# County Re-entry Task Force Program Activity Report: January 2013 – March 2013

Data provided by County Re-entry Task Forces to Division of Criminal Justice Service, Office of Justice Research and Performance as of August 8, 2013

For the Following Counties:
Albany, Bronx, Broome, Dutchess, Erie, Kings, Manhattan, Monroe,
Nassau, Niagara, Oneida, Onondaga, Orange, Rensselaer,
Rockland, Schenectady, Suffolk, Ulster, and Westchester

This report includes information on CRTF activity during January 2013-March 2013, which includes information on Track I clients, the higher-risk prison releases served by the CRTFs.

This project was supported by Grant No. 2011-BJ-CX-K042 awarded by the Bureau of Justice Statistics, Office of Justice Programs, U.S. Department of Justice. Points of view in this document are those of the author and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the U.S. Department of Justice.


#### CRTF Track I (T1) Admissions, January 2013 – March 2013

There were 941 Track I clients admitted to the CRTFs from January through March of 2013, 73 more clients than in the previous quarter. Overall, the CRTFs met 94% of their Track I intake goal for the quarter.


	Min	Min	<u>Oct</u>	t-12	No	<u>v-12</u>	Dec	c-12	Jar	<u>1-13</u>	<u>Fel</u>	<u>o-13</u>	<u>Ma</u>	<u>r-13</u>		Oct-	_	( <u>Jan-</u> ar)
County	Req per Month	Req per Quarter	n	% Goal Met	n	% Goal Met	n	% Goal Met	n	% Goal Met	n	% Goal Met	n	% Goal Met	n	% Goal Met	n	% Goal Met
Albany	20	61	27	132%	24	118%	27	132%	24	118%	17	83%	11	<u>54%</u>	78	127%	52	85%
Bronx	10	31	N/A	N/A	1	<u>10%</u>	3	<u>29%</u>	6	<u>58%</u>	15	144%	13	125%	4	13%	34	109%
Broome	11	32	21	198%	12	113%	18	170%	13	123%	19	180%	12	113%	51	161%	44	139%
Dutchess	5	16	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	10	190%	10	190%	16	305%	0	0%	36	229%
Erie	38	115	12	<u>31%</u>	25	<u>65%</u>	25	<u>65%</u>	26	<u>68%</u>	21	<u>55%</u>	28	<u>73%</u>	62	54%	75	65%
Kings	21	63	41	197%	31	149%	39	187%	41	197%	16	<u>77%</u>	35	168%	111	178%	92	147%
Manhattan	21	63	12	<u>58%</u>	14	<u>67%</u>	16	<u>77%</u>	34	163%	10	48%	16	<u>77%</u>	42	67%	60	96%
Monroe	37	111	20	<u>54%</u>	7	<u>19%</u>	9	24%	15	<u>41%</u>	25	<u>68%</u>	27	<u>73%</u>	36	33%	67	61%
Nassau	17	52	19	110%	11	<u>64%</u>	16	93%	29	168%	23	133%	13	<u>75%</u>	46	89%	65	126%
Niagara	10	31	13	125%	14	134%	13	125%	22	211%	16	154%	13	125%	40	128%	51	163%
Oneida	12	36	17	143%	3	25%	27	227%	19	159%	19	159%	11	92%	47	131%	49	137%
Onondaga	28	85	30	107%	23	82%	20	<u>71%</u>	28	99%	26	92%	36	128%	73	86%	90	107%
Orange	15	44	13	89%	21	143%	17	116%	20	136%	17	116%	15	102%	51	116%	52	118%
Rensselaer	8	25	13	156%	4	48%	6	<u>72%</u>	6	<u>72%</u>	5	<u>60%</u>	6	<u>72%</u>	23	92%	17	68%
Rockland	5	15	9	180%	5	100%	1	<u>20%</u>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15	100%	N/A	N/A
Schenectady	12	37	12	97%	16	130%	12	97%	-	-	-	-	-	-	40	108%	-	-
Suffolk	38	114	31	82%	35	92%	15	40%	19	<u>50%</u>	24	<u>63%</u>	27	<u>71%</u>	81	71%	70	62%
Ulster	10	31	6	<u>58%</u>	11	106%	9	86%	11	106%	11	106%	14	134%	26	83%	36	115%
Westchester	15	44	15	103%	13	89%	14	96%	20	137%	8	<u>55%</u>	23	158%	42	96%	51	117%
Total	335	1,004	311	93%	270	81%	287	86%	343	103%	282	84%	316	94%	868	86%	941	94%

<sup>\*</sup>Bronx began accepting clients in November 2012. Dutchess first admitted clients under the renegotiated contract in January 2013. Rockland's CRTF was inactive during the third quarter of the contract year, with no intakes or discharges from January 2013 to March 2013. Information for Schenectady is currently unavailable for the third quarter at the time of publication.

CRTF Track I Admissions, January 2013 – March 2013 (cont'd)




➤ 24% of Track I clients admitted to the CRTFs were under 25 years old. For county specific Track I client age information, see page 9.




➤ (8%) of Track I clients were female. For county specific Track I client sex information, see page 9.

CRTF Track I Admissions, January 2013 - March 2013 (cont'd)




Nearly all (96%) Track I clients were moderate to high risk offenders (89%) or sex offenders (7%). For county-level Track I population information, see page 10.




- ➤ Nearly all (99%) of Track I clients were on parole when admitted to the CRTFs. County-level information can be found on page 12.
- ➤ Of Track I admissions on parole, case conferences were held for 717 (76%). County-level information can be found on page 12.

CRTF Track I Admissions, January 2013 - March 2013 (cont'd)




The needs that were most commonly identified by the CRTFs for Track I clients were employment programming (84%) chemical dependency treatment (80%), and social services assistance (77%). See pages 13-14 for additional county specific information.

CRTF Track I Discharges, January 2013 – March 2013




➤ Between January and March of 2013, 648 clients were discharged from CRTFs. 386 (60%) successfully completed CRTF programs. 143 (22%) were discharged by CRTFs due to a new arrest or parole violation (as reported by the CRTFs). County-level data can be found on page 15.

