County Re-entry Task Force Program Activity Report: July 2013 – December 2013

Data provided by County Re-entry Task Forces to the New York State Division of Criminal Justice Services, Office of Justice Research and Performance as of March 13, 2014

For the Following Counties: Albany, Bronx, Broome, Dutchess, Erie, Kings, Manhattan, Monroe, Nassau, Niagara, Oneida, Onondaga, Orange, Rensselaer, Rockland, Schenectady, Suffolk, Ulster, and Westchester

This report provides information on CRTF activity during the 2013-2014 contract year, including information on Track I clients, the moderate to high-risk prison releases served by the CRTFs.

This project was supported by Grant No. 2013-30042-NY-BJ awarded by the Bureau of Justice Statistics, Office of Justice Programs, U.S. Department of Justice. Points of view in this document are those of the author and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the U.S. Department of Justice.

Division of Criminal Justice Services

Office of Justice Research and Performance


CRTF Track I (T1) Admissions, July 2013 – December 2013

There were 1,906 Track I clients admitted to the CRTFs during the first six months of the 2013-2014 contract year. Overall, the CRTFs met 95% of their Track I intake goal for this period, with more than half of the CRTFs exceeding their individual goals.


	Estimated							T1 6 Mon	th Intakes
County	6 Month Target	Jul-13	Aug-13	Sep-13	Oct-13	Nov-13	Dec-13	n	% of Est. 6 Month Target
Albany	123	8	11	5	9	20	8	61	50%
Bronx	63	4	5	12	6	4	6	37	59%
Broome	64	18	12	14	17	17	15	93	146%
Dutchess	57	17	13	9	10	12	10	71	125%
Erie	230	30	28	39	48	28	38	211	92%
Kings	125	43	25	27	35	24	33	187	150%
Manhattan	125	17	21	11	36	33	21	139	111%
Monroe	221	33	56	37	33	36	27	222	100%
Nassau	104	13	19	28	19	16	16	111	107%
Niagara	63	13	6	6	8	7	10	50	80%
Oneida	72	20	14	20	12	10	14	90	126%
Onondaga	169	33	20	23	21	20	26	143	85%
Orange	88	14	17	12	18	14	9	84	95%
Rensselaer	50	9	5	14	9	6	9	52	104%
Rockland*	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Schenectady	74	7	0	4	10	7	6	34	46%
Suffolk	228	39	23	20	24	26	24	156	69%
Ulster	63	9	13	15	12	11	8	68	109%
Westchester	88	14	12	21	19	20	11	97	111%
Total	2,003	341	300	317	346	311	291	1,906	95%

^{*}Rockland County was not active until the end of 2013. They did not record their first intakes of the Contract Year until January 2014 and, therefore, are not included in subsequent tables.

CRTF Track I Admissions, July 2013 – December 2013 (cont'd)




➤ 25% of Track I clients admitted to the CRTFs were under 25 years old. For county specific Track I client age information, see page 10.




> 7% of Track I clients were female. For county specific Track I client sex information, see page 10.

CRTF Track I Admissions, July 2013 – December 2013 (cont'd)




Nearly all (97%) Track I clients were moderate to high risk offenders (92%) or sex offenders (5%). For county-level Track I population information, see page 11.




- Nearly all (97%) of Track I clients were on parole when admitted to the CRTFs. County-level information can be found on page 13.
- ➤ Of Track I admissions on parole, case conferences were held for 1,438 (76%). County-level information can be found on page 13.

CRTF Track I Admissions, July 2013 – December 2013 (cont'd)




The needs most commonly identified by the CRTFs for Track I clients were employment programming (83%), social services (82%), and chemical dependency treatment (80%). This is consistent with findings from the previous contract year. See pages 14-15 for additional county specific information.

CRTF Track I Discharges, July 2013 – December 2013




➤ Between July 2013 and December 2013, 1,598 clients were discharged from the CRTFs. 1,061 (66%) successfully completed CRTF programs. 141 (9%) voluntarily discontinued their involvement in the program. 358 (23%) were discharged by CRTFs due to a new arrest or parole violation (as reported by the CRTFs). County-level data can be found on page 16.

CRTF Track I Discharges, July 2013 – December 2013 (cont'd)



➤ 1,408 (90%) of the clients discharged spent at least 45 days in the CRTFs, with 1,085 (68%) enrolled more than 90 days. See page 25 for county specific information.




➤ 455 (29%) clients discharged from the CRTFs were employed while in the CRTFs. 112 (7%) clients were reported as unemployable. County-level employment data is available on page 24.

Program Participation among Track I Discharges*, July 2013 – December 2013

County specific data regarding program participation is located on pages 17-21.

- ➤ 1,321 clients discharged during this time had a need for social services assistance assessed at intake. Of these, 1,077 (82%) had obtained social services assistance prior to discharge.
- ▶ 927 clients discharged during this time period had a need for housing assistance assessed at intake. Of these, 469 (51%) were in a private residence at discharge.

The following chart shows the proportion of clients who either successfully completed or were still engaged in a program at discharge for the other identified needs.




^{*}For clients who were discharged due to a new arrest or parole violation, program participation status reported by the CRTF is prior to the client's arrest/violation.

Service Gaps among Track I Discharges, July 2013 - December 2013

In addition to information on housing and social service utilization, needs assessment information is reported for 11 program areas. For each program area, the CRTFs record whether an assessment is conducted as well as the outcome of the assessment. The majority of Track I clients were assessed for all needs areas. Data by county is on pages 14-15.

The following chart shows the proportion of clients who did not have their need met while engaged in the CRTF because an appropriate program does not exist in that county or the client was placed on a waiting list.



- The needs areas with the largest proportion of Track I clients who did not get their need met due to lack of an appropriate program were Mentoring (29% of clients with an assessed need) and Sex Offender Treatment (15%). See pages 17-21 for county specific information.
- Needs areas with the largest proportion of Track I clients still on the waiting list for a program at discharge were Cognitive Behavioral Intervention (9%), Education/Vocational Services, Employment Services, and Mental Health Services (7% each). See pages 17-21 for county level data.

