3	23%	8	62%	13
Erie	24	43%	17	30%	15	27%	56
Kings	21	38%	11	20%	24	43%	56
Monroe	5	24%	3	14%	13	62%	21
Nassau	0	0%	2	13%	13	87%	15
Niagara	2	50%	0	0%	2	50%	4
Oneida	4	9%	3	7%	36	84%	43
Onondaga	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Orange	6	14%	17	40%	19	45%	42
Rensselaer	16	64%	7	28%	2	8%	25
Rockland	2	13%	3	20%	10	67%	15
Schenectady	2	6%	3	9%	29	85%	34
Suffolk*	1	4%	6	24%	18	72%	25
Ulster	1	10%	1	10%	8	80%	10
New York	5	8%	38	63%	17	28%	60
Westchester	2	6%	3	9%	27	84%	32
Total	93	16%	126	22%	346	61%	565

*Data from Suffolk County is only for July and August 2012.