CRTF Track I Discharges, January 2013 – March 2013 (cont'd)



> 547 (84%) of the clients discharged spent at least 45 days in the CRTFs, with 441 (68%) enrolled more than 90 days. See page 22 for county specific information.




➤ 176 (27%) of clients discharged from the CRTFs were employed while in the CRTFs, with an additional 40 (6%) reported as unemployable. County-level employment data is available on page 21.

#### Program Participation among Track I Discharges\*, January 2013 – March 2013

County specific data regarding program participation is located on pages 16-19.

- ➤ 582 clients discharged during this time had a need for social services assistance assessed at intake. Of these, 504 (87%) had obtained social services assistance prior to discharge.
- ➤ 368 clients discharged during this time period had a need for housing assistance assessed at intake. Of these, 166 (45%) were in a private residence at discharge.

The following chart shows the proportion of clients who either successfully completed or were still engaged in a program at discharge for the other identified needs.




<sup>\*</sup>For clients who were discharged due to a new arrest or parole violation, program participation status reported by the CRTF is prior to the client's arrest/violation.

#### Service Gaps, January 2013 – March 2013

In addition to information on housing and social service utilization, needs assessment information is reported for 11 program areas. For each program area, the CRTFs record whether an assessment is conducted as well as the outcome of the assessment. The majority of Track I clients were assessed for all needs areas. Data by county is on pages 13-14.

The following chart shows the proportion of clients who did not have their need met while engaged in the CRTF because an appropriate program does not exist in that county or the client was placed on a waiting list.



- The needs areas with the largest proportion of Track I clients who did not get their need met due to lack of an appropriate program were mentoring (41% of clients with an assessed need), family support (25%), and veterans' programs (22%). See pages 16-19 for county specific information.
- ➤ Needs areas with the largest proportion of Track I clients still waiting for a program at discharge were educational/vocational programs (12%) and Cognitive Behavioral Intervention (12%). See pages 16-19 for county level data.

# January 2013 through March 2013 Track I Intakes: Age and Sex

6	17 years	& under	18-24	years	25-34	years	35-49	years	50+	years	Total
County	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
Albany	0	0%	18	35%	11	21%	18	35%	5	10%	52
Bronx	0	0%	7	21%	10	29%	9	26%	8	24%	34
Broome	0	0%	9	20%	26	59%	8	18%	1	2%	44
Dutchess	0	0%	8	22%	10	28%	14	39%	4	11%	36
Erie	0	0%	18	24%	30	40%	20	27%	7	9%	75
Kings	0	0%	16	17%	36	39%	30	33%	10	11%	92
Manhattan	0	0%	19	32%	23	38%	16	27%	2	3%	60
Monroe	2	3%	13	19%	21	31%	25	37%	6	9%	67
Nassau	0	0%	11	17%	27	42%	21	32%	6	9%	65
Niagara	1	2%	10	20%	16	31%	17	33%	7	14%	51
Oneida	0	0%	13	27%	18	37%	14	29%	4	8%	49
Onondaga	2	2%	29	32%	40	44%	15	17%	4	4%	90
Orange	0	0%	11	21%	20	38%	16	31%	5	10%	52
Rensselaer	0	0%	2	12%	7	41%	3	18%	5	29%	17
Rockland	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Schenectady	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Suffolk	0	0%	15	21%	24	34%	22	31%	9	13%	70
Ulster	0	0%	9	25%	12	33%	8	22%	7	19%	36
Westchester	1	2%	11	22%	18	35%	14	27%	7	14%	51
Total	6	1%	219	23%	349	37%	270	29%	97	10%	941

Country	M	ale	Fen	nale	Unkr	nown	Total
County	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
Albany	49	94%	3	6%	0	0%	52
Bronx	15	44%	19	56%	0	0%	34
Broome	39	89%	5	11%	0	0%	44
Dutchess	31	86%	5	14%	0	0%	36
Erie	68	91%	7	9%	0	0%	75
Kings	92	100%	0	0%	0	0%	92
Manhattan	59	98%	0	0%	1	2%	60
Monroe	63	94%	2	3%	2	3%	67
Nassau	60	92%	5	8%	0	0%	65
Niagara	49	96%	2	4%	0	0%	51
Oneida	49	100%	0	0%	0	0%	49
Onondaga	79	88%	8	9%	3	3%	90
Orange	51	98%	0	0%	1	2%	52
Rensselaer	16	94%	1	6%	0	0%	17
Rockland	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Schenectady	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Suffolk	61	87%	9	13%	0	0%	70
Ulster	31	86%	5	14%	0	0%	36
Westchester	49	96%	2	4%	0	0%	51
Total	861	91%	73	8%	7	1%	941

# January 2013 through March 2013 Track I Intakes: Population Composition

County		e to High isk		with High eed	Max Ex	xpiration	Sex O	ffender	Juv	enile		rments/ medical	Total
County	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
Albany	43	83%	1	2%	0	0%	8	15%	0	0%	0	0%	52
Bronx	28	82%	6	18%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	34
Broome	38	86%	4	9%	0	0%	2	5%	0	0%	0	0%	44
Dutchess	32	89%	3	8%	0	0%	1	3%	0	0%	0	0%	36
Erie	63	84%	0	0%	0	0%	10	13%	2	3%	0	0%	75
Kings	92	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	92
Manhattan	59	98%	0	0%	0	0%	1	2%	0	0%	0	0%	60
Monroe	66	99%	0	0%	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	67
Nassau	63	97%	2	3%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	65
Niagara	36	71%	2	4%	0	0%	13	25%	0	0%	0	0%	51
Oneida	38	78%	0	0%	0	0%	11	22%	0	0%	0	0%	49
Onondaga	81	90%	2	2%	1	1%	4	4%	2	2%	0	0%	90
Orange	46	88%	1	2%	0	0%	5	10%	0	0%	0	0%	52
Rensselaer	13	76%	0	0%	0	0%	3	18%	0	0%	1	6%	17
Rockland	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Schenectady	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Suffolk	60	86%	5	7%	0	0%	4	6%	0	0%	1	1%	70
Ulster	32	89%	3	8%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	3%	36
Westchester	47	92%	2	4%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	4%	51
Total	837	89%	31	3%	2	0%	62	7%	4	0%	5	1%	941