July 2013 through December 2013 Track I Intakes: Age and Sex

		_									
	17 &	under	18-24	years	25-34	years	35-49	years	50+ <u>y</u>	years	Total
County	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
Albany	1	2%	17	28%	19	31%	16	26%	8	13%	61
Bronx	0	0%	15	41%	10	27%	11	30%	1	3%	37
Broome	1	1%	9	10%	46	49%	25	27%	12	13%	93
Dutchess	1	1%	16	23%	28	39%	18	25%	8	11%	71
Erie	0	0%	43	20%	96	45%	57	27%	15	7%	211
Kings	1	1%	56	30%	78	42%	41	22%	11	6%	187
Manhattan	0	0%	51	37%	53	38%	24	17%	11	8%	139
Monroe	0	0%	67	30%	81	36%	56	25%	18	8%	222
Nassau	0	0%	16	14%	57	51%	22	20%	16	14%	111
Niagara	0	0%	4	8%	26	52%	12	24%	8	16%	50
Oneida	0	0%	15	17%	35	39%	34	38%	6	7%	90
Onondaga	2	1%	58	41%	46	32%	31	22%	6	4%	143
Orange	1	1%	16	19%	30	36%	29	35%	8	10%	84
Rensselaer	0	0%	11	21%	19	37%	21	40%	1	2%	52
Schenectady	0	0%	7	21%	12	35%	8	24%	7	21%	34
Suffolk	0	0%	26	17%	55	35%	47	30%	28	18%	156
Ulster	0	0%	15	22%	23	34%	20	29%	10	15%	68
Westchester	0	0%	29	30%	27	28%	31	32%	10	10%	97
Total	7	< 1%	471	25%	741	39%	503	26%	184	10%	1,906

	Ma	ale	Fen	nale	Unkı	nown	Total
County	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
Albany	57	93%	3	5%	1	2%	61
Bronx	34	92%	2	5%	1	3%	37
Broome	76	82%	15	16%	2	2%	93
Dutchess	57	80%	6	8%	8	11%	71
Erie	188	89%	21	10%	2	1%	211
Kings	177	95%	7	4%	3	2%	187
Manhattan	129	93%	5	4%	5	4%	139
Monroe	208	94%	10	5%	4	2%	222
Nassau	110	99%	1	1%	0	0%	111
Niagara	44	88%	3	6%	3	6%	50
Oneida	87	97%	3	3%	0	0%	90
Onondaga	134	94%	8	6%	1	1%	143
Orange	80	95%	3	4%	1	1%	84
Rensselaer	41	79%	11	21%	0	0%	52
Schenectady	32	94%	1	3%	1	3%	34
Suffolk	146	94%	10	6%	0	0%	156
Ulster	56	82%	11	16%	1	1%	68
Westchester	88	91%	6	6%	3	3%	97
Total	1,744	92%	126	7%	36	2%	1,906

July 2013 through December 2013 Track I Intakes: Population Composition

		e to High sk	Sex Of	fender		with High	Juv	enile	Impair Severe			mum ation	Total
County	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
Albany	58	95%	2	3%	1	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	61
Bronx	36	97%	0	0%	1	3%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	37
Broome	70	75%	16	17%	6	6%	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	93
Dutchess	65	92%	3	4%	3	4%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	71
Erie	192	91%	9	4%	9	4%	0	0%	1	0%	0	0%	211
Kings	187	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	187
Manhattan	137	99%	0	0%	1	1%	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	139
Monroe	204	92%	14	6%	3	1%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	222
Nassau	111	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	111
Niagara	41	82%	6	12%	1	2%	1	2%	0	0%	1	2%	50
Oneida	74	82%	16	18%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	90
Onondaga	134	94%	6	4%	2	1%	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	143
Orange	80	95%	4	5%	0	0	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	84
Rensselaer	38	73%	3	6%	8	15%	0	0%	3	6%	0	0%	52
Schenectady	31	91%	2	6%	1	3%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	34
Suffolk	129	83%	22	14%	4	3%	0	0%	1	1%	0	0%	156
Ulster	65	96%	0	0%	3	4%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	68
Westchester	92	95%	0	0%	4	4%	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	97
Total	1,744	92%	103	5%	47	2%	5	<1%	5	<1%	2	<1%	1,906

July 2013 through December 2013 Track I Intakes: Time between DOCCS Release and CRTF Intake

	7days	or less	8-30	days	31-60) days	61-9() days	91-36	5 days	More the		Unk	nown	Total
County	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
Albany	31	51%	18	30%	4	7%	3	5%	3	5%	1	2%	1	2%	61
Bronx	11	30%	11	30%	9	24%	3	8%	2	5%	1	3%	0	0%	37
Broome	26	28%	60	65%	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%	5	5%	0	0%	93
Dutchess	30	42%	17	24%	2	3%	4	6%	8	11%	2	3%	8	11%	71
Erie	125	59%	72	34%	11	5%	1	0%	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	211
Kings	19	10%	71	38%	13	7%	5	3%	47	25%	31	17%	1	1%	187
Manhattan	40	29%	69	50%	6	4%	4	3%	15	11%	5	4%	0	0%	139
Monroe	59	27%	84	38%	29	13%	9	4%	22	10%	19	9%	0	0%	222
Nassau	104	94%	6	5%	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	111
Niagara	38	76%	8	16%	1	2%	0	0%	1	2%	2	4%	0	0%	50
Oneida	67	74%	17	19%	2	2%	2	2%	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	90
Onondaga	137	96%	4	3%	1	1%	0	0%	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	143
Orange	73	87%	6	7%	3	4%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	1	1%	84
Rensselaer	25	48%	13	25%	2	4%	3	6%	6	12%	3	6%	0	0%	52
Schenectady	11	32%	13	38%	4	12%	0	0%	5	15%	1	3%	0	0%	34
Suffolk	54	35%	53	34%	18	12%	2	1%	19	12%	10	6%	0	0%	156
Ulster	26	38%	31	46%	7	10%	0	0%	4	6%	0	0%	0	0%	68
Westchester	29	30%	37	38%	17	18%	7	7%	5	5%	2	2%	0	0%	97
Total	905	47%	590	31%	130	7%	43	2%	144	8%	83	4%	11	1%	1,906