# January 2013 through March 2013 Track I Intakes: Time between DOCCS Release and CRTF Intake

County	7 days	or less	8-30	days	31-60	days	61-90	days	91-365	5 days	More tha	ın 365 days	Total
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
Albany	42	81%	8	15%	2	4%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	52
Bronx	3	9%	8	24%	4	12%	1	3%	11	32%	7	21%	34
Broome	14	32%	27	61%	2	5%	0	0%	1	2%	0	0%	44
Dutchess	9	25%	8	22%	4	11%	1	3%	7	19%	7	19%	36
Erie	42	56%	16	21%	9	12%	4	5%	4	5%	0	0%	75
Kings	5	5%	41	45%	11	12%	6	7%	18	20%	11	12%	92
Manhattan	21	35%	16	27%	9	15%	5	8%	7	12%	2	3%	60
Monroe	10	15%	11	16%	15	22%	12	18%	13	19%	6	9%	67
Nassau	63	97%	1	2%	0	0%	1	2%	0	0%	0	0%	65
Niagara	34	67%	8	16%	1	2%	3	6%	4	8%	1	2%	51
Oneida	42	86%	5	10%	1	2%	0	0%	1	2%	0	0%	49
Onondaga	85	94%	5	6%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	90
Orange	41	79%	7	13%	0	0%	1	2%	2	4%	1	2%	52
Rensselaer	15	88%	1	6%	0	0%	1	6%	0	0%	0	0%	17
Rockland	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Schenectady	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Suffolk	27	39%	18	26%	8	11%	1	1%	14	20%	2	3%	70
Ulster	8	22%	21	58%	5	14%	0	0%	2	6%	0	0%	36
Westchester	18	35%	20	39%	7	14%	3	6%	3	6%	0	0%	51
Total	479	51%	221	23%	78	8%	39	4%	87	9%	37	4%	941

# January 2013 through March 2013 Track I Intakes: Supervision Status and Case Conference Status

County	On Parole	, Case Conf		e, No Case onf		arole, Case		arole, No Conf	Total
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
Albany	47	90%	2	4%	3	6%	0	0%	52
Bronx	9	26%	25	74%	0	0%	0	0%	34
Broome	43	98%	0	0%	1	2%	0	0%	44
Dutchess	18	50%	18	50%	0	0%	0	0%	36
Erie	72	96%	3	4%	0	0%	0	0%	75
Kings	92	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	92
Manhattan	44	73%	16	27%	0	0%	0	0%	60
Monroe	9	13%	56	84%	0	0%	2	3%	67
Nassau	65	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	65
Niagara	46	90%	5	10%	0	0%	0	0%	51
Oneida	42	86%	6	12%	0	0%	1	2%	49
Onondaga	90	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	90
Orange	7	13%	44	85%	0	0%	1	2%	52
Rensselaer	17	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	17
Rockland	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Schenectady	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Suffolk	29	41%	41	59%	0	0%	0	0%	70
Ulster	36	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	36
Westchester	51	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	51
Total	717	76%	216	23%	4	0%	4	0%	941

County	On P	arole	Max	Exp	Oti	her	Total
·	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
Albany	49	94%	3	6%	0	0%	52
Bronx	34	100%	0	0%	0	0%	34
Broome	43	98%	1	2%	0	0%	44
Dutchess	36	100%	0	0%	0	0%	36
Erie	75	100%	0	0%	0	0%	75
Kings	92	100%	0	0%	0	0%	92
Manhattan	60	100%	0	0%	0	0%	60
Monroe	65	97%	1	1%	1	1%	67
Nassau	65	100%	0	0%	0	0%	65
Niagara	51	100%	0	0%	0	0%	51
Oneida	48	98%	1	2%	0	0%	49
Onondaga	90	100%	0	0%	0	0%	90
Orange	51	98%	1	2%	0	0%	52
Rensselaer	17	100%	0	0%	0	0%	17
Rockland	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Schenectady	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Suffolk	70	100%	0	0%	0	0%	70
Ulster	36	100%	0	0%	0	0%	36
Westchester	51	100%	0	0%	0	0%	51
Total	933	99%	7	1%	1	0%	941

### January 2013 through March 2013 Track I Intakes: Service Needs

											County										To	otal
		Albany	Bronx	Broome	Dutch- ess	Erie	Kings	Man- hattan	Monroe	Nassau	Niagara	Oneida	Onon- daga	Orange	Renss- elaer	Rock- land	Schen- ectady	Suffolk	Ulster	West- chester	n	%
۵٫۵	Need	31	18	15	15	57	12	12	49	46	32	23	63	41	11	N/A	-	41	22	21	509	54%
usin	No need	21	6	29	21	18	80	37	18	19	19	26	27	9	6	N/A	-	29	14	30	409	43%
Housing	Not Assessed	0	10	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	N/A	-	0	0	0	23	2%
<b>A</b>	Need	50	23	43	30	60	72	41	36	65	46	42	89	44	17	N/A	-	55	29	50	792	84%
Employ	No need	2	0	1	6	15	20	7	31	0	5	7	1	4	0	N/A	-	7	7	1	114	12%
Em	Not Assessed	0	11	0	0	0	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	N/A	-	8	0	0	35	4%
၁	Need	18	13	29	17	66	90	31	19	65	23	26	81	23	6	N/A	-	57	27	33	624	66%
Ed/ Voc	No need	34	2	15	19	9	2	17	48	0	28	23	9	27	11	N/A	-	9	9	18	280	30%
Ed/	Not Assessed	0	19	0	0	0	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	N/A	-	4	0	0	37	4%
>	Need	51	10	42	20	75	24	27	24	65	50	40	89	47	16	N/A	-	64	33	51	728	77%
Soc Serv Assist	No need	1	3	2	16	0	68	23	43	0	1	9	1	3	1	N/A	-	6	3	0	180	19%
Soc	Not Assessed	0	21	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	N/A	-	0	0	0	33	4%
eb	Need	37	14	41	26	72	92	39	26	65	42	23	68	41	16	N/A	-	66	32	49	749	80%
n D	No need	15	1	2	10	2	0	10	41	0	9	26	22	9	1	N/A	-	2	4	2	156	17%
Chem Dep Tx	Not Assessed	0	19	1	0	1	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	N/A	-	2	0	0	36	4%
×	Need	7	0	2	1	9	0	3	1	3	13	8	10	3	4	N/A	-	4	1	1	70	7%
JJJ	No need	45	14	29	35	66	92	46	66	62	38	41	79	48	13	N/A	-	66	35	50	825	88%
Sex Off Tx	Not Assessed	0	20	13	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	N/A	-	0	0	0	46	5%
*	Need	13	2	14	0	17	1	3	28	65	46	5	54	5	8	N/A	-	31	8	6	306	33%
Acc	No need	39	11	30	36	58	91	46	39	0	5	44	36	47	9	N/A	-	34	28	45	598	64%
Off Acct Prog	Not Assessed	0	21	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	-	5	0	0	37	4%
	Total	52	34	44	36	75	92	60	67	65	51	49	90	52	17	N/A	-	70	36	51	941	

# January 2013 through March 2013 Track I Intakes: Service Needs (cont'd)

											County										To	tal
		Albany	Bronx	Broome	Dutch- ess	Erie	Kings	Man- hattan	Monroe	Nassau	Niagara	Oneida	Onon- daga	Orange	Renss- elaer	Rock- land	Schen- ectady	Suffolk	Ulster	West- chester	n	%
Int	Need	35	6	33	36	12	92	38	20	65	47	24	89	24	17	N/A	-	54	30	51	673	72%
	No need	17	6	11	0	63	0	22	47	0	4	25	1	27	0	N/A	-	16	6	0	245	26%
Cog Beh	Not Assessed	0	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	N/A	-	0	0	0	23	2%
_	Need	20	1	30	1	2	3	2	0	65	40	0	60	0	17	N/A	-	17	36	51	345	37%
Mentor	No need	32	10	14	35	73	89	48	67	0	11	48	29	49	0	N/A	-	43	0	0	548	58%
Me	Not Assessed	0	23	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	1	1	3	0	N/A	-	10	0	0	48	5%
g	Need	11	7	21	10	22	27	24	20	28	4	0	23	26	2	N/A	-	33	12	30	300	32%
er M	No need	41	5	23	26	52	65	26	47	37	47	49	66	26	15	N/A	-	36	24	21	606	64%
Anger Mgt	Not Assessed	0	22	0	0	1	0	10	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	N/A	-	1	0	0	35	4%
_ ×	Need	8	4	10	15	18	16	3	17	26	15	8	30	5	6	N/A	-	15	24	11	231	25%
enta Ith [	No need	44	10	34	21	57	76	46	50	38	36	40	58	44	11	N/A	-	48	12	40	665	71%
Mental Health Tx	Not Assessed	0	20	0	0	0	0	11	0	1	0	1	2	3	0	N/A	-	7	0	0	45	5%
dc	Need	19	3	31	2	58	0	19	30	65	35	2	69	6	10	N/A	-	15	26	30	420	45%
ddng 1	No need	33	10	13	34	17	92	30	37	0	16	47	21	45	7	N/A	-	54	10	21	487	52%
Fam	Not Assessed	0	21	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	N/A	-	1	0	0	34	4%
ಹ	Need	2	0	2	2	2	1	1	0	0	3	0	1	2	2	N/A	-	4	3	2	27	3%
Pro	No need	50	13	29	34	73	91	47	67	65	48	49	89	49	15	N/A	-	66	33	49	867	92%
Vets Prog	Not Assessed	0	21	13	0	0	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	N/A	-	0	0	0	47	5%
	Total	52	34	44	36	75	92	60	67	65	51	49	90	52	17	N/A	-	70	36	51	941	

# January 2013 through March 2013 Track I Discharges: Discharge Reasons

County		essful harge		ntarily ntinued	New	Arrest	Viol	ation	Dec	eased		erred to RTF Area		to non- F Area	Total
	n	%	n	0/0	n	0/0	n	%	n	%	n	0/0	n	%	n
Albany	63	90%	0	0%	0	0%	4	6%	0	0%	3	4%	0	0%	70
Bronx	3	60%	0	0%	0	0%	2	40%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	5
Broome	41	80%	0	0%	1	2%	8	16%	0	0%	1	2%	0	0%	51
Dutchess	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4
Erie	28	51%	2	4%	6	11%	18	33%	0	0%	0	0%	1	2%	55
Kings	10	11%	68	76%	0	0%	10	11%	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%	90
Manhattan	26	57%	8	17%	5	11%	5	11%	1	2%	0	0%	1	2%	46
Monroe	2	17%	2	17%	0	0%	8	67%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	12
Nassau	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1
Niagara	6	33%	0	0%	0	0%	12	67%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	18
Oneida	41	82%	0	0%	4	8%	4	8%	0	0%	0	0%	1	2%	50
Onondaga	44	65%	8	12%	5	7%	10	15%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	68
Orange	24	65%	4	11%	0	0%	7	19%	0	0%	2	5%	0	0%	37
Rensselaer	20	71%	0	0%	2	7%	5	18%	0	0%	0	0%	1	4%	28
Rockland	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Schenectady	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Suffolk	20	65%	3	10%	0	0%	8	26%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	31
Ulster	12	55%	5	23%	5	23%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	22
Westchester	46	77%	3	5%	3	5%	6	10%	0	0%	0	0%	2	3%	60
Total	386	60%	103	16%	31	5%	112	17%	1	0%	6	1%	9	1%	648

January 2013 through March 2013 Track I Discharges: Program Participation Status at CRTF Discharge\*