July 2013 through December 2013 Track I Intakes: Supervision Status and Case Conference Status

Country	On P	arole	Max	Ехр	Total
County	n	%	n	%	n
Albany	61	100%	0	0%	61
Bronx	37	100%	0	0%	37
Broome	93	100%	0	0%	93
Dutchess	70	99%	1	1%	71
Erie	211	100%	0	0%	211
Kings	187	100%	0	0%	187
Manhattan	139	100%	0	0%	139
Monroe	218	98%	4	2%	222
Nassau	87	78%	24	22%	111
Niagara	49	98%	1	2%	50
Oneida	90	100%	0	0%	90
Onondaga	121	85%	22	15%	143
Orange	84	100%	0	0%	84
Rensselaer	52	100%	0	0%	52
Schenectady	24	71%	10	29%	34
Suffolk	156	100%	0	0%	156
Ulster	65	96%	3	4%	68
Westchester	97	100%	0	0%	97
Total	1,840	97%	65	3%	1,906

				e, no case	Not on pa	1	-	arole, no	
	On Parole	, case conf	co	nf	co	nf	case	conf	Total
County	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
Albany	61	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	61
Bronx	13	35%	24	65%	0	0%	0	0%	37
Broome	93	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	93
Dutchess	18	25%	53	75%	0	0%	0	0%	71
Erie	203	96%	1	0%	0	0%	7	3%	211
Kings	187	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	187
Manhattan	101	73%	38	27%	0	0%	0	0%	139
Monroe	38	17%	180	81%	0	0%	4	2%	222
Nassau	111	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	111
Niagara	46	92%	3	6%	1	2%	0	0%	50
Oneida	74	82%	15	17%	1	1%	0	0%	90
Onondaga	143	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	143
Orange	49	58%	35	42%	0	0%	0	0%	84
Rensselaer	50	96%	0	0%	2	4%	0	0%	52
Schenectady	16	47%	18	53%	0	0%	0	0%	34
Suffolk	70	45%	86	55%	0	0%	0	0%	156
Ulster	68	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0	68
Westchester	97	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	97
Total	1,438	75%	453	24%	4	< 1%	11	1%	1,906

July 2013 through December 2013 Track I Intakes: Service Needs

										Cour	nty									To	otal
		Albany	Bronx	Broome	Dutchess	Erie	Kings	Manhattan	Monroe	Nassau	Niagara	Oneida	Onondaga	Orange	Rensselaer	Schen- ectady	Suffolk	Ulster	West- chester	n	%
gu	Need	40	7	50	34	66	9	20	74	78	43	74	75	51	21	14	99	44	39	838	44%
Housing	No Need	21	29	43	37	145	178	101	148	33	7	16	68	30	31	20	56	24	58	1,045	55%
H	Not Assessed	0	1	0	0	0	0	18	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	1	0	0	23	1%
oy	Need	53	28	70	68	178	150	108	129	111	47	85	129	75	46	27	115	63	92	1,574	83%
Employ	No Need	8	4	23	3	33	37	6	93	0	3	5	14	6	6	7	26	5	5	284	15%
至	Not Assessed	0	5	0	0	0	0	25	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	15	0	0	48	3%
၁	Need	38	29	38	44	182	180	84	60	111	30	60	96	61	16	16	107	38	59	1,249	66%
Ed/Voc	No Need	23	3	55	27	29	7	29	162	0	20	30	47	20	34	18	47	29	38	618	32%
	Not Assessed	0	5	0	0	0	0	26	0	0	0	0	0	3	2	0	2	1	0	39	2%
erv	Need	54	15	91	53	211	72	95	143	111	47	84	143	80	44	24	135	60	95	1,557	82%
Soc Serv Assist	No Need	7	18	2	18	0	115	19	79	0	3	6	0	1	8	10	20	8	2	316	17%
Ĭ	Not Assessed	0	4	0	0	0	0	25	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	1	0	0	33	2%
Chem Dep Tx	Need	36	23	89	41	200	187	89	111	111	46	48	131	76	42	29	130	57	87	1,533	80%
lem]	No Need	25	10	4	30	11	0	25	110	0	4	42	12	5	10	5	23	11	10	337	18%
	Not Assessed	0	4	0	0	0	0	25	1	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	3	0	0	36	2%
Sex Off Tx	Need	2	0	16	3	11	0	0	8	13	10	17	10	0	5	3	23	1	0	122	6%
K Of	No Need	59	37	76	68	200	187	114	214	98	40	73	133	78	47	31	132	67	97	1,751	92%
	Not Assessed	0	0	1	0	0	0	25	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	1	0	0	33	2%
Off Acct Prog	Need	11	5	28	1	16	2	12	41	109	44	14	66	9	8	3	85	25	10	489	26%
ff A Pro	No Need	50	32	65	70	194	185	98	181	2	6	76	77	71	44	25	59	43	87	1,365	72%
0	Not Assessed	0	0	0	0	1	0	29	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	6	12	0	0	52	3%
	Total	61	37	93	71	211	187	139	222	111	50	90	143	84	52	34	156	68	97	1,906	100%

July 2013 through December 2013 Track I Intakes: Service Needs (con't)