		County					•	•	•	•	-	•		•	-	•				•	To	otal
		Albany	Bronx	Bro- ome	Dutch -ess	Erie	Kings	Man- hattan	Mon- roe	Nas- sau	Nia- gara	Oneida	Onon- daga	Ora- nge	Renss -elaer	Rock -land	Schen- ectady	Suf- folk	Ulster	West- chester	n	%
	No Need	31	0	9	1	21	76	24	0	1	0	15	41	19	1	N/A	-	4	1	36	280	43%
	Halfway House	1	0	0	0	1	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	N/A	-	1	0	1	15	2%
	Residential Treatment Program	5	0	3	2	3	3	3	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	N/A	-	2	0	0	25	4%
ng	Parole Resid Stabilization Prog	9	3	2	0	6	0	1	0	0	0	0	3	1	0	N/A	-	3	0	0	28	4%
Housing	Parole Community Based Resid Prog	0	0	0	0	10	0	5	3	0	2	0	2	0	0	N/A	-	1	0	8	31	5%
H	Shelter- Housing Only	0	0	6	1	2	1	1	2	0	6	4	11	3	5	N/A	-	7	2	0	51	8%
	Shelter that includes ancillary services	0	0	0	0	9	6	0	1	0	0	2	1	1	0	N/A	-	0	2	10	32	5%
	Hotel/motel	9	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	N/A	-	0	3	0	20	3%
	Private Residence	15	2	26	0	3	1	10	6	0	8	29	6	7	21	N/A	-	13	14	5	166	26%
	Not Referred, No Need Identified	8	0	20	0	16	1	3	5	0	0	1	8	17	9	N/A	-	9	0	0	97	15%
	Not Referred, No Appropriate Program	0	0	3	0	2	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	N/A	-	0	1	0	8	1%
	Not Referred, Other Reasons	1	3	9	3	2	0	25	3	0	1	0	11	18	4	N/A	-	3	2	20	105	16%
6	Referred, On Waiting List	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	12	0	7	1	0	N/A	-	6	2	1	31	5%
Emp Prog	Referred, Client Declined Services	3	1	0	0	7	30	9	0	0	2	3	1	0	9	N/A	-	7	8	1	81	13%
	Referred, Client Deemed Ineligible	2	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	N/A	-	0	0	0	7	1%
En		3	0	0	1	17	0	0	1	0	0	6	4	0	0	N/A	-	2	3	3	40	6%
	Referred, Currently Engaged	10	1	14	0	8	3	7	0	0	1	6	28	1	4	N/A	-	4	4	34	125	19%
	Referred, Discharged, Did Not Complete	7	0	0	0	1	39	0	3	1	1	7	7	0	1	N/A	-	0	0	0	67	10%
	Referred, Discharged, Successful Completion	36	0	3	0	0	17	0	0	0	0	25	2	0	1	N/A	-	0	2	1	87	13%
	Not Referred, No Need Identified	64	1	31	3	16	0	7	12	0	8	18	47	23	21	N/A	-	7	1	19	278	43%
	Not Referred, No Appropriate Program	0	0	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	N/A	-	0	0	0	8	1%
	Not Referred, Other Reasons	0	4	2	1	5	0	24	0	0	0	0	0	13	3	N/A	-	5	2	11	70	11%
ğ	Referred, On Waiting List	0	0	1	0	0	0	6	0	0	2	8	11	0	0	N/A	-	8	4	4	44	7%
Pro	Referred, Client Declined Services	0	0	4	0	7	71	4	0	0	3	5	1	1	4	N/A	-	8	6	3	117	18%
Ed/Voc Prog	Referred, Client Deemed Ineligible	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	N/A	-	0	0	1	2	0%
\sqrt{p}	Referred, Other Non-Admission Reason	5	0	0	0	15	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	N/A	-	2	5	6	36	6%
Ħ	Referred, Currently Engaged	0	0	9	0	9	7	4	0	0	0	15	3	0	0	N/A	-	1	4	15	67	10%
	Referred, Discharged, Did Not Complete	0	0	0	0	2	12	1	0	1	0	3	4	0	0	N/A	-	0	0	1	24	4%
	Referred, Discharged, Successful Completion	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	-	0	0	0	2	0%
	Total	70	5	51	4	55	90	46	12	1	18	50	68	37	28	N/A	-	31	22	60	648	

<sup>\*</sup>Program participation status for clients discharged due to a new arrest/violation is their status prior to the arrest/violation.