										Coun	ty									То	tal
		Albany	Bronx	Broome	Dutchess	Erie	Kings	Manhattan	Monroe	Nassau	Niagara	Oneida	Onondaga	Orange	Rensselaer	Schenectady	Suffolk	Ulster	Westchester	n	%
ı Int	Need	3	16	92	67	86	187	51	60	111	35	47	111	79	40	8	90	44	97	1,224	64%
Cog Beh Int	No Need	58	18	1	4	125	0	74	162	0	15	43	32	2	12	25	61	24	0	656	34%
သိ	Not Assessed	0	3	0	0	0	0	14	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	1	5	0	0	26	1%
tor	Need	53	5	74	8	40	12	9	0	111	36	0	28	7	52	8	33	65	97	638	33%
Mentor	No Need	8	31	19	63	171	175	105	222	0	14	89	115	74	0	20	119	3	0	1,228	64%
	Not Assessed	0	1	0	0	0	0	25	0	0	0	1	0	3	0	6	4	0	0	40	2%
Mgt	Need	3	25	61	32	41	67	75	85	65	11	6	62	64	5	9	45	28	65	749	39%
Anger Mgt	No Need	58	8	32	39	170	120	64	137	45	39	84	81	17	47	22	106	40	32	1,141	60%
Ar	Not Assessed	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	3	0	3	5	0	0	16	1%
al Tx	Need	13	8	38	20	33	19	21	44	11	15	33	29	15	12	23	43	54	40	471	25%
Mental Health Tx	No Need	48	28	55	51	178	168	92	178	100	35	57	114	65	40	10	111	14	57	1,401	74%
H	Not Assessed	0	1	0	0	0	0	26	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	1	2	0	0	34	2%
ddr	Need	11	1	29	1	132	4	9	33	111	36	0	102	9	21	13	13	48	47	620	33%
Fam Supp	No Need	50	36	64	70	79	183	105	189	0	14	89	41	71	31	20	139	20	50	1,251	66%
Fa	Not Assessed	0	0	0	0	0	0	25	0	0	0	1	0	4	0	1	4	0	0	35	2%
80	Need	0	0	9	0	4	0	3	1	6	4	0	3	1	0	0	4	1	2	38	2%
Vets Prog	No Need	61	37	84	71	207	187	111	221	105	46	89	140	80	52	34	151	67	95	1,838	96%
>	Not Assessed	0	0	0	0	0	0	25	0	0	0	1	0	3	0	0	1	0	0	30	2%
	Total	61	37	93	71	211	187	139	222	111	50	90	143	84	52	34	156	68	97	1,906	100%

July 2013 through December 2013 Track I Discharges: Discharge Reasons

County	Succe Discl		Volun Discon	tarily tinued	New A	Arrest	Viol	ation	Dece	eased	Transfe other CI	erred to RTF area		red/moved RTF area	Total
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
Albany	34	58%	2	3%	5	8%	16	27%	0	0%	2	3%	0	0%	59
Bronx	93	86%	0	0%	5	5%	10	9%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	108
Broome	62	71%	0	0%	2	2%	23	26%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	87
Dutchess	37	90%	0	0%	0	0%	2	5%	1	2%	0	0%	1	2%	41
Erie	159	79%	0	0%	15	7%	26	13%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%	201
Kings	87	47%	82	44%	0	0%	11	6%	0	0%	5	3%	2	1%	187
Manhattan	70	63%	13	12%	13	12%	10	9%	0	0%	2	2%	3	3%	111
Monroe	43	33%	27	21%	7	5%	52	40%	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%	131
Nassau	15	56%	2	7%	0	0%	9	33%	0	0%	0	0%	1	4%	27
Niagara	12	50%	1	4%	3	13%	7	29%	0	0%	0	0%	1	4%	24
Oneida	74	84%	0	0%	4	5%	8	9%	0	0%	2	2%	0	0%	88
Onondaga	111	79%	0	0%	11	8%	18	13%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	140
Orange	37	67%	0	0%	0	0%	15	27%	1	2%	2	4%	0	0%	55
Rensselaer	33	66%	1	2%	2	4%	9	18%	0	0%	4	8%	1	2%	50
Schenectady	20	45%	0	0%	0	0%	20	45%	0	0%	1	2%	3	7%	44
Suffolk	70	69%	5	5%	2	2%	25	25%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	102
Ulster	40	66%	8	13%	2	3%	10	16%	0	0%	0	0%	1	2%	61
Westchester	64	78%	0	0%	6	7%	10	12%	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%	82
Total	1061	66%	141	9%	77	5%	281	18%	2	0%	18	1%	18	1%	1598

July 2013 through December 2013 Track I Discharges: Program Participation Status at CRTF Discharge*

										Cour	nty									Tot	tal
		Albany	Bronx	Broome	Dutchess	Erie	Kings	Man- hattan	Monroe	Nassau	Niagara	Oneida	Onon- daga	Orange	Rens- selaer	Schen- ectady	Suffolk	Ulster	West- chester	n	%
	No Need	21	90	20	27	67	169	64	54	9	0	5	74	11	4	5	9	4	38	671	42%
	Halfway House	1	0	0	0	2	0	12	0	6	0	0	2	8	3	2	24	0	1	61	4%
	Residential Treatment Program	4	0	2	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	3	2	4	0	8	0	0	28	2%
ng	Parole Resid Stabilization Prog	5	0	9	1	10	0	3	12	0	0	0	14	0	0	1	6	0	0	61	4%
Housing	Parole Community Based Resid Prog	3	14	7	0	31	0	4	19	12	0	0	0	1	0	10	1	0	16	118	7%
H0	Shelter- Housing Only	3	1	3	3	7	0	0	16	0	1	0	22	1	3	2	20	4	0	86	5%
	Shelter that includes ancillary services	2	0	2	1	2	11	6	4	0	8	5	7	1	0	0	6	13	17	85	5%
	Hotel/motel	1	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	3	0	8	0	19	1%
	Private Residence	19	3	39	9	82	7	17	26	0	14	78	17	31	36	21	28	32	10	469	29%
	Not Referred, No Need Identified	24	16	44	1	19	32	16	58	0	0	4	52	37	3	12	32	7	1	358	22%
	Not Referred, No Appropriate Program	0	0	1	0	1	0	2	2	0	0	1	0	2	0	1	0	2	0	12	1%
5.0	Not Referred, Other Reason	20	48	11	0	53	47	33	6	3	5	8	9	0	10	15	0	8	20	296	19%
Pro	Referred, On Waiting List	0	0	1	0	5	0	1	10	0	1	0	0	15	1	1	36	5	6	82	5%
Employment Prog	Referred, Client Declined Services	0	32	4	0	1	53	27	4	0	0	0	21	0	31	0	16	14	4	207	13%
me	Referred, Client Deemed Ineligible	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	7	3	0	17	<1%
loy	Referred, Other Non-Admission Reason	2	0	1	0	75	3	5	3	0	0	16	2	0	0	6	0	5	2	120	8%
du	Referred, Currently Engaged	5	12	18	1	47	5	27	22	0	0	9	40	0	1	2	3	5	48	245	15%
国	Referred, Discharged, Did Not Complete	3	0	2	1	0	26	0	20	12	2	7	14	1	0	4	8	6	0	106	7%
	Referred, Discharged, Successful Completion	2	0	5	38	0	21	0	1	12	13	42	2	0	4	3	0	6	1	150	9%
	Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	<1%
	Not Referred, No Need Identified	23	27	58	37	43	4	47	100	0	1	54	107	39	29	16	25	19	37	666	42%
	Not Referred, No Appropriate Program	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	1	2	1	0	11	1%
0.0	Not Referred, Other Reason	21	48	10	0	43	26	21	12	0	0	2	4	12	6	15	45	12	8	285	18%
ro Lo	Referred, On Waiting List	0	0	2	1	5	0	3	3	0	0	6	2	2	0	1	19	8	11	63	4%
2	Referred, Client Declined Services	0	23	1	0	5	107	18	2	0	3	8	7	0	13	0	5	14	11	217	14%
Ed/Voc Prog	Referred, Client Deemed Ineligible	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	3	<1%
呂	Referred, Other Non-Admission Reason	4	0	0	1	71	3	4	5	0	0	1	0	0	0	4	3	1	2	99	6%
	Referred, Currently Engaged	6	9	14	2	32	21	15	3	1	2	17	11	0	1	5	3	3	12	157	10% 5%
					-			_	-						-						1%
				_				_					_								<1%
			Ü	_			,	-	-						_			_		· ·	\1 /U
	Referred, Discharged, Did Not Complete Referred, Discharged, Successful Completion Unknown Total	5 0 0 59	0 0 0 108	0 1 0 87	0 0 0 41	1 0 0 201	22 4 0 187	1 1 0 111	0 1 4 131	15 11 0 27	16 1 0 24	0 0 0 88	8 1 0 140	0 0 0 55	1 0 0 50	2 0 0 44	0 0 0 102	1 0 0 61	1 0 0 82	73 20 4 1,598	

^{*}Program participation status for clients discharged due to a new arrest/violation is their status prior to the arrest/violation.

July 2013 through December 2013 Track I Discharges: Program Participation Status at CRTF Discharge* (cont'd)

										Cour	nty									Tot	tal
		Albany	Bronx	Broome	Dutchess	Erie	Kings	Man- hattan	Monroe	Nassau	Niagara	Oneida	Onondaga	Orange	Rens- selaer	Schen- ectady	Suffolk	Ulster	West- chester	n	%
	No Need	9	54	10	1	6	37	19	82	1	0	9	3	6	12	14	6	8	0	277	17%
	Referred, Did Not Apply	7	6	0	0	5	12	13	1	0	0	0	27	0	7	12	9	5	2	106	7%
Asst	Applied- Pending	10	1	1	0	4	12	7	10	0	1	3	26	0	0	2	18	4	3	102	6%
Soc Serv	Denied - Not Eligible	1	0	3	0	2	0	0	3	0	0	4	2	1	0	0	2	4	1	23	1%
Soc	Denied - DSS Sanction	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	7	<1%
	Receiving Services	31	47	73	40	183	125	72	30	26	23	70	82	47	30	15	67	40	76	1,077	67%
	Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	<1%
	Not Referred, No Need Identified	29	44	6	11	8	1	29	72	0	0	47	50	2	12	7	14	8	8	348	22%
	Not Referred, No Appropriate Program	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
	Not Referred, Other Reason	6	3	1	0	3	0	13	7	0	0	0	1	2	15	4	5	1	1	62	4%
rog	Referred, On Waiting List	0	0	1	0	0	0	3	4	0	0	2	1	0	1	0	9	9	0	30	2%
 Jeb F	Referred, Client Declined Services	0	6	2	0	1	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	2	2	22	1%
Chem Dep Prog	Referred, Client Deemed Ineligible	1	0	8	0	14	0	3	2	0	1	2	1	0	0	0	1	1	11	45	3%
CP	Referred, Other Non-Admission Reason	4	0	1	0	25	0	5	15	0	1	0	3	0	0	5	2	3	4	68	4%
	Referred, Currently Engaged	12	51	49	13	124	0	50	23	15	5	33	59	18	14	8	64	35	51	624	39%
	Referred, Discharged, Did Not Complete	7	4	7	5	14	148	2	4	12	14	4	24	16	5	13	6	1	2	288	18%
	Referred, Discharged, Successful Completion	0	0	12	12	12	38	0	1	0	3	0	1	17	1	6	1	1	3	108	7%
	Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	<1%
	Total	59	108	87	41	201	187	111	131	27	24	88	140	55	50	44	102	61	82	1,598	

^{*}Program participation status for clients discharged due to a new arrest/violation is their status prior to the arrest/violation.