### January 2013 through March 2013 Track I Discharges: Program Participation Status at CRTF Discharge\* (cont'd)

										(	County										To	otal
		Albany	Bronx	Bro- ome	Dutch- ess	Erie	Kings	Man- hattan	Monroe	Nassau	Nia- gara	Oneida	Onon- daga	Orange	Renss- elaer	Rock- land	Schen- ectady	Suf- folk	Ulster	West- chester	n	%
-	No Need	1	0	11	2	5	12	12	2	0	0	0	4	5	6	N/A	-	3	3	0	66	10%
Asst	Referred	0	0	1	0	3	0	1	0	0	1	3	9	1	4	N/A	-	2	0	1	26	4%
N.	Applied- Pending	0	2	0	1	4	6	2	0	0	1	0	10	0	0	N/A	-	6	0	2	34	5%
Serv	Denied - Not Eligible	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	1	0	0	N/A	-	0	1	1	8	1%
Soc	Denied - DSS Sanction	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	4	1	3	0	N/A	-	0	0	1	10	2%
S	Receiving Services	69	3	39	1	42	72	30	10	1	16	39	43	28	18	N/A	-	20	18	55	504	78%
	Not Referred, No Need Identified	27	1	7	0	5	0	6	4	0	0	26	9	17	10	N/A	-	1	5	4	122	19%
	Not Referred, No Appropriate Program	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	N/A	-	0	0	0	1	0%
ಹ	Not Referred, Other Reasons	2	0	1	0	0	0	20	1	0	0	0	1	9	4	N/A	-	1	0	4	43	7%
Prog	Referred, On Waiting List	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	20	0	0	N/A	-	0	8	0	30	5%
ф	Referred, Client Declined Services	0	0	0	0	4	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	N/A	-	2	2	1	16	2%
Chem Dep	Referred, Client Deemed Ineligible	0	0	8	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	-	0	2	11	24	4%
em	Referred, Other Non-Admission Reason	0	0	3	2	10	0	3	2	0	0	1	1	1	0	N/A	-	2	0	3	28	4%
ြင်	Referred, Currently Engaged	39	2	25	1	24	0	14	0	0	2	17	20	4	9	N/A	-	20	4	34	215	33%
	Referred, Discharged, Did Not Complete	2	2	1	1	9	80	1	5	1	10	6	17	1	0	N/A	-	4	0	1	141	22%
	Referred, Discharged, Successful Completion	0	0	6	0	0	10	0	0	0	3	0	0	5	0	N/A	-	1	1	2	28	4%
	Not Referred, No Need Identified	63	5	44	4	40	90	43	11	1	14	41	64	33	18	N/A	-	29	22	60	582	90%
	Not Referred, No Appropriate Program	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	N/A	-	1	0	0	9	1%
	Not Referred, Other Reasons	0	0	0	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	N/A	-	0	0	0	8	1%
×	Referred, On Waiting List	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	N/A	-	0	0	0	4	1%
Off Tx	Referred, Client Declined Services	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	N/A	-	0	0	0	1	0%
l õ	Referred, Client Deemed Ineligible	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	-	0	0	0	0	0%
Sex	Referred, Other Non-Admission Reason	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	N/A	-	0	0	0	3	0%
	Referred, Currently Engaged	7	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	2	9	1	2	4	N/A	-	1	0	0	34	5%
	Referred, Discharged, Did Not Complete	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	1	0	2	0	1	0	0	N/A	-	0	0	0	7	1%
	Referred, Discharged, Successful Completion	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	-	0	0	0	0	0%
	Not Referred, No Need Identified	60	5	31	4	43	90	38	11	0	0	48	42	29	23	N/A	-	14	11	51	500	77%
	Not Referred, No Appropriate Program	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	-	0	0	0	3	0%
0.0	Not Referred, Other Reasons	0	0	0	0	1	0	7	0	0	2	0	1	4	4	N/A	-	0	0	2	21	3%
rog	Referred, On Waiting List	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	N/A	-	0	6	1	11	2%
ct F	Referred, Client Declined Services	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	1	N/A	-	0	1	0	13	2%
Off Acct Prog	Referred, Client Deemed Ineligible	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	-	0	0	0	0	0%
)ff.	Referred, Other Non-Admission Reason	6	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	N/A	-	1	0	5	16	2%
	Referred, Currently Engaged	0	0	7	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	9	4	0	N/A	-	12	3	1	42	6%
	Referred, Discharged, Did Not Complete	0	0	2	0	3	0	0	1	1	2	1	13	0	0	N/A	-	4	0	0	27	4%
	Referred, Discharged, Successful Completion	0	0	11	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	N/A	-	0	1	0	15	2%
	Total	70	5	51	4	55	90	46	12	1	18	50	68	37	28	N/A	-	31	22	60	648	

<sup>\*</sup>Program participation status for clients discharged due to a new arrest/violation is their status prior to the arrest/violation.

### January 2013 through March 2013 Track I Discharges: Program Participation Status at CRTF Discharge\* (cont'd)

											Count	.y									То	otal
		Albany	Bronx	Bro- ome	Dutch -ess	Erie	Kings	Man- hattan	Mon- roe	Nas- sau	Nia- gara	Oneida	Onon -daga	Ora- nge	Renss -elaer	Rock -land	Schen- ectady	Suf- folk	Ulster	West- chester	n	%
	Not Referred, No Need Identified	16	0	6	0	49	0	7	10	0	0	22	15	25	9	N/A	-	9	2	0	170	26%
	Not Referred, No Appropriate Program	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	36	0	0	N/A	-	17	0	0	53	8%
	Not Referred, Other Reasons	0	2	0	0	3	0	7	0	0	1	1	2	7	9	N/A	-	2	0	6	40	6%
Int	Referred, On Waiting List	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	27	14	0	0	N/A	-	0	3	10	58	9%
Cog Beh Int	Referred, Client Declined Services	13	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	14	0	0	0	6	N/A	-	1	4	1	42	6%
o B	Referred, Client Deemed Ineligible	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	-	0	0	0	0	0%
S		12	0	0	3	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	N/A	-	0	1	4	24	4%
	Referred, Currently Engaged	19	2	14	0	2	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	3	4	N/A	-	2	7	36	96	15%
	Referred, Discharged, Did Not Complete	2	1	3	1	0	80	12	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	N/A	-	0	0	1	103	16%
	Referred, Discharged, Successful Completion	5	0	28	0	0	10	8	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	N/A	-	0	5	2	62	10%
	Not Referred, No Need Identified	50	4	26	4	43	85	31	12	0	2	34	41	32	0	N/A	-	24	1	0	389	60%
	Not Referred, No Appropriate Program	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	15	22	0	0	N/A	-	6	0	59	105	16%
	Not Referred, Other Reasons	0	1	2	0	2	0	14	0	0	1	0	0	5	4	N/A	-	0	1	1	31	5%
۵	Referred, On Waiting List	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	1	5	0	0	N/A	-	0	5	0	14	2%
Mentoring	Referred, Client Declined Services	1	0	1	0	4	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	22	N/A	-	0	11	0	50	8%
ent	Referred, Client Deemed Ineligible	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	-	0	0	0	0	0%
Z	Referred, Other Non-Admission Reason	1	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	-	0	0	0	4	1%
	Referred, Currently Engaged	18	0	7	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	N/A	-	1	4	0	34	5%
	Referred, Discharged, Did Not Complete	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	N/A	-	0	0	0	7	1%
	Referred, Discharged, Successful Completion	0	0	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	-	0	0	0	14	2%
	Not Referred, No Need Identified	58	4	25	4	36	75	12	10	0	16	49	55	18	24	N/A	-	22	11	19	438	68%
	Not Referred, No Appropriate Program	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	1	0	N/A	-	1	0	0	10	2%
	Not Referred, Other Reasons	0	0	0	0	2	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	6	2	N/A	-	0	0	3	16	2%
5	Referred, On Waiting List	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	N/A	-	1	3	5	18	3%
Ž	Referred, Client Declined Services	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	N/A	-	0	4	1	8	1%
Anger Mot	Referred, Client Deemed Ineligible	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	-	0	0	0	0	0%
Ā	Referred, Other Non-Admission Reason	4	0	0	0	2	0	3	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	N/A	-	3	1	3	18	3%
	Referred, Currently Engaged	4	0	9	0	6	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	3	1	N/A	-	4	2	24	61	9%
	Referred, Discharged, Did Not Complete	0	1	2	0	6	13	11	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	N/A	-	0	0	1	36	6%
	Referred, Discharged, Successful Completion	0	0	15	0	2	2	8	2	0	1	0	0	7	1	N/A	-	0	1	4	43	7%
	Total	70	5	51	4	55	90	46	12	1	18	50	68	37	28	N/A	-	31	22	60	648	

<sup>\*</sup>Program participation status for clients discharged due to a new arrest/violation is their status prior to the arrest/violation.

### January 2013 through March 2013 Track I Discharges: Program Participation Status at CRTF Discharge\* (cont'd)

		County														То	otal					
		Albany	Bronx	Bro- ome	Dutch -ess	Erie	Kings	Man- hattan	Mon -roe	Nassau	Nia- gara	Oneida	Onon -daga	Orange	Renss- elaer	Rock- land	Schen- ectady	Suf- folk	Ulster	West- chester	n	%
	Not Referred, No Need Identified	54	1	42	2	36	85	28	8	1	9	39	51	32	15	N/A	-	26	2	45	476	73%
	Not Referred, No Appropriate Program	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	N/A	-	0	1	0	4	1%
rog	Not Referred, Other Reasons	0	1	0	1	2	0	17	0	0	2	0	0	4	2	N/A	-	0	1	1	31	5%
h P	Referred, On Waiting List	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	N/A	-	0	4	0	14	2%
Mental Health Prog	Referred, Client Declined Services	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	N/A	-	0	7	1	12	2%
H	Referred, Client Deemed Ineligible	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	-	0	0	0	1	0%
ntal	Referred, Other Non-Admission Reason	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	-	0	1	0	5	1%
Me	Referred, Currently Engaged	16	0	8	0	11	0	1	2	0	2	9	5	1	10	N/A	-	4	6	13	88	14%
	Referred, Discharged, Did Not Complete	0	1	0	0	3	5	0	1	0	2	2	2	0	0	N/A	-	0	0	0	16	2%
	Referred, Discharged, Successful Completion	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	-	1	0	0	1	0%
	Not Referred, No Need Identified	45	4	13	4	53	72	20	11	0	3	33	66	34	22	N/A	-	29	4	29	442	68%
	Not Referred, No Appropriate Program	0	0	33	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	17	0	0	0	N/A	-	0	1	0	51	8%
bi	Not Referred, Other Reasons	0	1	3	0	0	0	19	0	0	1	0	0	3	4	N/A	-	0	0	3	34	5%
Supp Prog	Referred, On Waiting List	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	N/A	-	0	0	4	5	1%
, ad	Referred, Client Declined Services	6	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	N/A	-	0	5	4	26	4%
Sur	Referred, Client Deemed Ineligible	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	-	0	0	0	1	0%
Fam	Referred, Other Non-Admission Reason	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	-	0	1	6	7	1%
H	Referred, Currently Engaged	19	0	1	0	1	0	5	1	0	3	0	2	0	2	N/A	-	2	11	12	59	9%
	Referred, Discharged, Did Not Complete	0	0	0	0	0	8	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	N/A	-	0	0	0	11	2%
	Referred, Discharged, Successful Completion	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	-	0	0	2	12	2%
	Not Referred, No Need Identified	68	5	51	4	54	90	43	12	1	18	49	68	32	25	N/A	-	30	22	58	630	97%
	Not Referred, No Appropriate Program	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	N/A	-	0	0	1	4	1%
<b>.</b>	Not Referred, Other Reasons	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	N/A	-	0	0	0	8	1%
rog	Referred, On Waiting List	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	-	1	0	0	1	0%
Veterans Prog	Referred, Client Declined Services	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	-	0	0	0	0	0%
erai	Referred, Client Deemed Ineligible	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	-	0	0	0	0	0%
Vete	Referred, Other Non-Admission Reason	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	-	0	0	0	0	0%
	Referred, Currently Engaged	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	N/A	-	0	0	1	5	1%
	Referred, Discharged, Did Not Complete	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	-	0	0	0	0	0%
	Referred, Discharged, Successful Completion	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	N/A	-	0	0	0	0	0%
	Total	70	5	51	4	55	90	46	12	1	18	50	68	37	28	N/A	-	31	22	60	648	_ <del></del>

<sup>\*</sup>Program participation status for clients discharged due to a new arrest/violation is their status prior to the arrest/violation.