July 2013 through December 2013 Track I Discharges: Program Participation Status at CRTF Discharge* (cont'd)

										Cour	nty									Tot	tal
		Albany	Bronx	Broome	Dutchess	Erie	Kings	Man- hattan	Monroe	Nassau	Niagara	Oneida	Onondaga	Orange	Rens- selaer	Schen- ectady	Suffolk	Ulster	West- chester	n	%
	Not Referred, No Need Identified	52	108	70	40	178	187	109	123	27	22	67	129	55	45	38	90	59	82	1481	93%
	Not Referred, No Appropriate Program	1	0	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	17	1%
	Not Referred, Other Reason	3	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	3	1	4	1	0	16	1%
<u>بر</u>	Referred, On Waiting List	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	<1%
Sex Off Tx	Referred, Client Declined Services	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
ex O	Referred, Client Deemed Ineligible	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	<1%
Š	Referred, Other Non-Admission Reason	3	0	0	0	5	0	1	2	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	1%
	Referred, Currently Engaged	0	0	0	1	13	0	0	2	0	1	17	8	0	2	0	6	0	0	50	3%
	Referred, Discharged, Did Not Complete	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	5	2	0	0	12	1%
	Referred, Discharged, Successful Completion	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	<1%
	Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	<1%
	Not Referred, No Need Identified	54	104	53	41	104	187	103	125	0	0	79	94	51	37	39	19	39	78	1207	76%
	Not Referred, No Appropriate Program	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	36	1	0	37	2%
	Not Referred, Other Reason	3	1	1	0	5	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	12	1	28	2	0	56	4%
05 80	Referred, On Waiting List	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	2	0	0	1	0	1	11	0	17	1%
Off Acct Prog	Referred, Client Declined Services	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	4	0	0	0	1	3	3	18	1%
Ac	Referred, Client Deemed Ineligible	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	<1%
Off	Referred, Other Non-Admission Reason	1	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	12	1%
	Referred, Currently Engaged	1	3	6	0	67	0	3	0	13	2	6	16	2	0	0	13	2	1	135	8%
	Referred, Discharged, Did Not Complete	0	0	6	0	10	0	0	4	12	14	1	17	1	0	2	4	0	0	71	4%
	Referred, Discharged, Successful Completion	0	0	21	0	6	0	4	1	2	0	0	6	1	0	1	0	1	0	43	3%
	Total	59	108	87	41	201	187	111	131	27	24	88	140	55	50	44	102	61	82	1,598	

^{*}Program participation status for clients discharged due to a new arrest/violation is their status prior to the arrest/violation.

July 2013 through December 2013 Track I Discharges: Program Participation Status at CRTF Discharge* (cont'd)

									(Count	t y									Tot	tal
		Albany	Bronx	Broome	Dut chess	Erie	Kings	Man- hattan	Monroe	Nassau	Niagara	Oneida	Onon- daga	Orange	Rens- selaer	Schen- ectady	Suffolk	Ulster	West- chester	n	%
	Not Referred, No Need Identified	56	63	8	1	167	4	62	97	0	0	44	64	1	8	37	22	10	1	645	40%
	Not Referred, No Appropriate Program	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	6	0	65	2	0	77	5%
	Not Referred, Other Reason	0	44	1	0	9	0	7	6	0	0	1	17	1	29	1	9	2	1	128	8%
Int	Referred, On Waiting List	0	0	0	0	9	0	3	11	0	0	42	0	0	0	2	1	4	11	83	5%
eh	Referred, Client Declined Services	0	0	0	0	1	0	7	0	0	14	0	33	0	1	0	0	29	2	87	5%
Cog Beh Int	Referred, Client Deemed Ineligible	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	4	<1%
ည်	Referred, Other Non-Admission Reason	0	0	0	0	5	0	3	6	0	0	0	4	1	1	2	0	1	4	27	2%
	Referred, Currently Engaged	1	0	15	1	6	0	10	0	13	1	1	5	18	1	0	5	7	57	141	9%
	Referred, Discharged, Did Not Complete	1	0	15	0	3	145	9	4	12	9	0	14	16	4	2	0	2	2	238	15%
	Referred, Discharged, Successful Completion	0	0	48	39	1	38	10	4	2	0	0	1	18	0	0	0	0	4	165	10%
	Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	<1%
	Not Referred, No Need Identified	18	102	10	39	172	174	99	131	0	0	87	84	50	0	32	75	2	0	1,075	67%
	Not Referred, No Appropriate Program	0	0	57	0	1	0	4	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	9	0	80	153	10%
	Not Referred, Other Reason	2	5	5	1	4	0	1	0	0	0	0	52	3	1	4	5	2	2	87	5%
gu	Referred, On Waiting List	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	12	0	15	1%
Ori	Referred, Client Declined Services	30	0	0	0	10	2	2	0	0	9	0	0	0	35	0	1	24	0	113	7%
Mentoring	Referred, Client Deemed Ineligible	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Σ	Referred, Other Non-Admission Reason	1	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	5	2	0	0	18	1%
	Referred, Currently Engaged	3	1	4	0	6	0	5	0	4	1	1	0	1	7	3	7	21	0	64	4%
	Referred, Discharged, Did Not Complete	3	0	0	1	0	6	0	0	12	14	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	37	2%
	Referred, Discharged, Successful Completion	2	0	11	0	0	5	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	36	2%
	Not Referred, No Need Identified	55	59	28	20	123	130	62	110	9	21	85	99	4	49	34	70	46	21	1,025	64%
	Not Referred, No Appropriate Program	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	<1%
	Not Referred, Other Reason	2	5	1	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	2	1	1	6	6	1	0	30	2%
, 5 0	Referred, On Waiting List	0	0	1	2	1	0	3	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	8	6	36	2%
Anger Mgt	Referred, Client Declined Services	0	6	0	1	2	0	4	0	0	0	1	11	0	0	0	1	0	1	27	2%
ger	Referred, Client Deemed Ineligible	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	<%
An	Referred, Other Non-Admission Reason	1	0	0	0	7	0	2	2	0	0	0	3	2	0	4	4	0	2	27	2%
	Referred, Currently Engaged	0	37	13	0	59	0	18	0	6	0	2	2	18	0	0	10	5	46	216	14%
	Referred, Discharged, Did Not Complete	0	1	10	3	7	43	8	3	12	2	0	6	16	0	0	4	0	2	117	7%
	Referred, Discharged, Successful Completion	0	0	34	15	1	14	9	7	0	1	0	17	14	0	0	0	1	4	117	7%
	Total	59	108	87	41	201	187	111	131	27	24	88	140	55	50	44	102	61	82	1,598	

²⁰

^{*}Program participation status for clients discharged due to a new arrest/violation is their status prior to the arrest/violation.

July 2013 through December 2013 Track I Discharges: Program Participation Status at CRTF Discharge* (cont'd)

										Cou	nty									Tot	tal
		Albany	Bronx	Broome	Dut- chess	Erie	Kings	Man- hattan	Mon- roe	Nassau	Niag- ara	Oneida	Onon- daga	Orange	Rens- selaer	Schen- ectady	Suffolk	Ulster	West- chester	n	%
	Not Referred, No Need Identified	50	91	69	36	141	143	90	96	24	19	51	111	45	48	25	87	22	54	1,202	75%
	Not Referred, No Appropriate Program	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3	<1%
go	Not Referred, Other Reason	1	3	0	1	1	0	1	13	0	1	0	3	1	0	6	3	2	0	36	2%
Pr	Referred, On Waiting List	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	4	0	0	0	5	1	12	1	27	2%
Ith	Referred, Client Declined Services	0	1	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	7	0	19	1%
Mental Health Prog	Referred, Client Deemed Ineligible	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	3	<1%
	Referred, Other Non-Admission Reason	2	0	0	1	10	0	1	4	0	0	0	1	2	0	2	0	4	2	29	2%
ınt	Referred, Currently Engaged	6	12	16	1	46	0	8	11	3	0	30	21	4	0	2	7	14	23	204	13%
Me	Referred, Discharged, Did Not Complete	0	0	2	1	3	31	0	3	0	2	1	3	2	1	4	1	0	1	55	3%
	Referred, Discharged, Successful Completion	0	1	0	1	0	13	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	18	1%
	Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	<1%
	Not Referred, No Need Identified	55	107	76	41	182	132	101	76	0	12	87	110	54	32	25	101	14	38	1,243	78%
	Not Referred, No Appropriate Program	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	6	<1%
50	Not Referred, Other Reason	3	0	2	0	0	0	1	25	0	0	0	30	1	16	18	1	2	3	102	6%
Fam Supp Prog	Referred, On Waiting List	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	9	1%
p P	Referred, Client Declined Services	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	6	0	5	0	0	0	1	0	0	5	13	33	2%
dn	Referred, Client Deemed Ineligible	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
n S	Referred, Other Non-Admission Reason	0	0	0	0	13	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	3	7	34	2%
Far	Referred, Currently Engaged	0	1	2	0	3	0	5	7	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	36	17	84	5%
	Referred, Discharged, Did Not Complete	1	0	0	0	1	41	1	0	12	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	62	4%
	Referred, Discharged, Successful Completion	0	0	0	0	0	14	1	4	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	23	1%
	Unknown	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	<1%
	Not Referred, No Need Identified	59	108	79	41	196	187	110	124	26	24	88	137	54	50	43	97	61	81	1,565	98%
	Not Referred, No Appropriate Program	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
80	Not Referred, Other Reason	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	2	0	0	9	1%
P	Referred, On Waiting List	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
ans	Referred, Client Declined Services	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Veterans Prog	Referred, Client Deemed Ineligible Referred, Other Non-Admission Reason	0	0	3	0	0 2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 1	0	0	0	4	<1% <1%
←	Referred, Currently Engaged	0	0	3	0	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	1	12	1%
·	Referred, Discharged, Did Not Complete	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	3	<1%
	Referred, Discharged, Successful Completion	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	<1%
	Total	59	108	87	41	201	187	111	131	27	24	88	140	55	50	44	102	61	82	1,598	

^{*}Program participation status for clients discharged due to a new arrest/violation is their status prior to the arrest/violation.

July 2013 through December 2013 Track I Discharges: Program Participation Status at CRTF Discharge: All CRTFs

	Emplo Prog	yment gram	Educa Vocat Prog		Cher Depen Prog	dency		fender ogram	Accour	nder ntability gram	U	havioral ention	Ment Prog	O	An Manag	O	Mental Health Tx Program		Tx Program				Family Support Program		Veterans Program	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n %		n	%	n	%				
Total Discharges	1,598	100%	1,598	100%	1,598	100%	1,598	100%	1,598	100%	1,598	100%	1,598	100%	1,598	100%	1,598	100%	1,598	100%	1,598	100%				
Clients with No Need	358	22%	666	42%	347	22%	1,481	93%	1,207	76%	645	40%	1,075	67%	1,025	64%	1,202	75%	1,243	78%	1,565	98%				
Clients with Need	1240	78%	932	58%	1,251	78%	117	7%	391	24%	953	60%	523	33%	573	36%	396	25%	355	22%	33	2%				
Not Referred, No Appropriate Prog	12	1%	11	1%	0	0%	17	15%	37	9%	77	8%	153	29%	2	0%	3	1%	6	2%	0	0%				
Not Referred, Other Reasons	296	24%	285	31%	62	5%	16	14%	56	14%	128	13%	87	17%	30	5%	36	9%	102	29%	9	27%				
Referred, On Waiting List	82	7%	63	7%	30	2%	1	1%	17	4%	83	9%	15	3%	36	6%	27	7%	9	3%	0	0%				
Referred, Client Declined Services	207	17%	217	23%	22	2%	0	0%	18	5%	87	9%	113	22%	27	5%	19	5%	33	9%	0	0%				
Referred, Client Deemed Ineligible	17	1%	3	0%	45	4%	2	2%	2	1%	4	0%	0	0%	1	0%	3	1%	0	0%	4	12%				
Referred, Other Non-Adm Reason	120	10%	99	11%	68	5%	14	12%	12	3%	27	3%	18	3%	27	5%	29	7%	34	10%	4	12%				
Referred, Currently Engaged	245	20%	157	17%	624	50%	50	43%	135	35%	141	15%	64	12%	216	38%	204	52%	84	24%	12	36%				
Referred, Did Not Complete	106	9%	73	8%	288	23%	12	10%	71	18%	238	25%	37	7%	117	20%	55	14%	62	17%	3	9%				
Referred, Successful Completion	150	12%	20	2%	108	9%	3	3%	43	11%	165	17%	36	7%	117	20%	18	5%	23	6%	1	3%				
Unknown	5	0%	4	0%	4	0%	2	2%	0	0%	3	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%	2	1%	0	0%				
Clients With Need Subtotal	1,240	100%	932	100%	1,251	100%	117	100%	391	100%	953	100%	523	100%	573	100%	396	100%	355	100%	33	100%				