### January 2013 through March 2013 Track I Discharges: Program Participation Status at CRTF Discharge: All CRTFs

	Employment Program		_ * *						_ * *				_ * *				_ * *		_ * Vocational		Depe	mical ndency gram	Sex Offender Tx Program		Offender Accountability Program		Cognitive Behavioral Intervention		Mentoring Program		Anger Management		Mental Health Tx Program		Family Support Program		Veterans Program	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%																
Total Discharges	648	100%	648	100%	648	100%	648	100%	648	100%	648	100%	648	100%	648	100%	648	100%	648	100%	648	100%																
Clients with No Need	97	15%	278	43%	122	19%	582	90%	500	77%	170	26%	389	60%	438	68%	476	73%	442	68%	630	97%																
Clients with Need	551	85%	370	57%	526	81%	66	10%	148	23%	478	74%	259	40%	210	32%	172	27%	206	32%	18	3%																
Not Referred, No Appropriate Prog	8	1%	8	2%	1	0%	9	14%	3	2%	53	11%	105	41%	10	5%	4	2%	51	25%	4	22%																
Not Referred, Other Reasons	105	19%	70	19%	43	8%	8	12%	21	14%	40	8%	31	12%	16	8%	31	18%	34	17%	8	44%																
Referred, On Waiting List	31	6%	44	12%	30	6%	4	6%	11	7%	58	12%	14	5%	18	9%	14	8%	5	2%	1	6%																
Referred, Client Declined Services	81	15%	117	32%	16	3%	1	2%	13	9%	42	9%	50	19%	8	4%	12	7%	26	13%	0	0%																
Referred, Client Deemed Ineligible	7	1%	2	1%	24	5%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	1	0%	0	0%																
Referred, Other Non-Adm Reason	40	7%	36	10%	28	5%	3	5%	16	11%	24	5%	4	2%	18	9%	5	3%	7	3%	0	0%																
Referred, Currently Engaged	125	23%	67	18%	215	41%	34	52%	42	28%	96	20%	34	13%	61	29%	88	51%	59	29%	5	28%																
Referred, Did Not Complete	67	12%	24	6%	141	27%	7	11%	27	18%	103	22%	7	3%	36	17%	16	9%	11	5%	0	0%																
Referred, Successful Completion	87	16%	2	1%	28	5%	0	0%	15	10%	62	13%	14	5%	43	20%	1	1%	12	6%	0	0%																
Clients With Need Subtotal	551	100%	370	100%	526	100%	66	100%	148	100%	478	100%	259	100%	210	100%	172	100%	206	100%	18	100%																

### January 2013 through March 2013 Track I Discharges: Program Participation Status Categories Summary

		oyment gram	Voca	cation/ ational gram	Deper	Chemical Dependency Program  Sex Offender Tx Program		Offender Accountability Program		Cognitive Behavioral Intervention		Mentoring Program		Anger Management		Mental Health Tx Program		Family Support Program		Veterans Program		
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Total Clients with Need	551	100%	370	100%	526	100%	66	100%	148	100%	478	100%	259	100%	210	100%	172	100%	206	100%	18	100%
No Appropriate Program	8	1%	8	2%	1	0%	9	14%	3	2%	53	11%	105	41%	10	5%	4	2%	51	25%	4	22%
Client on Waiting List	31	6%	44	12%	30	6%	4	6%	11	7%	58	12%	14	5%	18	9%	14	8%	5	2%	1	6%
Client Declined Services	81	15%	117	32%	16	3%	1	2%	13	9%	42	9%	50	19%	8	4%	12	7%	26	13%	0	0%
Other*	152	28%	108	29%	95	18%	11	17%	37	25%	64	13%	35	14%	34	16%	37	22%	42	20%	8	44%
Client Was Enrolled	279	51%	93	25%	384	73%	41	62%	84	57%	261	55%	55	21%	140	67%	105	61%	82	40%	5	28%
Successfully Completed	87	31%	2	2%	28	7%	0	0%	15	18%	62	24%	14	25%	43	31%	1	1%	12	15%	0	0%
Currently Engaged	125	45%	67	72%	215	56%	34	83%	42	50%	96	37%	34	62%	61	44%	88	84%	59	72%	5	100%
Did Not Complete	67	24%	24	26%	141	37%	7	17%	27	32%	103	39%	7	13%	36	26%	16	15%	11	13%	0	0%
Client Was Enrolled Subtotal	279	100%	93	100%	384	100%	41	100%	84	100%	261	100%	55	100%	140	100%	105	100%	82	100%	5	100%

<sup>\*</sup>Other includes Not Referred, Other Reason, Client Deemed Ineligible, and Other Non-Admission Reason. Client Was Enrolled includes Currently Engaged, Failed to Complete, and Successful Completion.

January 2013 through March 2013 Track I Discharges: Employment Status

County	Unemp	ployable	Not Er	nployed	Emplo	yed P/T	Emplo	yed F/T	Total
County	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
Albany	11	16%	16	23%	28	40%	15	21%	70
Bronx	0	0%	4	80%	1	20%	0	0%	5
Broome	0	0%	20	39%	23	45%	8	16%	51
Dutchess	0	0%	4	100%	0	0%	0	0%	4
Erie	2	4%	48	87%	2	4%	3	5%	55
Kings	2	2%	58	64%	7	8%	23	26%	90
Monroe	1	8%	10	83%	0	0%	1	8%	12
Nassau	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	1
Niagara	0	0%	14	78%	3	17%	1	6%	18
Oneida	6	12%	39	78%	5	10%	0	0%	50
Onondaga	4	6%	60	88%	2	3%	2	3%	68
Orange	1	3%	27	73%	6	16%	3	8%	37
Rensselaer	6	21%	15	54%	6	21%	1	4%	28
Rockland	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Schenectady	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Suffolk	2	6%	22	71%	3	10%	4	13%	31
Ulster	1	5%	17	77%	3	14%	1	5%	22
Upper Manhattan	0	0%	39	85%	2	4%	5	11%	46
Westchester	4	7%	39	65%	3	5%	14	23%	60
Total	40	6%	432	67%	95	15%	81	13%	648

January 2013 through March 2013 Track I Discharges: Days in CRTF

Co. vi	<45	days	45-89	9 days	90+	days	Total
County	n	0/0	n	0/0	n	0/0	n
Albany	1	1%	2	3%	67	96%	70
Bronx	0	0%	2	40%	3	60%	5
Broome	5	10%	6	12%	40	78%	51
Dutchess	4	100%	0	0%	0	0%	4
Erie	12	22%	13	24%	30	55%	55
Kings	27	30%	20	22%	43	48%	90
Monroe	3	25%	4	33%	5	42%	12
Nassau	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%	1
Niagara	3	17%	3	17%	12	67%	18
Oneida	4	8%	6	12%	40	80%	50
Onondaga	9	13%	9	13%	50	74%	68
Orange	1	3%	5	14%	31	84%	37
Rensselaer	3	11%	4	14%	21	75%	28
Rockland	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Schenectady	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Suffolk	8	26%	15	48%	8	26%	31
Ulster	3	14%	3	14%	16	73%	22
Upper Manhattan	6	13%	11	24%	29	63%	46
Westchester	11	18%	3	5%	46	77%	60
Total	101	16%	106	16%	441	68%	648