July 2013 through December 2013 Track I Discharges: Program Participation Status at CRTF Discharge: All CRTFs (con't)

	Program	Employment	Program	Education/ Vocational	Program	Chemical	1x Program	Sex Offender	ty Program	Offender Accountabili	Cog Behavioral Intervention		Program	Mentoring	Management	Anger	Program	Mental Health Tx Program		Family Support	Frogram	Veterans
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Total Clients with Need	1,240	100%	932	100%	1,251	100%	117	100%	391	100%	953	100%	523	100%	573	100%	396	100%	355	100%	33	100%
No Appropriate Program	12	1%	11	1%	0	0%	17	15%	37	9%	77	8%	153	29%	2	0%	3	1%	6	2%	0	0%
Client on Waiting List	82	7%	63	7%	30	2%	1	1%	17	4%	83	9%	15	3%	36	6%	27	7%	9	3%	0	0%
Client Declined Services	207	17%	217	23%	22	2%	0	0%	18	5%	87	9%	113	22%	27	5%	19	5%	33	9%	0	0%
Other*	433	35%	387	42%	175	14%	32	27%	70	18%	159	17%	105	20%	58	10%	68	17%	136	38%	17	52%
Client Was Enrolled	501	40%	250	27%	1,020	82%	65	56%	249	64%	544	57%	137	26%	450	79%	277	70%	169	48%	16	48%
Successfully Completed	150	30%	20	8%	108	11%	3	5%	43	17%	165	30%	36	26%	117	26%	18	6%	23	14%	1	6%
Currently Engaged	245	49%	157	63%	624	61%	50	77%	135	54%	141	26%	64	47%	216	48%	204	74%	84	50%	12	75%
Did Not Complete	106	21%	73	29%	288	28%	12	18%	71	29%	238	44%	37	27%	117	26%	55	20%	62	37%	3	19%
Client Was Enrolled Subtotal	501	100%	250	100%	1,020	100%	65	100%	249	100%	544	100%	137	100%	450	100%	277	100%	169	100%	16	100%

^{*}Other includes Not Referred, Other Reason, Client Deemed Ineligible, and Other Non-Admission Reason.

July 2013 through December 2013 Track I Discharges: Employment Status

C4	Unemp	oloyable	Not En	nployed	Emplo	yed PT	Emplo	yed FT	Unk	nown	Total
County	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
Albany	7	12%	27	46%	11	19%	14	24%	0	.0%	59
Bronx	1	1%	87	81%	18	17%	2	2%	0	0%	108
Broome	2	2%	59	68%	14	16%	12	14%	0	0%	87
Dutchess	2	5%	25	61%	5	12%	8	20%	1	2%	41
Erie	36	18%	105	52%	32	16%	28	14%	0	0%	201
Kings	2	1%	114	61%	14	7%	57	30%	0	0%	187
Manhattan	8	7%	79	71%	8	7%	16	14%	0	0%	111
Monroe	15	11%	97	74%	4	3%	13	10%	2	2%	131
Nassau	0	0%	16	59%	4	15%	7	26%	0	0%	27
Niagara	0	0%	19	79%	4	17%	1	4%	0	0%	24
Oneida	17	19%	55	63%	11	13%	5	6%	0	0%	88
Onondaga	2	1%	109	78%	10	7%	19	14%	0	0%	140
Orange	6	11%	29	53%	9	16%	11	20%	0	0%	55
Rensselaer	2	4%	31	62%	10	20%	7	14%	0	0%	50
Schenectady	2	5%	23	52%	7	16%	12	27%	0	0%	44
Suffolk	5	5%	53	52%	31	30%	13	13%	0	0%	102
Ulster	0	0%	44	72%	6	10%	11	18%	0	0%	61
Westchester	5	6%	56	68%	7	9%	14	17%	0	0%	82
Total	112	7%	1,028	64%	205	13%	250	16%	3	<1%	1,598

July 2013 through December 2013 Track I Discharges: Days in CRTF

G 4	< 45	days	45-89	days	90+	days	Total
County	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
Albany	0	.00%	0	.00%	59	100%	59
Bronx	6	6%	9	8%	93	86%	108
Broome	9	10%	25	29%	53	61%	87
Dutchess	3	7%	1	2%	37	90%	41
Erie	6	3%	25	12%	170	85%	201
Kings	57	30%	33	18%	97	52%	187
Manhattan	19	17%	22	20%	70	63%	111
Monroe	17	13%	22	17%	92	70%	131
Nassau	4	15%	9	33%	14	52%	27
Niagara	12	50%	6	25%	6	25%	24
Oneida	9	10%	5	6%	74	84%	88
Onondaga	4	3%	66	47%	70	50%	140
Orange	2	4%	7	13%	46	84%	55
Rensselaer	7	14%	11	22%	32	64%	50
Schenectady	3	7%	26	59%	15	34%	44
Suffolk	19	19%	39	38%	44	43%	102
Ulster	2	3%	9	15%	50	82%	61
Westchester	11	13%	8	10%	63	77%	82
Total	190	12%	323	20%	1,085	68%	1,598